Daylight Potential Rating Task Group meeting notes…November 8th, 2010

- Roger LeBrun called meeting to order, reviewed Antitrust and NFRC meeting guidelines, reviewed agenda.

- Approved minutes from recent TG Conference call.

- Slide Presentation was given by Mudit Saxena on the Phase 1 and Phase 2 plans for the Task Group (Slides to be posted to NFRC website)

  Key points from Presentation

  Task Group has had (7) virtual meetings, determined the Scope of phases and purpose of ratings.

  Phase 1 - simple approach using existing VT ratings
  Phase 2 – more complex variables to be included

  Phase 2 intended for commercial products
  Work Group is now active to determine type of sky to be used for illuminance

- Opened to the floor for discussion:

  Ross McCluney comments – suggests to focus on the users, refer to User Groups not building types. Suggests to add a new task, define the users and what their needs are in regards to products from the NFRC.

  Do the user groups need a rating or just data? An over-simplified rating may not be useful to them.

  This also applies to the selection of standard skies from the Task Group, what do the users need?

  Roger thanked Ross for his comments.

  Mike Thoman spoke in support of Ross’s comments.
  Willie DuPont asked if ENERGY STAR and/or Codes is driving Phase 1 work.

  Mudit explained that Codes is a key driver of this work.

  Steve Selkowitz spoke to some UK history about daylight factors, - VT of window, size of window, size of room. It is not strictly a Window Rating but a room rating. Steve also had some reference materials to share with membership.

  Ross clarified how the calculation was performed.
Ray Garries described the reason for the original Phase 1 and Phase 2 was to respond to market needs in time for 2012 and speed is an important consideration for this Task Group.

Joe Hayden spoke in support of Phase 1, the simple and quick solution. Focus on the residential solution.

Mike Thoman asked if the simple daylight factor rating something that NFRC can support? Mudit explained that a middle ground is needed.

Steve Selkowitz suggested that something other than a rating could be achieved faster, Perhaps quarterly documents from NRFC with guidelines on how to use the VT ratings.

John Gant brought up glare index, visual comfort degree and asked how they might fit into this work.

More discussion from the floor on future needs of residential and commercial markets for more information in decision making process.

Roger moved to the New Business section of the Agenda and Ballot Negatives were reviewed. Steve Selkowitz agreed to withdraw his negative at the Subcommittee meeting.

Roger invited people to sign up the task group interest sheet.

Meeting Adjourned.