POSITION STATEMENT
Access to Safe Abortion Care

The National Association of Nurse Practitioners in Women’s Health (NPWH), along with numerous other national health professional organizations, affirms that abortion is an essential component of comprehensive reproductive healthcare and that it should be legal, safe, and accessible.\(^1\)–\(^10\) Legal restrictions do not eliminate abortion but instead increase the likelihood that abortions will be performed unsafely, with the potential for complications and death. Legislative and policy decisions should be firmly rooted in science, protect the patient–clinician relationship, and aim at reducing disparities to ensure equitable access to safe, effective, and timely abortion care.

People with low incomes, those living in rural areas, people from racial and ethnic minority groups, adolescents, individuals who are incarcerated, transgender men, immigrants, and others who are marginalized are disproportionately affected by barriers to and restrictions on abortion access.\(^2\)–\(^3\),\(^12\)–\(^17\) NPWH advocates for policies and evidence-based initiatives that promote equity and reduce disparities in all aspects of reproductive health including abortion care.\(^18\) NPWH supports a reproductive justice framework based on three core principles: the right to have children, not have children, and parent children in safe and sustainable communities.\(^19\)

NPWH’s mission includes “protecting and promoting a woman’s right to make her own choices regarding her health and well-being within the context of her lived experience and her personal, religious, cultural, and family beliefs.”\(^20\) NPWH recognizes that some people who do not identify as women are able to become pregnant, and transgender people, gender nonconforming people, and people of diverse gender identities are also affected by abortion restrictions. NPWH asserts that reproductive healthcare must be comprehensive, accessible, safe, and inclusive for all individuals. NPWH supports the right of individuals to have access to factual, evidence-based information to make their own informed reproductive choices.

BACKGROUND

On June 24, 2022, the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) overturned Roe v Wade, thus abandoning the constitutional right to have an abortion that had been in place for almost 50 years. The history of legislation in the US regarding the right to have an abortion and the right to provide abortion services is relevant for understanding the current legislative and political environment. See the Table for Supreme Court decisions on abortion.\(^21\)
### TABLE. Supreme Court Decisions On Abortion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Roe v Wade</td>
<td>There is a constitutional right to have an abortion until the fetus is considered viable. This decision was based on the right to privacy contained in the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Viability means the ability to live outside the pregnant person’s uterus, which usually happens between 24 and 28 weeks after conception. The Roe v Wade decision kept it open for states to ban abortion after fetal viability except when necessary to preserve the life or health of the pregnant person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Planned Parenthood v Casey</td>
<td>Upheld the right to have an abortion but established the right for states to regulate abortion services before viability as long as the regulation did not place an “undue burden” on the person seeking an abortion. A finding of an undue burden is shorthand for the conclusion that a state regulation has the purpose or effect of placing a substantial obstacle for a person seeking an abortion of a nonviable fetus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Whole Woman’s Health v Hellerstedt</td>
<td>Reinforced that abortion restrictions prior to viability are only constitutional if they further a valid state interest and have benefits that outweigh the burdens placed on individuals seeking abortions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Dobbs v Jackson Women’s Health</td>
<td>Involved the Mississippi law that bans all abortions over 15 weeks gestational age except in medical emergencies and in the case of severe fetal abnormality. SCOTUS sided with Mississippi (Dobbs) and overturned Roe v Wade. The constitutional right to have an abortion was revoked on June 24, 2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SCOTUS ruling overturning Roe v Wade allows each state to enact its own laws that support, restrict, or ban abortion. At the time of this ruling, over half of the states in our country are poised to enact restrictive laws. Current and potential state legislative restrictions target individuals seeking abortion and healthcare clinicians who provide abortion services or counsel patients about such services. States have or are expected to place bans on abortion at arbitrary gestational ages and to mandate scripted counseling, ultrasounds, waiting periods, and parental involvement. In addition, states have or are expected to place restrictions on providing medication abortion and to implement obstructive abortion facility and staffing requirements. None of these restrictions is based on scientific evidence pertaining to the safety of abortion. Rather, such restrictions delay and limit access to services at a reasonable distance from home, increase the cost of care, interfere with the patient–clinician relationship, and compromise quality.

The environment in many states is hostile to clinicians who provide abortion services. The looming threat of criminalizing the provision of abortions, the stigma and threats of violence clinicians who provide abortions may face in their own communities, and the lack of available training in states that ban abortion contribute to a reduction in the number of abortion care facilities and skilled providers.

Abortion procedures are safe. From 2013 to 2018, the national fatality rate for legally induced abortion was 0.41 deaths per 100,000 abortions. The complication rate is estimated to be 2.1% with most (1.87%) of these considered minor such as pain, bleeding, mild infection, and post-anesthesia complications. The risk of death associated with childbirth is approximately 14 times higher than that with abortion. Evidence indicates that medication abortion provided in person or via telehealth is safe and effective and has a high degree of patient satisfaction.
A National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine (NASEM) committee reviewed the state of the science on all methods of abortion and published a document on the safety and quality of abortion care in the US in 2018. This document affirms that abortion is safe and that it is unnecessary and burdensome regulations that threaten the quality of abortion care. In countries where abortion is illegal or not readily accessible, the numbers of abortions do not decline. Instead, people resort to unsafe abortion attempts resulting in a range of complications and death.

When assessing the safety of any medical procedure, it is important to consider both short-term safety and potential long-term health consequences. Through a review of high-quality research, the NASEM committee determined that having an abortion does not increase the risk for secondary infertility, pregnancy-related hypertensive disorders, abnormal placentation, preterm birth, breast cancer, or mental health disorders (ie, depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder).

On the other hand, there is evidence that denying access to a desired abortion can result in long-term socioeconomic consequences. The Turnaway study followed 813 participants for 5 years after they were either prevented from obtaining a wanted abortion because of gestational age limits or able to obtain an abortion. The majority of the women in the study, whether or not they were able to obtain an abortion, were living in poverty at baseline. Women in this study who were turned away and went on to give birth were more likely to have an increase in household poverty that lasted at least 4 years, reductions in full-time employment, greater reliance on public assistance, and were more likely to raise children alone.

Despite considerable evidence supporting the safety of abortion in the US and the lack of long-term negative consequences for physical or mental health, misinformation about abortion continues to be presented in state-mandated informed consent scripts, in abstinence-only education programs, at crisis pregnancy centers, and from internet sources. Misinformation hinders informed decision making among those considering their pregnancy options.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR WOMEN’S HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS**

Patients look to healthcare providers as trusted sources of information and advocates for quality healthcare. As healthcare providers, we honor all people’s rights to self-determination, autonomy, privacy, and respect. As we face uncertainty about abortion access, it is essential for healthcare providers to be able to provide patients who desire abortion with accurate information about regulations at the federal level and within the state in which they reside, as well as what safe and legal options exist for them. Efficient and effective referral systems are important to have in place to connect patients with safe and timely abortion care.

The Box includes resources available to support evidence-based conversations with people about all pregnancy options and current federal and state regulations.

NPWH respects the right of healthcare providers to determine their personal viewpoints related to abortion. NPWH also respects the right of every pregnant person to have access to accurate and unbiased information on abortion as a pregnancy option. It is imperative that the pregnant person is able to obtain this information in a timely manner that does not impede informed decision making and access to abortion if desired.
BOX. Resources

Abortion Finder. State-by-State Guide  
www.abortionfinder.org/abortion-guides-by-state

ACOG Guide to Language and Abortion  
www.acog.org/contact/media-center/abortion-language-guide

Advancing New Standards in Reproductive Health  
www.ansirh.org

CDCs Abortion Surveillance System FAQs  
www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/data_stats/abortion.htm

Center for Reproductive Rights  
https://reproductiverights.org

Guttmacher Institute. State Policies on Abortion  
www.guttmacher.org/united-states/abortion/state-policies-abortion

National Abortion Federation Clinical Policy Guidelines for Abortion Care (2022)  

NPWH. Reproductive Rights Policy Summary  

Planned Parenthood. Abortion Clinics Near You  
www.plannedparenthood.org/abortion-access

NPWH LEADERSHIP

NPWH will provide leadership to ensure:

- Women’s health nurse practitioners (WHNPs) and other advanced practice clinicians have access to continuing education programs and other resources for evidence-based information on abortion and on pregnancy options counseling.
- WHNP educational programs have access to evidence-based information on abortion and on pregnancy options counseling that can be incorporated into curricula.
- Collaborative engagement with other health professional organizations to advocate for access to abortion, as well as the full scope of reproductive healthcare, and policies that support initiatives to address inequities in all aspects of reproductive health.
- Research moves forward to promote best practices for access to high-quality abortion care.
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