# **Quality Measure Benchmarks Overview**What Are Quality Measure Benchmarks?

When a clinician submits measures for the MIPS Quality Performance Category, each measure is assessed against its benchmarks to determine how many points the measure earns. A clinician can receive anywhere from 3 to 10 points for each measure (not including any bonus points). Benchmarks are specific to the type of submission mechanism: EHRs, QCDRs/Registries, CAHPS and claims. These historic benchmarks are based on actual performance data submitted to PQRS in 2015, except for CAHPS. For CAHPS, the benchmarks are based on two sets of surveys: 2015 CAHPS for PQRS and CAHPS for ACOs. Submissions via CMS Web Interface will use benchmarks from the Shared Savings Program.

#### How Are Benchmarks Displayed?

Each benchmark is presented in terms of deciles. Points will be awarded within each decile (see Table 1). Clinicians who receive a score in the first or second decile will receive 3 points. Clinicians who are in the 3rd decile will receive somewhere between 3 and 3.9 points depending on their exact position in the decile, and clinicians in higher deciles will receive a corresponding number of points. For example, if a clinician submits data showing 83% on the measure, and the 5th decile begins at 72% and the 6th decile begins at 85%, then the clinician will receive between 5 and 5.9 points because 83% is in the 5th decile. For inverse measures where a positive performance is seen in a lower score, the scores are reversed in the benchmark deciles, and higher scores are in lower deciles but the lowest deciles still receive the lowest points.

## What If A Quality Measure Does Not Have A Historical Benchmark?

For measures with no historic benchmark, MIPS will attempt to calculate benchmarks based on 2017 performance data. Benchmarks are created if there are at least 20 reporting clinicians or groups that meet the criteria for contributing to the benchmark, including meeting the minimum case size (which is generally 20 patients), meeting the data completeness criteria, and having performance greater than 0 percent (less than 100 percent for inverse measures). If no historic benchmark exists and no benchmark can be calculated, then the measure will receive 3 points. In the list of measure benchmarks below, measures without historic benchmarks are listed at the bottom of the table. The benchmark calculations for the 2017 performance year used data that was submitted for PQRS in 2015 by clinicians that were a

#### **Quality Payment Program**

provider type eligible for MIPS and were not newly enrolled in 2015, or groups with at least 1 such clinician. Comparable APM data is included when possible.

#### Benchmark Breakdown

Each benchmark has the following information:

- Measure name and ID
- Submission type (EHR, QCDR/Registry, claims)
- Measure type (e.g., outcome, process,)
- Whether or not a benchmark could be calculated for that measure/submission mechanism
- Range of performance rates for each decile to help identify how many points the clinician earns for that measure
- Whether the benchmark is topped out (topped out means the measure is not showing much variability and may have different scoring in future years)

### Table 1: Using Data in Benchmark to Estimate Points (For Non-Inverse Measures)\*

| Decile         | Number of Points Assigned for the 2017 MIPS Performance Period |
|----------------|--|
| Below Decile 3 | 3 points   |
| Decile 3       | 3-3.9 points   |
| Decile 4       | 4-4.9 points   |
| Decile 5       | 5-5.9 points   |
| Decile 6       | 6-6.9 points   |
| Decile 7       | 7-7.9 points   |
| Decile 8       | 8-8.9 points   |
| Decile 9       | 9-9.9 points   |
| Decile 10      | 10 points  |

<sup>\*</sup>For inverse measures, the order would be reversed. Where Decile 1 starts with the highest value and decile 10 has the lowest value.

#### **Special Considerations**

#### Historical Benchmark for Web Interface Reporters

For the CMS Web Interface, the benchmarks are the same as the 2017 Shared Savings Program performance benchmarks. Click <a href="https://example.com/here">here</a> for details on the Shared Savings Program benchmarks, which are listed in appendix A. While the benchmarks are the same, the scoring will be adjusted to be consistent with other MIPS measures. Because the Shared Savings Program does not post benchmarks below the 30th percentile (which is the start of the 4th decile), any value below the 30th percentile will receive 3 points. However, if performance is above the 30th percentile, then scoring will be the same as other measures. If the 5th decile (p40) begins at 72% and the 6th decile (p50) begins at 85%, then the clinician with a score of 83% would receive between 5 and 5.9 points.

#### Historical Benchmarks for Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers & Systems (CAHPS) Reporters

For CAHPS, benchmarks are available for each summary survey measure (SSM). From 3 to 10 points are assigned to the each SSM by comparing performance to the benchmark (similar to other measures). The final CAHPS for MIPS score will be the average number of points across SSMs.

#### Historical Benchmarks for the All-Cause Hospital Readmission Measure

Benchmarks for the all-cause hospital readmission measure, which is calculated on group billing data, will be posted in early 2017.

#### Historical Benchmarks for Topped-Out Process Measures

For each process measure, a measure is topped out if the median performance rate is 95% or higher (non-inverse measure) or is 5% or lower (inverse measures). For each non-process measure, a measure is topped out if the truncated coefficient of variation (TCV) is less than 0.10 and the 75th and 95th percentiles are within 2 standard errors.