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Introduction

- Successful e-Health transition requires a strong security and privacy foundation
- NeHTA is stewarding a set of specifications to provide this foundation
- Migrating all IT systems to become NeHTA-aware could be complex, time-consuming and risky for some organisations
- This presentation offers an approach that is focused on maximising reuse of existing IT assets
National Infrastructure Components defined by NeHTA

Terminology
Secure Messaging
Identifiers
Authentication

National Infrastructure Components

Infrastructure Component | Benefit
--- | ---
Secure Messaging | Confidentiality of personal healthcare information
UHI (Unique Healthcare Identifier) | Correlation of identity information across disparate systems and organisations
NASH (National Authentication Service for Health) | Strong authentication credentials

Source: NeHTA
Security considerations when integrating with the National Infrastructure Components

Are the security capabilities accredited, e.g. Common Criteria?

National services (UHI et al)

IT System

How much effort is involved in maintaining the security infrastructure?

Collaborating healthcare organisation

IT System

How many systems will need to be updated to be NeHTA-aware?

Healthcare organisation

How to manage policies for interfacing with different organisations using different security profiles?
An Alternate Approach using Security Services

- Security Services have characteristics based on Service Oriented Architecture principles
  - Repeatable
    - Each service provides a repeatable security function, e.g. authentication, authorization
  - Reusable
    - Security services can be integrated with multiple systems
  - Agile
    - Changes in security technologies/policies are externalised from applications, and become configuration, not coding tasks
  - Built using open standards
    - Simplifies interoperability

Diagram:
- Application
  - WS-Trust
  - Authentication Service
  - XACML
  - Authorization Service
... A Secure Approach

Minimises locations where an organization’s cryptographic keys are stored

Security infrastructure provided by certified products and technologies

Central location for authorisation and auditing

Security policy is managed through configuration, not application code

National services (UHI et al)

Collaborating healthcare organisation

eHR information may be filtered based on organisational or government privacy constraints
... A Low Risk Approach

De-couples change management of applications and security infrastructure

Minimises changes to existing IT systems

Leverages best-of-breed security solutions and their secure development methodologies

IT System

Healthcare organisation

National services (UHI et al)

Collaborating healthcare organisation

Web Services Gateway

Security Services

Simplifies security audit reporting
... Suitable for Intermediaries too

Policy driven security configuration eases on-boarding to the community

Intermediary becomes the NeHTA interface for the entire community

Healthcare organisation

IT System

IT System

Intermediary

Web Services Gateway

Security Services

National services (UHI et al)

Collaborating healthcare organisation

Intermediary

IT System
But what about...

- Does this mean that all applications have to be converted to SOA?
  - No, but the applications will need to communicate with the Web Services Gateway *somehow*, including asserting the user identity.

- Would all applications have to use the same authentication scheme, such as PKI?
  - Not necessarily. Users may continue to authenticate as they do today. Their identity is converted to a NeHTA compliant form by the authentication service integrated with the Web Services Gateway.

- How practical is the Security as a Service vision?
  - Products are available today from multiple vendors.
  - IBM example: WebSphere DataPower XML Firewall, Tivoli Federated Identity Manager, Tivoli Security Policy Manager.
Practical Considerations

- The devil is in the security integration detail
  - Use tools that offer flexibility so interoperability issues can be identified and resolved
  - Leverage opportunities for interoperability testing

- Plan for change while interoperability profiles stabilise
  - Look for solutions where security policies can be re-configured with no change to application code

- Use devices capable of wire speed XML processing to mitigate performance considerations when using message level protection
  - Example: XML accelerators/appliances
Summary: the Security as a Service approach:

- Reduces the number of IT systems that need to implement NeHTA specifications
- Provides central management of security policies (authentication, authorization, message protection, ...)
- Is policy driven, so that incorporating new security technologies means a change in configuration, not re-development
- Uses products and technologies with security accreditation
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