



Position Statement Regarding FDA oversight of Laboratory Developed Tests (LDTs)

The American Society of Cytopathology (ASC) has a primary interest in meeting the needs of patients through high quality medical practice standards and ensuring access of necessary medical care to all who are in need. Laboratory Developed Tests (LDTs) are critical to the overall practice of medicine and are an integrated component of the current standard of patient care. The FDA's recent announcement of a proposed framework to regulate LDTs, which currently fall under the laboratory testing oversight by CMS through CLIA '88 standards, raises significant concerns regarding every laboratory's ability to provide timely and important technologically advanced medical testing to patients. The ASC is concerned with the inevitable increased costs associated with FDA oversight and perhaps more importantly, the stifling of the timely development and implementation of innovative advancements that provides quality care and hope for patients across the country (see bulleted details below):

- One of the advantages of cytopathology laboratory testing is the diverse methodologies for cytologic specimen preparations (e.g., direct smears, liquid based preparations, and cell blocks). Numerous ancillary laboratory tests (including immunohistochemistry, FISH, ISH and PCR based molecular testing) utilizing these preparations are LDTs and represent the standard of care at many institutions. Advances in the minimally invasive nature of acquisition of these types of patient samples obviates the need for open biopsies, which incur cost and patient morbidity and increase turnaround time for appropriate therapeutic decision-making (1, 5, 10, 14).
- The ASC supports and endorses the maintenance of the current medical practice standard of performing ancillary tests, including LDTs, on cytologic specimens in order to continue providing high quality, timely, and cost effective health care for patients. However, few, if any FDA approved companion diagnostics include cytopathology specimens as an approved specimen type, thus requiring the development of LDTs in order to offer state of the art testing on what is often the only specimen available for an individual patient (1, 5, 10).
- Salient examples and significances of important LDT's currently performed on cytologic samples include:
 - ALK rearrangement, EGFR mutation and ROS1 FISH testing on cytologic samples of non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) to guide candidacy for companion diagnostic therapy. Specifically, the ALK Break-Apart FISH probe set has only been FDA approved for paraffin-embedded specimens, not for cytologic specimens. Currently, there are no FDA approved tests available for ROS1 testing. Yet, without the testing, opportunities to treat patients with NSCLC driven by these genetic mutations with targeted therapy (e.g., erlotinib and gefitinib for EGFR targeted therapy and crizotinib for NSCLCs with ALK or ROS1 rearrangements) will be missed (4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15).
 - *ERBB2* (*HER2*) FISH is used on gastric adenocarcinoma specimens to determine if these patients are candidates for trastuzumab. Again, no FDA approved test for this context

exists. Without the laboratory tests, it is likely that patients will be negatively affected both financially as well as medically (2, 3, 13).

- *BRAF* mutational analysis is used for advanced melanoma. Currently, FDA approved methods for detection of *BRAF* mutation are not approved for implementation on cytopathology materials (6, 8). Patients with advanced stage melanoma harboring the V600E mutation in *BRAF* are candidates to receive vemurafenib therapy.
- Precision medicine and molecular diagnostic testing continue to evolve. The ASC supports the need for continuing studies to define clinical validity and utility supporting the use of LDTs to meet this demand. The ASC also support that effective utilization management strategies be used to ensure unnecessary testing is not performed.
- Pathologists play a critical role in interpreting existing data regarding clinical utility and facilitate the integration of sophisticated tests results with clinical assessment to help clinicians make informed decisions. Adding FDA oversight of LDTs would add redundant oversight, cost and confusion. It would place substantial additional burden on clinical labs and clinical providers, thus limiting critical test offerings and access for patients.
- Given the current turn around for FDA approvals, the FDA likely would not be able to keep pace with the important medical advances or process improvements that characterize high quality laboratory testing, and which are necessary to meet patient care needs. This would apply to innovative improvements on currently existing FDA approved molecular tests and innovative developments of novel relevant assays.
- Regulatory oversight for LDTs through the current regulatory framework (CLIA 88 with CMS jurisdiction) is sufficiently robust to ensure high quality laboratory testing and appropriate medical care access for patients while maintaining patient safety. Any concerns regarding a potential need for enhanced oversight should be addressed through the existing framework.

References

1. Aisner, D.L. and S.B. Sams, *The role of cytology specimens in molecular testing of solid tumors: techniques, limitations, and opportunities*. *Diagn Cytopathol*, 2012. **40**(6): p. 511-24.
2. Bang, Y.J., et al., *Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial*. *Lancet*, 2010. **376**(9742): p. 687-97.
3. Bartley, A.N., et al., *Template for Reporting Results of HER2 (ERBB2) Biomarker Testing of Specimens From Patients With Adenocarcinoma of the Stomach or Esophagogastric Junction*. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*, 2014.
4. Bellevicine, C., et al., *Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Test Performed on Liquid-Based Cytology Lung Samples: Experience of an Academic Referral Center*. *Acta Cytol*, 2014: p. 589-594.
5. Bellevicine, C., et al., *Applications and limitations of oncogene mutation testing in clinical cytopathology*. *Semin Diagn Pathol*, 2013. **30**(4): p. 284-97.

6. Bernacki, K.D., et al., *Molecular diagnostics of melanoma fine-needle aspirates: a cytology-histology correlation study*. Am J Clin Pathol, 2012. **138**(5): p. 670-7.
7. Betz, B.L., et al., *The use of stained cytologic direct smears for ALK gene rearrangement analysis of lung adenocarcinoma*. Cancer Cytopathol, 2013. **121**(9): p. 489-99.
8. Chen, S., et al., *Detection of BRAF Mutation in Metastatic Melanoma Utilizing Cell-Transferred Cytological Smears*. Acta Cytol, 2014. **58**(5): p. 478-82.
9. da Cunha Santos, G., et al., *EGFR gene status in cytological samples of nonsmall cell lung carcinoma: controversies and opportunities*. Cancer Cytopathol, 2011. **119**(2): p. 80-91.
10. Knoepp, S.M. and M.H. Roh, *Ancillary techniques on direct-smear aspirate slides: a significant evolution for cytopathology techniques*. Cancer Cytopathol, 2013. **121**(3): p. 120-8.
11. Minca, E.C., et al., *ALK status testing in non-small-cell lung carcinoma by FISH on ThinPrep slides with cytology material*. J Thorac Oncol, 2014. **9**(4): p. 464-8.
12. Reynolds, J.P., et al., *EGFR mutational genotyping of liquid based cytology samples obtained via fine needle aspiration (FNA) at endobronchial ultrasound of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)*. Lung Cancer, 2014. **86**(2): p. 158-63.
13. Sawaki, A., et al., *Efficacy of trastuzumab in Japanese patients with HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer: a subgroup analysis of the Trastuzumab for Gastric Cancer (ToGA) study*. Gastric Cancer, 2012. **15**(3): p. 313-22.
14. Schink, J.C., et al., *Biomarker testing for breast, lung, and gastroesophageal cancers at NCI designated cancer centers*. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2014. **106**(10).
15. Son, C., E.J. Kang, and M.S. Roh, *Strategic management of transthoracic needle aspirates for histological subtyping and EGFR testing in patients with peripheral lung cancer: An institutional experience*. Diagn Cytopathol, 2014.