Virginia Citizens Defense League, Inc. P.O. Box 513 • Newington, VA 22122 • 804-639-0600 • Fax 804-739-8376

2016 Federal Candidate Survey

Name: Address: City/State/ZIP: Email:		Position Sought: Party: Phone: Web Site	
the public. Despite a new National Park land when generally ban gun carry	930) forbids gun carry in gov w federal law invalidating Nat the gun carrier complies with on land open to the public (e. aw abiding gun owner with a	tional Park regulations n state law, many othe g., Post Office parkin	s against gun carry on er federal agencies still g lots). Finding one's
	<u>RT</u> legislation invalidating a en to the public when such		
Yes	No		
require no permit to carry that states accept all state Congress passed the Na share with NICS all relev necessary to ensure accept that fail to meet the NICS penalties of 3-10% of the Assistance Grant (JAG)	ccept" states now accept all of handguns concealed). Rectes' concealed handgun permetional Instant Check System want state criminal conviction a urate processing of Brady Act Standards of the funds otherwise authorized program. By linking such fedus, Congress' action avoids a	cent efforts in Congressits have failed. By continuous (NICS) Improvement and mental health additionable to an ental mental health additionable to the state under the deral mandate to a "not to the state under to a "not to the state under the deral mandate	ss to simply mandate ontrast, in 2007 the Act requiring states to judication records ground checks. States ler the Act to funding a Byrne Justice on-coercive" percentage
	PORT legislation to encour enalties on states which do s?		
Yes	No		
b. Will you <u>SUP</u>	PORT national reciprocity	legislation?	
Yes	No		

3. The federal Gun Free School Zones Act (GFSZA) was enacted in 1996 and makes the possession of a firearm within 1,000 feet of school property a felony. It provides several exceptions to the possession prohibition, including one for individuals possessing a valid license to carry a firearm issued in the state in which the school is located, and BATFE has issued an opinion stating that this text should be strictly construed to mean just that – permits only good in state of issuance
However, the GFSZA was enacted when there were very few States that had a concealed handgun permitting system, and even fewer had reciprocal agreements for recognition of other state-issued permits. This situation has changed radically in the intervening 15 years. Now, almost all states have agreements or laws in place for reciprocation or recognition of other State handgun carry permits or licenses.
So, while a Virginia issued concealed handgun permit is recognized as a valid license to carry a concealed handgun in a large number of states, the permit holder could face federal criminal charges if he strays into a federal gun free school zone in all of those states except Virginia.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to modify the GFSZA to exempt concealed handgun permit holders from the GFSZA firearm possession ban if the state in which the school is located recognizes the permit?
Yes No
4. The GFSZA also makes it unlawful to discharge a firearm within a gun free school zone. Unfortunately, there is no exemption to the discharge prohibition for an individual acting in lawful self-defense, lawful defense of others, or lawful defense of property.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to modify the GFSZA to exempt individuals acting in lawful self defense, lawful defense of others, or lawful defense of property from the discharge ban?
Yes No
5. The GFSZA creates a 1,000-foot gun-ban in all directions from the perimeter of school property. A circle with a radius of 1,000 feet contains over 72 acres of land! Of course, the property of schools can be large with many being built on over forty acres of land (such as two recent high schools in Loudoun County, Virginia), creating a very large prohibited zone.
Congress enacted this ban, even though the Supreme Court had stated the year before in U.S. v. Lopez (1995) that the Commerce Clause could not be used to justify banning firearms on school property (or 1,000 feet beyond it).
a. Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to eliminate this 1,000-foot zone?
Yes No
b. Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> a repeal of the Gun Free School Zones Act, allowing state and local law to regulate the carry of firearms on or near schools?
Yes No

6.	Gun cor	ntrol a	dvocates	have made a	concerted	effort to	demonize (gun shows	as a place	where
cr	iminals ha	ave re	ady acces	ss to firearms.	. However	, accord	ing to a 200	01 U.S. De _l	partment of	
Jυ	istice rep	ort, or	nly 0.7 of	1% of criminal	s got their	guns fro	m gun sho	WS.		

The terms "gun show loophole" and "unlicensed gun dealer" have been fabricated to mislead the public into believing that gun shows permit firearm transfers that would be forbidden anywhere else. There is nothing that can be done legally at a gun show that cannot also be done legally outside of a gun show. The so-called "gun show loophole" is no loophole at all. All dealers must perform EXACTLY the same background check at gun shows that is required at their place of business.

business.
Closing the imaginary "gun show loophole" is just the first step in a campaign to criminalize all private gun transfers and create a de facto gun registry.
Will you <u>OPPOSE</u> any legislation designed to restrict non-dealer private gun transfers (whether at gun shows or outside of gun shows)?
Yes No
7. In addition to efforts to close the non-existent "gun show loophole", gun-control advocates have recently started pushing "universal background checks" in an effort to criminalize <u>all</u> non-dealer private gun transfers. The only way to enforce private-seller background checks is to implement universal gun registration so the government knows who has what guns and when any transfers take place. The only way to enforce universal gun registration is to implement universal compliance inspections.
In addition, under the "universal background check" scheme, the Right to Keep and Bear Arms would be the only right enumerated in the US Constitution that would require permission from the government to exercise that right.
Will you OPPOSE any "universal background check" legislation?
Yes No
8. In 1994, Congress banned 180 types of semi-automatic firearms based on appearance or accessories. These so-called "assault weapons" are mechanically and functionally identical to other semi-automatic one-shot-per-trigger-pull firearms, all of which are useful for hunting, target shooting, collecting, and self-defense. In order to keep these banned firearms on the market, manufacturers made simple cosmetic changes to them. The law was allowed to sunset in 2004.
Will you <u>OPPOSE</u> any law banning any type of semi-automatic firearm or limiting magazine capacity?
Yes No

9. In 1968, Congress established categories of persons prohibited from possessing firearms including those convicted of crimes punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one year (the common law definition of a felony offense).

Twenty-eight years later, in 1996, Congress passed the Lautenberg Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Gun Ban. This amendment to an appropriations bill added to the categories of prohibited persons those who had been convicted of misdemeanor offenses as minor as disciplining a child or having a heated argument with a spouse or other family member. This ban applies even when the defendant is not entitled to a jury trial nor given notice that a conviction would result in loss of firearm rights for life. This law effectively disarms individuals for life as states do not have a mechanism to restore firearms rights removed by federal law. Finally, this law is ex post facto for individuals who were convicted decades before the law went into effect.

a. Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> modifying the Lautenberg Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Gun Ban to prohibit possession of a firearm <u>only</u> if the person is both (1) afforded a right to a jury trial for the offense, and (2) warned by the judge, prior to entering a guilty plea, that a guilty plea will result in loss of gun rights for life.
Yes No
b. Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> the repeal of the Lautenberg Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Gun Ban?
Yes No
10. The BATFE has been cited in recent years (1) for putting gun dealers out of business through trumped up paperwork "offenses," (2) for arbitrarily banning firearms and components from importation by bureaucratically reclassifying them, and (3) for converting semi-automatic firearms into machine guns in order to set up innocent Americans for prosecution. In addition, BATFE has implemented outrageous programs, such as "Fast and Furious", in an effort to encourage support for more gun control.
Will you use your position to rein in the BATFE?
Yes No
11. The Gun Control Act of 1968 (GCA 68) first established the federal prohibition against purchasing a firearm outside a person's state of residence. In 1986, Congress permitted the purchase of shotguns and rifles from dealers outside a person's state of residence, but handguns still may not be purchased outside a person's state of residence.
In 1993, Congress passed the Brady Act. The National Instant Check System (NICS) portion of the Brady Act makes the "in state only" provision of GCA 68 for buying handguns obsolete. In 1968 there was no way for a national background check to be performed in a reasonable time, so forcing people to buy handguns near their home may have had some merit. Today, national background checks can be performed in seconds.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> removing the "in state only" provision of the Gun Control Act of 1968?
Yes No

Form 4473) for 20 (5) years. This is a	years even though In invasion of gun	quires gun dealers to keep records on gun purchases (ATF h the statute of limitations for a criminal prosecution is only five owner privacy and results in a federal system of decentralized at burdens gun dealers with maintaining files on gun owners for
	JPPORT legislation cords from 20 year	ion to reduce the time that gun dealers must keep firearms ears to 5 years?
Yes	No	_
arms was an individuely down the federal la released on bail. T	dual right protected w mandating that his requirement to	ourt held in District of Columbia v. Heller that the right to bear ed by the Second Amendment, federal district courts struck persons arrested for certain crimes forgo all firearms rights if o relinquish firearms rights without any conviction or erousness was held to violate the due process clause of the
alleged federal "list	s," drawn up by fe	ngress continue to propose that persons on any of a number of ederal bureaucrats without judicial oversight, such as the no-fly atch list," be denied firearm purchases by NICS without due
		t to deny Americans their firearm rights based upon wn up by bureaucrats without judicial oversight and due
Yes	No	
ownership of any for though no crimes h over 60 years.	ully-automatic firea ave ever been do	Firearm Owners Protection Act of 1986 prohibits civilian arm that was not registered prior to May 19, 1986 – even ocumented involving legally owned fully-automatic firearms in
		on that would repeal the Hughes Amendment and allow register new machine-guns with BATFE?
Yes	No	_

	it in reality does neither.	new legislation, supposedly to combat crime Instead, the legislation is designed to
a. <u>OPPOSE</u> legislation from 18 to 21 years o		gal age for possession of a handgun
Yes	No	
b. <u>OPPOSE</u> legislation purchase a month?	on that would limit the	number of handguns a buyer can
Yes	No	
	on that would require o s ownership registry?	r allow any agency of the Federal
Yes	No	
d. OPPOSE any bill t	hat bans firearms char	nbered in .50 caliber or less?
Yes	No	
e. OPPOSE any bill t	hat imposes punitive to	exation on ammunition or firearms?
Yes	No	
		nt of time that the FBI can retain the rough the National Instant Check
Yes	No	
Feel free to attach additional of	comments to this survey.	
	Candidate Auth	orization
My signature affirms that the answer		epresent my beliefs as a candidate for elective office.
Candidate's Signature		Date