00:28:35    Vicki Best: Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to today’s Diving Deeper Discussions!
00:30:24    Dana Oleskiewicz: Washington DC ASA Chapter will be hosting a panel discussion on the book on October 29th at 7:00 PM EDT.
00:31:56    Kyle Cudworth: Will this DC meeting be on zoom?
00:32:51    Mike Beidler: Yes, Kyle.
00:32:52    Dana Oleskiewicz: Yes. Details are being finalized and will be released soon.
00:48:04    Tjalle Vandergraaf: Question for Ray: how do you square your comment that God does not hold others accountable for the misdeeds of others with Exodus 20:5: ou shall not bow down to them or worship them; for I, the Lord your God, am a jealous God, punishing the children for the sin of the parents to the third and fourth generation of those who hate me?
01:08:38    Mike Beidler: In other words, Genesis 2-3 is more Israel-focused, not humanity-focused.
01:11:12    John Wood: 3
01:14:12    David Yee: From this fascinating discussion, I’m beginning to think of Adam as a primeval Abraham, someone whom God had chosen through whom redemption could come to all peoples.
01:14:38    Mike Beidler: Great insight, David. I’m inclined to agree with you.
01:14:41    John Wood: Notice - When talking about the A&E question there are assumptions working about human mating systems. The phrase we commonly are using is "One of the couples who was alive then..." assumes a monogamous human mating system. That is a contested idea.
01:16:30    Sy Garte: Actually, John, monogamy or polygamy are not relevant to the idea of common ancestry.
01:18:14    dwilcox: I agree about Adam and the covenant- the covenant God fulfills in Jesus is first made with Adam, then Noah, then Abraham, then David and promised again in the prophets.
01:18:50    Janet Warren: kidneys are also often mentioned in the OT!
01:20:44    Joseph Lechner: OH: Reports of marine and aviation disasters specify the number of "souls" lost. Morse code distress signal ...--- ... stands for ???
01:31:31    John Wood: Right Sy, but we are using here the notion that humans are reproducing primarily (only) as monogamous couples. There are many ways that this "coupling" takes place in human mating systems. Yes- we are, especially today - primarily monogamous. But that is not always so, today and over the life of individuals, and likely was not in the past either. So when trying to understand how "humanness" came to be we seem to use the dominate idea that monogamy was the norm. But perhaps monogamy was a factor in becoming the norm. Biologically there are many mating systems that are effective in producing successful species. Were we being called out into some new way of relating to one another? On this view it looks like the Genesis description was primarily relational (theological) not biological - especially as we understand biology today. [Very stimulating discussion today!]
01:34:06    dwilcox: But even in history, there is a background reason for which details are being included. Thus, I would think the larger framework of the covenant of God with the creation would apply both in early Genesis and the rest of Scripture.
01:37:56    Mike Beidler: *Original Selfishness* (vice “original sin”) by Daryl Domning is an approach with which I have affinity.
01:38:56    Anikó Albert: Mike, that link seems to be broken.
01:40:40    Anikó Albert: This looks like it: https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B01MYWGA8P/ref=dbs_a_def_rwt_hscv_1f_0
01:41:31    Sy Garte: In the soon to be released Fall issue of God and Nature there is a great essay on this topic by Dave Gammon.
01:42:00    Steve: Have you considered Genesis 2 to be a creation text, not only creation text through Gen 2.3? Gen 2.4 ‘toledot’ = ‘generations’ is true, but due to Eve having been created at both Gen 1.25 and 2.22 – and Adam naming higher animals first found in creation day 6, then chapter 2 appears to be a detailed account of creation day 6 AND the beginning ‘records’ [also translates = ‘toledot’] of humanity. ??
01:42:38    Mike Beidler: Thanks for the working link, Anikó!
01:46:47    Osowoayim: is other words, humans were not created sinless?
01:49:58    Vicki Best: DDD recordings can be found here: https://network.asa3.org/page/DivingDeeper
01:51:02    Kurt Wood: Reformed theology and I assume Augustine teaches total depravity (sin touches every aspect of life) but not utter depravity (everything is as bad as it could be). It seems Augustine did
assume however that Genesis 1 teaches the antonym of utter depravity, not the antonym of total depravity
01:51:09 Vicki Best: Thanks for being here and enjoy the rest of your weekend. Happy Thanksgiving to our Canadian friends!
01:51:44 Janet Warren: Thanks Vicki and everyone!
01:51:44 Bob G: Thanks Vicki, and the same to you all in return.
01:52:24 Mike Beidler: Sorry for the broken link.
01:52:44 Louise Huang: Thank you for your work Carol, Greg and Roy. Thanks Randy and Vicki for moderating/hosting!
01:53:16 Steve: Osowoayim – true, not sinless. The ‘very good’ of Gen 1.31 is ‘very good’ for a purpose of what takes place in day 7, the ‘day’ that has not ended with an ‘evening and morning’, and which follows creation days 1-6 which do show completion. Creation leads to day 7, now. The Garden was not perfect, as will be heaven, because Satan had access - unlike as will be in heaven at total redemption.