



Legal Findings

Federal courts again affirm GPO value and competitiveness

In the last decade a small, yet vocal, number of suppliers have prosecuted the argument that GPO business practices are anti-competitive and violate antitrust laws. On June 8, the U.S. 8th Circuit Court of Appeals (*Southeast Missouri Hospital v. C.R. Bard Inc.*, No. 09-3325) found for the second time that, in fact:



- On average, hospitals pay 10 to 15 percent less by buying under GPO contracts.
- GPOs do not purchase supplies. Member hospitals do under the terms of their GPO-negotiated contracts.
- Hospital contracts with GPOs can be terminated at any time with notice to the supplier.
- Hospitals are not required to purchase through their GPO contracts, but can instead purchase supplies “off-contract,” negotiating their own prices directly with suppliers.

- GPO membership is voluntary for hospitals. Hospitals can (and do) switch from one GPO to another, and may belong to multiple GPOs.
- Ninety-six to 98 percent of all hospitals in the United States voluntarily belong to one or more GPOs.

From 2003 to 2008, Bard, a leading supplier of Foley catheters, was responsible for over 80 percent of Foley sales to hospitals.

The case

The first court to hear the case dismissed St. Francis Medical Center’s class action suit that accused Bard of abusing its place in the catheter market. The plaintiff alleged that Bard, while contracting with a GPO, inflated catheter prices for hospitals in violation

