Virginia Citizens Defense League, Inc. PO Box 513 • Newington, VA 22122 • 804-639-0600 • Fax 804-739-8376 • survey.ga@vcdl.org

2020 General Assembly Candidate Survey

Name:	Position Sought:
Address:	
City/State/ZIP:	Party:
	Phone:
Email:	Web Site
if that same person, in that same place, wears a jac required to get training, pay a fee, have a backgroup order to conceal a legally owned and carried firearr. Some states recognize that every citizen has the rigmanner in which a law-abiding citizen carries his government in the requires nor issues permits at all, 15 other states (A Maine, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, Northand Wyoming) continue to issue optional permits for agreements with other states. Many are calling this will you vote FOR "Constitutional Carry" to carry firearms openly or concealed (at	ermit is required in Virginia to open carry. However, cket or coat which covers their firearm they are und check run, and wait up to 45 days for a permit in m. ght to carry a gun, openly or concealed, as the un should not matter. While Vermont neither Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Idaho, Kansas, Kentucky th Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, West Virginia, or those who wish to take advantage of reciprocity
applying for a concealed handgun permi	•
Yes No	
2. Virginia law generally prohibits the carrying of graphs for the police and judges and Commonwealth Attor	
training can't even get out of his car! This ban 1) in	Virginia parent with a concealed handgun permit and offinges the rights of law abiding Virginians, 2) grants ow little or nothing of Virginia law, and 3) advertises sonnel would remain helpless in the face of a
Even the draconian federal Gun Free School Zone holders from its restrictions and allows permit holder	Act specifically exempts concealed handgun permit ers to carry their firearms into classrooms.
	osely conform school gun policy with federal un permit holders to have a gun on their person
Yes No	

3. Virginia law does <u>not</u> prohibit the carry or possession of firearms on college and university property. However, many colleges and universities have established policies prohibiting students and staff from possessing or carrying firearms on campus, even as they take tax dollars from the citizens dispossessed of their rights. Students risk expulsion and staff risk being fired if they violate these policies.

Unfortunately, these "no guns" policies only disarm the law-abiding who are then unable to provide for their own defense, as seen in recent school massacres where such gun control was in effect. Statistics indicate that mass murderers seek out these places where they can inflict maximum carnage with little or no resistance.

Bills were introduced in both 2006 and 2007 Legislative Sessions to eliminate these college "victim disarmament zones". They were defeated, ensuring that criminals and murderers will have the upper hand.

As the tragedy at Virginia Tech proved, the "I'm unarmed, please don't hurt me" approach is not an effective means of self defense, especially when faced with a violent criminal determined to kill. Unfortunately, the police can't be everywhere all the time and usually arrive <u>after</u> the crime to take a report from any survivors.

on campus?		
Yes No		

Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislation that would prevent public colleges and universities from penalizing students, faculty, staff, and guests with concealed handgun permits who carry

4. Effective July 1, 2010, concealed handgun permit holders can carry concealed in all restaurants in Virginia provided they don't consume any alcoholic beverages while carrying concealed. However, on & off duty Virginia police officers, retired law enforcement officers, and vacationing law enforcement from other states, Commonwealth Attorneys, and any judge or justice of the Commonwealth are all exempted from this prohibition. This un-American arrangement creates two classes of citizens: those on the government payroll who get special privileges and the rest of us who don't.

It seems only appropriate that there should be one standard for whether or not it is appropriate for someone carrying a concealed handgun to consume alcoholic beverages in a restaurant.

Yes No

Will you vote FOR legislation to eliminate this double standard on carrying of concealed
handguns in restaurants licensed to sell alcoholic beverages for on premises
consumption?

5. Gun control advocates frequently attempt to discourage gun ownership by creating a patchwork of differing local government ordinances. Most recently, efforts have been made to authorize Roanoke, Richmond, Norfolk, and Fairfax County to enact ordinances restricting the carrying or possession of firearms in certain municipal facilities.

Effecti buildin

Effective July 1, 2020, the law was changed and now allows localities to ban carry in local government buildings, parks, recreation & community centers, and at permitted events and adjoining streets.
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislation to repeal the law that allows localities to restrict the carrying or possession of firearms by law-abiding citizens in recreation centers, libraries parks, permitted events, or other local government buildings and facilities?
Yes No
6. In the 2020 Legislative Session, gun control advocates succeeded in passing legislation establishing "universal background checks" to criminalize <u>all</u> non-dealer private gun transfers. (The only way to enforce private seller background checks is to implement universal gun registration so the government knows who has what guns and when any transfers take place, and the only way to enforce universal gun registration is to implement universal compliance inspections.)
In addition, under the "universal background check" scheme, the Right to Keep and Bear Arms would be the only right enumerated in the US Constitution that would require permission from the government to exercise that right.
Will you vote $\underline{\text{FOR}}$ legislation to repeal the "universal background check" legislation pass into law in 2020?
Yes No
7. A few states, such as California, have implemented Red Flag laws, also called Gun Violence Restraining Orders (GRVO), Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO), or Emergency Substantial Risk Orders (ESRO). The fact that the accused is left free and unsupervised instead of the Court mandating psychiatric observation, detention, or supervision proves that these are really gun-confiscation laws disguised as "gun-violence prevention" or "suicide prevention" laws and are being pushed hard at both the state and federal levels.
Red Flag laws allow a judge to direct the police to confiscate a person's firearms merely on the word of another that the gun owner is a danger to himself or others. The accused does not get any due process, or even any notice, before the police show up at his door to remove all his firearms at gunpoint. Only after a period of time can the gun owner petition the court to return his firearms. The court can deny the petition for as long as they choose.
Red Flag laws strip a citizen of not only his right to keep and bear arms, but also his right to due process and presume guilt in advance of a crime being committed.
In the 2020 Legislative Session, a Red Flag bill was passed and Governor Ralph Northam signed it into law.
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislation to repeal the Emergency Substantial Risk Order that was signed into law?
Yes No

8. In 1993, Governor Doug Wilder led an emotional stampede that limited the number of handguns law-abiding citizens may purchase to one every 30 days -- with police permission required for multiple purchases. Today, most Americans realize that: 1) criminals will always find ways of obtaining weapons whether legally or illegally and 2) limiting the legal activities of honest citizens reduces popular support for laws in general and undermines our legal system.

South Carolina was the first state to pass a "one-handgun-a-month" law and repealed that law in 2004. In 2012, Virginia also repealed its "one-handgun-a-month" law. In 2015, the US Court of Appeals struck down Washington, DC's "one-handgun-a-month" law.

In 2020, the General Assembly passed and Governor Ralph Northam signed a bill reinstating the "one-handgun-a-month" law.

Will you yote FOR legislation to repeal Virginia's "one-handgun-a-month" rationing

	lav	w?		J			9
	Ye	es No	_				
9.	• • • •	rifles) inexpensive and a inducing "Saturda high-capacity mag based solely upor	opies of popular min they are, in fact, in they are, in fact, in affordable handgur by Night Special" la gazines (standard on an arbitrary definitelf guns (like .50 call.	ilitary rifles and dentical in func ns (frequently de bel) capacity magaz ition of what is '	carbines (strictly tion to standard so emonized with the tines misrepresen	due to their cosmemi-automatic hue racist and fear	netic unting

10. With the recent push by the federal government to ban various types of guns and magazines, and with talk of registration and even confiscation, it is very important that Virginia protect its citizens as much as possible from having constitutional rights unlawfully stripped away.

Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislation to prevent any agency, political subdivision, or employee of Virginia from assisting the Federal government of the United States in any investigation, prosecution, detention, arrest, search, or seizure, under the authority of any federal statute enacted, or Executive Order or regulation issued, after December 31, 2020, that infringes on the individual Right to Keep and Bear Arms by imposing new restrictions on private ownership, private transfer of firearms, firearm magazines, ammunition, or components thereof?

11. Most firearms experts recognize that mechanical devices, such as trigger locks, create an extremely dangerous condition, whereby a gun can be fired accidentally. These dangerous "lock up your safety" devices may render a firearm ineffective when most needed and leave an individual or family defenseless and vulnerable to attack. Additionally, trigger locks create a false sense of security similar to that created when child safety caps were mandated which resulted in a significant increase in child poisonings when parents came to rely on the "safety" caps rather than education to protect their children.
Will you vote <u>AGAINST</u> government mandated use of trigger locks or other such hazardous "safety" devices which have the effect of making it difficult, if not impossible, to have a gun available to defend your home and family?
Yes No
12. Most experts agree that "ballistic fingerprinting" is not a valid fingerprinting of firearms but rather just a snapshot in time because the markings on the shell and bullets change over time with use, parts replacement, and/or intentional modifications.
Two studies done for the California Department of Justice concluded that ballistic fingerprinting is not a viable methodology. Most recently, a Maryland State Police report on Maryland's ballistic fingerprinting program called it expensive and ineffective. It is not only a waste of taxpayer dollars, but prohibitively increases costs for hobbyists and sportsmen. In addition, the head of the Maryland State Police testified before a Maryland House committee that the mandate to collect ballistics information hasn't helped solve any crimes.
Will you vote <u>AGAINST</u> any legislation designed to impose these useless and expensive "feel good" ballistic fingerprinting schemes in the Commonwealth?
Yes No
13. In 1989, the Supreme Court of Virginia (SCOVA) MANDATED, in Diffendal vs Commonwealth, that "Moreover, the force used must be reasonable in relation to the harm threatened." However, in 1995, the General Assembly changed concealed weapons permits from "weapon" permits, to "handgun" permits, stripping Virginians of ANY less than lethal option, despite SCOVA's mandate. This puts case law and the Code of Virginia in direct conflict with each other and creates a dangerous liability for all carriers in Virginia, open or concealed.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> less-lethal options for Virginia's citizens by restoring Virginia's concealed handgun permit back into a concealed weapons permit, as it was prior to 1995?
Yes No
14. At the beginning of the 2020 Legislative Session, the 14-member Joint Rules Committee passed ar edict banning the possession of firearms by the public at the Capitol and the General Assembly Building (GAB). The General Assembly never debated or voted on this "General Assembly Building Gun Ban," nor has the General Assembly ever granted the Joint Rules Committee the specific authority to ban the lawful possession of firearms.
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> overturning this GAB gun ban?
Yes No

15. Recently, there has been an effort to ban suppressors based upon one illegal use of this firearm accessory, even though there are well over one million legally owned suppressors in the United States that are used safely and responsibly by law-abiding citizens.

Unfortunately, too many people believe Hollywood movies that, for dramatic effect, vastly overstate the noise reduction capability of a suppressor. When a gun is fired, three sounds are produced – the supersonic crack of the bullet, the muzzle blast of gasses created by burning gunpowder, and the cycling of the weapon. A suppressor reduces only one of these sounds – the muzzle blast. Even with a suppressor, most common rifles and pistols are still very loud. Suppressors lower the sound level for most firearms from much louder than a jet plane taking off down to the level of a jackhammer.

ly signature affirms that the answers given above accurate				
Candidate A	uthorization			
Feel free to attach additional comments to this survey	y.			
Yes No				
Will you vote <u>AGAINST</u> any legislation to I the Commonwealth?	ban the sale or possession of suppressors in			
also reduces environmental noise levels affecting nearby residences & businesses.				
A suppressor helps prevent long-term damage to the	hearing of a shooter, hunter, or nearby people. It			