Comparison of SSFP and IR GRE Techniques for Measurement of Total Myocardial Mass-Influence of Applied Contrast Dosage and Implication for Relative Infarct Size Assessment
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare total left ventricular mass assessment using steady state free precession (SSFP) and inversion recovery fast gradient echo (IR GRE) imaging and further to assess the influence of contrast dosage on mass by IR GRE and its implications on relative infarct size assessment with both methods. Methods: Forty-three patients with first documented myocardial infarction and single vessel disease underwent measurement of total myocardial mass using SSFP technique and an IR GRE sequence. As part of a Phase 2 multi-center dose ranging study for infarct identification patients received 1 of 4 possible dosages (0.05, 0.1, 0.2 or 0.3 mmol/kg body weight) of the contrast agent gadoversetamide (OptiMARK, Tyco Healthcare Mallinckrodt, St. Louis, MO, USA). Results: Left ventricular mass assessment using IR GRE resulted in a slightly greater detection of myocardial mass than from the SSFP images (160.1 and 156.4 g, respectively, p < 0.001). The overall good correlation of both methods (R² = 0.97 for the total study group, p < 0.001) was further improved by using gadoversetamide at doses of 0.2 or 0.3 mmol/kg (R² = 0.99, p < 0.001), mainly as a result of a considerably higher blood-myocardial contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) in the IR GRE images. Bland-Altman analysis in these subgroups showed very little scatter of the residuals over the mean (3.5 ± 5.4 g and 1.3 ± 6.9 g respectively, 95% confidence interval). The observed differences in total mass calculation, while statistically significant, were not correlated with clinically relevant differences in estimation of relative infarct size. Conclusion: Total LV mass calculations using SSFP and IR GRE techniques are interchangeable when using appropriate contrast media, such as gadoversetamide. Late gadolinium enhancement results in good blood myocardial CNR. Hence, for relative infarct size assessment either method for calculation of total myocardial mass can be used.

INTRODUCTION

Since its first descriptions by Kim and Judd (1–3), the late gadolinium enhancement technique using inversion recovery fast gradient echo sequences (IR GRE) has emerged as an extremely valuable tool for the assessment of myocardial viability (4). It has been shown to accurately detect both Q-wave and non-Q-wave myocardial infarction (5) as well as to predict reversible myocardial dysfunction after myocardial infarction (6–8). Furthermore, late gadolinium enhancement proved to be more sensitive in detecting small infarcts in comparison to single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) perfusion imaging (9, 10). Recent studies have further elucidated the potential of this technique in comparison to the current gold standard of positron emission tomography (PET) (11, 12). First prognostic data by use of late gadolinium enhancement have
been presented as well. In a multivariate analysis of a multicenter trial, Kaushal demonstrated that infarct size of more than 15% of left ventricular (LV) mass, as derived from cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR), had the highest predictive value for death (13).

Total LV mass itself can be measured in different ways. In the early days of CMR, black-blood techniques were used (14–16). Currently, cine bright blood techniques, such as gradient echo sequences (17–20) and especially steady state free precession sequences (SSFP) (21–24), are applied. Total infarct size usually is derived by planimetry of the late gadolinium-enhanced area on a stack of short axis IR GRE images. For calculation of relative infarct size, the infarct mass is divided by the total LV mass.

Usually, the total LV mass calculation by SSFP is included in the analysis process of cine bright blood images for volumes and ejection fraction of the right and left ventricle. Using this SSFP derived mass reduces the need for another time consuming delineation of endocardial and epicardial borders in the IR GRE images. However, it has not yet been shown that mass calculations with SSFP and IR GRE are interchangeable. Thus, the use of SSFP derived mass may not accurately estimate relative infarct size, as absolute infarct size is measured in IR GRE images. The different imaging techniques with often different spatial resolutions and image acquisitions at different time points during the cardiac cycle may lead to differing results in total myocardial mass calculations. To our knowledge, a systematic comparison of mass calculation with these two methods and its implication for relative infarct size assessment has not yet been performed.

METHODS

A total of 43 patients (37 male, mean age 53 years, mean LV ejection fraction of 50% ± 7% by CMR [range 35–67%]) underwent measurement of total myocardial mass with SSFP technique and an IR GRE sequence. Patients were included as part of a double-blinded, randomized, multi-center, Phase 2, dose ranging study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of gadoversetamide to identify the presence, location, and extent of acute (less than 16 days) or chronic (between 17 days and 6 months) myocardial infarction based on hyper-enhancement (25). All patients underwent coronary angiography with subsequent stent treatment of the target lesion. The myocardial infarct affected the LAD territory in 20 patients (47%), the RCA in 13 (30%) and the RCX in 10 patients (23%). Fifty-six percent of infarcts were predominantly transmural, and 44% were non-transmural. Image acquisition was performed at 7 ± 3 days after the index infarct for the acute infarct study (n = 21) and at 78 ± 44 days after infarct for the chronic infarct study (n = 22). The presented substudy reflects the analysis from a single site and is not related to the methods and analysis of the primary multi-center trial. Inclusion criteria comprised single vessel disease and documented first myocardial infarction. The study protocol was approved by the locally appointed ethics committee and all patients signed an informed consent before participation. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Contrast agent and dosage scheme

As part of a multicenter trial the paramagnetic contrast agent gadoversetamide (OptiMARK, Tyco Healthcare Mallinckrodt, St. Louis, MO, USA), a neutral (non-ionic), extracellular gadolinium-chelate was used. Patients were prospectively randomized to receive a weight adapted intravenous bolus of 4 possible concentrations of gadoversetamide (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, or 0.3 mmol/kg body weight). After contrast bolus application, the intravenous tube was flushed with 10 mL of isotonic saline.

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance scan

All subjects were imaged on a Signa NVi 1.5 Tesla scanner (GE medical systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA), using a 4 element cardiac phased array coil and electrocardiographic triggering. All scans were performed by a single expert operator.

A diastolic 4 chamber view at end-expiration provided the reference image on which a stack of contiguous short-axis slices were positioned, with the first slice positioned at the atrioventricular ring, and the last slice covering the apex. Slice position was identical for both sequences especially to minimize for mis-registration of the most basal slice.

SSFP sequence

SSFP breath-hold cines with prospective ECG triggering were used for all slices with the following parameters: echo time (TE) 1.6 ms; repeat time (TR) 4.0 ms; slice thickness 6 mm; inter-slice gap 4 mm; rectangular field of view 40 × 30 cm; read matrix 224; phase matrix 224; calculated frames 40; flip angle 45°; views per segment 10–24 (depending on heart rate). A total of 8 to 12 short-axis segments were needed to encompass the entire LV. Mean acquisition time for each breath-hold was about 12 seconds.

IR GRE sequence

For late gadolinium enhancement a segmented IR GRE breath-hold sequence was used with the following parameters: echo time (TE) 3.2 ms; repeat time (TR) 7.3 ms; slice thickness 6 mm; inter-slice gap 4 mm; rectangular field of view 36 × 27 cm; read matrix 256; phase matrix 192; flip angle 20°; views per segment 18–34 (depending on heart rate). Images were acquired with every, or every other heartbeat. Mean acquisition time for each breath-hold was about 14 seconds. To ensure direct comparison, short-axis slice orientation was identical with the SSFP acquisition. Inversion times varied between 160 and 380 ms, mainly depending on contrast dosage applied. Image acquisition for late gadolinium enhancement started 10 minutes after application of gadoversetamide.

Image analysis

CMR image analysis was performed by a single experienced investigator (the same person who operated the scanner) using commercial software (Mass analysis software, MEDIS, Leiden, the Netherlands). Manual tracing of left ventricular endocardial
and epicardial borders of contiguous short-axis slices at end-diastole (first cine phase of the R-wave triggered acquisition) allowed calculation of left myocardial mass with SSFP images. On late gadolinium enhancement images (IR GRE), left endocardial and epicardial contours were also manually traced for myocardial mass calculation. Papillary muscles were included in the mass and excluded from the volume. Absolute infarct size in grams was measured by manual planimetry of the late gadolinium enhanced regions in the IR GRE images. Values for relative infarct size were derived by dividing absolute infarct size by total left ventricular mass (separately for each imaging technique). To avoid a memory effect the myocardial mass by SSFP and IR GRE was analyzed a minimum of 2 weeks apart from each other, with the investigator blinded to the subject’s name and the previous results.

On a representative short-axis IR GRE image of each patient, regions of interest (ROI) were drawn in the myocardium and blood pool for signal intensity measurements as well as at the edge of each CMR image outside the chest for measurement of background noise. The area of the ROI chosen for each series was the maximum permissible without causing contamination from other adjacent tissues: 3.4 cm² for blood (range 1.2–3.5 cm²); 0.6 cm² for myocardium (range 0.3–1.0 cm²); and 3.5 cm² consistently for background noise. The blood-myocardial contrast-to-noise ratio (CNRbm) was calculated with the following equation:

\[
\text{CNR}_{bm} = \frac{\text{SI}_{bm} - \text{SI}_{m}}{\text{SD}_{n}}
\]

where SIbm is the signal intensity of blood, SIm is the signal intensity of myocardium, and SDn is the standard deviation of background noise (27).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance of group means was assessed with paired or unpaired Student t-test (two-tailed). Linear regression analysis was performed to determine the strength of correlation between left myocardial mass obtained by SSFP and IR GRE. A Bland-Altman analysis was used to determine the 95% limits of agreement between the 2 methods. Scatterplots and correlation coefficients (reported as R² and calculated using a Pearson test) are only a measure of the linearity of the relation between 2 variables and do not indicate the strength of agreement between techniques, for which Bland-Altman plots are more appropriate (27). For all statistical analyses, a p value smaller than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

The 0.05 mmol/kg dose resulted in such a low contrast of the LV blood pool that the endocardial border in IR GRE images was undiscernible in 8 of 10 patients receiving this dose. Therefore, the 0.05 subgroup was excluded from the mass comparison. In the remaining 33 patients left ventricular mass assessment with IR GRE resulted in a slightly greater myocardial mass than assessment with SSFP images (160.1 and 156.4 g, respectively, p < 0.001), which was statistically significant in all subgroups except the 0.3 group (Table 1). The absolute mass difference varied between 1.3 g for the 0.3 dosage and 6.5 g for the 0.1 dosage group with percentage differences between 0.7 and 4.2%.

Linear regression analysis showed a good correlation between both imaging techniques (R² = 0.97 for the total study group, p < 0.001). Divided by subgroups, the correlation was strongest with the 0.2 and 0.3 dosage groups (R² = 0.99 and p < 0.001 for both) and weakest with the 0.1 dosage group (R² = 0.95, p < 0.001). The Bland-Altman plots (Fig. 1) display the differences between the mean myocardial mass assessed by both imaging techniques. As the contrast dosage increases, the residuals over the mean became smaller.

The effect of total myocardial mass differences on the calculation of relative infarct size is shown in Table 2. For the total

### Table 1. Total left ventricular mass (g) assessed with both techniques for the total study group (except 0.05 mmol/kg subgroup) and divided up by contrast dosage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left ventricular mass (g)</th>
<th>0.1 (n = 11)</th>
<th>0.2 (n = 11)</th>
<th>0.3 (n = 11)</th>
<th>Total (n = 33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR GRE</td>
<td>168.9 ± 31.0</td>
<td>151.8 ± 32.5</td>
<td>159.6 ± 32.8</td>
<td>160.1 ± 31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSFP</td>
<td>162.5 ± 32.7</td>
<td>148.4 ± 31.7</td>
<td>158.4 ± 31.7</td>
<td>156.4 ± 31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Difference (IR GRE - SSFP) in g</td>
<td>6.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>3.7 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Difference (IR GRE - SSFP) in % of mean mass</td>
<td>4.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value (paired t-test, 2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Relative infarct size (% of total left ventricular mass) calculated by both techniques divided up by contrast dosage and for the total study group (except 0.05 mmol/kg subgroup)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative infarct size (%)</th>
<th>0.1 (n = 11)</th>
<th>0.2 (n = 11)</th>
<th>0.3 (n = 11)</th>
<th>Total (n = 33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSFP</td>
<td>16.3 ± 5.5</td>
<td>18.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>14.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>16.5 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR GRE</td>
<td>15.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>18.2 ± 7.7</td>
<td>14.5 ± 4.6</td>
<td>16.1 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in relative infarct size</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value (paired t-test, 2-tailed)</td>
<td><strong>0.031</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.006</strong></td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Residual plots (Bland Altman analysis) displaying 95% limits of agreement (dashed lines) between IR GRE and SSFP for left ventricular mass assessment for the total study group (upper left) and all analyzed subgroups. Mean difference between measurements (solid line) is also shown.

study group, relative infarct size was smaller when IR GRE mass measurement was used for calculation \( (p = 0.001) \). Within the subgroups, the difference in relative infarct sizes was not significant in the group with the highest (0.3) contrast dosage.

The results of the CNRbm measurements are shown in Figure 2. A marked rise in CNRbm is observed with the two highest dosage groups (0.2 and 0.3 mmol/kg). Figure 3 displays the effect of the contrast-to-noise ratio in IR GRE images on the mass differences between imaging techniques. With increasing contrast-to-noise ratio, a slight decrease in residuals can be observed. This is not as obvious when looking at the residuals of relative infarct size assessment (Fig. 4).

Chronicity of the infarct did not affect the difference of mass measurements in our study \( (p = 0.54, \text{unpaired Students t-test}) \). Mean difference was \( 3.1 \pm 5.9 \text{ g} \) for the acute and \( 4.3 \pm 4.9 \text{ g} \) for the chronic infarct group (Bland-Altman limits of agreement –8.7 to 14.9 g and –5.5 to 14.1 g, respectively).

Figure 2. Blood-myocardial contrast-to-noise ratios (CNRbm) in relation to the contrast dosages under investigation.

Figure 3. Residual plot (Bland Altman analysis) displaying 95% limits of agreement (dashed lines) for left ventricular mass assessment between IR GRE and SSFP in relation to the blood-myocardial contrast-to-noise ratio (CNRbm) on IR GRE images. The solid line displays the mean difference.
Differences in mass assessment with both techniques and to as-
derived total mass may not accurately reflect relative infarct size. If
infarct size is determined in IR GRE images, the use of SSFP-
and IR GRE has not yet been demonstrated. Because absolute
However, the ability to interchange mass calculations with SSFP
(30, 33) or IR GRE (11, 34–38) techniques may be used.
LV ejection fraction (32).

Tendency for monomorphic ventricular arrhythmias better than
demonstrated that total infarct mass identified patients with a
symptoms, ejection fraction (EF), severity of coronary artery
disease (CAD)) only a relative infarct size of more than 15% of
Among other risk factors (eg, congestive heart failure (CHF)
searchers from 5 centers recently could demonstrate the predic-
tion between methods (R² = 0.88, slope 0.84, p < 0.0001). To
our knowledge, these very limited data by Klein represent
the only analysis in the literature with regard to left ventricular
mass comparisons between IR GRE and SSFP. Previous studies
comparing SSFP and cine GRE techniques for mass calcula-
tion also resulted in lower mass values with the SSFP sequence
(22, 23).

As can be seen from Figure 5, the delineation of the my-
ocardial blood-pool border is barely discernable from the 0.05
mmol/kg dose and mass analysis cannot be accurately performed
at that dose. Therefore, we excluded this dosage group from fur-
ther mass analysis. The mean differences within the rest of our
total study group were small (3.7 g, 2.4%); the largest mean
difference (6.5 g, 4.2%) occurred in the subgroup with the 0.1
mmol/kg dosage. With increasing contrast dosage the difference
between both methods diminished (Table 1) and the correlation
in the linear regression analysis increased. Scatterplots and cor-
relation coefficients are a measure of the linearity of the relation
between 2 variables but do not indicate the strength of agreement
between techniques, in which case Bland-Altman plots are more
appropriate (27). Bland-Altman plots showed a decrease in the
residuals over the mean mass of both techniques with increasing
contrast dosage (Fig. 1). Subgroup analysis of total mass calcula-
tion reflects the importance of an appropriate amount of contrast
not only for good delineation of the infarct zone, but also for a
better border definition of blood pool and myocardium. Figure
5 shows representative IR GRE images of 4 patients with the 4
different contrast dosages used in this trial. Apart from a better
definition of infarcted tissue, the higher contrast dosages provide
a better enhancement of the blood pool. As a consequence, the
delineation of the myocardial-blood pool border is hardly possi-
ble at the lowest contrast dosage (Fig. 5, upper left), whereas it
is much easier to detect at the higher contrast dosages of 0.2 and
0.3 mmol/kg (Fig. 5, bottom row). This visual impression is con-
firmed by an increase of blood-myocardial CNR with increasing
contrast dosage (Fig. 2). Consequently, the Bland-Altman plots
demonstrated a decrease of differences in total mass between tech-
niques (Fig. 3) with increasing CNRbm.

To put the small differences observed between IR GRE and
SSFP imaging into perspective, we compared them to the dif-
ficulties of mass calculation with SSFP at end-diastole and end-
systole. The means, of both measurements were not significantly
different (p = 0.5) and the mean difference between mass at end-
diastole and at end-systole was 0.7 ± 5.2 g with Bland-Altman
limits of agreement calculated as −11.1 to 9.7 g. Compared to
the difference observed between IR GRE and SSFP mass calcu-
tion of this mean difference proved to be significantly lower
(p < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

Bright blood cine acquisitions with GRE or SSFP techniques
currently represent an integral part of a comprehensive cardiac
magnetic resonance exam. Measurements (eg, left and right
end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes, ejection fraction, to-
tal LV mass) using these techniques are calculated and have
been proven to be accurate and reproducible (19, 20, 28, 29).
With ischemic heart disease, IR GRE sequences (commonly de-
scribed as late gadolinium enhancement technique) for infarct
imaging have considerably contributed to the diagnostic spec-
trum of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (2, 4). Single-center
studies have shown a sensitivity for infarct detection, which ap-
pears to be superior to SPECT (9,10) and to the current gold
standard of PET (11, 12). Absolute and, perhaps more impor-
tantly, relative infarct size assessment by CMR can serve as a
surrogate parameter in studies evaluating treatment strategies
for patients with myocardial infarction (30, 31). Moreover, re-
searchers from 5 centers recently could demonstrate the predic-
tive value of CMR measured infarct size in 100 patients (13).
Among other risk factors (eg, congestive heart failure (CHF)
symptoms, ejection fraction (EF), severity of coronary artery
disease (CAD)) only a relative infarct size of more than 15% of
LV mass and reduced LVEF were independent predictors of out-
come with CMR-derived infarct size of more than 15% showing
the highest predictive value for death. In another study, Bello
demonstrated that total infarct mass identified patients with a
tendency for monomorphic ventricular arrhythmias better than
LV ejection fraction (32).

For relative infarct size calculations, total LV mass by either
SSFP (30, 33) or IR GRE (11, 34–38) techniques may be used.
However, the ability to interchange mass calculations with SSFP
and IR GRE has not yet been demonstrated. Because absolute
infarct size is determined in IR GRE images, the use of SSFP-
derived total mass may not accurately reflect relative infarct size.

The present study is the first to systematically investigate the
differences in mass assessment with both techniques and to as-

Figure 4. Residual plot with 95% limits of agreement for relative
infarct size calculation between IR GRE and SSFP in relation to
the blood-myocardial contrast to noise ratio (CNRbm) on IR GRE
images. The mean difference between measurements is shown as a
solid line.
Independent of the amount of contrast applied, a common problem of myocardial mass assessment with the IR GRE technique is the poor border definition of signal nulled myocardium and lung tissue in the lateral and posterolateral regions of the left ventricle.

It should be noted that because the absolute differences in total myocardial mass were small (but statistically significant except for the 0.3 group), they did not lead to clinical relevant differences in relative infarct size. The difference was significant only for the 0.1 and 0.2 dosage groups and for the total study population. However, a mean difference in relative infarct size of 0.4% as for our total study group is clinically negligible. Hence, in our opinion, either SSFP or IR GRE can be used for mass calculation, provided an appropriate contrast dosage has been chosen for the IR GRE acquisition. The decision on which method to use can, therefore, be tailored upon the other questions to be answered during the CMR exam. If a left ventricular function study is part of the cardiac exam, SSFP images can be used for total left ventricular mass assessment and relative infarct size calculation without the need for a redo of total mass calculation from IR GRE images.

**Study limitations**

Since the inclusion criteria comprised only patients with first myocardial infarct and single vessel disease, our study group on average represents patients with relatively small infarcts and only mildly reduced ejection fraction. Although some of our patients in the chronic infarct group presented with large infarcts, a mean follow-up time of on average 78 days might be too early for a complete ventricular remodeling. Therefore, it remains unclear whether these findings are also applicable to patients with multivessel disease, lower LVEF and associated reverse remodeling (e.g., extensive wall thinning and large apical aneurysms).

Although the total study group represents a sufficient number of patients, separated for each dosage patient numbers were relatively small (11, 10 patients respectively). The same applies to the relatively narrow range of masses with the bulk of patients
lying between 100–200 grams of total left ventricular myocardial mass.

SSFP imaging in comparison to standard gradient echo techniques in several studies in humans (22, 23) has shown to result in lower values for left ventricular mass and greater values for ventricular volumes. The study protocol did not contain a standard gradient echo sequence for mass assessment, since, due to acquisition speed and good blood-myocardial contrast, SSFP imaging today has evolved as the standard cine imaging sequence on most scanners. We did not aim to find out and, therefore, cannot conclusively rate whether mainly the use of the SSFP sequence or inherent properties of the IR GRE technique led to the small differences observed.

Slice position of the short axis views was identical for both sequences. Although this approach somewhat eased the definition of the most basal slice with the IR GRE sequence, mass calculation with SSFP and IR GRE techniques happens at different times of the cardiac cycle. Therefore, measurement errors due to poor definition of the basal slice in the single image of a IR GRE sequence cannot be fully eliminated.

Image acquisition with the IR GRE sequence was performed every heartbeat (majority of patients) or every other heartbeat. Due to the small numbers, we were not able to detect any reliable differences between these two approaches. Theoretically, with the technique of scanning every second heartbeat, contrast in the infarcted areas may slightly improve with subsequent effect on the estimation of total LV mass.

CONCLUSION

Total myocardial mass calculation with IR GRE and SSFP techniques show a good overall correlation with excellent results when an appropriate contrast dosage is used. With the prerequisite of a good blood-myocardial CNR, SSFP and IR GRE techniques are interchangeable. Even with integration of the lower contrast dosage of 0.1 mmol/kg, the observed differences in total mass between techniques do not translate into clinical relevant differences of relative infarct size calculation.
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