Model Local Coverage Determination Contractor Information

Contractor Name

Contractor Number

Contractor Type

LCD Information

LCD Database ID Number

LCD Title

Cardiac Computed Tomography (Cardiac CT) including Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography (Coronary CTA), Calcium Volume (Calcium Score), and Computed Tomography Fractional Flow Reserve (CT-FFR)

AMA CPT / ADA CDT Copyright Statement

CPT codes, descriptions and other data only are copyright 2015 American Medical Association or such other date of publication of CPT)/ All Rights Reserved. Applicable FARS/DFARS Clauses Apply.

CPT-5 codes and descriptions are © 2015 American Dental Association. All rights reserved.

CMS National Coverage Policy

- Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862 (a) (7) This section excludes routine physical examinations.
- Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862 (a) (1) (A) This section allows coverage and payment for only those services considered medically reasonable and necessary.
- Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1833 (e) This section prohibits Medicare payment for any claim which lacks the necessary information to process the claim.
- CMS Manual System, Pub 100-3, National Coverage Determination Manual, #9; Section 220.1. This section deals with diagnostic examination by CT scan.
- CMS Manual System, Pub 100-4, Medicare Claims Processing Manual, Chapter 13, Section 20. This section addresses payment conditions for radiology services.
- CMS Manual System, Pub 100-9, Contractor Beneficiary and Provider #9; Communication Manual, Chapter 5, Section 20). This section addresses standards of medical/surgical practice and the correct coding initiative (CCI).

Primary Geographic Jurisdiction

Oversight Region

Original Determination Effective Date

For service performed on or after 01/01/2010

Original Determination Ending Date

Revision Effective Date

For service performed on or after 08/01/2015

Revision Ending Date

Indications of Coverage and/or Medical Necessity

Multidetector row computed tomography (MDCT) is a well-validated imaging modality that allows for high resolution imaging of the heart, to include the coronary arteries, and major thoracic vascular structures with accuracy that correlates strongly with invasive coronary angiography.

Coronary computed tomographic angiography (coronary CTA) using MDCT requires thin detector collimation (detector width of 0.625 or less), thin slice reconstruction (image thickness ≤ 1.0 mm), multiple simultaneous images (e.g., 64 or more slices) and cardiac gating (often requiring beta blockers to achieve ideal heart rate control). It provides unparalleled spatial resolution compared to other non-invasive modalities. There is significant post processing. For coronary artery imaging, the resulting images show a high correlation with stenotic lesions noted on diagnostic cardiac catheterization. More importantly, coronary CTA has superior negative predictive value (>95%) allowing for safe deferral of additional testing, to include invasive angiography, when coronary artery disease is absent. Additionally, with near zero coronary event rates following a normal coronary CTA, further risk stratification can be safely deferred for up to 5 years.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) encourages the use of high-level evidence-based indications. Coronary CTA meets this high-level evidence bar. In multiple randomized trials, coronary CTA as an initial test in symptomatic low to intermediate risk patients without known CAD was equivalent to functional stress testing with respect to patient outcomes. Importantly, coronary CTA was associated with significantly lower rates of invasive coronary angiography with findings of no CAD, thus invasive coronary angiography (ICA) was safely reserved only for patients likely to receive direct benefit from revascularization. In patients with an indication for ICA, a recent randomized prospective trial demonstrated that the use of coronary CTA prior to ICA with deferral of ICA for patients with maximal stenosis <50% was safe, cost effective, and significantly reduced the rates of normal ICA. In very large registries, rates of invasive coronary angiography demonstrating no obstructive disease were 30-50% lower with coronary CTA. Cumulatively, this data supports the use of coronary CTA as a superior gatekeeper to invasive coronary angiography. With respect to patient outcomes, initial testing with coronary CTA led to a reduction in death and non-fatal myocardial infarction.

These findings are best explained by an increase in provider confidence in the patient's diagnosis and more aggressive management of cardiovascular risk factors.

Coronary CTA is well-validated as a means for rapid and safe evaluation of low to intermediate risk patients presenting to the emergency department (ED) with possible acute coronary syndrome (ACS). In six randomized trials, coronary CTA demonstrated superiority or non-inferiority in comparison to 1) stress myocardial perfusion imaging, 2) exercise treadmill testing, 3) standard of care evaluations or 4) rapid (delta) troponins. Coronary CTA in the ED resulted in a more rapid diagnosis, disposition, and reduced hospitalizations. Coronary CTA is the single best test in the evaluation of suspected coronary anomalies. Additionally, the accuracy of coronary CTA in evaluating coronary artery bypass graft patency in symptomatic patients in which evaluation of the native coronaries is not desired is equivalent to invasive angiography. Coronary CTA in this setting is also appropriate to define the relationship of grafts to the sternum and assess for adhesions that may complicate a redo sternotomy.

Coronary CTA is a well-validated, invaluable test in patients with equivocal functional stress testing in which post-testing likelihood of obstructive CAD remains in the low to intermediate range. Coronary CTA serves as an effective gatekeeper to invasive coronary angiography in this setting given its superior negative predictive value. Additionally, coronary CTA can replace invasive coronary angiography as the definitive test for patients with discordant functional testing and ongoing symptoms despite normal functional testing.

Cardiac CTA (which may or may not allow for accurate coronary artery evaluation) is the standard of care in pre-procedure planning and assessment of suitability of the patient prior to transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) and evaluating pulmonary vein and left atrial anatomy prior to endocardial arrhythmia ablation. Additionally, cardiac CTA can serve as a useful adjunct to other noninvasive testing for the evaluation of left or right ventricular function, assessment of suspected prosthetic valve dysfunction, or prosthetic valve endocarditis. Cardiac CTA also provides invaluable information for the evaluation of complex congenital heart disease, particularly in the evaluation of thoracic anatomical relationships prior to a planned re-intervention.

In addition to its superior diagnostic accuracy when compared to ICA and outcomes data, coronary CTA is associated with low effective radiation dose when compared to single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). Multiple prospective published data from the PROTECTION investigators and others demonstrated significant dose reductions with implementation of low kVp imaging in nonobese patients and routine use of prospective, ECG-triggered imaging resulted in a 31% and 69%, respectively, reduction in effective dose. The mean radiation exposure from coronary CTA with implementing this protocol was 3.5mSv±2.1mSv. When compared with SPECT in a randomized, prospective population of acute chest pain patients evaluated in the ED, coronary CTA was associated with a lower effective radiation dose (p=0.02).

Asymptomatic Patients

1. Quantitative evaluation of coronary calcium score can be performed in asymptomatic patients at intermediate global risk of CAD or coronary CTA can be performed in asymptomatic low global risk with a family history of premature CAD or severe risk factors.

Coronary artery calcium (CAC) score is the most accurate tool for cardiovascular risk assessment among asymptomatic persons, has demonstrated additional incremental and independent prognostic information to risk calculators (i.e.- Framingham or Pooled Risk Equations), and resulted in lower downstream health care costs to those with CAC scores of zero compared to those who did not receive a CAC scan. CAC a

accurately predicts cardiovascular event rates better than these current clinically-based risk scores and improves individual determinations for more or less intensive risk modification. CAC reclassifies patients into either higher or lower risk groups (net reclassification) in approximately half of the patients studied, which determine appropriate use of preventative therapies, including aspirin, statins and blood pressure lowering.

2. The evaluation of abnormal electrocardiograms (such as bundle branch blocks or q-waves) in which CAD is a possible etiology.

Application of coronary CTA effectively and accurately rules out significant coronary artery disease as a cause of these common abnormalities. For example, left bundle branch block (LBBB) is often evaluated by nuclear stress test that is frequently equivocal due to artifacts in the anteroseptal wall segment attributable to the LBBB. Patients that have unclear diagnosis of previous myocardial infarction clinically or by abnormal testing can utilize coronary CTA to exclude occlusive coronary disease as a cause.

Stable Chest Pain

3. Initial assessment of chest pain or other symptoms that may be due to CAD in patients without known obstructive coronary artery disease and low to intermediate pre-test probability based on validated risk assessment tools (Diamond-Forrester, CAD consortium, etc.).

The rationale for using coronary CTA based evaluation to assess chest pain is to determine if a coronary artery blockage might be the source of chest pain. When no coronary artery blockages are seen, the high negative predictive value of coronary CTA (99%) obviates the need for further cardiac testing at higher reliability than myocardial perfusion imaging, stress echocardiography or treadmill ECG-based evaluation.

4. The test of choice as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in patients with equivocal results, suspected inaccurate testing, or unclear clinical symptoms.

Coronary CTA is appropriate in patients who have an equivocal or suspected inaccurate stress (or stress imaging) test and or an unclear clinical presentation. The rationale is that coronary CTA has a superior negative predictability with strong correlation to invasive coronary angiography thereby limiting the number of normal invasive coronary angiograms performed. Coronary CTA also avoids missing serious coronary disease in those suspected of having an inaccurate stress test result or a negative test with discordant symptoms.

5. Evaluation of new, continued, or worsening symptoms concerning for CAD in the setting of a prior normal stress imaging or an intermediate risk exercise treadmill stress test.

Patients with normal stress imaging studies but new, worsening, or continued symptoms are often referred for a definitive coronary artery assessment by a costly invasive coronary angiogram. Coronary CTA's high negative predictive value to exclude CAD (99 percent) acts as is an effective gate-keeper to the catheterization laboratory. This reduces the rates of normal or non-obstructive CAD at invasive angiography by 30 to 50 percent, as well as avoids low, but not negligible, invasive angiography complications.

Acute Chest Pain

6. Evaluation of acute chest pain without definite acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in the Emergency Department (ED)

Coronary CTA rapidly triages patients and accurately eliminates coronary artery disease as the cause of acute symptoms. Coronary CTA has high sensitivity and negative predictive value (>90%) for ruling out coronary artery disease in this setting. In five randomized trials, coronary CTA led to significant reductions in cost, radiation exposure, Emergency Department stay and time to diagnosis over standard of care imaging (mostly nuclear perfusion imaging and exercise treadmill) in low-intermediate chest pain populations.

Heart Failure

7. New-onset systolic or diastolic cardiac dysfunction of unknown etiology to exclude significant coronary artery disease.

Coronary CTA allows for the exclusion of obstructive CAD in patients with new-onset heart failure or asymptomatic systolic dysfunction in whom obstructive CAD is suspected.

Cardiac Function/Structural Assessment

8. Assessment of right ventricular function and morphology in patients of suspected arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy (ARVD/C), Ebstein's anomaly, cardiac sarcoidosis, prior right ventricular infarct, Tetralogy of Fallot, or other similar conditions.

Coronary CTA in this setting can be used for this diagnosis in the absence of availability of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (cMRI) and as an alternative to other imaging modalities to assess right ventricular morphology, quantitative size, and function.

9. Evaluation of native or prosthetic cardiac valve, cardiac mass or pericardial abnormality.

Multiphase cardiac CT is used either in isolation or in conjunction with other imaging modalities to evaluate for suspected prosthetic heart valve dysfunction and is considered a first-line modality for this indication. CT also allows for visualization of cardiac or valvular masses as well as the pericardium. Cardiac CT can also accurately assess for myocardial abscess cavities, valvular vegetation size, mobility, and the presence of perivalvular complications/abscess in the setting of valvular infective endocarditis.

Preoperative/Preprocedural Planning

10. Low to intermediate pre-test probability of coronary artery disease prior to non-coronary cardiac surgery.

Selected low pre-test risk and all intermediate pre-test risk patients should undergo evaluation for obstructive CAD prior to a non-coronary cardiovascular intervention (e.g. heart valve surgery, ASD/VSD closure, thoracic aorta surgery, etc.) to ensure that concomitant bypass grafting should not be performed.

The safety and accuracy of using coronary CTA to assess the coronary arteries in this setting was validated in a recently published meta-analysis.

11. Assessment of cardiac anatomy for electrophysiological procedures including electrophysiological testing, ablation, intervention and device implantation.

Cardiac CT visualizes the cardiac structures, including coronary and pulmonary veins, to plan electrophysiological procedures and to facilitate intraprocedural electroanatomic mapping. Pulmonary vein catheter radiofrequency isolation sequesters electrical activity from the pulmonary veins to eliminate recurrent atrial fibrillation. Since pulmonary vein anatomy varies from patient to patient, three dimensional CT pulmonary venous anatomic maps may reduce procedural complications and allow more accurate, expeditious, and successful procedures. Cardiac CTA also identifies anatomic variants, previous injury from intervention, and the anatomic relationships between the left atrium and pulmonary veins with surrounding vital non-cardiac structures such as the esophagus and descending thoracic aorta which may affect the electrophysiology approach. Additionally, appropriately protocoled pre-procedural cardiac CTA can effective identify or exclude atrial thrombus, particularly in the left atrial appendage, when transesophageal echocardiography can be equivocal.

Cardiac CT can define cardiac venous anatomy that can assist in placement of a pacemaker lead in the lateral or appropriate cardiac vein in order to resynchronize cardiac contraction in patients with heart failure. Cardiac CTA for electrophysiological applications have been documented to reduce procedural time in the electrophysiology laboratory, reduce contrast and radiation requirements, and lead to lower utilization of catheters.

12. Pre-procedural planning of vascular access and implant sizing prior to transcatheter valve implantation.

Cardiac CTA, given its superior spatial resolution, provides highly accurate data that allows for sizing of transcatheter valves. Utilizing cardiac CTA for transcatheter valve sizing results in reduced post-procedure para-valvular aortic regurgitation (which is associated with increased post-implant mortality) while minimizing intra-procedural access and aortic complications. Additional thoracic and abdominal anatomic information also improves pre-procedural planning and possible catheter approaches to TAVR or other valve implantations.

13. Evaluation of anatomy, for device sizing, and preprocedural planning in patients undergoing cardiac device placement to include cardiac resynchronization device, left atrial appendage occluder/closure device, mitral valve clip, atrial/ventricular septal occlude, or other intracardiac implants.

The use of cardiac CT in this setting is pre-procedural to determine the anatomy, size and presence of calcification in the valvular annulus in anticipation of percutaneous intervention, and/or to define the anatomy of the left atrial appendage to size an occluder. CTA is used in conjunction with other imaging modalities to define the anatomy, motion and prolapse of the mitral valve in anticipation of determining the suitability for either surgical or percutaneous repair procedure.

Congenital Heart Disease

14. Suspected congenital or acquired anomalies of the coronary circulation or complex coronary anatomy.

Coronary CTA can accurately assess patients suspected of having a congenital, acquired or complex coronary anatomy. The 3-dimensional and high spatial resolution of coronary CTA defines exact coronary anatomy to estimate potential adverse outcomes based on the anatomic anomaly, and then to refine procedural planning and interventions. Coronary CTA may be used as the first test or following a non-diagnostic invasive coronary angiogram. Coronary CTA may also be of assistance in planning the approach, risks and chances of success in a completely occluded coronary artery.

15. Congenital heart disease and variants of normal including anomalies of great vessels, thoracic vessels and cardiac chambers and valves. Cardiac CTA is also appropriate for the evaluation of a previous complex congenital heart disease correction, palliation, or repair prior to planned re-intervention.

Coronary CTA offers very high spatial resolution, as well as unlimited viewing angles that are often needed for the evaluation of complex congenital heart diseases and to plan appropriate treatment.

16. Evaluation of a previous complex congenital heart disease correction, palliation, or repair prior to planned re-intervention.

Coronary CTA accurately assesses patients with acquired or complex coronary anatomy. The 3-dimensional and high spatial resolution of coronary CTA defines exact coronary anatomy to estimate potential adverse outcomes based on the anatomic anomaly, and then to refine procedural planning and interventions.

Symptomatic Patients with Known CAD/Prior Revascularization

17. Evaluate the patency of coronary bypass grafts in the setting of chest pain or other symptoms or abnormal noninvasive testing which may be related to graft stenosis.

Coronary bypass grafts are well delineated with coronary CTA, with documented diagnostic accuracy near 100% for stenosis and occlusion compared to invasive angiography. The rationale for coronary CTA is to determine the patency and severity of possible graft stenosis that may be the source of chest pain. Also, coronary CTA could to determine if a graft had been missed during invasive angiography. Additionally, the exact location of the coronary arteries and other non-coronary cardiac especially in repeat cardiac surgery structures (such as right ventricle approximation to the sternum) can impact pre-procedural planning. The use of coronary CTA in this population may mitigate the procedural risk to the patient of an invasive coronary angiogram and provide additional multidimensional information for safer surgical procedures.

18. Assess bypass graft location and exclude sternal adhesions in patients with prior CABG in planning for repeat sternotomy.

The exact location of the coronary arteries and other non-coronary cardiac especially in repeat cardiac surgery structures (such as right ventricle approximation to the sternum) can impact pre-procedural planning. The use of coronary CTA in this population may mitigate the procedural risk to the patient of an invasive coronary angiogram and provide additional multidimensional information for safer surgical procedures.

19. Coronary CTA is appropriate to evaluate the cause of chest pain or other possibly ischemic symptoms in patients with previous stents providing stent diameter and location is appropriate for adequate visualization and in the absence of excessive calcium.

Coronary CTA in this setting can evaluate the extent of coronary artery disease which led to a prior cardiac event or symptoms as well as for in-stent stenosis in stents >2.5 mm diameter. New or recurrent symptoms may or may not relate to a change in the coronary anatomy and can potentially be assessed with coronary CTA.

20. Noninvasive assessment of ischemia by cardiac CTA may be performed in patients with nondiagnostic or indeterminant stenosis on coronary CTA.

Clinical trial data currently supports functional assessment for ischemia by cardiac CTA utilizing various techniques, to include CT-FFR and CT perfusion, as a clinically helpful adjunct to coronary CTA in patients with a >30% stenosis. CT-FFR allows for assessment of stenosis-specific hemodynamic significance without the need for an additional noninvasive test utilizing computational fluid dynamics and simulated hyperemia on an existing coronary CTA dataset. When compared to invasive coronary angiography and invasive FFR, CT-FFR significantly increased the diagnostic accuracy when compared to coronary CTA alone in 3 separate randomized, prospective trials. CTP allows for assessment of ischemia utilizing differential distribution of iodinated contrast on a cardiac CTA dataset following administration of a chemical stress agent (regadenoson, adenosine, or dobutamine). CTP compares favorably to SPECT and PET in the diagnosis of obstructive CAD.

IN GENERAL

Coverage is considered appropriate for the indications deemed "appropriate," as defined in the document referenced below *

Medicare covers cardiac CT and coronary CTA for those indications deemed "appropriate" with a median rating of 7 or higher.

Medicare covers only upon medical review, cardiac CT and coronary CTA for those indications deemed "uncertain" or "may be appropriate" with a median rating of 4 to 6.

Medicare does not cover cardiac CT and coronary CTA for indications deemed "inappropriate" or "rarely appropriate."

*Appropriate Use Criteria for Cardiac Computed Tomography" published by The American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, The Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, the American College of Radiology, the American Heart Association, the American Society of Echocardiography, the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance.

Additional considerations

- 1. The test is never covered for routine screening, i.e., in the absence of signs, symptoms or disease.
- 2. The selection of the test should be made within the context of other cardiac testing, such as stress testing (including nuclear and echocardiography) and clinical information; so that the resulting information facilitates the management decision, and does not merely add a new layer of testing.
- 3. Coverage of this modality for coronary artery assessment is limited to scanners that process thin, high resolution slices, detectors of 0.625 or less. The multidetector row scanner must have at least 64 slices per rotation capability (collimations of at least 32x2 or 64x1) and with gantry rotation times of 420 milliseconds or less.
- 4. The administration of beta-blockers or calcium channel blockers and the monitoring of the patient during cardiac CT by a physician or a qualified non-physician practitioner experienced in the use of cardiovascular drugs and contrast reaction are included here in and are not separately payable services. Administration of beta-blocker, nitroglycerin and other medications by nurses and health care providers must be under the general supervision of a physician as required by local law.
- 5. All studies must be ordered by a physician or a qualified non-physician practitioner similar to any other clinical cardiac testing.
- 6. For contrast-enhanced examinations a physician or a qualified non-physician practitioner must be available during testing in accordance with local law.
- 7. The electron beam computed tomography (ECBT) technology, or MDCT with less than 64-slice detector technology is not covered by this LCD for coronary artery (ie coronary CTA) examination except for calcium volume.
- 8. The study must be performed under the principles of As Low As Reasonable Achieveable (**ALARA**). Centers must report and monitor patient-specific and population radiation exposure. Additionally, centers must be accredited by recognized national organizations (such as ACR and IACT) and be incompliance with local law for radiation reporting requirements and accreditation agencies.

It is important to note that the fact that a new service or procedure has been issued a CPT code or is FDA approved does not, in itself, make the procedure medically reasonable and necessary. (Carrier Name) evaluates new services, procedures, drugs or technology and considers national and local policies before these new services may be considered covered services.

9. Technical limitations are guided by guidelines for scanning from the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. Any substantial changes in published guidelines for scanning by this organization are to be considered as incorporated into this LCD by two years from the date of such publication.

Acceptable Levels of Competence for Performance and Interpretation

While it is not the Carrier's intention or jurisdiction to credential providers, Medicare does expect a satisfactory level of competence from providers who submit claims for services rendered. It is well known that substandard studies often lead to preventable repetition of studies and overutilization of services.

The acceptable levels of competence, as defined by the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) Clinical Competence Statement on Cardiac Imaging with Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance (2007) and the American College of Radiology (ACR) Clinical Statement on Noninvasive Cardiac Imaging (2005), are outlined as follows:

For the technical portion, a recommended level of competence is fulfilled when the image acquisition is obtained under all of the following conditions:

- a. The service is performed by a technologist who is credentialed by a nationally recognized credentialing body (American Registry of Radiologic Technologists or equivalent) and meets state licensure requirements where applicable. Technologists performing coronary CT should have received specialized training regarding coronary CT and the particular scanner.
- b. When intravenous beta blockers, calcium channel blockers or nitrates are to be given prior to a coronary CTA, the test must be under the direct supervision of a certified registered nurse or health care provider and the indirect supervision of a physician, as required by local law, who is available to respond to medical emergencies. It is strongly recommended that the certified registered nurse, health care provider and physician be ACLS certified.
- c. When contrast studies are performed, the supervising/responsible physician must be available for questions or concerns and the radiologic technologist or registered nurse administering the contrast must have appropriate training on the use and administration of contrast media as required by local law.
- d. The study must be performed under the principles of **ALARA**. Radiation exposure must be monitored and reported as required by state law and accreditation agencies.

<u>For the professional portion</u>, a recommended level of competence is fulfilled when the interpretation is performed by a physician meeting the following requirements:

a. The physician should be in compliance with current standards of reporting, acquisition, nomenclature and training as provided by guidelines set forth by the ACC, ACR, SCCT and associated relevant professional societies. For example:

COCATS 4 Task Force 7: Training in Cardiovascular Computed Tomographic Imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65(17):1810-21

Training, Competency, and Certification in Cardiac CT: A summary statement from the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. 2011;5:279–285

SCCT guidelines for the interpretation and reporting of coronary CT angiography: A report of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography Guidelines Committee. Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography 2014;8:342-358

ACR Practice Guideline for the Performance and Interpretation of Cardiac Computed Tomography (CT). J Am Coll Radiol 2006;3(9):677-85

ACCF/AHA Clinical Competence Statement on Cardiac Imaging With Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46(2):383-402

SNMMI/ASNC/SCCT Guideline for Cardiac SPECT/CT and PET/CT 1.0; Journal of Nuclear Medicine August 1, 2013 vol. 54 no. 8 1485-1507.

b. The physician has appropriate medical staff privileges to interpret Coronary CT Angiograms at a hospital that participates in the Medicare program, and is actively training in cardiac CT (as in paragraph a). A grace period of 24 months should be allowed to acquire the necessary training.

Documentation Requirements

- 1. Each claim must be submitted with ICD-10-CM codes that reflect the condition of the patient, and indicate the reason(s) for which the service was performed. Claims submitted without ICD-10-CM codes will be returned.
- 2. The documentation of the study requires a formal written report, with clear identifying demographics, the name of the interpreting provider, the reason for the tests, an interpretive report and copies of images. Reporting should be standardized in accordance with published societal guideline statements (ie SCCT or CAD-RADs). The computerized data with image reconstruction should also be maintained.
- 3. Documentation must be available to Medicare upon request.

Compliance with the provisions in this policy is subject to monitoring by post payment data analysis and subsequent medical review.

Bill Type Codes:

Contractors may specify Bill Types to help providers identify those Bill Types typically used to report this service. Absence of a Bill Type does not guarantee that the policy does not apply to that Bill Type. Complete absence of all Bill Types indicates that coverage is not influenced by Bill Type and the policy should be assumed to apply equally to all claims.

999x

Not Applicable

Revenue Codes:

Contractors may specify Revenue Codes to help providers identify those Revenue Codes typically used to report this service. In most instances Revenue Codes are purely advisory; unless specified in the policy services reported under other Revenue Codes are equally subject to this coverage determination. Complete absence of all Revenue Codes indicates that coverage is not influenced by Revenue Code and the policy should be assumed to apply equally to all Revenue Codes.

99999

Not Applicable

CPT/HCPCS Codes

Category I CPT Codes for Cardiac CT and Coronary CTA took effect on January 01, 2010. Select the name of the procedure or service that accurately identifies the service performed. Do not select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided.

These codes replace all CPT codes previously used for these procedures. The use of Category I CPT Codes is mandatory to report cardiac CT and coronary CTA.

CPT 75571 Computed tomography, heart without contrast material, with quantitative evaluation of coronary calcium

- **CPT 75572** Computed tomography, heart, with contrast material, for evaluation of cardiac structure and morphology (including 3D image post processing, assessment of cardiac function, and evaluation of venous structures, if performed)
- **CPT 75573** Computed tomography, heart, with contrast material, for evaluation of cardiac structure and morphology in the setting of congenital heart disease (including 3D image post processing, assessment of LV cardiac function, RV structure and function and evaluation of venous structures, if performed)
- **CPT 75574** Computed tomographic angiography, heart, coronary arteries and bypass grafts (when present), with contrast material, including 3D image post processing (including evaluation of cardiac structure and morphology, assessment of cardiac function, and evaluation of venous structures, if performed)
- **CPT 0501T** Noninvasive estimated coronary fractional flow reserve (FFR) derived from coronary computed tomography angiography data using computation fluid dynamics physiologic simulation software analysis of functional data to assess the severity of coronary artery disease; data preparation and transmission, analysis of fluid dynamics and simulated maximal coronary hyperemia, generation of estimated FFR model, with anatomical data review in comparison with estimated FFR model to reconcile discordant data, interpretation and report.

CPT 0502T Data preparation and transmission

CPT 0503T Analysis of fluid dynamics and simulated maximal coronary hyperemia, and generation of estimated FFR model

CPT 0504T Anatomic data review in comparison with estimated FFR model to reconcile discordant data, interpretation and report

Only one code should be used, the code that best describes the reason for the test. 75571 is the code for a calcium score. 75574 is the code for a contrasted coronary CTA done to evaluate for coronary disease. Sometimes a patient may have a calcium scan done at the time of a coronary CTA. In this circumstance, only one code - 75574 - would be utilized. If a scan is done primarily for the evaluation of cardiac venous anatomy prior to an electrophysiological procedure such as pacer placement or pulmonary venous abalation the code is 75572. If on the same scan the patient was evaluated also for congenital heart disease (75573) and coronary disease (75574), these codes would not be used, but only the primary code for evaluation of cardiac venous anatomy. With respect to CT-FFR, 0501T should only be used when a single site performs the coronary CTA, processes, and reviews estimated FFR data to reconcile discordant data onsite. If CT-FFR data processing is to be performed off-site by an external vendor, then the technical component for data set preparation and transmission (0502T) and for analysis and estimation of CT-FFR (0503T) will be split and the professional component for final physician reconciliation of discordant data incorporating estimated FFR (0504T) should be used.

ICD-10 Codes that Support Medical Necessity

ICD-10-CM code listings may cover a range and include truncated codes. It is the provider's responsibility to avoid truncated codes by selecting a code(s) carried out to the highest level of specificity and selected from the ICD-10-CM book appropriate to the year in which the service was performed. It is not enough to link the procedure code to a correct, payable ICD-10-CM code. The diagnosis or clinical signs/symptoms must be present for the procedure to be paid.

106.9, 106, 135.8, 135.9, 108,	Aortic valve disorders, congenital, nonrheumatic, and rheumatic
Q23.0, Q23.1, I35.9, I51.9	
I05.9, I34, Q89.9, I05.8, I05.0,	Mitral valve disorders, congenital, nonrheumatic, and rheumatic
I08, Q23.2, Q23.3	
I200	Intermediate coronary syndrome
I252	Old myocardial infarction
I208	Angina pectoris
I201	Angina decubitus
I208, I209	Other unspecified angina pectoris
I2510	Other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease
I2510	Coronary atherosclerosis

I2510	Coronary atherosclerosis, unspecified type of vessel, native or graft			
I2510	Coronary atherosclerosis of native coronary artery			
I25810	Coronary atherosclerosis of autologous vein bypass graft			
I25810	Coronary atherosclerosis of nonautologous biological bypass graft			
I25810	Coronary atherosclerosis of artery bypass graft			
I25810	Coronary atherosclerosis of unspecified type of bypass graft			
I25811	Coronary atherosclerosis of native coronary artery transplanted heart			
I25812	Coronary atherosclerosis of bypass graft (artery) (vein) transplanted heart			
I2541	Aneurysm of coronary vessels			
I2542	Dissection of coronary artery			
I255, I2589, I259	Other specified forms of chronic ischemic heart disease			
I259	Chronic ischemic heart disease, unspecified			
I423	Cardiomyopathy			
I42.8	Arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia			
I447	Other left bundle branch block			
I4891, I4892, I4901, I4902	Atrial and Ventricular fibrillation			
I509	Heart Failure			
I509	Congestive heart failure			
I501	Left heart failure			
I5020	Systolic heart failure			
I5030	Diastolic heart failure			
I5040	Combined systolic and diastolic heart failure			
I509	Heart failure, unspecified			
I515	Myocardial degeneration			
I517	Cardiomegaly			
I970, I97110, I97130, I97190	Functional disturbance following cardiac surgery			
I5181	Takotsubo syndrome			
746.9 Q203, Q201, Q205, Q208, Q209, Q210, Q211, Q212, Q213, Q218, Q219, Q220, Q221, Q222, Q223, Q225, Q229, Q231, Q232, Q233,	Congenital anomalies of the heart			

Q234, Q238, Q240, Q241,			
Q242, Q243, Q244, Q245,			
Q246, Q248, Q249			
Q250	Other congenital anomalies of circulatory system, patent ductus arteriosus		
Q262	Total anomalous pulmonary venous connection		
Q263	Partial anomalous pulmonary venous connection		
Q260, Q261, Q268	Other anomalies of great veins		
Q289	Unspecified anomaly of circulatory system		
R42	Dizziness and giddiness		
R55	Syncope and collapse		
R0602	Shortness of breath		
R079	Chest pain, unspecified		
R072	Chest pain, precordial pain		
R0782, R0789	Other chest pain		
R9430	Cardiovascular, abnormal function study, unspecified		
R9431	Cardiovascular, abnormal electrocardiogram		
Q238, Q248	Pericardial Disease		
I5189	Cardiac Mass		

Diagnoses that Support Medical Necessity

All ICD-10-CM codes listed in this policy under ICD-10-CM Codes that Support Medical Necessity above.

ICD-10 Codes that DO NOT Support Medical Necessity

All ICD-10-CM codes not listed in this policy under ICD-10-CM Codes that Support Medical Necessity above.

ICD-10 Codes that DO NOT Support Medical Necessity Asterisk Explanation

Diagnoses that DO NOT Support Medical Necessity

All ICD-10-CM codes not listed in this policy under ICD-10-CM Codes that Support Medical Necessity above.

General Information

Documentation Requirements

The medical record must be made available to Medicare upon request.

It is not enough to link the procedure code to a correct, payable ICD-10-CM code. The diagnosis or clinical signs/symptoms must be

The HCPCS/CPT code(s) may be subject to Correct Coding Initiative (CCI) edits. This policy does not take precedence over CCI edits specific applicable code combinations prior to billing Medicare.

The documentation of the study requires a formal written report, with clear identifying demographics, the name of the interpreting p course of treatment will be altered based on the findings, an interpretive report and copies of images. The computerized data with in document the extent and necessity of the services.

When the documentation does not meet the criteria for the service rendered or the documentation does not establish the medical necessary under Section 1862(a)(1) of the Social Security Act.

When requesting a written redetermination (formerly appeal), providers must include all relevant documentation with the request.

Appendices

Not applicable

Utilization Guidelines

The frequency of the studies exam must be reasonable and justified by the course of the patient's illness.

Sources of Information and Basis for Decision

This document was prepared by the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography.

Bibliography

Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring – 75571

- 1. Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, Liu ST, et al. Long-term prognosis associated with coronary calcification. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:1860-70.
- 2. Detrano R, Guerci AD, Carr JJ, et al. Coronary calcium as a predictor of coronary events in four racial or ethnic groups. N Engl J Med 2008; 358:1336-45.
- 3. Erbel R, Möhlenkamp S, Moebus S, Schmermund A, Lehmann N, Stang A, Dragano N, Grönemeyer D, Seibel R, Kälsch H, Bröcker-Preuss M, Mann K, Siegrist J, JöckelKH; Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study Investigative Group. Coronary risk stratification, discrimination, and reclassification improvement based on quantification of subclinical coronary atherosclerosis: the Heinz Nixdorf Recall study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:1397-406.
- 4. Greenland P, Bonow RO, Brundage BH, Budoff MJ, Eisenberg MJ, Grundy SM, Lauer MS, Post WS, Raggi P, Redberg RF, Rodgers GP, Shaw LJ, Taylor AJ, Weintraub WS; American College of Cardiology Foundation Clinical Expert Consensus Task Force (ACCF/AHA Writing Committee to Update the 2000 Expert Consensus Document on Electron Beam Computed Tomography); Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention; Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Clinical Expert Consensus Task Force (ACCF/AHA Writing Committee to Update the 2000 Expert Consensus Document on Electron Beam Computed Tomography) developed in collaboration with the Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:378-402.
- 5. Elias-Smale SE, Proença RV, Koller MT, Kavousi M, van Rooij FJ, Hunink MG, Steyerberg EW, Hofman A, Oudkerk M, Witteman JC. Coronary calcium score improves classification of coronary heart disease risk in the elderly: the Rotterdam study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:1407-14.
- 6. Greenland P, Alpert JS, Beller GA, Benjamin EJ, Budoff MJ, Fayad ZA, Foster E, Hlatky MA, Hodgson JM, Kushner FG, Lauer MS, Shaw LJ, Smith SC Jr, Taylor AJ, Weintraub WS, Wenger NK, Jacobs AK, Smith SC Jr, Anderson JL, Albert N, Buller CE, Creager MA, Ettinger SM, Guyton RA, Halperin JL, Hochman JS, Kushner FG, Nishimura R, Ohman EM, Page RL, Stevenson WG, Tarkington LG, Yancy CW; American College of Cardiology Foundation; American Heart Association. 2010 ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic

- adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:e50-103.
- 7. Rozanski A, Gransar H, Shaw LJ, Kim J, Miranda-Peats L, Wong ND, Rana JS, Orakzai R, Hayes SW, Friedman JD, Thomson LEJ, Polk D, Min J, Budoff MJ, Berman DS. Impact of Coronary Artery Calcium Scanning on Coronary Risk Factors and Downstream Testing: The EISNER (Early Identification of Subclinical Atherosclerosis by Noninvasive Imaging Research) Prospective Randomized Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57 1622-1632
- 8. Kim J, McEvoy JW, Nasir K, Budoff MJ, Arad Y, Blumenthal RS, Blaha MJ. Critical review of High-Sensitivity C-Reactive protein and Coronary Artery Calcium for the Guidance of Statin Allocation: Head-to-Head Comparison of the JUPITER and St. Francis Heart Trials. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2014;7(2):315-22
- 9. Polonsky TS, McCelland RL, Jorgensen NW, Bild DE, Burke GL, Guerci AD, Greenland P. Coronary Artery Calcium Score and Risk Classification for Coronary Heart Disease Prediction. JAMA. 2010;303(16):1610-1616.
- 10. Yeboah J, McClelland RL, Polonsky TS, Burke GL, Sibley CT, O'Leary D, Carr JJ, Goff Jr DC, Greenland P, Herrington DM. Comparison of Novel Risk Markers for Improvement in Cardiovascular Risk Assessment in Intermediate Risk Individuals. The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *JAMA*. 2012; 308: 788-795.
- 11. Andre R.M. Paixao, MD, Colby R. Ayers, MS, Abdallah El Sabbagh, MD, Monika Sanghavi, MD, Jarett D. Berry, MD, MS, Anand Rohatgi, MD, MSCS, Dharam J. Kumbhani, MD, SM, Darren K. McGuire, MD, Sandeep R. Das, MD, MPH, James A. de Lemos, MD, Amit Khera, MD, MSc. Coronary Artery Calcium Improves Risk Classification in Younger Populations. JACC Cardiovascular Imaging. Vol. 8, No. 11 2015.
- 12. Eric T. Roberts, Aaron Horne, Seth S. Martin, Michael J. Blaha, Ron Blankstein, Matthew J. Budoff, Christopher Sibley, Joseph F. Polak, Kevin D. Frick, Roger S. Blumenthal, Khurram Nasir. Cost-Effectiveness of Coronary Artery Calcium Testing for Coronary Heart and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction to Guide Statin Allocation: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0116377 March 18, 2015.
- 13. Robyn L. McClelland, PHD, Neal W. Jorgensen, MS, Matthew Budoff, MD, Michael J. Blaha, MD, MPH, Wendy S. Post, MD, MS, Richard A. Kronmal, PHD, Diane E. Bild, MD, MPH, Steven Shea, MD, MS, Kiang Liu, PHD, Karol E. Watson, MD, PHD, Aaron R. Folsom, MD, Amit Khera, MD, Colby Ayers, MS, Amir-Abbas Mahabadi, MD, Nils Lehmann, PHD, Karl-Heinz Jöckel, PHD, Susanne Moebus, PHD, J. Jeffrey Carr, MD, MS, Raimund Erbel, MD, PHD, Gregory L. Burke, MD, MS. 10-Year Coronary Heart Disease Risk Prediction Using Coronary Artery Calcium and Traditional Risk Factors Derivation in the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) With Validation in the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) Study and the DHS (Dallas Heart Study). Journal of the American College of Cardiology. Vol. 66, No. 115, 2015.

Cardiac Structure and Morphology – 75572

Stable Chest Pain

- 1. Gilard M, Le Gal G, Cornily JC, Vinsonneau U, Joret C, Pennec PY, Mansourati J, Boschat J. Midterm prognosis of patients with suspected coronary artery disease and normal multislice computed tomographic findings: a prospective management outcome study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:1686-9.
- Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, Gitter M, Sutherland J, Halamert E, Scherer M, Bellinger R, Martin A, Benton R, Delago A, Min JK. Diagnostic Performance of 64-Detector Row Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Prospective Multicenter ACCURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Individuals Without Known Coronary Artery Disease: Results From the Tomographic Angiography for Evaluation of Coronary Artery Stenosis in Angiography) Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52(21):1724-32.
- 3. Nielsen LH, Ortner N, Norgaard BL, Achenbach S, Leipsic J, Abdulla J. The diagnostic accuracy and outcomes after coronary computed tomography angiography vs. conventional functional testing in patients with stable angina pectoris: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European Heart Journal Cardiovascular Imaging 2014; 15(9): 961-971.
- 4. Douglas PS, Hoffmann U, Patel MR, Mark DB, Al-Khalidi HR, Cavanaugh B, Cole J, Dolor RJ, Fordyce CB, Huang M, Khan MA, Kosinski AS, Krucoff MW, Malhotra V, Picard MH, Udelson JE, Velazquez EJ, Yow E, Cooper LS,

- Lee KL, Investigators P, (2015) Outcomes of anatomical versus functional testing for coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 372: 1291-1300
- 5. Scotheart investigators. CT coronary angiography in patients with suspected angina due to coronary heart disease (SCOT-HEART): an open-label, parallel-group, multicentre trial. Lancet 2015: dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60291-4
- 6. Genders TSS, Petersen SE, Pugliese F, Dastidar AG, Fleischmann KE, Nieman K, Hunink M. The Optimal Imaging Strategy for Patients With Stable Chest Pain A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162:474-484
- 7. Patel MR, Dai D, Hernandez AF, Douglas PS, Messenger J, Garratt KN, Maddox TM, Peterson ED, Roe MT. Prevalence and predictors of nonobstructive coronary artery disease identified with coronary angiography in contemporary clinical practice. Am Heart J 2014;167:846-852.
- 8. Nielsen LH, Olsen J, Markenvard J, Jensen JM, Nørgaard BL. Effects on costs of frontline diagnostic evaluation in patients suspected of angina: coronary computed tomography angiography vs. conventional ischaemia testing. European Heart Journal Cardiovascular Imaging (2013) 14, 449–455.
- 9. Shaw LJ, Hausleiter J, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, Berman DS, Budoff MJ, Cademartiri F, Callister TQ, Chang HJ, Kim YJ, Cheng VY, Chow BJ, Cury RC, Delago AJ, Dunning AL, Feuchtner GM, Hadamitzky M, Karlsberg RP, Kaufmann PA, Leipsic J, Lin FY, Chinnaiyan KM, Maffei E, Raff GL, Villines TC, Labounty T, Gomez MJ, Min JK. Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography as a Gatekeeper to Invasive Diagnostic and Surgical Procedures Results From the Multicenter CONFIRM (Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter) Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012: 60(20):2103-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.05.062.
- Min JK, Shaw LJ, Devereux RB, Okin PM, Weinsaft JW, Russo DJ, Lippolis NJ, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Prognostic value of multidetector coronary computed tomographic angiography for prediction of all-cause mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1161-70.
- 11. Abdulla J, Abildstrom SZ, Gotzsche O, et al. 64-multislice detector computed tomography coronary angiography as potential alternative to conventional coronary angiography: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J 2007; 28:3042-3050.
- 12. Von Ballmoos MW, Haring B, Juillerat P, Alkadhi H. Meta-analysis: diagnostic performance of low-radiation-dose coronary computed tomography angiography. Ann Intern Med. 2011 Mar 15;154(6):413-20.
- 13. Budoff MJ, Liu S, Chow D, Flores F, Hsieh B, Gebow D, DeFrance T, Ahmadi N. Coronary CT angiography versus standard of care strategies to evaluate patients with potential coronary artery disease; effect on long term clinical outcomes. Atherosclerosis. 2014 Dec;237(2):494-8. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.09.038.
- 14. Hamirani YS, Isma'eel H, Larijani V, Drury P, Lim W, Bevinal M, Saeed A, Ahmadi N, Karlsberg RP, Budoff MJ. The diagnostic accuracy of 64-detector cardiac computed tomography compared with stress nuclear imaging in patients undergoing invasive cardiac catheterization. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2010 Sep-Oct; 34(5): 645-51
- 15. Zeb I, Abbas N, Nasir K, Budoff MJ. Coronary computed tomography as a cost-effective test strategy for coronary artery disease assessment A systematic review. Atherosclerosis. 2014;234(2):426-435.
- 16. Madaj, P. M., et al. Identification of noncalcified plaque in young persons with diabetes: an opportunity for early primary prevention of coronary artery disease identified with low-dose coronary computed tomographic angiography. Acad Radiol 2012;19(7): 889-893.
- 17. Rana, J. S., et al. Differences in prevalence, extent, severity, and prognosis of coronary artery disease among patients with and without diabetes undergoing coronary computed tomography angiography: results from 10,110 individuals from the CONFIRM (COronary CT Angiography Evaluation For Clinical Outcomes): an InteRnational Multicenter Registry. Diabetes Care 2012;35(8): 1787-1794.
- 18. McKavanaghP, Lusk L, Ball PA, Verghis RM, Agus AM, Trinick TR, Duly E, Walls GM, Stevenson M, James B, Hamilton A, Harbinson MT, Donnelly PM. A comparison of cardiac computerized tomography and exercise stress electrocardiogram test for the investigation of stable chest pain: the clinical results of the CAPP randomized prospective trial. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015;16(4):441-8.
- 19. Bittencourt MS, Hulten E, Ghoshhajra B, O'Leary D, Christman MP, et al. Prognostic value of nonobstructive and obstructive coronary artery disease detected by coronary computed tomography angiography to identify cardiovascular events. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;7(2):282-91

- 20. Bittencourt MS, Hulten EA, Murthy VL, Cheezum M, Rochitte CE, Di Carli MF, and Blankstein R. Clinical Outcomes After Evaluation of Stable Chest Pain by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography Versus Usual Care: A Meta-Analysis. 2016;9(4):e004419
- 21. Williams MC, Hunter A, Shah AS, et al. Use of Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography to Guide Management of Patients with Coronary Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67(15):1759-68

Acute Chest Pain/Emergency Department CT

- 22. Goldstein J, Gallagher M, O'Neill W, Ross M, O'Neil B, Raff G. A randomized controlled trial of multi-slice coronary computed tomography for evaluation of acute chest pain. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49:863-71.
- 23. Goldstein JA, Chinnaiyan KM, Abidov A, *et al.* The CT-STAT (Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography for Systematic Triage of Acute Chest Pain Patients to Treatment) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58(14):1414-22.
- 24. Cury RC, Budoff M, Taylor AJ. Coronary CTA versus standard of care for assessment of chest pain in the emergency department. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2013 Mar Apr; 7(2): 79-82.
- 25. Litt HI, Gatsonis C, Snyder B, et al. CT angiography for safe discharge of patients with possible acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1393-1403
- 26. Hoffman U, Truong QA, Schoenfeld DA, et al. Coronary CT angiography versus standard evaluation in acute chest pain. N Engl J Med 2012;367(4):299-308
- 27. Hamilton-Craig C, Fifoot A, Hansen M, Pincus M, Chan J, Walters DL and Branch KR. Diagnostic performance and cost of CT angiography versus stress ECG--a randomized prospective study of suspected acute coronary syndrome chest pain in the emergency department (CT-COMPARE). *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;177:867-73.
- 28. Jones RL, Thomas DM, Barnwell ML, et al. Safe and rapid disposition of low-to-intermediate risk patients presenting to the emergency department with chest pain: a 1-year high-volume single-center experience. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2014;8(5):375-83
- 29. Hulten E, Goehler A, Bittencourt MS, Bamberg F, Schlett CL, et al Cost and resource utilization associated with use of computed tomography to evaluate chest pain in the emergency department: the Rule Out Myocardial Infarction using Computed Assisted Tomography (ROMICAT) study. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2013;6(5):514-24
- 30. Hulten E, Pickett C, Bittencourt MS, Villines TC, Petrillo S, Di Carli MF, and Blankstein R. Outcomes after coronary computed tomography angiography in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61(8):880-892
- 31. Puchner SB, Liu T, Mayrhofer T, Truong QA, Lee H, Fleg JL, Nagurney JT, Udelson JE, Hoffman U, Ferencik M. High-risk plaque detected on coronary CT angiography predicts acute coronary syndromes independent of significant stenosis in acute chest pain: results from the ROMICAT II trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Aug 19; 64(7): 694-92.
- 32. Burris AC, Boura JA, Raff GL, et al. Triple Rule Out Versus Coronary CT Angiography in Patients with Acute Chest Pain: Results From the ACIC Consortium. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8(7):817-25

Non-Coronary Cardiac Surgery

33. Opolski MP, Staruch AD, Jakubczyk M et al. CT Angiography for the Detection of Caronary Artery STenosises in Patiens Referred for Cardiac Valve Surgery: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;9(9):1059-70

EP applications

- 34. Kistler PM, Earley and Harris S et al., Validation of three-dimensional cardiac image integration: use of integrated CT image into electroanatomic mapping system to perform catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation, J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2006; 17: 341–348.
- 35. Martinek M, Nesser HJ, Aichinger J, Boehm G, Purefellner H. Accuracy of integration of multislice computed tomography imaging into three-dimensional electroanatomic mapping for real-time guided radiofrequency ablation of left atrial fibrillation—Influence of heart rhythm and radiofrequency lesions. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2006; 17:85-92.

- 36. Tops LF, Bax JJ and Zeppenfeld K et al., Fusion of multislice computed tomography imaging with three-dimensional electroanatomic mapping to guide radiofrequency catheter ablation procedures, Heart Rhythm 2005; 2: 1076–1081.
- 37. Sra J, Krum D, Malloy A, Registration of three-dimensional left atrial computed tomographic images with projection images obtained using fluoroscopy, Circulation 2005; 112:3763–3768
- 38. Lessick J, Dragu R, Mutlak D, Rispler S, Beyar R, Litmanovich D, Engel A, Agmon Y, Kapeliovich M, Hammerman H, Ghersin E. Is functional improvement after myocardial infarction predicted with myocardial enhancement patterns at multidetector CT Radiology. 2007 Sep;244(3):736-44.
- 39. Dong J, Calkins H and Solomon SB et al., Integrated electroanatomic mapping with three-dimensional computed tomographic images for real-time guided ablations, Circulation 2006; 113: 186–194.
- 40. Malchano ZJ, Neuzil P and Cury R et al., Integration of cardiac CT/MR imaging with three-dimensional electroanatomical mapping to guide catheter manipulation in the left atrium: implications for catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation, J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2006; 17: 1221–1229.
- 41. Girsky MJ, Shinbane JS, Ahmadi N, Mao S, Flores F, Budoff MJ. Prospective Randomized Trial of Venous Cardiac Computed Tomographic Angiography for Facilitation of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2010;33(10):1182-7.
- 42. Budoff MJ, Shittu A, Hacioglu Y, Gang E, Li D, Bhatia H, Alvergue J, Karlsberg RP. Comparison of transesophageal echocardiography versus computed tomography for detection of left atrial appendage filling defect (thrombus). Am J Cardiol. 2014;113(1):173-7
- 43. Hur J, Kim YJ, Lee HJ, et al. Left atrial appendage thrombi in stroke patients: detection with two-phase cardiac CT angiography versus transesophageal echocardiography. Radiology 2009;251(3):683-90
- 44. Hur J, Pak HN, Kim YJ, et al. Dual-enhancement cardiac computed tomography for assessing left atrial thrombus and pulmonary veins before radiofrequency catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2013;112(2):238-244.
- 45. Dewey M, Muller M, Eddicks S, Schnapauff D, Teige F, Rutsch W, Borges AC, Hamm B. Evaluation of global and regional left ventricular function with 16-slice computed tomography, biplane cine ventriculography, and two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;48:2034-44.
- 46. Ismail TF, Panikker S, Markides V, et al. CT imaging for left atrial appendage closure: a review and pictorial essay. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2015;9(2):89-102.
- 47. Saw J, Fahmy P, DeJong P, et al. Cardiac CT angiography for device surveillance after endovascular left atrial appendage closure. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;16(11):1198-206

Ventricular Function/Cardiac Chamber Quantification

- 48. Lin FY, Devereux RB, Roman MJ et al., Cardiac chamber volumes, function and mass by 64-detector row computed tomography: age- and gender-specific values among healthy adults free of hypertension and obesity. J Am Cardiol: CV Imaging (in press).
- 49. Mahnken AH, Koos R, Katoh M, Wildberger JE, Spuentrup E, Buecker A, Günther RW, Kühl HP. Assessment of myocardial viability in reperfused acute myocardial infarction using 16-slice computed tomography in comparison to magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005 Jun 21;45(12):2042-7.
- 50. Raman SV, Shah M, McCarthy B, Garcia A, Ferketich AK. Multi-detector row cardiac computed tomography accurately quantifies right and left ventricular size and function compared with cardiac magnetic resonance. Am Heart J. 2006;151:736-44.
- 51. Guo YK, Gao HL, Zhang XC. Accuracy and reproducibility of assessing right ventricular function with 64-section multidetector row CT: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. Int J Cardiol 2010;139(3):254-62.
- 52. Rizvi A, Deano RC, Bachman DP. Analysis of ventricular function by CT. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2015;9(1):1-12
- 53. Takx RA, Moscariello A, Schoepf UJ, et al. Quantification of left and right ventricular function and myocardial mass: comparison of low-radiation dose 2nd generation dual-source CT and cardiac MRI. Eur J Radiol 2012;81(4):e598-604
- 54. Maffie E, Messalli G, Martini C, Nieman K, et al. Left and right ventricular assessment with Cardiac CT: validation study vs. Cardiac MRI. Eur Radiol 2012;22(5):1041-9

Prosthetic Heart Valves

- 55. Gunduz S, Ozkan M, Kalcik M, et al. Sixty-Four-Section Cardiac Computed Tomography in Mechanical Prosthetic Heart Valve Dysfunction: Thrombus or Pannus. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8(12):e003246
- 56. Ueda T, Teshima H, Fukunaga S, Aoyagi S, Tanaka H. Evaluation of prosthetic valve obstruction on electrocardiographically gated multidetector-row compute tomography—identification of subprosthetic pannus in the aortic position. Circ J 2013;77(2):418-23
- 57. Symersky P, Budde RP, de Mol BA, Prokop M. Comparison of multidetector-row computed tomography to echocardiography and fluoroscopy for evaluation of patients with mechanical prosthetic valve obstruction. Am J Cardiol 2009;104(8):1128-34
- 58. Sucha D, Symersky P, Vonken EJ, et al. Multidetector-row computed tomography allows accurate measurement of mechanical prosthetic heart valve leaflet closing angles compared with fluoroscopy. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2014;38(3):451-6
- 59. Tanis W, Habets J, van den Brink RB, et al. Differentiation of thrombus from pannus as the cause of acquired mechanical prosthetic heart valve obstruction by non-invasive imaging: a review of the literature. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15(2):119-29

Transcatheter Valve Replacement

- 60. Binder RK, Webb JG, Willson AB, Urena M, Hansson NC, et al. The Impact of Integration of a Multidetector Computed Tomography Annulus Area Sizing Algorithm on Outcomes of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: A Prospective, Multicenter, Controlled Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:431-8
- 61. Blanke P, Reinohl J, Schlensak C, Siepe M, Pache G, et al. Prosthesis Oversizing in Balloon-Expandable Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation Is Associated With Contained Rupture of the Aortic Root. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:540-548
- 62. Holmes DR, Mack MJ, Kaul S, Agnihotri A, Alexander KP, et al. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS Expert Consensus Document on Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Ann Thorac Surg 2012;93:1304
- 63. Achenbach S, Delgado V, Hausleiter J, et al. SCCT expert consensus document on computed tomography imaging before transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)/transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2012;6(6):366-80.
- 64. Barbanti M, Yang TH, Rodes Cabau J et al. Anatomical and procedural features associated with aortic root rupture during balloon-expandable transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Circulation 2013;128(3):244-53
- 65. Hansson NC, Norgaard BL, Barbanti M, et al. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2015;9(5):382-92
- 66. Tatsuishi W, Nakano K, Kubota S, et al. Identification of Coronary Artery Orifice to Prevent Coronary Complications in Bioprosethetic and Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Circ J 2015;79(10):2157-61
- 67. Blanke P, Dvir D, Cheung A, et al. Mitral Annular Evaluation with CT in the Context of Transcatheter Mitral Valve Replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8(5):612-5

Congenital Heart Disease – 75573

- 1. Chang DS, Barack BM, Lee MH, Lee HY. Congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries: imaging with 16-MDCT. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2007 May;188(5):W428-30.
- 2. Siegel MJ, Bhalla S, Gutierrez FR, Billadello JB. MDCT of postoperative anatomy and complications in adults with cyanotic heart disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005 Jan;184(1):241-7.
- 3. Goo HW, Park IS, Ko JK, Kim YH, Seo DM, Yun TJ, Park JJ, Yoon CH. CT of congenital heart disease: normal anatomy and typical pathologic conditions. Radiographics. 2003 Oct;23 Spec No:S147-65.
- 4. Wang ZJ, Reddy GP, Gotway MB, Yeh BM, Hetts SW, Higgins CB. CT and MR imaging of pericardial disease. Radiographics. 2003 Oct;23 Spec No:S167-80Cook SC, Raman SV. Unique application of multislice computed tomography in adults with congenital heart disease. Int J Cardiol. 2007 Jun 25;119(1):101-6.

- 5. Ou P, Celermajer DS, Calcagni G, Brunelle F, Bonnet D, Sidi D. Three-dimensional CT scanning: a new diagnostic modality in congenital heart disease. Heart. 2007 Aug;93(8):908-13.
- 6. Lee T, Tsai IC, Fu YC, Jan SL, Wang CC, Chang Y, Chen MC. Using multidetector-row CT in neonates with complex congenital heart disease to replace diagnostic cardiac catheterization for anatomical investigation: initial experiences in technical and clinical feasibility. Pediatr Radiol. 2006 Dec;36(12):1273-82.
- 7. Manghat NE, Morgan-Hughes GJ, Marshall AJ, Roobottom CA. Multidetector row computed tomography: imaging congenital coronary artery anomalies in adults. Heart. 2005 Dec;91(12):1515-22.
- 8. Montaudon M, Latrabe V, Iriart X, Caix P, Laurent F. Congenital coronary arteries anomalies: review of the literature and multidetector computed tomography (MDCT)-appearance. Surg Radiol Anat. 2007 Jul;29(5):343-55.
- 9. Nicol ED, Gatzoulis M, Padley SP, Rubens M. Assessment of adult congenital heart disease with multi-detector computed tomography: beyond coronary lumenography. Clin Radiol. 2007 Jun;62(6):518-27. Epub 2007 Mar 26.
- 10. Samyn MM. A review of the complementary information available with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and multi-slice computed tomography (CT) during the study of congenital heart disease. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2004 Dec;20(6):569-78.
- 11. Taylor AJ, Cerqueira M, Hodgson JM, Mark D, Min J, O'Gara P, Rubin GD; American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force; Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography; American College of Radiology; American Heart Association; American Society of Echocardiography; American Society of Nuclear Cardiology; North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance.

 ACCF/SCCT/ACR/AHA/ASE/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/SCMR 2010 Appropriate Use Criteria for Cardiac Computed Tomography. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, the American College of Radiology, the American Heart Association, the American Society of Echocardiography, the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2010 Nov-Dec;4(6):407.e1-33. Epub 2010 Nov 23. PubMed PMID: 21232696.
- 12. Cheezum MK, Ghoshhajra B, Bittencourt MS, et al. Anomalous origin of the coronary artery arising from the opposite sinus: prevalence and outcomes in patients undergoing coronary CTA. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;18(2):224-235
- 13. Cheezum MK, Liberthson RR, Shah NR, et al. Anomalous Aortic Origin of a Coronary Artery From the Inappropriate Sinus of Valsalva. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69(12):1592-1608
- 14. Han BK, Rigsby CK, Hlavacek A, et al. Computed Tomography Imaging in Patients with Congenital Heart Disease Part I: Rationale and Utility. An Expert Consensus Document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT). Endorsed by the Society of Pediatric Radiology (SPR) and the North American Society of Cardiac Imaging (NASCI). J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2015;9(6):475-92
- 15. Han BK, Rigsby CK, Leipsic J, et al. Computed Tomography Imaging in Patients with Congenital Heart Disease Part II: Technical Recommendations. An Expert Consensus Document of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT). Endorsed by the Society of Pediatric Radiology (SPR) and the North American Society of Cardiac Imaging (NASCI). J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2015;9(6):493-513

Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography + Bypass Grafts - 75574

- 1. Pundziute G, Schuijf JD, Jukema JW, Boersma E, de Roos A, van der Wall EE, Bax JJ. Prognostic value of multislice computed tomography coronary angiography in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49:62-70.
- 2. Chow BJ, Ahmed O, Small G, Alghamdi AA, Yam Y, Chen L, Wells GA. Prognostic Value of CT Angiography in Coronary Bypass Patients. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2011 May;4(5):496-502.
- 3. Abdelkarim MJ, Ahmadi N, Gopal A, Hamirani Y, Karlsberg RP, Budoff MJ. Noninvasive quantitative evaluation of coronary artery stent patency using 64-row multidetector computed tomography. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2010;4:29-37.

- 4. Levisman JM, Budoff MJ, Karlsberg RP. Long-term coronary artery graft patency as evaluated by 64-slice coronary computed tomographic angiography. Coron Artery Dis 2011;22:521-5.
- 5. Jones CM, Athanasiou T, Dunne N, et al. Multidetector computed tomography in coronary artery bypass graft assessment: a meta-analysis. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;83:341-8

Computed Tomography Fractional Flow Reserve (CT-FFR) - 0501T-0504T

- 1. Koo BK, Erglis A, Doh JH, Daniels DV, Jegere S, et al. Diagnosis of ischemia-causing coronary stenosis by noninvasive fractional flow reserve computed from coronary computed tomographic angiograms. Results from the prospective multicenter DISCOVER-FLOW study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58(19):1989-97
- 2. Nakazato R, Park HB, Berman DS, Gransar H, Koo BK, et al. Noninvasive fractional flow reserve derived from

	computed tomography angiography for coronary lesions of intermediate stenosis severity: results from the DeFACTO study. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2013;6(6):881-9					
3.	Norgaard BL, Leipsic J, Gaur S, Seneviratne S, Ko BS, et al. Diagnostic performance of noninvasive fractional flow reserve derived from coronary computed tomography angiography in suspected coronary artery disease: the NXT trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63(12):1145-55					
4.	Cook CM, Petraco R, Shun-Shin MJ, Ahmad Y, Nijjer S, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Computed Tomography-					
5.	Derived Fractional Flow Reserve: A Systematic Review. JAMA Cardiol 2017. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2017.1314 Packard RR, Li D, Budoff MJ, Karlsberg RP. Fractional flow reserve by computerized tomography and subsequent coronary revascularization. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;18:145-152.					
<u>Ad</u>	visory Committee Meeting Notes					
Sta	art Date of Comment Period					
En	d Date of Comment Period					
Sta	art Date of Notice Period					

Revision History Number		
Revision History Explanation		
Reason for Change		
<u>Last Reviewed On Date</u>		
Related Documents		

LCD Attachments