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Seagate Technology

Company Overview
Company Overview

- Seagate is the world’s leading provider of hard disc drives.
  - Q4 FY2004: 18.3M drives shipped; revenue of $1.33B
  - Fiscal 2004: 79.3M drives shipped; revenue of $6.22B, net income of $529M
- Provides drives for Enterprise, Desktop, Mobile Computing and Consumer Electronics applications.
- Ownership and vertical integration of core technologies: heads, media, motors, and printed circuit boards.
- Major operations and sales offices in 15 countries.
- Approximately 42,000 employees worldwide.
Seagate Global Presence

- Fremont, Milpitas, San Jose, Scotts Valley, CA
- Minneapolis, MN
- Oklahoma City, OK
- Pittsburgh, PA
- Paris, France
- Springtown & Limavady, N. Ireland
- Wuxi, China
- Bangkok & Korat, Thailand
- Penang & Senai, Malaysia
- Ang Mo Kio, Science Park & Woodlands, Singapore

Drives and Components
Regional HQ’s and Sales
Key Customers

- Sharp
- Acer
- Hitachi
- Bell Microproducts
- NEC
- Siemens
- Microsoft
- Motorola
- EMC
- Sun Microsystems
- Sony
- Fujitsu
- Hammer in Ireland
- Ingram Micro
- IBM
- Hewlett Packard
- EchoStar
- Celestica
- LSI Logic
- Trigem
- Lenovo
- Cisco Systems
- Dell
- Tech Data
Six Sigma at Seagate
Six Sigma – History at Seagate

- Introduced by Board of Directors member - General Thomas Stafford
- Benchmarked General Electric
- Launched in 1998
- Implemented with help from the Six Sigma Academy
  1. Program development
  2. Training material
- First year was DMAIC methodology, followed by DFSS
- Six Sigma was launched as a Global initiative
Six Sigma Methodologies

1. DMAIC Transactional & Operational
   - Define
   - Measure
   - Analyze
   - Improve
   - Control

2. DFSS (Design for Six Sigma)
   - Define
   - Identify
   - Design
   - Optimize
   - Validate
The DMAIC Phase Deliverable

Define
- Understand Customer Requirement
- Draft Project Charter
- Scope the Project

Measure
- Translate CTQs to KPOVs
- Collect Data on KPOVs
- Establish Baseline

Analyze
- Identify Inputs
- Narrow down KPIV
- Select and Quantify KPIVs

Improve
- Identify Solutions
- Select Solution
- Pilot and Optimize Solution

Control
- Establish Control Plan
- Implement Large-Scale Solution
- Close Project
The DIDOV Phase Deliverables

- **Define**
  - Understand Customer Requirement
  - Draft Project Charter
  - Scope the Project

- **Identify**
  - Identify outputs that satisfy customer requirement
  - Identify and Prioritize Gaps in the outputs
  - Identify and Quantify System Constraints

- **Design**
  - Formulate Design Concepts
  - Evaluate Design Concepts
  - Develop Models to Predict Design Performance

- **Optimize**
  - Evaluate Performance Tradeoffs
  - Select Design/Solution
  - Optimize Design/Solution

- **Validate**
  - Pilot Prototype Design/Solution
  - Validate Prototype Performance Against Predictions
  - Close Performance Gaps and Lock Design/Solution
Six Sigma Belt Levels at Seagate

1. **Black Belt** - Execute functional and cross functional process improvements using Six Sigma methodologies full time.

2. **Brown Belt** - Execute functional and cross functional process improvements using Six Sigma methodologies as assigned by management.

3. **Green Belt** – Actively participates in project teams or executes small scale, functional process improvement projects as assigned by management.

4. **Orange Belt** – Participates in process improvement projects as a team member.
Six Sigma Measured Accomplishments

• Completed over 4,700 Six Sigma projects (includes Green, Brown & Black Belt)

• $1.2 Billion in savings

• Trained over 8,000 employees (includes Green, Brown & Black Belt)

• Incorporated Six Sigma into the transactional and design worlds
The Thinking Process Tools & Six Sigma
Weaknesses in the Training

- Too much statistical analysis in DMAIC Transactional waves.
- DMAIC Analyze stage lacked a strong effect-cause-effect tool.
- DMAIC Improve stage didn’t have a tool for solution development tool.
- DMAIC Improve stage didn’t have a method to resolve conflict.
- DFSS method for testing solutions was too complex.
- Project took too long to complete, average 6+ months.
- Belt don’t have a way to prioritize and mine projects.
Desired Effects

- Belts have practical effect-cause-effect tools.
- Belts have tools to identify solutions for root causes to Undesirable Effects.
- Belts have a tool to resolve conflict.
- Belts can easily move from solutions to Action Plans.
- Projects are completed within three months.
- Belts have a way to prioritize projects, which drive Seagate towards “The Goal.”
Injection for Improvement

- Introduced the following TOC Thinking Process tools into Six Sigma.

1. Current Reality Tree (CRT)
2. Future Reality Tree (FRT)
3. Evaporating Cloud (EC)
Implementing the Injection – Change Management

1. Demonstrate the power of the Thinking Process to the Six Sigma Community.
   - Use the Thinking Process in a Black Belt project:
     - **Project identified**: Performance of the E2Open Collaboration Platform (CP).
     - **Problem Statement**: The response time associated with the use of the CP is not meeting best in class performance. Some of the most latent transactions were recorded in Asia (2 min. 54 sec. to log on to system from Scotts Valley). This is a problem because CP users become frustrated, have their time wasted, and ultimately stop using the tool.
     - **Primary Measurement**: Launching the Platform & Downloading a 2MB from Singapore as measured by Topaz.
Snapshot from the Collaboration Platform CRT

121 Users stop using the tool

117 The CP is perceived by users as an inefficient tool

122 Users become frustrated

111 Downloads/Uploads take too long

RC 105 Network latency occurs

RC 97 Don’t have proper peering agreements

RC 107 Seagate has multiple users locations

108 One location for the E2Open server (Boulder, CO)

108 The Collaboration Platform doesn’t allow for distributed file structure

RC 98 Collaboration Platform’s Vault is run on XYZ Software

Core Problem 99 XYZ Software doesn’t allow for distributed file structure
Snapshot from the Collaboration Platform FRT
Results from Singapore as measured by Topaz:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Launching the Platform</td>
<td>2 min. 54 sec.</td>
<td>9 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Download 2MB File</td>
<td>1 min. 48 sec.</td>
<td>7 sec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Completed the project in record time for a Transactional Black Belt project, 2 months.
- Results of the project clearly demonstrate the power of the Thinking Process Tools!
- The Six Sigma Global Curriculum Committee decided to move forward!
## Implementing the Injection

2. Where should we put the Thinking Process Tools?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phases: DMAIC / DIDOV</th>
<th>DMAIC</th>
<th>DIDOV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure / Identify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze / Design</td>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>FRT &amp; EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve / Optimize</td>
<td>FRT &amp; EC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control / Validate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementing the Injection

3. Which method of developing the tools should we use?
   – Must be able to easily develop with a Global Team.
   – Must be able to build with WebEx/NetMeeting and conference calls.
   – Needs to be easily understood by all team members.
   – Need to minimize the number of meetings required to develop the tools.

– Current Reality Tree
  1. EC to CRT
  2. UDEs to CRT
  3. Cause, Negative, Why Table
### Chosen CRT method - Cause, Negative, Why Table

**Example Table: Collaboration Platform Project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Negatives</th>
<th>Why</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1. Only one location for the E2Open server</td>
<td>N1. Downloads &amp; uploads take too long</td>
<td>W1. Users become frustrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1a. Traffic passes through multiple firewalls</td>
<td></td>
<td>W1a. Users stop using the CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1b. No SLA agreements with carriers</td>
<td></td>
<td>W1b. Users waste time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. CP is frequently down</td>
<td>N2. Numerous complaints about latency/performance</td>
<td>W2. Extra load on Help Desk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2a. Under performing tool</td>
<td></td>
<td>W2a. Help Desk costs increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2b. Users stop using the CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2c. Users become frustrated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Reality Tree

• Who created it?
  • Eliyahu Goldratt

• What is it?
  A systematic methodology of identifying root causes that negatively affect the output of a process.
Development Steps – CRT

1. Define the System Boundaries & Goals
2. State the System Problem
3. Start Three Columns
4. List Negatives, Why, and Causes
5. Designate the Undesirable Effects
6. Convert All Negatives, Whys, and Causes to CRT Entities
7. Group Entities in Clusters
8. Connect the Causes, Negatives & Undesirable Effects
9. Group Related Clusters Together
10. Incorporate the Rest of the Entities

From “Breaking the Constraints to World Class Performance,” William Dettmer
Development Steps – CRT (continued)

- 11. Scrutinize & Finalize Connections
- 12. Look for Additional Causes
- 13. Continue Building Downward
- 14. Re-designate Undesirable Effects
- 15. Look for Negative Reinforcement Loops
- 16. Identify All Root Causes & a Core Problem
- 17. Trim Nonessential Entities
- 18. Choose a Root Cause

Small-group activities (these require team validation)

From “Breaking the Constraints to World Class Performance,” William Dettmer
Implementing the Injection

- Which method of developing the tools should we use?
  - Future Reality Tree
    - UDEs to Desirable Effects
    - Root Causes to Injections
    - Injections to Desirable Effects
Building a Future Reality Tree: The Process

1. Determine the Desired Effects
2. Formulate the basic Injections
3. Identify Elements of Existing Reality
4. Insert Injections
5. Start filling in the gaps
6. Look for opportunities to build positive reinforcing loops
7. Search for possible negative branches & resolve
8. Develop action plan

From “Breaking the Constraints to World Class Performance,” William Dettmer
Step 1 – Determine the Desired Effects

Take the *undesirable effects* from the Current Reality Tree and convert them to the *desired effects* (diametric opposite of UDE)

**Undesirable Effects**

- We are experiencing excessive help desk calls
- Logging in to the application takes too long
- Users are frustrated with product order process

**Desirable Effects**

- We are experiencing an acceptable number of help desk calls
- Logins are executed in an acceptable timeframe
- Users are satisfied with product order process
Step 2 – Formulate the Basic Injections

Root Causes

- We’re not experts in shipping methodologies
- We have no packaging standards
- We use substandard packing materials
- We have a manual in-house complaint tracking system

Injections

- Shipping experts will be used
- Adopt standards in-line with industry
- Hire packaging consultant to specify ship. mats
- Ensure shipping vendor has existing system
- Outsource shipping to distribution vendor
Step 5 – Start Filling in Gaps

- Building upward, solidify the logic with “If-Then” statements.
- Hypothesize a direct and unavoidable outcome of putting the change into place.
- Repeat this process until you can bridge the gap to the Desired Effects.
- If you reach a point where upward construction stalls, consider other injections.
Implementing the Injection

- Which method of developing the tools should we use?
  - Evaporating Cloud
    1. Prerequisites → Requirements → Objective
Building an Evaporating Cloud*

1. Articulate the conflict
2. Determine the requirements
3. Identify the objective
4. Polish the diagram
5. List assumptions
6. Evaluate the assumptions
7. Create Injections to Neutralize the Conflict
8. Select the best injection(s)

* Development process steps modified from “Breaking the Constraints to World Class Performance,” William Dettmer
Implementing the Injection

4. Start the training:
   - Developed the training slides.
   - Provide Train-the-Trainer for Global Six Sigma Instructors.
   - Train Global Master Black Belts.
   - Provide refreshers classes for existing Belts.
   - Update training slide to reflect class feedback:
     1. Added a class exercises with case studies.
     2. Updated class examples.
     3. Develop tree building pocket cards.
Results - Six Sigma & the Thinking Process
Integrating the TOC Thinking Process & Six Sigma

Results:

1. Project quality increased dramatically (as measured by interviews with Six Sigma Directors):
   - Projects are more focused.
   - Problems are much less ambiguous.
   - Solution paths are more clearly defined.
   - Less resistance to buy-in for proposed solutions.

2. Project completion rate increased by 80%.

3. Number of project completed with 3 months increased by 70%.

4. Graduating Transactional Belts voted CRT, FRT and EC the most useful Six Sigma tools in every wave since introduction.

5. CRT has become the primary tool used for project mining.
6. CRT & FRT are used to facilitate the Super Project concept:
   - Umbrella of project required to achieve major Desirable Effects.
   - Show cross functional relationships and links among multiple projects.
Next Steps
Next Step for TOC at Seagate

1. Thinking Process:
   - Implement Prerequisite and Transition Trees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phases: DMAIC / DIDOV</th>
<th>DMAIC</th>
<th>DIDOV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure / Identify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze / Design</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>FRT &amp; EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve / Optimize</td>
<td>FRT, EC, PRT &amp; TT</td>
<td>PRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control / Validate</td>
<td></td>
<td>TT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Step for TOC at Seagate

2. Change the primary measure for Six Sigma success from cost saving to increasing throughput.

3. Use the Thinking Process to identify and drive the correct projects Seagate should undertake (emphasis on Throughput).

4. Implement Throughput/Constraint Accounting for management decision making.

5. Move from build-to-stock to build-to-demand using Drum-Buffer-Rope.
Questions?
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