

# AGENDA

Design-Build Push Button Task Team

Microsoft Teams Meeting

October 20, 2020 8:00 am - 10:00 am

- Greeting

*Summary Notes: Attendees Present were:*

*Felipe Jaramillo, Walter Kloss, Amy Scales, Amir Asgarinik, Vince Vacchiano, Jo Ellyn Guthrie, Lou Buenaventura, Kati Sherrard, Dan Egan, Ananth Prasad, Dan Hurtado, Scott Arnold, Larry Ritchie, Latashi Kitchen, Suzannah Ray*

*Larry welcomed and thanked everyone for sending comments on the RFP.*

- Task Work order amounts and durations – update

*Summary Notes: Larry informed the group the request to raise the TWO limits has been approved by Department Management and sent to FHWA for their approval and concurrence. SCO is currently working with Chad Thompson to see if they need any additional information or clarification for our request.*

- Known and Unknown TWOs

*Summary Notes: Initial TWOs and scope were discussed. The direction has been to list as many known TWOs as possible in the RFP. The group discussed adding a developer note encouraging projects within certain groups or refined scopes. Listing at least 5 TWOs in the RFP would provide consistency and move projects forward. Industry agreed as it is easier to get the work force ready, but more than 5 takes a lot of effort. Industry would like to see stipends on projects that are complex and have more than 5 TWOs and more refined scopes to trim down the MPIL and the types of projects. Industry noted the removal of ATC's lowers the cost to pursue the Project. The group also discussed separating roadway and signalization projects. The new*

*Design-Build Pushbutton in D1 was discussed. D1 mentioned separating roadway, separating by 6 counties, but administratively D1 cannot manage 4 contracts. Industry is opposed to breaking the RFP into different scopes and believes Design-Build Pushbutton should be broader to attract more bidders. D1 also mentioned having signalization vs roadway as the prime contractor, but Industry does not want to see Joint Ventures on Design-Build Pushbutton projects.*

*Action: SCO will clarify the current RFP boilerplate language to differentiate between federal and state funds. SCO will write draft language regarding future work for Design-Build Pushbutton projects. Walter will look into the design calculations for stipends and SCO will send the stipend request to Department Management.*

- **Design costs per TWO**

*Summary Notes: The group discussed the costs associated with TWOs. Data from Industry is needed showing actual design costs associated with FDOT TWOs. Issuing separate design and construction TWOs was mentioned but this could end up costing more. Industry was unsure of this approach.*

*Action: Walter will provide data and design cost information.*

- **Constructability Analysis – streamlining the process**

*Summary Notes: The group discussed simplifying the constructability analysis (CA), what it is, and the value of the work. Industry would like to keep the CA as this helps find major elements that could stop the job later, but wouldn't be opposed to having a separate CA. Only projects with subsurface, utility impacts, etc would need CA and only use CAs if necessary. Two separate TWOs for design and construction was also discussed. The group does see value in the CAs.*

*Action: The group to work on a definition of the CA and potentially come up with levels of the CA that could be tailored to meet project needs. SCO to clarify the language in the RFP, look at justifying higher design fees, and look at the Basis of Estimates to try and come up with the best solution.*

- **Next meeting**

*Summary Notes: The group discussed the timeframe between each meeting and decided to get together every 3 months. A survey monkey with dates for the next meeting will be sent out to the group. Larry will be sending out edits to the RFP via email.*