



Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning

51st Annual Conference 2010

Call for Papers and **[new this year]** Posters

Getting to Scale: Planning in multi-scale, functionally integrated environments

Most planning takes place within a complex environment of varied and often competing influences that operate at multiple scales. From the most micro-site-specific environment to global considerations, planners face challenges whose very definition and meaning may depend upon scale. The theme of the conference is meant to highlight the set of analytic and practical issues related to the need of planners to operate in and account for multiple scales.

The first set of questions revolves around how scale affects our understanding of problems and solutions. How do problems shift in their essential characteristics as one moves from micro to meso to macro scale? At what scale do we evaluate the outcomes of particular planning initiatives? To take just one example, are “smart growth” developments best judged by the micro-scale patterns of pedestrian activity and land use, or by how they fit into and perhaps alter regional patterns of growth? And, what are the relative weights that should be given to these perspectives?

Second, how do or how should planners integrate, manage, and reconcile demands and objectives originating at multiple scales? What are the practices in place for correctly identifying scale-specific implications of problems, and what practices are in place for integrating professional and citizen involvement across scales? This set of concerns incorporates analyses of multi-scale collaboration and inter-governmental cooperation.

A third set of analytic questions relates to how planning and governance structures at one scale effects outcomes at another. Here, we invite papers looking at the regional impacts of municipal or neighborhood-level planning initiatives, as well as those that look at the local impacts of regional approaches. Again, to look at a single example, can the use of regional approaches to affordable housing help to solve problems of concentrated poverty within specific neighborhoods in central cities?

This theme will allow conference-goers to explore a range of questions, while also connecting to a number of local issues in the Minneapolis-Saint Paul metropolitan area. The region is home to the Metropolitan Council, a vigorous regional planning body that addresses issues of scale repeatedly, in an environment in which most planning authorities are local and most development related resources (public at least) are state and federal. The metro area has a history of effective regional approaches to a variety of planning issues, while at the same time being the location of innovative neighborhood-based initiatives.



ASSOCIATION OF COLLEGIATE SCHOOLS OF PLANNING

51st Annual Conference 2010 ~ October 7-10, 2010

Call for Papers and **[new this year!]** Posters

WEB SITE OPEN FOR SUBMISSIONS: **JANUARY 18, 2010**

ABSTRACT SUBMISSION DEADLINE: **Monday, MARCH 1, 2010
11:59p.m. U.S. EASTERN STANDARD TIME**

The Conference Committee of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning and the Local Host Committee at the **University of Minnesota** cordially invite proposals for individual papers, complete pre-organized sessions, poster presentations, and roundtable discussions for the annual conference of the Association. The invitation is extended to faculty and students of ACSP member programs and to scholars and scholarly practitioners outside the ACSP family who are concerned with planning cities and regions.

Review: Proposals will be reviewed by track chairs and anonymous readers. These will either be rejected or accepted, however, there may be a short period during which some authors may be invited to revise and resubmit their work for reconsideration with acceptance based on meeting published deadlines and a second review of the proposal. This decision is left to the individual track chair. Track chairs are particularly interested in formal, pre-organized paper sessions and perhaps informal roundtables that assess and synthesize the state of knowledge, revisit “classic” works, and review major new studies. If you expect to propose such a session, please discuss your scheme with the appropriate track chairs.

NEW FOR 2010! Poster Session & Reception: Posters are a highly effective way to present one’s research. For 2010 we enthusiastically encourage faculty and Ph.D. students to submit poster proposals highlighting their work. The conference will feature a special reception (with food!) for posters, during which time authors will present their studies. For further information on developing a good research poster, please see the advice posted at www.acsp.org. All posters should be no larger than 30 x 40 inches, in either portrait or landscape orientation. Preparing and presenting a poster does not conflict with the Multiple Program Placement policy as described below.

Paper, Poster, and Travel Awards: There are faculty and student paper awards bestowed to authors during the conference. New awards for best posters will be included in 2010. As always, student travel awards are available. All ACSP participants are eligible for these awards and those interested will find submission criteria and other details at www.acsp.org.

The Fate of your Abstract Submission: Whether accepted or rejected, you should expect to receive constructive comments by the review committee. Disagreements with these comments should be addressed to the appropriate track chair for discussion. Many excellent proposals are rejected due to lack of time and space on the final conference agenda for sessions.

Multiple Program Placements: Multiple abstract submissions on behalf of one author will be reviewed and may possibly be accepted. However, more than one presentation of a formal paper and more than one appearance as a roundtable participant during the conference will not be allowed. It is, however, possible to present both a poster and a paper, if both proposals are accepted. Participants on the final program will be limited to: one presentation of an individual paper whether in a formal paper session or involved in a pre-organized formal paper session; one placement on a roundtable; one placement as a discussant of a formal paper session; and one placement in the poster session. These roles are not interchangeable. If you have more than one submitted paper abstract accepted and are invited to participate on more than one accepted roundtable, in fairness to everyone, we will ask you to limit your participation.

Book of Abstracts: Proofread (!), proofread (!), proofread (!) your abstract for publication while on-line. Accepted abstracts will be posted at the ACSP website, so it is important that references are complete, names are spelled properly, and the text is proof-read thoroughly. We will not edit your submission after publication and there will not be an opportunity for you to proofread your work after the abstract submission deadline!

Discussants: Track Chairs may invite discussants for all paper sessions. We do, however, welcome suggestions for discussants proffered by authors and pre-organized session leaders. If you are willing to act as discussant, please contact the appropriate track chair.

Pre-Organized Sessions: The conference committee respects and encourages the grouping of papers in pre-organized sessions but reserves the right to realign papers when it has all the proposals before it. Instructions for submitting a session in its entirety can be found at www.acsp.org. A pre-organized session needs one lead person to work in the abstract submission system before the other paper authors. This leader creates a session title and password which must be used by others who intend to present a paper in the session.

Submission Requirements: Please note that poster proposals should contain the same information as paper proposals. Abstracts must be submitted to one and only one track. The tracks are listed at the end of this invitation. If you are not sure which track is most appropriate, we encourage you to seek the advice of chairs before completing your submission. If you think that any of the following requirements are inappropriate for your work, please provide other relevant information. You do not have the option to attach full bibliographies, reports, or full papers. Your abstract should be suitable for publication on-line without any further editing and contain the following information in about 600 words:

- Central theme or hypothesis: what question are you trying to address?
- Approach and methodology: how will you address that question?
- Relevance of your work to planning education, practice, or scholarship
- Key data sources
- 3-5 major references to allow the track chair to place your work in its field [in 2010, you will be limited to 5 references].

Students: If you are a student, the abstract submission system will require you to indicate whether or not your proposal is based on your doctoral dissertation, if so whether or not the dissertation proposal has been approved by your committee, and if so, whether the dissertation is completed, very near completion, at the data gathering stage or earlier. Include the name and e-mail address of your advisor or dissertation supervisor with your abstract. You must also indicate that you have shown your proposal to your advisor prior to submitting it. Guidelines for abstract submissions as well as what is acceptable as a final paper presentation can be found at www.acsp.org, Student Network, then Resources. Failure to follow these directions may result in the rejection of your proposal.

Scheduling Constraints: The ACSP recognizes ONLY religious holidays as valid reasons for scheduling requests. Once the schedule is created, authors who cannot present during their scheduled time must withdraw their paper from the program. We strongly recommend you do not make travel reservations until you know your paper presentation schedule.

Submission and Fees: You must submit your abstract using the online abstract management system available through the link at www.acsp.org. The required fee for **online submission is \$25** per abstract. The fee for proposals requiring assistance with submission from the conference management office is \$50 per abstract. The ACSP does not accept abstract submissions by fax or mail. The ACSP accepts personal or institution/company checks, purchase orders from your institution, or MasterCard or Visa. If payment for your abstract is not collected by the time the review process begins, your abstract will not be reviewed.

References – Authors are strongly encouraged to include references along with the text of their abstract. This year however, the new abstract submission system will restrict the listing of references to a total of five (5) references.

Key Words – provide at least one, but no more than five.

- **Theme Submissions** - Use a key word box at the abstract submission site to indicate your paper relates to the theme of the conference.
- **Award Paper Submissions** – Individual award submissions may require specific keywords entered into the on-line system. See requirements for each award at www.acsp.org.

Track Information: The 2010 conference will be organized around fifteen tracks. You must indicate the track to which you are submitting the abstract. Many – perhaps most – topics cut across two tracks. If you are uncertain about the appropriate placement for your work, we encourage you to correspond with relevant track chairs before submitting your abstract. Do not mail or email your final abstract submission directly to a track chair. It must be submitted through the web site. A submission sent to a track chair will be returned to you immediately.

- 1) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND COMPUTER APPLICATIONS
Bhuiyan Monwar Alam, balam@utnet.utoledo.edu [2009-2011]
- 2) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Rachel Weber, rachelw@uic.edu [2010-2012]
Marla K. Nelson mnelson@uno.edu [2010-2012]
- 3) ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
Maria Manta Conroy, conroy.36@osu.edu [2009-2011]
- 4) GENDER AND DIVERSITY IN PLANNING
Petra Doan, pdoan@fsu.edu [2008-2010]
- 5) HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
Elizabeth Mueller, ejmueller@mail.utexas.edu [2009-2011]
Dan Immergluck, dan.immergluck@coa.gatech.edu [2009-2011]
- 6) INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING
Faranak Miraftab, faranak@uiuc.edu [2010-2012]
Victoria Beard, vbeard@uci.edu [2006-2010]
- 7) LAND USE POLICY AND GOVERNANCE
Rayman Mohamed, ar7347@wayne.edu [2008-2010]
- 8) PLANNING AND HUMAN HEALTH & SAFETY
Lois Takahashi, takahash@spa.ucla.edu [2008-2010]
- 9) PLANNING EDUCATION AND PEDAGOGY
Howell Baum, hbaum@umd.edu [2010-2012]
- 10) PLANNING HISTORY
Andre Sorensen, sorensen@utsc.utoronto.ca
- 11) PLANNING PROCESS, ADMINISTRATION, LAW AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION
Sanda Kaufman, s.kaufman@csuohio.edu [2008-2010]
- 12) PLANNING THEORY
Robert Beauregard, rab48@columbia.edu [2009-2011]
- 13) REGIONAL PLANNING
Scott Campbell, sdcamp@umich.edu [2009-2011]
Jennifer Clark, jennifer.clark@gatech.edu [2009-2010]
- 14) TRANSPORTATION & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING
Ruth Steiner, rsteiner@dcp.ufl.edu [2008-2010]
- 15) URBAN DESIGN
Michael Larice, larice@design.upenn.edu [2009-2011]

For additional information

Phone: 850/385-2054 ~ Email ddodd@acsp.org

View “Annual Conference, Abstract, Session & Final Paper Management”
for abstract submission procedures at the ACSP website: www.acsp.org

Program questions should be addressed to:

Stacey Swearingen White, University of Kansas
ACSP National Conference Committee Chair, sswhite@ku.edu

Submission procedure questions should be addressed to:

Donna Dodd, Conference Director, ddodd@acsp.org.

Abstract payment inquiries should be addressed to:

Kirsten Dazevedo, Abstract Management Assistant, kdd@acsp.org.

ABSTRACT SUBMISSION SITE OPEN: January 18, 2010

ABSTRACT SUBMISSION DEADLINE: 11:59p.m. U.S. EASTERN STANDARD TIME Monday, March 1.