00:12:57 Vicki Best: Welcome to today's Diving Deeper Discussions!

00:23:25 Vicki Best: DDD recordings can be found here: https://network.asa3.org/page/DivingDeeper

00:32:14 Vicki Best: Elaine’s books can be found on the ASA virtual bookstore here: https://convention.christianbook.com/

00:48:22 Bill: I am interested in why people do or do not see a conflict between science and religion. In particular, I am wondering whether the greater the sense of overlap between the two categories would result in a greater sense of conflict. How much does it matter how evidence-based your religion is?

00:48:45 Vicki Best: The Religion & Public Life Program at Rice University website can be found here: https://rplp.rice.edu/

00:55:10 Blake V Kent: Bill, not sure on your question about "evidence-based", but the literature more broadly - as I understand - often points to the role of boundaries and identity making. IOW, people often express a belief in conflict btw religion and science more for identity purposes than anything else. It demarcates who is in your group. A recent study came out showing how this works among grad students. Those who are strong advocates for science and who also perceive that other scientists in their guild are anti-religion are much more likely to say science and religion are in conflict. Elaine's book identifies at least two relevant points: 1) scientists themselves almost universally overestimate how anti-religious their colleagues are, and 2) religious scientists who are respected in their disciplines act as boundary pioneers; they illustrate to other scientists that science and religion don't have to be in conflict.

01:16:30 Janel Curry: I think John's experience is an important one because we can serve as interpreters within the scientific community

01:23:02 Kirk Bertsche: Terry Defoe might like to read George Murphy, who was a Missouri Synod Lutheran.

01:23:57 Blake V Kent: To Terry's point, Elaine notes that those in the US who represent the conflict model are: 1) disproportionately male, 2) at elite institutions, and 3) at an advanced stage in their career. These are the voices Elaine suggests are unlikely to be leaders in future religion/science dialogue.

01:25:14 Edward Dubland: What's the Name of the book?

01:28:43 Ken Wolgemuth: From Terry Defoe's comments, that means 100 million people have been caught into the 6,000 year-old earth. We in the ASA have a huge task for gently educating our young people with truth about creation.

01:29:02 Vicki Best: Terry Defoe's book is Evolving Certainties: Resolving Conflict at the Intersection of Faith & Science and can be found here: https://www.amazon.com/Terry-Defoe/e/B086RY2JWJ%3Fref=dbs_a_mng_rwt_scns_share

01:30:40 Bob Geddes: Thanks Vicki. I am a slow typist and you beat me to it.

01:31:59 Judith Toronchuk: Good point Janel.

01:32:17 Terry Defoe: Terry Defoe's book is titled Evolving Certainties: Resolving Conflict at the Intersection of Faith and Science

01:32:29 Janel Curry: Thanks Judy--I'm going to think on this one. Interesting thought from her talk.

01:33:45 Janel Curry: thanks everyone!

01:35:00 Brenda: Amen Ken Wolgemuth: The task is huge. Emily Dickinson wrote a poem "Tell All the Truth But Tell It Slant." I think there is a vast possibility for "telling it slant"; for greater use of creativity, and even humor, in addition to biographical storytelling to bridge that gap.

01:35:01 Vicki Best: Thanks so much, Elaine, Blake, & Simon for this excellent discussion. Today's recording should be available later this week.

01:35:02 Mike Beidler: AAAS/DoSER has “Science for Seminaries.” Surely there's an audience for a "Seminary for Scientists."

01:35:31 Del Coon: Another excellent session! Thank you!

01:35:34 Judith Toronchuk: Thanks all