00:22:17 S. Joshua Swamidass: I can attend,
00:37:16 Craig Story: One person
00:37:38 S. Joshua Swamidass: What about Opderbeck’s take on Option 1?
00:51:18 Craig Story: Fixed typos: (1) one person; (2) one nation; (3) one thing or source, God; (4) one blood as in bloodline; (5) one blood as in community, people group.
00:51:34 dwilcox: Ideal that is
00:51:56 Craig Story: I typed it as he spoke, I guess we should keep it as in the article for clarity so switch 4 and 5
00:57:41 Craig Story: Looking again at the article: 4 is "collective" bloodline as in a common group like “the people of Athens” and 5 is one blood as in a bloodline namely the singular bloodline of Adam and Eve. to clarify.
01:05:44 S. Joshua Swamidass: Perhaps then option 5 is Opderbeck’s view?
01:08:39 S. Joshua Swamidass: I’m also curious how people would engage Ken Ham’s book on this verse: https://www.christianbook.com/one-race-blood-revised-and-updated/ken-ham/9781683442035/pd/442033
01:14:33 S. Joshua Swamidass: See this excerpt from WLC’s book:
01:16:06 S. Joshua Swamidass: There has also been a lot of discussion about this at ETS, The Creation Project and AAR (and more ahead!)
01:22:15 S. Joshua Swamidass: Craig, for me and many others, this is about upholding historical Christianity. If we don’t see a good reason to change historical doctrine, we shouldn’t.
01:23:09 S. Joshua Swamidass: As CJ Chesterfield writes,
01:23:10 S. Joshua Swamidass: “Tradition means giving a vote to most obscure of all classes, our ancestors. It is the democracy of the dead.” Chesterton goes on to say: “Tradition refuses to submit to the small and arrogant oligarchy of those who merely happen to be walking about. All democrats object to men being disqualified by the accident of birth; tradition objects to their being disqualified by the accident of death. Democracy tells us not to neglect a good man’s opinion, even if he is our groom; tradition asks us not to neglect a good man’s opinion, even if he is our father.”
01:23:53 Craig Story: I think some historical aspects of the faith have certainly changed over time and many times have been very off... one would only jettison such history with good reason. Isn't the bio/genetic stuff a good reason?
01:24:18 Craig Story: I agree that A&E is tied in with one's view of original sin... the two need to be considered together.
01:24:19 Del Coon: The first sin entering through Adam is a different question than Adam and Eve being the 1st two human beings.
01:24:37 S. Joshua Swamidass: The bio/genetic stuff could be a good reason, if it were actually in conflict with historical doctrine, but it isn’t. So it isn’t a good reason, is it?
01:25:59 S. Joshua Swamidass: Craig, there has also been changes, but usually it has been very difficult and for far better reasons. See for example, https://peacefulscience.org/prints/ets-keathley-gae/
01:31:50 Del Coon: Thank you Bill for the article and to everyone for contributing!
01:33:37 Bill Horst: williamhorst@fuller.edu
01:34:00 Bill Horst: Morality, Not Mortality: Moral Psychology and the Language of Death in Romans 5-8