

Journal of

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY

Volume 20, Number 1 - November 2003 to January 2004

The Influence of Manufacturing Facility Demographics on Manufacturing Competencies in North Carolina

By Mr. Richard Temple

Non-Refereed Article

KEYWORD SEARCH

*Administration
Curriculum
Higher Education
Manufacturing
Research*



Dr. Richard Temple is an Assistant Professor of Manufacturing Engineering Technology and coordinates the Manufacturing Engineering Technology degree program at Western Carolina University. He recently earned a DIT from the University of Northern Iowa, and MS from Murray State University, BS degrees for Purdue University and Indiana State University, and AS degrees from Purdue University and Vincennes University. His professional interests include Industrial Simulation, Quality Control, CNC Programming, Manufacturing Automation, Metrology, and Mechanical Design. Dr. Temple has nine years industrial experience at Allison Transmission Division of General Motors Corporation and Caterpillar, Inc. His current responsibilities include teaching undergraduate courses in Quality Control, Materials and Processes, and Senior Project, and graduate manufacturing and industrial courses in the MS Technology degree program.

The Influence of Manufacturing Facility Demographics on Manufacturing Competencies in North Carolina

By Mr. Richard Temple

Introduction

The rapid growth of global competition, new manufacturing technologies, changes in plant demographics, and an educational shift toward industrial-education cooperation and partnerships during the last 15 years has generated an increased need for educators to examine their manufacturing curricula to determine if college graduates are being prepared to meet the needs of their local and regional industries.

Thus there is a need to establish local and regional criteria for manufacturing competencies. A review of literature validates a set of manufacturing competencies required of entry-level graduates but does not address the industrial education needs according to the demographic needs of industry. To better understand the affect of demographic variables on manufacturing competencies and to better equip manufacturing educators on curricula content specific to their service areas. This study attempted to identify relationships between manufacturing demographics and established competencies for manufacturing programs in the state of North Carolina.

Rationale

The need for examining the relationship between manufacturing competencies and regional demographics results from several economic and industrial changes. Recent shifts in the number of employees through corporate downsizing and its effect on manufacturing productivity and skills is evident through research on small to medium sized industries. Five out of six American employees work in institutions with fewer than 1,000

employees (Carnevale, 1991). In North Carolina, 99.24% of all manufacturing SIC coded companies employ less than 1000 workers and 95.13% of all companies employ less than 375 workers (Harris Info, 1999). As U.S. industries strive to face off global competitive forces, manufacturers have begun and will continue to shift from large complex plants to smaller plants (Sheridan, 1989).

The utilization spectrum of manufacturing technologies and thus the on the job competency requirements of industrial and manufacturing technology graduates vary. Knudsen (1994) surveyed machinery manufacturers in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin on the utilization of flexible manufacturing cells, flexible labor cells, and concurrent changes in management operations. The companies surveyed were classified as non-electrical machinery, and small to medium sized firms organized as "job shops." Results indicate 66% responding had no flexible manufacturing capability (FMC). Utilization of flexible manufacturing systems was influenced by plant size. Knudsen research shows that smaller plants tend to have less access to capital and new production technology information than large plants, but large plants are less able to adapt quickly to new methods of production than small plants. Thus in certain states, the utilization of manufacturing technologies vary per plant size.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to assist manufacturing educators involved with curriculum development, workforce

development, and technology transfer by identifying competency correlation according to selected manufacturing demographics of plant size, plant location, and usage of manufacturing technologies.

Research Questions

The following research questions are designed to address correlation between facility demographics of plant size, location, and usage of manufacturing technologies to the importance of manufacturing competencies.

- 1) Is there significant correlation between the number of employees at the work site (plant size) respondents and each competency category?
- 2) Is there significant correlation between plant location and each competency category?
- 3) Is there significant correlation between the degree of usage of manufacturing technologies and each competency category?

Methodology

Collected data from practicing manufacturing engineers in manufacturing industries on the perceived importance of entry-level manufacturing competencies were evaluated against number of employees, plant location, and usage of manufacturing technologies. Data were analyzed using correlation analysis. Each manufacturing engineer was asked to provide information on his or her company demographics. The independent variables were number of employees, plant location (urban or rural), and degree of usage of manufacturing technologies. The dependent variables were the respondent's rating (minimally important to extremely important) of the previously validated manufacturing competencies. A partial list of competencies within each major category is listed in table 1. Respondents were asked to indicate their plant's usage of a spectrum of manufacturing technologies. Table 2 shows the list of manufacturing technologies from which respondents selected as in-use or under development at their facility. Respondents' count of usage of technology was compared against each competency category.

Table 1. Annotated Manufacturing Competencies List Per Category

<u>Design for Production</u>		
1.0	Read and interpret assembly drawings.	1 2 3 4 5
1.1	Understand geometric dimensioning and tolerancing.	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Materials</u>		
2.0	Select the proper tooling and parameters for machining operations (metals), (know how to make a part).	1 2 3 4 5
2.1	Understand the injection molding process and related plastics applications. (know how to make a part).	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Manufacturing Processes</u>		
3.0	Understand basic machining operations and equipment.	1 2 3 4 5
3.1	Program a CNC machine (specify correct cutter/feed speeds, machine set-up, correct cutter for application, and know how to make a part).	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Manufacturing Systems and Automation</u>		
4.0	Identify and eliminate non-value added operations.	1 2 3 4 5
4.1	Apply knowledge of a wide variety of manufacturing processes	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Controls</u>		
5.0	Integrate off the shelf control equipment into new and existing manufacturing operations.	1 2 3 4 5
5.1	Verify that installed control equipment operates correctly.	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Manufacturing Management / Quality and Productivity</u>		
6.0	Understand the importance of quality – the importance of doing it right the first time.	1 2 3 4 5
6.1	Analyze the nature of parts rejection to determine the cause and devise	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Liberal Studies</u>		
7.0	Communicate oral and written messages in a clear, concise, and professional manner.	1 2 3 4 5
7.1	Prepare technical reports.	1 2 3 4 5
<u>Capstone Courses</u>		
8.0	Demonstrate a work ethic that displays motivation, natural curiosity, and a sense of responsiveness without close supervision.	1 2 3 4 5
8.1	Learn to get the job done right, without any excuses, and on schedule with minimal supervision.	1 2 3 4 5

Analysis

Research question one asked if there is a significant relationship between the number of employees and each manufacturing competency category. Each competency category was investigated using Pearson's correlation. Table 3 shows that the effect of plant size on each competency per plant size grouping is significant for Competency 3 - Manufacturing Processes, large plant size, $r(15) = .482$, $p < .05$, two tail. Three correlations were significant using one tail testing. Large plant sizes indicated competencies three and five were significant at $r(15) = .412$, $p < .05$ one tail and medium plant size was significant for Competency 3 at $r(15) = .412$, $p < .05$, one tail.

Research question two seeks to determine if the urban and rural location of a plant has any effect on the importance of each manufacturing competency category. Point-biserial correlation was used to determine the significance of this variable. Table 4 shows the point-biserial correlation of each competency compared against the urban and rural location of a manufacturing facility. None are significant at $r_{pb}(48) = .279$, $p < .05$, two tail.

Research question three asks if there is a significant relationship between usage of manufacturing technology and each competency category. The independent variable (x axis) is usage of technology and the dependent variables (y axis) are each competency category. Each competency category was investigated using Pearson's correlation. Table 5 points toward a positive correlation on competencies categories 4, 6 and 8 and negative correlation on competencies 1,2,3,5,and 7. However, the effect is not significant on all competencies at $r(49) = .273$, $p < .05$, two tail. The negative sign of the r value indicates a reduced mean response of each competency category as the utilization of manufacturing technologies increase. A positive r value indicates an increase in the mean response of each competency category as the utilization of manufacturing technologies increase. It can be stated

Table 2. Utilization Spectrum of Manufacturing Technologies

Quality

- _____ Coordinate Measuring Machines (CMM)
- _____ ISO 9000
- _____ Automated Quality Data Acquisition Hardware and Software (stand alone systems)
- _____ Automated Quality Data Acquisition Hardware and Software (networked systems)
- _____ Vision System for Quality Applications
- _____ Total Quality Management (TQM) Applications
- _____ Use of higher level statistical tools for industrial problem solving. (i.e., regression analysis, design of experiments, Taguchi method)
- _____ Deming's Quality Principles
- _____ Use of Quality Deployment Functions
- _____ SPC Control Charts and Techniques
- _____ Six Sigma Quality Measurement

Product Design Capabilities

- _____ Manual Drafting
- _____ 2-D Drafting - i.e. Autosketch
- _____ 3-D CAD System
- _____ 3-D Parametric Design CAD Software
- _____ Rapid Prototyping Machine
- _____ Finite Element Analysis Software
- _____ Modal Analysis Hardware and Software
- _____ Geometric Dimensioning and Tolerancing
- _____ Design for Manufacturing

Management

- _____ Just - In - Time Inventory Management
- _____ Materials Requirement Planning (MRP) paper implementation
- _____ Materials Requirement Planning (MRP) computer implementation
- _____ Forecasting Techniques
- _____ Capacity Planning Methods
- _____ Value - Added/Non - Valued Added Analysis
- _____ Time & Motion Analysis
- _____ Ergonomic Standards
- _____ KANBAN Systems
- _____ PUSH Production Systems
- _____ PULL Production Systems
- _____ Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) or similar Demand System Management method.
- _____ Group Technology

Machining

- _____ APT (Automatic Programming Tool) for CNC code generation.
- _____ 2 - D CNC Code Simulation and Generation from Graphic Input Software
- _____ 3-D CAM/CNC Code Simulation and Generation from Graphic Input Software. Examples: (MasterCAM, CAMAX, SurfCAM,)
- _____ CAD/CAM data network communication to shop floor.
- _____ Tape driven CNC machine tools.
- _____ Manual machine tools.
- _____ You use three or less axis machine tools.
- _____ You use more than three axis machine tools.
- _____ You use predominately ENGLISH units of measurements.
- _____ You use predominately METRIC units of measurements.

that correlation between usage of technology and individual manufacturing competency categories does not appear to occur in the population.

Impact on Students

Placement is of high concern to students upon graduation. Although results were significant in some competencies categories, adjusting the curriculum for local and regional needs has both positive and negative impact on a student's chance of getting a job. When local or regional skills are taught in terms of specific machining and design software, national trends are ignored. Many CAD positions call for knowledge of specific design software. The same is true for machining and automation software. If a student is interested in staying a region, then the utilization of locally used software is desired and gives students a placement advantage. Often universities have "feeder" companies in their region where students are employed upon graduation. Certainly teaching skill sets more specific to these companies would be an advantage to students and to the university in terms of advisory boards, senior projects, and funding. However, as mentioned in the opening paragraph, some local industry do not have or cannot afford large capital equipment cost for modern technology, thus a localized tailoring of the curriculum would be "dumbing down" student skills rather than being a leader in technology areas. For national placement of students, a broader national skills set should be developed. If the role of regional universities is to serve regional industry, and if students are interested in living the in area where they grew up then, adjusting the curriculum according to regional needs is advantageous.

Summary

This study showed a one tailed negative correlation between large plant size and the importance of manufacturing competency category "manufacturing processes" and a one tailed positive correlation between medium plant size and manufacturing competency category "controls". As agents of technology transfer to regional industries, regional universities should strive

Table 2. Utilization Spectrum of Manufacturing Technologies (continued)

<u>Automation, Computers & Networking</u>	
_____	Manufacturing Simulation Software
_____	Ethernet, TCP/IP, or similar LAN for plant data distribution.
_____	Intranet web based data distribution.
_____	Process Operations requiring programming in C++, Visual C++, Visual Basic or similar programs.
_____	Process Operations using Device Net, Profibus or similar Field Bus Protocols.
_____	Process Control GUI using Siemens - WINCC, National Instruments - LabView or WonderWare or similar packages.
_____	Process Control using Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs) - Stand-alone
_____	Process Control using Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs) - Networked
_____	Automated Material Handling Equipment
_____	Robots for Production Processes, Assembly, Material Handling
_____	Flexible Manufacturing Cells
_____	Flexible Assembly Systems
_____	Computer -Aided Plant Layout/Design
_____	Lasers Technology for Manufacturing Processes
_____	Use of Knowledge Based Systems or Expert Systems in Manufacturing Processes.
_____	Wide Area Network (WAN)
_____	Computer Aided Process Planning (CAPP)
_____	Bar Code Reading

Table 3. Correlation Each Competency Category Grouped S, M, L, and Plant Size

Competency Number	Competency	Small	Pearson r Medium	Large
1	Design for Production	-.422	+.281	-.046
2	Materials	-.045	-.096	-.070
3	Manufacturing Processes	-.178	+.427	-.497
4	Manufacturing Systems and Automation	-.248	+.300	-.208
5	Controls	+.111	+.042	+.466
6	Mfg. Management/Quality & Productivity	-.057	+.009	+.336
7	Liberal Studies	-.218	+.130	+.301
8	Capstone Courses	+.152	+.001	+.296

Note.
 Small $r(14) = .497, p < .05, \text{two tail}$
 Medium $r(15) = .482, p < .05, \text{two tail}$
 Large $r(15) = .482, p < .05, \text{two tail}$

Small $r(14) = .426, p < .05, \text{one tail}$
 Medium $r(15) = .412, p < .05, \text{one tail}$
 Large $r(15) = .412, p < .05, \text{one tail}$

to be leaders in the application advanced manufacturing technologies and management methods according to the needs of their industrial constituents. Elements of manufacturing curriculum should be somewhat tailored to the local and regional needs of industry.

References

Carneval, A. (1991). America and the new economy. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
 Harris Info Source (1999). Computer database software. Twinsburg, OH.
 Knudsen, D. (1994, Spring). A survey of group technology adoption in the American Midwest. Growth & Change, 25, (2), 183-192.
 Sheridan, J. H. (1989). A look at the 21st century. Industry Week, 888, 38-39.
 Stevens, J. (1996). Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Elbaum Associates.

Table 4. Point-Biserial Correlation Each Competency Category and Number of Employees

Competency Number	Competency	Point-Biserial $r_{pb}(48)$
1	Design for Production	+ .112
2	Materials	+ .035
3	Manufacturing Processes	+ .068
4	Manufacturing Systems and Automation	- .084
5	Controls	+ .007
6	Mfg. Management/Quality & Productivity	+ .044
7	Liberal Studies	+ .191
8	Capstone Courses	+ .202

Note. $r_{pb}(48) = .279$, $p < .05$, two tail

Table 5. Correlation Each Competency Categories and Usage of Manufacturing Technologies

Competency Number	Competency	Pearson r
1	Design for Production	- .137
2	Materials	- .150
3	Manufacturing Processes	- .177
4	Manufacturing Systems and Automation	+ .159
5	Controls	- .054
6	Mfg. Management/Quality & Productivity	+ .020
7	Liberal Studies	- .005
8	Capstone Courses	+ .167

Note. $r(49) = .2759$, $p < .05$, two tail