

Nebraska Supreme Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

	101	102	103	104	105	106	
	Michael G. Heavican (Statewide)	Kenneth C. Stephan* (Dist. 1)	Lindsey Miller-Lerman (Dist. 2)	Michael McCormack* (Dist. 4)	William M. Connolly (Dist. 5)	John F. Wright (Dist. 6)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.86	4.24	3.94	3.66	3.89	3.76
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.84	4.17	4.06	3.90	4.02	3.85
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.28	4.34	4.30	3.95	4.19	3.95
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.98	4.22	4.05	3.76	4.00	3.81
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.27	4.37	4.36	4.05	4.21	3.96
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.30	4.35	4.35	4.20	4.25	4.13
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.14	4.18	4.09	3.99	4.08	3.86
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 87.1%	Yes 94.4%	Yes 92.9%	Yes 90.0%	Yes 92.0%	Yes 85.2%
		No 12.9%	No 5.6%	No 7.1%	No 10.0%	No 8.0%	No 14.8%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska Court of Appeals

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		201	202	203	204	205	206
		Richard D. Sievers (Dist. 1)	Michael W. Pirtle (Dist. 2)	William B. Cassel (Dist. 3)	John F. Irwin (Dist. 4)	Everett O. Inbody (Dist. 5)	Frankie J. Moore (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.88	3.79	3.87	3.94	3.83	3.88
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.90	4.00	3.97	4.04	4.03	4.12
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.23	4.24	4.25	4.32	4.20	4.30
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.88	3.88	3.93	4.03	3.84	3.94
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.02	4.31	4.18	4.28	4.20	4.38
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.02	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.30
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.24	4.20	4.21	4.21	4.14	4.19
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 84.7% No 15.3%	Yes 91.1% No 8.9%	Yes 87.9% No 12.1%	Yes 90.7% No 9.3%	Yes 94.1% No 5.9%	Yes 92.2% No 7.8%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska District Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309
		David K. Arterburn (Dist. 2)	Max Kelch (Dist. 2)	Randall L. Rehmeier* (Dist. 2)	William B. Zastera* (Dist. 2)	W. Mark Ashford (Dist. 4)	Peter C. Bataillon (Dist. 4)	W. Russell Bowie III (Dist. 4)	J. Michael Coffey (Dist. 4)	J. Russell Derr* (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.17	3.80	4.44	3.85	3.59	3.85	3.81	3.89	4.03
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.18	3.91	4.45	4.01	3.63	3.87	3.93	3.93	4.02
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.38	4.19	4.60	4.10	3.87	4.05	4.08	4.12	4.25
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.21	4.03	4.45	3.82	3.63	3.78	3.88	3.89	4.09
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.48	3.81	4.66	4.12	3.94	4.08	4.09	4.23	4.36
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.39	3.97	4.63	4.14	3.89	4.03	4.17	4.12	4.31
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.34	4.30	4.49	4.06	3.70	3.67	3.95	3.85	4.10
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.41	4.19	4.60	4.29	4.04	4.15	4.16	4.24	4.28
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.24	4.17	4.47	4.08	3.72	3.86	4.00	4.05	4.10
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.54	4.53	4.64	4.42	3.92	4.00	4.22	4.28	4.25
11	Trial Management	4.35	4.07	4.57	4.20	3.82	3.96	4.04	4.18	4.12

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 41.6% No 58.4%	Yes 40.0% No 60.0%	Yes 33.2% No 66.8%	Yes 43.6% No 56.4%	Yes 90.6% No 9.4%	Yes 90.9% No 9.1%	Yes 91.7% No 8.3%	Yes 90.9% No 9.1%	Yes 90.8% No 9.2%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 96.6% No 3.4%	Yes 90.8% No 9.2%	Yes 95.8% No 4.2%	Yes 89.3% No 10.7%	Yes 89.1% No 10.9%	Yes 89.6% No 10.4%	Yes 92.0% No 8.0%	Yes 91.8% No 8.2%	Yes 91.1% No 8.9%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska District Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		310	311	312	313	314	315	316
		James T. Gleason* (Dist. 4)	Thomas A. Otepka* (Dist. 4)	Marlon A. Polk (Dist. 4)	Gary B. Randall (Dist. 4)	Leigh Ann Retelsdorf* (Dist. 4)	Gregory M. Schatz (Dist. 4)	Joseph S. Troia* (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	3.57	4.40	3.31	3.98	4.17	3.56	3.82
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.64	4.40	3.71	3.98	4.17	3.75	3.97
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.90	4.51	3.87	4.22	4.41	3.80	4.00
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.67	4.41	3.53	4.01	4.13	3.66	3.80
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.75	4.55	3.98	4.04	4.30	3.80	4.09
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.68	4.48	4.11	4.04	4.29	3.89	4.11
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.78	4.37	3.66	3.97	4.26	3.90	4.00
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.95	4.51	4.05	4.25	4.39	4.05	4.27
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.81	4.27	3.58	4.06	4.23	3.99	4.15
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.16	4.46	3.91	4.11	4.38	4.16	4.31
11	Trial Management	3.93	4.40	3.92	4.20	4.28	3.99	4.14

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 90.0% o 10.0%	Yes 92.7% o 7.3%	Yes 93.3% o 6.7%	Yes 93.0% o 7.0%	Yes 92.6% o 7.4%	Yes 92.7% o 7.3%	Yes 93.4% o 6.6%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 82.4% o 17.6%	Yes 96.3% No 3.7%	Yes 79.4% o 20.6%	Yes 90.8% o 9.2%	Yes 95.8% o 4.2%	Yes 86.0% No 14.0%	Yes 90.7% No 9.3%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska District Court (3rd District)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		317	318	319	320	321	322
		Steven D. Burns* (Dist. 3)	John A. Colborn (Dist. 3)	Karen B. Flowers* (Dist. 3)	Paul D. Merritt, Jr. (Dist. 3)	Jodi Nelson (Dist. 3)	Robert R. Otte* (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.49	3.92	4.16	4.38	3.90	3.53
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.32	4.08	4.12	4.42	4.04	3.99
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.78	4.10	4.26	4.47	4.17	4.08
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.66	3.94	4.13	4.40	3.98	3.76
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.15	4.30	3.85	4.09	4.15	4.31
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.41	4.27	3.96	4.14	4.13	4.23
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.88	4.15	3.99	4.09	4.09	4.01
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.78	4.14	4.22	4.37	4.20	4.26
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.91	4.13	4.01	4.21	4.16	4.01
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.21	4.39	4.34	4.46	4.43	4.35
11	Trial Management	3.82	4.13	4.17	4.37	4.12	4.03
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 82.2% No 17.8%	Yes 85.6% No 14.4%	Yes 83.6% No 16.4%	Yes 86.1% No 13.9%	Yes 85.4% No 14.6%	Yes 85.9% No 14.1%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer;)	Yes 74.9% No 25.1%	Yes 92.4% No 7.6%	Yes 90.1% No 9.9%	Yes 95.7% No 4.3%	Yes 90.3% No 9.7%	Yes 89.1% No 10.9%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331
		Daniel E. Bryan, Jr. (Dist. 1)	Vicky L. Johnson* (Dist. 1)	Paul W. Korslund (Dist. 1)	Mary C. Gilbride (Dist. 5)	Alan G. Gless (Dist. 5)	Michael J. Owens* (Dist. 5)	Robert R. Steinke* (Dist. 5)	Geoffrey C. Hall (Dist. 6)	John E. Samson* (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.66	3.80	4.29	3.89	3.68	4.31	4.57	3.84	4.25
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.65	3.84	4.23	3.94	3.52	4.39	4.52	4.16	4.18
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.79	4.03	4.50	3.97	3.75	4.54	4.62	4.26	4.45
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.74	3.75	4.34	3.79	3.74	4.31	4.63	3.79	4.34
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.63	3.75	4.59	3.89	3.80	4.55	4.68	4.03	4.41
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.59	3.84	4.46	3.99	3.94	4.50	4.67	4.00	4.29
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.27	3.98	4.39	3.88	3.45	4.44	4.48	4.06	4.31
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.94	4.05	4.51	4.18	3.87	4.44	4.63	4.24	4.33
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.99	4.00	4.29	4.07	3.55	4.53	4.52	4.22	4.35
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.30	4.26	4.40	4.08	3.81	4.51	4.56	4.06	4.42
11	Trial Management	3.97	4.07	4.26	4.04	3.76	4.52	4.60	4.19	4.28
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 31.7% No 68.3%	Yes 31.2% No 68.8%	Yes 29.3% No 70.7%	Yes 30.9% No 69.1%	Yes 25.7% No 74.3%	Yes 32.3% No 67.7%	Yes 32.9% No 67.1%	Yes 34.0% No 66.0%	Yes 24.6% No 75.4%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 77.5% No 22.5%	Yes 92.6% No 7.4%	Yes 94.1% No 5.9%	Yes 91.6% No 8.4%	Yes 79.4% No 20.6%	Yes 97.7% No 2.3%	Yes 98.6% No 1.4%	Yes 95.0% No 5.0%	Yes 96.4% No 3.6%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341
		Robert B. Ensz* (Dist. 7)	James G. Kube* (Dist. 7)	Mark D. Kozisek (Dist. 8)	Karin L. Noakes (Dist. 8)	John P. Icenogle* (Dist. 9)	James D. Livingston (Dist. 9)	Teresa K. Luther (Dist. 9)	William T. Wright (Dist. 9)	Terri S. Harder (Dist. 10)	Stephen R. Illingworth (Dist. 10)
1	Legal Analysis	3.92	4.02	4.04	3.00	4.29	4.23	4.05	3.50	4.12	3.80
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.90	4.11	4.14	3.29	4.07	4.11	4.14	3.57	4.13	3.82
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.10	4.53	4.27	3.73	4.14	4.19	4.23	3.50	4.22	3.84
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.90	4.23	4.14	3.35	4.22	4.23	4.07	3.56	4.16	3.75
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.15	4.46	3.76	3.66	4.04	4.21	4.38	2.96	4.17	3.85
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.21	4.44	3.92	3.80	4.10	4.25	4.40	3.32	4.27	3.88
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.10	4.11	4.25	3.58	4.10	4.35	4.32	3.66	4.21	3.55
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.24	4.49	4.35	3.78	4.21	4.25	4.38	3.85	4.38	3.98
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.18	4.11	4.30	3.66	4.07	4.33	4.26	3.49	4.05	3.75
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.41	4.49	4.47	3.84	4.16	4.50	4.41	4.00	4.40	4.29
11	Trial Management	4.04	4.27	4.17	3.73	4.21	4.30	4.21	3.56	4.25	4.07
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 36.9% No 63.1%	Yes 37.9% No 62.1%	Yes 28.1% No 71.9%	Yes 22.1% No 77.9%	Yes 42.7% No 57.3%	Yes 52.2% No 47.8%	Yes 48.2% No 51.8%	Yes 56.5% No 43.5%	Yes 43.5% No 56.5%	Yes 40.8% No 59.2%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 89.3% No 10.7%	Yes 96.1% No 3.9%	Yes 96.6% No 3.4%	Yes 78.7% No 21.3%	Yes 95.9% No 4.1%	Yes 96.9% No 3.1%	Yes 92.8% No 7.2%	Yes 71.4% No 28.6%	Yes 98.4% No 1.6%	Yes 93.5% No 6.5%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Vertical line on the left side of the page.

Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		342	343	344	345	346	347	348
		James E. Doyle, IV (Dist. 11)	Donald E. Rowlands (Dist. 11)	David W. Urborn (Dist. 11)	Leo Dobrovolny (Dist. 12)	Randall L. Lippstreu (Dist. 12)	Travis P. O'Gorman (Dist. 12)	Derek C. Weimer* (Dist. 12)
1	Legal Analysis	4.36	4.18	4.28	3.77	4.60	4.17	4.35
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.24	3.94	4.40	4.22	4.63	4.38	4.49
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.54	4.49	4.68	4.40	4.65	4.39	4.53
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.38	4.24	4.27	3.92	4.64	4.33	4.33
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.42	4.54	4.76	4.34	4.67	4.34	4.61
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.42	4.37	4.55	4.50	4.72	4.48	4.61
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.99	4.42	4.30	3.94	4.67	4.48	4.44
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.40	4.35	4.53	4.57	4.78	4.72	4.62
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.04	4.38	4.39	4.18	4.67	4.57	4.53
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.34	4.58	4.62	4.63	4.85	4.76	4.61
11	Trial Management	4.31	4.37	4.39	4.29	4.69	4.56	4.51
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 60.2% No 39.8%	Yes 60.8% No 39.2%	Yes 55.2% No 44.8%	Yes 60.3% No 39.7%	Yes 63.2% No 36.8%	Yes 46.3% No 53.7%	Yes 54.0% No 46.0%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 91.0% No 9.0%	Yes 95.6% No 4.4%	Yes 95.2% No 4.8%	Yes 92.6% No 7.4%	Yes 98.1% No 1.9%	Yes 97.1% No 2.9%	Yes 97.8% No 2.2%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408
		Jeffrey J. Funke (Dist. 2)	Todd J. Hutton (Dist. 2)	John F. Steinheider* (Dist. 2)	Robert C. Wester (Dist. 2)	Edna Atkins (Dist. 4)	Lawrence E. Barrett (Dist. 4)	Susan M. Bazis (Dist. 4)	Joseph P. Caniglia (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.38	4.37	4.01	3.71	3.48	3.30	4.27	3.87
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.43	4.40	3.99	3.78	4.04	3.35	4.26	4.01
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.59	4.56	4.16	3.94	3.87	3.37	4.34	3.97
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.43	4.36	4.02	3.86	3.67	3.38	4.21	3.99
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.58	4.56	3.71	3.72	4.10	3.08	4.39	4.31
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.51	4.56	3.79	3.84	4.27	3.24	4.36	4.28
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.55	4.50	4.26	3.80	3.80	3.67	4.29	4.16
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.57	4.58	4.21	4.21	4.19	3.67	4.38	4.29
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.48	4.51	4.35	3.81	3.87	3.68	4.22	4.16
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.57	4.48	4.40	3.69	3.83	3.89	4.28	4.29
11	Trial Management	4.49	4.45	4.29	3.94	3.89	3.59	4.27	4.10
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 48.8% No 51.2%	Yes 48.8% No 51.2%	Yes 48.7% No 51.3%	Yes 50.4% No 49.6%	Yes 93.0% No 7.0%	Yes 93.1% No 6.9%	Yes 91.1% No 8.9%	Yes 91.8% No 8.2%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 98.2% No 1.8%	Yes 97.5% No 2.5%	Yes 90.1% No 9.9%	Yes 84.7% No 15.3%	Yes 83.4% No 16.6%	Yes 72.6% No 27.4%	Yes 95.2% No 4.8%	Yes 94.1% No 5.9%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		409	410	411	412	413	414
		Thomas K. Harmon (Dist.4)	Marcena M. Hendrix (Dist. 4)	John E. Huber (Dist. 4)	Darryl R. Lowe (Dist. 4)	Jeffrey L. Marcuzzo (Dist. 4)	Craig Q. McDermott (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	3.82	3.61	3.74	2.64	3.57	4.09
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.10	3.80	3.73	2.52	3.41	4.14
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.10	3.82	3.73	2.84	3.63	4.23
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.91	3.65	3.80	2.61	3.66	4.11
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.26	4.05	3.60	2.33	3.35	4.27
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.14	4.04	3.64	2.32	3.39	4.23
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.10	3.88	3.94	2.81	3.78	4.13
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.23	4.12	3.90	2.73	3.72	4.28
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.04	3.72	3.95	2.98	3.73	4.10
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.28	3.75	4.11	3.07	3.89	4.25
11	Trial Management	4.02	3.80	3.84	2.86	3.66	4.12
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 90.0% No 10.0%	Yes 92.0% No 8.0%	Yes 92.9% No 7.1%	Yes 93.5% No 6.5%	Yes 93.8% No 6.3%	Yes 94.0% No 6.0%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 90.7% No 9.3%	Yes 83.9% No 16.1%	Yes 84.7% No 15.3%	Yes 47.0% No 53.0%	Yes 78.6% No 21.4%	Yes 95.1% No 4.9%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (3rd District)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		415	416	417	418	419
		Mary L. Doyle* (Dist. 3)	James L. Foster (Dist. 3)	Gale Pokorny (Dist. 3)	Susan I. Strong (Dist. 3)	Laurie J. Yardley (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.72	4.05	3.34	3.89	4.00
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.58	4.15	3.05	4.29	4.09
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.81	4.09	3.29	4.30	4.29
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.75	3.94	3.47	4.06	4.00
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.29	4.33	2.97	4.37	4.38
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.62	4.21	3.01	4.42	4.35
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.98	4.18	3.76	4.30	4.21
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.75	4.45	3.19	4.37	4.38
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.01	4.20	3.66	4.29	4.23
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.09	4.33	4.08	4.29	4.45
11	Trial Management	4.01	4.25	3.58	4.23	4.23
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 88.3% No 11.7%	Yes 90.7% No 9.3%	Yes 85.3% No 14.7%	Yes 90.2% No 9.8%	Yes 89.9% No 10.1%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 79.6% No 20.4%	Yes 92.7% No 7.3%	Yes 73.4% No 26.6%	Yes 89.4% No 10.6%	Yes 94.8% No 5.2%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427
		Curtis L. Maschman (Dist. 1)	J. Patrick McArdle (Dist. 1)	Steven B. Timm (Dist. 1)	Curtis H. Evans (Dist. 5)	Patrick R. McDermott (Dist. 5)	Gerald E. Rouse* (Dist. 5)	Linda S. Caster Senff (Dist. 5)	Frank J. Skorupa (Dist. 5)
1	Legal Analysis	4.20	3.84	3.98	3.51	4.13	3.13	4.32	3.58
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.24	3.51	3.92	4.00	4.11	3.09	4.38	3.56
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.46	3.87	3.98	4.05	4.24	3.20	4.50	3.62
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.23	3.83	4.04	3.58	4.14	3.26	4.40	3.70
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.51	3.46	4.06	4.10	4.38	2.53	4.39	3.79
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.43	3.38	4.12	4.20	4.32	2.90	4.48	3.88
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.24	4.00	4.15	4.15	4.30	3.64	4.45	4.20
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.44	3.59	4.12	3.95	4.22	3.18	4.51	4.06
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.35	3.97	4.13	3.98	4.39	3.78	4.45	4.29
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.44	4.11	4.08	4.24	4.57	3.92	4.55	4.43
11	Trial Management	4.32	4.03	4.08	4.00	4.31	3.52	4.52	4.27
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 46.9% No 53.1%	Yes 30.4% No 69.6%	Yes 41.9% No 58.1%	Yes 48.1% No 51.9%	Yes 42.0% No 58.0%	Yes 36.7% No 63.3%	Yes 41.0% No 59.0%	Yes 44.7% No 55.3%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 93.2% No 6.8%	Yes 80.0% No 20.0%	Yes 87.5% No 12.5%	Yes 86.4% No 13.6%	Yes 92.9% No 7.1%	Yes 60.4% No 39.6%	Yes 100.0% No 0.0%	Yes 89.5% No 10.5%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436
		Douglas L. Luebe* (Dist. 6)	Kurt T. Rager (Dist. 6)	C. Matthew Samuelson (Dist. 6)	Kenneth Vampola (Dist. 6)	Richard W. Krepela* (Dist. 7)	Ross A. Stoffer (Dist. 7)	Donna F. Taylor (Dist. 7)	Alan L. Brodbeck* (Dist. 8)	James J. Orr* (Dist. 8)
1	Legal Analysis	4.09	3.55	4.12	3.84	3.59	3.76	3.84	3.93	3.50
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.96	3.23	4.30	4.07	3.23	3.90	4.08	3.82	3.83
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.33	3.68	4.38	4.20	3.60	4.31	4.24	4.16	4.19
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.12	3.57	4.10	3.90	3.81	3.79	3.91	3.88	3.42
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.09	3.20	4.44	4.00	3.32	4.17	4.03	4.11	4.32
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.11	3.48	4.31	4.09	3.59	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.32
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.33	4.00	4.38	4.03	3.79	3.79	4.06	4.11	4.06
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.22	3.58	4.35	4.17	3.66	4.21	4.18	4.05	4.29
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.30	3.87	4.17	4.17	4.03	3.90	4.18	4.09	4.17
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.50	4.10	4.29	4.29	4.09	4.28	4.26	4.23	4.35
11	Trial Management	4.33	3.88	4.32	4.00	3.82	3.82	3.89	4.08	4.00
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 42.3% No 57.7%	Yes 38.5% No 61.5%	Yes 34.7% No 65.3%	Yes 46.2% No 53.8%	Yes 55.8% No 44.2%	Yes 61.1% No 38.9%	Yes 53.3% No 46.7%	Yes 31.4% No 68.6%	Yes 38.7% No 61.3%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 93.8% No 6.3%	Yes 72.7% No 27.3%	Yes 95.3% No 4.7%	Yes 90.9% No 9.1%	Yes 71.8% No 28.2%	Yes 87.5% No 12.5%	Yes 95.1% No 4.9%	Yes 84.4% No 15.6%	Yes 95.8% No 4.2%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445
		Graten D. Beavers* (Dist. 9)	Gerald R. Jorgensen, Jr.* (Dist. 9)	Philip M. Martin* (Dist. 9)	Michael P. Burns (Dist. 10)	Robert A. Ide (Dist. 10)	Michael Offner (Dist. 10)	Carlton E. Clark (Dist. 11)	Anne M. Paine (Dist. 11)
1	Legal Analysis	3.98	4.22	4.05	4.11	3.86	4.10	4.08	4.25
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.67	4.14	3.97	4.28	3.98	4.25	4.10	4.30
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.05	4.18	4.11	4.31	4.04	4.46	4.19	4.39
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.08	4.06	3.95	4.08	3.92	4.13	4.10	4.19
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.57	4.30	4.16	4.33	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.55
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.89	4.27	4.05	4.23	4.16	4.32	4.22	4.45
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.11	3.40	3.89	4.17	3.93	4.04	4.38	4.47
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.91	4.33	4.18	4.33	4.33	4.49	4.34	4.48
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.07	3.81	4.00	4.03	3.77	3.84	4.34	4.39
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.18	3.29	4.24	4.33	4.19	4.39	4.46	4.48
11	Trial Management	4.11	4.07	4.06	4.08	4.07	4.18	4.29	4.31
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 54.8% No 45.2%	Yes 64.3% No 35.7%	Yes 64.3% No 35.7%	Yes 50.0% No 50.0%	Yes 46.0% No 54.0%	Yes 49.1% No 50.9%	Yes 53.1% No 46.9%	Yes 54.8% No 45.2%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 88.1% No 11.9%	Yes 96.2% No 3.8%	Yes 87.5% No 12.5%	Yes 88.2% No 11.8%	Yes 88.9% No 11.1%	Yes 96.0% No 4.0%	Yes 91.1% No 8.9%	Yes 91.4% No 8.6%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		446	447	448	449	450	451	452
		Michael E. Piccolo (Dist. 11)	Edward D. Steenburg (Dist. 11)	Kent D. Turnbull (Dist. 11)	Russell W. Harford* (Dist. 12)	Kristen D. Mickey (Dist. 12)	Randin Roland (Dist. 12)	James M. Worden (Dist. 12)
1	Legal Analysis	4.32	4.24	4.02	4.32	3.72	4.22	4.00
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.23	4.18	3.73	4.59	3.63	4.12	3.93
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.62	4.41	4.37	4.70	4.33	4.51	3.86
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.30	4.17	4.00	4.37	3.94	4.27	3.96
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.53	4.30	4.02	4.63	3.78	4.18	3.75
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.37	4.21	3.67	4.70	4.00	4.23	4.00
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.38	4.39	4.30	4.48	4.17	4.63	4.19
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.50	4.42	4.13	4.70	3.78	4.63	4.18
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.11	4.30	3.93	4.63	4.39	4.62	4.08
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.45	4.40	4.32	4.64	4.42	4.53	4.00
11	Trial Management	4.27	4.33	4.16	4.67	4.28	4.41	4.22
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 67.3% No 32.7%	Yes 66.7% No 33.3%	Yes 63.6% No 36.4%	Yes 71.0% No 29.0%	Yes 70.4% No 29.6%	Yes 62.5% No 37.5%	Yes 65.7% No 34.3%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 97.8% No 2.2%	Yes 87.2% No 12.8%	Yes 88.0% No 12.0%	Yes 90.0% No 10.0%	Yes 70.8% No 29.2%	Yes 89.5% No 10.5%	Yes 81.8% No 18.2%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska Separate Juvenile Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)

4 = Good (performance is above average)

3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)

2 = Deficient (performance is below average)

1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)

n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		501	502	503	504	505	506
		Lawrence D. Gendler (Dist. 2)	Robert B. O'Neal* (Dist. 2)	Roger J. Heideman (Dist. 3)	Linda S. Porter (Dist. 3)	Reggie L. Ryder (Dist. 3)	Toni G. Thorson (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	4.51	3.89	4.28	3.88	3.76	3.53
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.47	3.66	4.47	3.54	3.57	3.58
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.61	4.06	4.36	4.02	4.05	3.63
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.55	3.94	4.31	4.02	3.95	3.59
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.64	3.81	4.44	3.24	4.03	3.59
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.51	3.92	4.44	3.54	3.76	3.75
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.71	3.81	4.68	3.95	3.97	2.38
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.60	4.19	4.50	4.05	4.03	3.93
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.64	3.86	4.37	4.02	3.73	2.55
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.57	3.56	4.67	4.27	3.97	2.43
11	Trial Management	4.43	4.03	4.36	3.97	3.89	3.26
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 37.5% No 62.5%	Yes 38.9% No 61.1%	Yes 55.2% No 44.8%	Yes 54.2% No 45.8%	Yes 53.7% No 46.3%	Yes 54.3% No 45.7%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 95.7% No 4.3%	Yes 86.0% No 14.0%	Yes 98.1% No 1.9%	Yes 93.1% No 6.9%	Yes 89.1% No 10.9%	Yes 72.4% No 27.6%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Nebraska Separate Juvenile Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		507	508	509	510	511
		Elizabeth G. Crnkovich (Dist. 4)	Vernon Daniels (Dist. 4)	Douglas F. Johnson (Dist. 4)	Christopher E. Kelly (Dist. 4)	Wadie Thomas, Jr. (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	2.67	3.84	4.14	4.00	4.13
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	2.22	4.00	4.12	4.00	4.22
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.00	3.90	4.26	4.11	3.81
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	2.85	3.75	4.11	3.99	3.97
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.01	3.89	4.38	4.08	3.99
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.01	3.99	4.34	4.06	4.21
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	2.21	3.44	4.25	4.18	4.43
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	2.91	4.13	4.42	4.26	4.24
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	2.26	3.28	3.90	3.92	4.29
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	2.18	3.54	4.08	4.22	4.48
11	Trial Management	2.70	3.59	4.07	4.03	4.18
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 76.8% No 23.2%	Yes 76.9% No 23.1%	Yes 76.3% No 23.7%	Yes 77.8% No 22.2%	Yes 78.7% No 21.3%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 43.4% No 56.6%	Yes 84.6% No 15.4%	Yes 95.1% No 4.9%	Yes 89.9% No 10.1%	Yes 93.4% No 6.6%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Workers' Compensation Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		601	602	603	604	605	606
		Ronald L. Brown, Omaha	James R. Coe, Omaha	J. Michael Fitzgerald*, Lincoln	Michael K. High, Lincoln	John R. Hoffert, Lincoln	Laureen K. Van Norman, Lincoln
1	Legal Analysis	4.16	3.71	3.92	3.77	4.53	3.69
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.68	3.42	3.70	3.82	4.36	3.73
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.15	3.65	4.38	4.07	4.67	4.04
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.16	3.69	3.81	3.82	4.65	3.79
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.05	2.87	4.19	4.21	4.76	4.42
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.10	3.16	4.24	4.25	4.60	4.32
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.15	4.05	3.83	2.77	4.48	3.93
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.19	3.66	4.34	4.29	4.45	4.37
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.15	3.95	4.10	3.47	4.42	4.04
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.62	4.41	4.46	4.58	4.65	4.56
11	Trial Management	4.40	3.91	3.97	4.31	4.65	4.25
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 85.7% No 14.3%	Yes 71.6% No 28.4%	Yes 86.3% No 13.7%	Yes 87.5% No 12.5%	Yes 95.5% No 4.5%	Yes 90.3% No 9.7%

*Retention Date 11/2012

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		701	702	703	704	705
		Joseph F. Bataillon	Richard G. Kopf	Laurie Smith Camp	Lyle E. Strom	Warren K. Urborn
1	Legal Analysis	3.86	4.50	4.37	4.52	4.54
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.75	4.38	4.35	4.55	4.68
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.19	4.57	4.60	4.61	4.44
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.94	4.50	4.40	4.52	4.57
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.92	4.37	4.57	4.57	4.70
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.91	4.35	4.60	4.48	4.65
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.89	4.50	4.46	4.36	4.50
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.28	4.54	4.56	4.58	4.68
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.18	4.56	4.54	4.47	4.51
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.35	4.68	4.67	4.66	4.64
11	Trial Management	4.17	4.64	4.57	4.53	4.58

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		Magistrate Judges			Bankruptcy Court Judges	
		706	707	708	709	710
		F. A. Gossett III	Thomas D. Thalken	Cheryl R. Zwart	Timothy J. Mahoney	Thomas L. Saladino
1	Legal Analysis	4.46	4.23	4.43	4.33	4.52
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.43	4.31	4.49	4.37	4.50
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.52	4.36	4.65	4.53	4.71
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.44	4.24	4.48	4.46	4.65
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.46	4.12	4.72	4.42	4.50
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.55	4.44	4.65	4.39	4.62
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.53	4.34	4.70	4.55	4.68
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.54	4.43	4.78	4.56	4.60
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.56	4.41	4.70	4.52	4.67
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.63	4.59	4.80	4.70	4.82
11	Trial Management	4.63	4.53	4.69	4.56	4.66
12	In your opinion, should this judge be reappointed to the office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 96.0% No 4.0%	Yes 93.5% No 6.5%	Yes 97.4% No 2.6%	Yes 91.0% No 9.0%	Yes 91.7% No 8.3%

Social Security Administration Law Judges

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		801	802	803	804	805
		G. Roderic Anderson	Jan E. Dutton	Ronald D. Lahners	Kathleen Muramoto	Emily C. Shattil
1	Legal Analysis	2.69	3.36	3.21	4.50	4.45
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	2.04	3.18	3.61	4.54	4.64
3	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	2.86	3.63	3.94	4.48	4.67
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	2.81	3.58	3.78	4.39	4.41
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.16	3.74	3.83	4.39	4.71
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.56	3.92	4.18	4.55	4.76
7	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.35	3.68	3.50	4.17	4.23
8	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	2.31	3.61	4.06	4.58	4.55
9	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.38	3.48	3.82	4.15	4.16
10	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.88	4.11	4.17	4.38	4.41
11	Trial Management	2.67	3.64	3.83	4.32	4.55