

**ACJS ANNUAL BOARD MEETING
PUBLIC MINUTES
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2010
San Diego, California**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Janice Joseph, James Marquart, Melissa Barlow, Wes Johnson, Nicole Leeper Piquero, Denise Kindschi Gosselin, John Worrall, David Owens, Lee Ross, Ken Novak, Willard Oliver, Craig Hemmens

OTHERS PRESENT: Cathy Barth (ACJS Association Manager), Mittie Southerland (ACJS Executive Director)

OTHERS: Nancy Merritt (Senior Policy Advisor for NIJ), Angela Moore (NIJ), David Mueller (new board member), Tracy Roberts (Editorial Director Taylor & Francis), Matthew Derbyshire (Managing Editor Taylor & Francis)

ABSENT: Marilyn Chandler-Ford and Barb Sims

I. Welcome and Introductions

a. Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

A motion to approve the agenda was made by Johnson and seconded by Oliver. Motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

II. Approval of Minutes (Piquero)

A motion to accept the Minutes (Public and Confidential) from the mid-year meeting was made by Marquart and seconded by Owens. The motion passed with all in favor and one abstention (Piquero).

- a. Mid-year Minutes—Public
- b. Mid-year minutes – Confidential

III. President's Report (Joseph)

A motion to accept the President's Report was made by Ross and seconded by Johnson. Motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

a. Awards and Recognition

- 1. Mentorship: In recognition of the importance of mentorship, a call for nominations went out in November 2009 for outstanding mentors. There were 21 nominees and they will be honored at this conference (in San Diego). The

process was non-competitive for granting these awards. ACJS should consider offering these awards again next year.

2. Past Presidents: This year past presidents will be recognized for their service to the organization. Information provided by the past presidents was used to create a profile of activities that occurred during their presidency as well as their present involvement in professional organizations. These will be listed in the conference program highlighting their activities during their ACJS presidency. A more detailed profile of each of them will be placed on the ACJS website with the expectation that every year, the outgoing president's profile will be added to the list. The past presidents will be acknowledged during this year's President's reception.

IV. 1st Vice President's Report (Marquart)

A motion to accept the 1st Vice President's Report was made by Piquero and seconded by Ross. The motion was approved with all in favor and one abstention (Marquart).

- a. Local arrangements are being made by the University of Ontario-Institute of Technology.
- b. A site visit will likely occur sometime in May.
- c. The location of the 2010 mid-year Executive Board meeting is still undecided.

V. 2nd Vice President's Report (Barlow)

A motion to approve the 2nd Vice President's report was made by Gosselin and seconded by Marquart. The motion passed with all in favor and one abstention (Barlow).

VI. Immediate Past President's Report (W. Johnson)

A motion to approve the Immediate Past President's oral report was made by Owens and seconded by Barlow. The motion carried with all in favor and one abstention (Johnson).

- a. The ACJS Executive Board was encouraged to move to electronic ballots and voting. NOTE: an amendment to the Constitution regarding electronic voting was placed on the November 2009 ballot and subsequently passed.
- b. Nominations and election results were submitted and discussed in a separate report.

VII. Treasurer's Report (Ford)

A motion to approve the Treasurer's report was made by Oliver and seconded by Hemmens. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

VIII. Secretary's Report (Piquero)

A motion to approve the Secretary's report was made by Johnson and seconded by Hemmens. The motion was approved with all in favor and one abstention (Piquero).

a. Email Motions since mid-year meeting:

1. **Chandler-Ford motioned and Hemmens seconded "to accept the report from the Award Committee submitted on October 26, 2009."** President Joseph called for the vote on October 26, 2009 and notified the Board the motion passed on October 29, 2009.
2. **Hemmens motioned and Gosselin seconded "to approve all of the recommendations of the Award Committee submitted on October 26, 2009."** President Joseph called for the vote on October 26, 2009. When voting closed on October 29, 2009, the motion passed with 12 in favor and one absent.
 - **Bruce Smith Sr. Award = Dr. Edward J. Latessa**
 - **Fellow Award = Dr. Freda Adler**
 - **Outstanding Book Award = Richard Leo's book Police Interrogation and American Justice (2008).**
 - **The William L. Simon/Anderson Publishing Company Outstanding Student Paper Award = Jennifer Gibbs' paper, "A Structural Analysis of Homicides of Baltimore Officers"**
3. **Hemmens motioned and Chandler-Ford seconded "to accept Angela D. Crews (Marshall University) as Deputy Chair of the Student Affairs Committee."** President Joseph called for the vote on October 26, 2009. When voting closed on November 2, 2009, the motion passed.
4. **Chandler-Ford motioned and Hemmens seconded "to accept the report from the Award Committee submitted on November 19, 2009 regarding the Publication Awards."** President Joseph called for the vote on November 19, 2009. When voting closed on November 20, 2009, the motion passed with 12 in favor and one absent.
5. **Hemmens motioned and Novak seconded "to approve the recommendations of the Awards Committee regarding the Publication Awards."** President Joseph called for the vote on November 30, 2009. When voting closed on December 4, 2009, the motion passed with 12 in favor and one absent.

The Donald E. J. MacNamara Outstanding Publication Award subcommittee recommends the article entitled, "Racial Discrimination and Hirschi's Criminological Classic: A Chapter in the Sociology of Knowledge" which was co-authored by James D. Unnever, Francis T. Cullen, Scott A.

Mathers, Thomas E. McClure, and Marissa C. Allison. It appeared in Justice Quarterly, 26, No. 3.

The William L. Simon Anderson Outstanding Paper Award subcommittee recommends "I Got Your Back": An Examination of the Protective Function of Gang Membership in Adolescence" which was co-authored by Chris Melde, Terrance Taylor, and Finn-Age Esbensen. This paper was presented at the 2009 Annual Meeting.

6. **Hemmens motioned and Ross seconded “to accept the report from the Affirmative Action Committee.”** President Joseph called for the vote on December 7, 2009. When voting closed on December 8, 2009, the motion passed with 12 in favor and one abstention (Piquero).
7. **Oliver motioned and Marquart seconded “to accept the award nominations from the Affirmative Action Committee.”** President Joseph called for the vote on December 14, 2009. When voting closed on December 18, 2009, the motion passed with 10 in favor, two absent, and one abstention (Piquero).
8. **Sims motioned and Marquart seconded “to accept the report of the Teller’s Committee.”** President Joseph called for the vote on December 14, 2009. When voting closed on December 18, 2009, the motion passed with 10 in favor and three abstentions (Johnson, Piquero, and Hemmens).
9. **Hemmens motioned and Barlow seconded “to accept the NGO Report – UN Congress in Brazil in 2010.”** President Joseph called for the vote on December 22, 2009. When voting closed on December 23, 2009, the motion passed with 9 in favor, two absent, and one abstention (Gosselin).
10. **Oliver motioned and Owens seconded “to accept the recommendations of the Selection Committee (as listed in the NGO Report).”** The Committee made the following recommendations:

(1) ACJS should fund all four presentations (Jay Albanese, Phil Reichel, Joanne Katz & David W. Tushaus [NOTE: not a member of ACJS so not eligible for ACJS funding) for the UN Congress in Brazil

(2) ACJS should include a panel based on these proposed presentations at our 2010 conference ACJS in San Diego, “to do a test run” and get feedback for the attendees at the conference. Then the applicants can improve their presentations.

President Joseph called for the vote on December 29, 2009. When voting closed on January 4, 2010, the motion passed with 11 in favor, one absent, and one abstention (Gosselin).

11. **Gosselin motioned and Hemmens seconded “to accept the 2010 ACJS Student Scholarship Awards Committee Report.”** President Joseph called for the vote on December 29, 2009. When voting closed on December 29, 2009, the motion passed with 12 in favor and one absent.

12. **Piquero motioned and Barlow seconded “to accept the recommendations of the 2010 ACJS Student Scholarship Awards Committee Report.”** Voting began before the vote was called. When voting closed on January 4, 2010, the motion passed with 12 in favor and one absent.

IX. Executive Director’s Report (Southerland)

A motion to approve the Executive Director’s report was made by Gosselin and seconded by Barlow. The motion was approved with a unanimous vote (11-0).

- a. Regalia Colors Policy #104.08 (effective March 2002) to be included in policy manual.
- Color = Midnight Blue

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Piquero to approve policy #104.08 regarding the Academic Regalia Color for Criminal Justice/Criminology. Motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- b. Graduate Guide proposed as a web-based searchable “document”
- 1) Printed and sold in hard copy or as a PDF but free searching capabilities
 - 2) Multiple membership forms: Doctoral, Masters, Baccalaureate, and Associate
 - 3) Initial Goal: ACJS Guide to Graduate Programs in Criminal Justice and Criminology
 - Guide to Doctoral Programs in Criminal Justice and Criminology
 - Guide to Master’s Programs in Criminal Justice and Criminology
 - 4) Long-term Goal to add:
 - Guide to Baccalaureate Programs in Criminal Justice and Criminology
 - Guide to Associate Programs in Criminal Justice and Criminology
 - 5) Information regarding costs (from BFA document “Graduate Guide Financial Structure)

A motion to approve the proposed graduate guide plan was made by Barlow and seconded by Hemmens. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- c. The ASA Task Force Report on Sociology and Criminology was received (and emailed to ACJS Executive Board members on 2-22-2010). ACJS was asked to make a statement regarding the contents of this 61-page report. [NOTE: ASA will be publishing this report in about two weeks.] It is recommended that the Executive Board refer this report to the academic review committee (ARC) for their recommendation regarding a statement for approval by the Executive Board.

- d. ASC Policy Committee regarding the need for an ACJS Experts Directory and how this might feed into the ACJS goal of influencing policy through provision of evidence through research and practice findings.

A motion was made by Gosselin and seconded by Hemmens to approve establishing an ad hoc Policy Committee tasked with (the minimum) of four points: (a) make recommendations to the ACJS Executive Board regarding the implementation of an ACJS Experts Directory; (b) make recommendations to the ACJS Executive Board regarding the conditions for ACJS to respond to or suggest policy positions and the methods to use for taking such actions; (c) a sub-committee of this policy committee would communicate with the ASC Policy Committee, currently chaired by the ASC President, for the purpose of exploring the possibility of coordinating our efforts; (d) to assist with drafting a proposal regarding the establishment of a Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) authorized "Science Advisory Committee" for the Office of Justice Programs with two strong sub-committees for NIJ and BJS. The motion passed with 9 in favor, one abstention (Ross) and one absent (Hemmens).

NOTE: This committee would establish criteria regarding who to include and how to include people as "experts." The publications committee should also be involved in making recommendations to the Executive Board for approval of who becomes "experts."

X. Association Manager's Report (Barth)

A motion to approve the Association Manager's report was made by Ross and seconded by Oliver. The motion was approved with a unanimous vote (11-0).

- a. ACJS has seen an increase in revenues over the last three years.
- b. This year's annual meeting:
 - Has more pre-registrations (approximately 100 more) than any other past conference
 - Sold 48 exhibiter booths – more than ever before
 - Does not qualify for tax exemption in California
- c. Toronto's meeting will probably not see as many exhibitors since it will be harder for them to travel across the border.

XI. Program Report on 2010 Annual Meeting (Wilson Palacios, Program Chair)

A motion to approve the 2010 Program Report was made by Piquero and seconded by Ross. The motion was approved with all in favor (11-0).

- a. Complete final program books are now available on the web.

XII. Committee Reports

A motion to approve all of committee reports was made by Worrall and seconded by Novak. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- a. Academic Review (Albanese)
- b. Affirmative Action (Muraskin)
- c. Assessment (K. Johnson)
- d. Awards (Merlo)
 - A previous recipient of each of the ACJS Awards should serve on future award sub-committees for each of the respective awards.
 - This will be added to the list of duties for committee chairs by recommending past award winners should be used as potential members of sub-committees.
- e. Budget, Finance, and Audit (Barlow)
 - 1) Printing AJCS NOW costs the Academy \$6,000 for printing and postage; thus, moving it to an online format would save the Academy a significant amount of money. Therefore, it is recommended that January 2011 be a transition year in which members will be offered the choice of receiving ACJS NOW either online or in hard copy.
 - The suggestion of BFA was amended so that ACJS NOW would become an online publication and that members who wished to receive the publication in a hard copy should so designate that preference to the National Office. Notification and instructions would be made available in the next issue of ACJS NOW.

A motion was made to collapse ACJS NOW and ACJS Today into one online publication by Johnson and seconded by Hemmens. The motion was tabled (by Gosselin and seconded by Novak) with all in favor (11-0) until the Executive Board discussed the ACJS NOW and ACJS Today editors reports.

- 2) The Executive Board currently supports the Raben group project (in conjunction with the American Society of Criminology) at a cost of \$2,000 per month. The recommendation is to change the level of support to \$1,000 per month in light of the amount of work being completed.
 - Southerland noted that all parties involved in the Raben contract (Raben, ACJS, and ASC) had discussed the goals of the partnership. Raben will have a report to the board by the end of the week. Thus, the recommendation is to keep with the current contract until it expires.
 - A face-to-face meeting with Raben was discussed. This could happen at either the next BFA meeting (which is usually conducted at the National Office in Greenbelt, MD) or with the full Executive Board at the mid-year meeting. It should be noted that ACJS will incur all costs of travel for Raben members as listed in the current contract.

- One option is to hold the mid-year meeting at the National Office and invite Raben to attend.

- 3) It was recommended that no financial support be provided to the ACJS History Project.

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Oliver to table this discussion until the Proposal of ACJS history is discussed under New Business. All voted in favor (11-0) of tabling the discussion to later in the meeting.

- 4) Changes to Policy #501.02 – National Office – Section Financial Relations was presented.

A motion to approve the changes to Policy #501.02 was made by Gosselin and seconded by Owens. The motion carried with all in favor (11-0).

- 5) ACJS journals: The Taylor & Francis 2010-2014 contract allows ACJS to allow members to specify if they want online only access to journals or if they want to continue to receive a hard copy of the journal.
 - Timeframe for making the change should be on a calendar year basis including the selection as part of membership renewal.
 - During the current contract (2010-2014) all student members will receive online only access.
 - January 2011 should be used as a transition year in which members will be given the choice of receiving journals either online or in hard copy.

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Gosselin that members would be given the choice to opt out of receiving hard copies of ACJS journal by January 2012. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- 6) It was recommended that ACJS give members an option of voting online or by mail ballot.

A motion that ACJS will go to entirely secure electronic voting by the 2012 election was made by Oliver and seconded by Worrall. The motion passed with 10 in favor one abstention (Gosselin).

- 7) Changes to Policy #200.04 – Complementary ACJS Membership for Doctoral and Other Graduate Students.

A motion to approve the changes to Policy #200.04 was made by Piquero and seconded by Marquart. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- 8) BFA discussed rate changes for memberships, registration, exhibiting and advertising and recommends the changes to the rates as provided in the Rate Structure document provided in the Executive Board packet.

A motion was made by Marquart and seconded by Johnson to immediately adopt the changes proposed in the rate structure document. The motion carried with all in favor (11-0).

- 9) Changes to Policy #804.05 – Refunds-Annual Meeting

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Novak to approve the changes to Policy #804.05. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- 10) The Graduate Guide proposal from the Publications Committee was discussed by BFA and recommends approval of the proposal with free full listings of all programs for Institutional Members and a \$400 fee for full listings for non-Institutional Members.

NOTE: This recommendation was approved above (see #8) with the approval of the rate changes in memberships, registration, exhibiting, and advertising.

Southerland recommended that for 2012 the Executive Board consider changing the membership rate and the regular pre-registration rate in order to increase revenues. ACJS is currently \$15 less than the American Society of Criminology (ASC). BFA should be asked to consider this recommendation next year.

Break 10:45- 11:00

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Barlow to suspend agenda. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

Taylor & Francis Presentation: 11:00-11:34am
Tracy Roberts and Matthew Derbyshire

Here today to address four issues:

1. “Usage and driving usage” or moving from hard copies of the journals to electronic copies. Journals have been available online now for 14-15 years and appears to be a popular way to access journals with academics. Many libraries are now using the number of downloads to measure the importance of journal. Therefore, it is important to encourage members to download the articles. Taylor & Francis has implemented “drive by 25” to increase usage by 25% in the next 12 months. This

will result in changes to marketing. A discussion will be had with the Publications Committee and ACJS journal editors to focus on certain articles and on ways of further publicizing them.

- NOTE: the online usage for both *Justice Quarterly* and *Journal of Criminal Justice Education* increased by over 40% in 2009.
2. Metrics – Social Science Citation Index (SSCI): Justice Quarterly had an impact factor of 1.327 for 2008 placing it 9th out of 31 in the Criminology and Penology Category (NOTE: 2009 impact factor will be released later this year). In April 2008 an application was submitted to have *Journal of Criminal Justice Education* included in SSCI. Because SSCI carries so much weight with libraries in terms of deciding which journals to keep in their libraries, it is important to have the articles circulated to the entire audience working in those specified areas. Therefore, authors will be asked for a people who should receive the article and they will be notified of the new article of interest. In addition, the website will be redone to be even more user friendly.
 3. Social networking: (1) Networking sites: There is increasing evidence that some social network sites (e.g., Twitter) can be used to promote the journals. Other networking sites (e.g., Facebook) are still in question. Taylor & Francis will talk with the journal editors about how to move this forward in using social networking sites to promote the journals. (2) Conferencing issues: We really need to get the membership online regarding journals. [NOTE: The Executive Board approved online capabilities earlier in the meeting.] Also, two conference presentations are going to be used to increase engagement with journals for membership. Members will be able to meet authors and discuss work with them.
 4. Financial issues: The average price rose between 3 and 3.5%. This year pricing will not be above 3.5%. The discussion of new pricing (which will include Mittie Southerland) will occur sometime around June. Issue attrition on journals is about 5% and non-subscriptions about 12% (see page 5 section 2.d of report). Libraries pay an institutional rate and sale deals are available for libraries as a way to increase readership.
 - It was suggested that Council of Governments may be another institutional membership to target. This would require articles be more policy oriented. Southerland reported that editors have already discussed having authors focus a section of submitted manuscripts to deal with policy issues of their research that could be easily translated to target government officials.
 - Taylor & Francis does already have some journals that do outreach to policy makers and will investigate whether there is a way to package ACJS journals to policy makers.

NEXT: Members currently all have hard copy and online access which costs about \$100,000. By moving the membership away from hard copies of the journal the Academy can save money.

Break: 11:35-11:40

A motion to accept the Taylor & Francis report was made by Ross and seconded by Novak. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

A motion to resume the agenda was made by Hemmens and seconded by Johnson. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

f. Constitution and By-Laws (Bernat)

- The committee recommended against (5-1) extending national or regional ACJS membership to high school students.

g. Membership (Reichel)

Queries to Executive Board regarding types of “involvement”:

- Do we want some type of co-affiliation with other organizations?
Yes. We need a list of things we would agree to do. Future presidents have agreed to provide more specific charges (or a standardized approach) to this committee.
- Do we want to encourage international organizations to become ACJS Institutional Members?
No they don't fit into the “Institutional Member” category.
- Do we want international organizations to provide their members with information about ACJS membership?
We would need a standing agreement or contract (e.g., MOU) with each organization in order to share our membership list with their members. This should be charged to next year's Membership Committee.
- Would a list of International organizations need to be approved by the Executive Board? Are there any of the existing international organizations we don't want to include?
If they are currently listed on our web they should be contacted and used.

h. Publications (Gido)

i. Students Affairs (Higgins)

j. Ethics (Jones)

- Robert G. Morris (University of Texas at Dallas) will begin serving as Chair of the committee beginning at the 2010 annual meeting.

k. Nominations and Elections (W. Johnson)

A motion to destroy the ballots was made by Barlow and seconded by Novak. The motion passed with 9 in favor and 2 abstentions (Hemmens and Piquero).

Lunch Break: 12:07- 12:58pm

l. *Justice Quarterly* Editor Search Committee (Barlow)

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Gosselin to move into executive session (including Mittie Southerland and David Mueller) to discuss the *Justice Quarterly* proposals. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

Executive Session

A motion to move out of Executive Session was made by Hemmens and seconded by Worrall. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

The Executive Board appreciates the hard work of *Justice Quarterly* Editor Search Committee.

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Gosselin and passed unanimously that the vote taken while in executive session be reported in the minutes: The Executive Board voted on a motion to accept the recommendation of the selection committee for the new editor of *Justice Quarterly* (1 yes; 6 no; 4 abstain); therefore, the recommendation of the *Justice Quarterly* Editor Search Committee was not accepted.

Break 2:17-2:26pm

National Institute of Justice Guest: Nancy Merritt (2:26-2:51)

Today's visit is to understand and discuss some concerns that the membership of ACJS may have regarding the National Institute of Justice (NIJ). Many of the concerns raised by the members of ACJS are in fact many of the same issues held by NIJ.

First and foremost there are certain issues that NIJ cannot control:

1. How much money NIJ receives from Congress.
 - NIJ cannot lobby nor ask Congress for money but rather, the role is to take it and distribute the funds appropriately.
 - NIJ is currently trying to work with and partner up with other federal agencies in order to secure more funds.
2. Funds that come pre-identified from Congress.
3. The actual grant process.
 - Although it should be noted that they are working towards streamlining the process.

Next, the issues that NIJ can control:

1. Obtaining more input from the criminal justice field and criminal justice experts. One way being looked at in particular is through FACA.
2. The peer-review process: (1) some members raised concern about the peer-review process being not being fair (or at a minimum having a perception of not being fair) and perhaps even rigged from the beginning. NIJ agrees that transparency is needed in this process and has begun an internal review. One suggestion from ACJS Executive Board was that NIJ consider presenting a panel the next annual conference (and perhaps future ones) to help members understand the process and to answer questions regarding the process; (2) could be tied to white papers. The idea would be that ACJS could partner with NIJ to disseminate information into the field. This will require getting contractors on the list which involves numerous steps that will be sent to the Executive Board.
3. NIJ is looking to reopen the Visiting scholars program at NIJ.
4. NIJ is also considering creating “new scholar” research.
5. The issue regarding the short timelines for submitting grant proposals is also being looked into. This issue was raised since the short timeframe may work against scholars who work in academic settings who may not have flexibility in focusing their attention solely on the grant proposal.
6. Related to the previous point, is to consider keeping a funding cycle always open so that proposals can come in year round. This, however, will require a different bureaucratic mechanism to be put in place which may take some time.
7. The issue was raised about the need for more technical research (of criminal justice equipment). On this issue, scholars should be referred to the science and technology section (a sister arm to the social science section).

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Ross to move into executive session to discuss Policy #700.01. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

Executive Session

A motion was made by Novak and seconded by Gosselin to come out of executive session. The motion passed unanimously (11-0).

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Owens and passed unanimously that the vote taken while in executive session be reported in the minutes: The Executive Board voted on a motion to select the next editor of *Justice Quarterly* from the two finalists chosen by the *Justice Quarterly* Editor Search Committee. The outcome was a unanimous vote (11-0) in favor of Cassia Spohn.

m. Committee on International Issues Ad Hoc (Hunter)

n. Committee on National Criminal Justice Month Ad Hoc (Burns)

- Committee strongly recommends that ACJS provide web space, and an associated link, to the National Criminal Justice Month website.
- Committee recommends establishing an ongoing, relatively small committee that would be tasked with overseeing the implementation of the various actions identified in the committee's report.

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Johnson that ACJS provide web space as well as a link to the National Criminal Justice Month website. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Barlow that in-coming President Marquart re-constitute this ad hoc committee to oversee the implementation of the various actions made and suggested in this committee's report. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

A motion was made by Piquero and seconded by Oliver to approve the material as presented in the report to allow for broad dissemination of the information. Motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

o. Committee on the UN Congress in Brazil Ad Hoc (Joseph)

p. ACJS Policy Review Ad Hoc (Piquero)

A motion was made by Piquero and seconded by Gosselin to re-constitute the ACJS Policy Review ad hoc committee with the exception of removing Jim Marquart from the committee and adding in the new secretary, Roslyn Muraskin, and Will Oliver. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

q. Employment Exchange Ad Hoc (Rojas)

r. Journal Policy Issues Ad Hoc (Hemmens)

s. Report of NGO Representative to United Nations (Joseph)

ISPAC

Policy for ACJS representatives

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Marquart to accept Policy #101.07. The motion passed with 10 in favor and 1 absent (Marquart).

- t. Report of FLETC Representative (Lord)

XIII. Region Reports

A motion to accept all regional reports made by Hemmens and seconded by Worrall. Motion passes with all in favor (with regional representatives abstaining from their respective reports).

- a. Northeast (Owens)
- b. Southern (Ross)
 - Inquiry: Why doesn't the National Office do more to support the regions? Couldn't the National Office/Executive Board help to fray some of the costs of regional meetings?

Financially there is no shared responsibility between the National Office and the regions (see Policy #600.01). While the ACJS organizations is financially solvent now, this has not always been the case and is not guaranteed in the future. It could take only one disastrous year for the financial situation of ACJS to turn. The regional trustee (Lee Ross) along with Wes Johnson was asked to convey this information to the region.

Given the success of the national office in turning things around, one suggestion was that the National Office could hold a tutorial to help the regions learn how to run more efficiently perhaps in the form of a training panel.

- c. Midwest (Novak)
- d. Southwest (Oliver)
- e. Western (Hemmens)

XIV. Section Reports

A motion to accept all section reports was made by Piquero and seconded by Marquart. Motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- a. Community College (Nielson)

The section needs to elect their officers by means of paper ballots to be mailed out or they need to change their constitution, in either case they will need to mail out ballots. The section also needs to be reminded (by Piquero, the section's liaison) about getting their report in on time and the need for a proper budget.

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Hemmens that we place the Community College Section on probation for having no elected officers and no budget. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

Break 3:33-3:37

b. Corrections (Swanson)

A motion was made to approve the Corrections Section budget by Johnson and seconded by Hemmens. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

c. Critical Criminology (Bohm)

The section needs to be notified (by Piquero, the section's liaison) that the budget they submitted is incorrect and needs to submit one by Friday's Executive Board meeting.

d. Law and Public Policy (Zalman)

The section needs to be notified (by Piquero, the section's liaison) that the budget they submitted is incorrect and needs to submit one by Friday's Executive Board meeting.

e. International (Nasheri)

The section needs to be notified (by Piquero, the section's liaison) that the budget they submitted is incorrect and needs to submit one by Friday's Executive Board meeting.

f. Juvenile Justice (Moak)

A motion was made to approve the Juvenile Justice Section budget by Hemmens and seconded by Johnson. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

g. Minorities and Women (Heath-Thornton)

The section needs to be notified (by Piquero, the section's liaison) regarding the errors in their report: (1) the journal should be listed as *Journal of Ethnicity and Criminal Justice* and (2) the meeting should be listed as San Diego not Boston.

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Gosselin to approve the Minorities and Women Section budget. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

h. Police (Garner)

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Gosselin to approve the Police Section budget. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

i. Restorative and Community Justice (Gilbert)

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Ross to approve the Restorative and Community Justice Section budget. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

j. Security and Crime Prevention (B. Johnson)

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Marquart to approve the Security and Crime Prevention budget. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

XV. Editor Reports

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Johnson to accept all editor reports. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

a. JQ (Tewksbury)

On page 7, it is incorrectly reported that the current editorial board will carry over and serve on the new editor's editorial board. The editor (Tewksbury) needs to be informed (by Joseph) of this error and to remind him that his editorial board's term ends with his tenure as editor.

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Owens that President Joseph contact and notify the editor (Tewksbury) that his editorial board leaves with him when his term ends. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

b. JCJE (Schreck)

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Johnson that the *Journal of Criminal Justice Education* Chris Schreck needs to be informed (by Joseph) that any new editorial board members would serve only until the end of his term as editor. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

c. ACJS Today (Maddan)

d. ACJS NOW (Worley)

A motion was made by Oliver and seconded by Hemmens to publish ACJS Today five times per year – September, November, January, March, and May – at the end of the current editor's (Maddan) term. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

A motion was made by Hemmens and seconded by Marquart to move ACJS NOW online immediately and to terminate ACJS NOW at the end of the current editor's (Worley) term. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- e. Report on Journals from Taylor and Francis (see above)

XVI. Old Business

- a. Victimology Section Constitution and By-Laws
- b. Minority Mentorship Award

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Marquart to accept the changes to Policy #301.01. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

A motion was made by Marquart and seconded by Johnson to accept the new Policy #301.05. The motion passed with all in favor (11-0).

- c. Tabled Issue: BUDGET

A motion was made by Barlow and seconded by Ross to approve the 2010-2011 budget. The motion passed with 10 in favor and one abstention (Marquart).

XVII. New Business

- a. Proposal – History of ACJS

A motion was made by Johnson and seconded by Hemmens to have Will Oliver create the history of ACJS to be placed on the webpage. The motion passed with 10 in favor and one absent (Marquart).

NOTE: An ACJS History panel should be put together for the Toronto meeting.

- b. False Advertising

- Cease and Desist Letter

A letter/email should be sent to the ACJS membership asking them to help police for errors.

The process for handling false advertisements should be: (1) the President will contact the institution and ask them to remove the fraudulent information then ask if they would like to have their institution become certified; if that does not resolve the situation then (2) the cease and desist letter should be sent via certified mail.

The ACJS President's signature as well as the Executive Director's signature should be included on cease and desist letter.

- c. High School Students Membership

- d. Continuation of Mentorship Award to recognize mentors

Joseph was asked to continue this next year.

This could also be useful to help start a mentorship program including how to mentor junior faculty and to get them more involved as well as focus on mentoring new department chairs and/or administrators. An ad hoc committee should be established and charged with starting a mentorship program (including its structure) to focus on (1) research (2) teaching and (3) ACJS involvement.

- e. International work group to work with Academic Council of the United Nations – “Toward a Virtual Criminal Justice Academy”

An ad hoc committee should be created to work with them on creating a proposal to be submitted to the Executive Board. Wes Johnson volunteered to work with them and serve as the ACJS contact person.

- f. ACJS representative for Discover Corrections website.

Cindy Smith was listed as a representative from ACJS (but corrected them that she was not). They would like an ACJS representative someone student oriented and focuses on corrections.

The corrections section should be contacted to see if someone from that section would do it. Johnson is a member of that section and willing to help find someone to serve as ACJS representative.

- g. Internship website

This initiative should be sent to the Publications committee for review and asked to provide recommendations to the Executive Board.

XVIII. Adjourn

A motion was made by Owens and seconded by Novak to adjourn. The motion passed with all in favor.

Meeting adjourned at 4:58 p.m.