



## 2018 CALL FOR ABSTRACTS & SESSIONS

Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning  
 58th Annual Conference, October 25-28, 2018  
 Hosted by: University at Buffalo

Website Open for Submissions:	Tuesday, February 6, 2018, 8:00am EST
Abstract submission deadline:	Tuesday, March 20, 2018, 11:59pm EDST
Notification of Accept or Reject:	We anticipate the third week of May

### Theme: Continuing City: People, Planning & the Long-Haul to Urban Resurgence

Join us next year in Buffalo for The Continuing City: People, Planning, and the Long-Haul to Urban Resurgence. Explore stories of long-term planning and action and questions about equity on topics including economic development, waterfront redevelopment, parks planning, education, employment, transportation. Faculty and students from UB, as well as community leaders from across Buffalo, will share lessons learned from Buffalo’s dogged, continually evolving and in some cases disputed “comeback,” while inviting the exchange of stories of city-making, and remaking, across all urban scales.

Bradshaw Hovey, Ph.D., University of Buffalo  
 2018 Local Host Committee Chair



**ACSP**  
 Connecting educators,  
 researchers and students



<b>Website Open for Submissions:</b>	<b>Tuesday, February 6, 2018, 8:00am EST</b>
<b>Abstract submission deadline:</b>	<b>Tuesday, March 20, 2018, 11:59pm EDST</b>
<b>Notification of Accept or Reject:</b>	<b>We anticipate the third week of May</b>

## New this year!

### ACSP and AESOP

We invite pre-organized sessions/roundtables that include scholars from both the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning (ACSP) and Association of European Schools of Planning (AESOP) member schools, on any topic. Such proposals should highlight the advantage of the transatlantic or transnational perspective. This is part of a long-term effort by ACSP and AESOP to build more collaborations. Pre-organized sessions and roundtables that are comprised of transnational participants from any region of the world are also welcomed, and global scholarship, transatlantic and transnational, is encouraged in any track. All submissions have the same requirements as others described below, and are submitted through the abstract submission site.

### All Abstract Submissions

Please note that ALL proposals should contain the required information as described below including keywords and citations. Only abstracts that meet these criteria will be accepted for the conference.

If you think that any of the following requirements are inappropriate for your work, please provide other relevant information. You will not have the option to attach full bibliographies, reports, or full papers during the abstract submission process.

Your abstract should contain the following information in about 600 words and be suitable for publication online without any further editing:

- Central theme or hypothesis: what research question are you trying to address?
- Approach and methodology: how will you address that question?
- Findings
- Relevance of your work to planning scholarship, practice, or education

- Key words
- Major references/citations

Abstract submissions for papers, posters and lightning presentations should have clear research questions, be of import and pertinence to planning, use acceptable methodology, present results, and discuss implications for scholarship, practice, or education.

#### Key Words

- Provide at least one, but no more than five.
- Key words help track chairs when putting together coherent paper sessions.
- The Book of Accepted Abstracts published to [www.acsp.org](http://www.acsp.org) will have an index of key words.

#### Major References/Citations

- Provide at least three, but no more than five.
- Citations help reviewers place your work in its field
- The abstract submission system will restrict the listing of citations to a total of five (5).
- In the past, submissions have been rejected because of a lack of citations.

PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD your abstract submission while online! Abstracts cannot be after the submission deadline.

### AICP Credit Eligibility for your Submission

AICP pre-approves the ACSP Conference sessions so AICP members know the sessions they attend will be accepted for continuing education credits. During the abstract submission process, you will be required to use a pull-down menu to indicate an AICP-related topic for your abstract. There may not be a perfect fit choice, but select the one that seems the closest to your topic. This is a list created by the AICP.

## Submission Fee and Payment Policy

The required fee for online submission is \$25 per abstract, prior to finalizing the submission.

- ACSP does not accept abstract submissions by email, fax or mail.
- Abstracts sent directly to the track chair will not be accepted.
- Payment of the submission fee requires the use of a credit card. ACSP accepts MasterCard, Visa, American Express and Discover.
- There are no abstract submission fee refunds.

## Submission Types

The National Conference Committee of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning cordially invites faculty, researchers, and students in planning to submit proposals for:

- Individual paper presentations
- Pre-organized paper sessions
- Roundtable discussions
- Poster presentations
- Lightning presentations for Housing and Transportation tracks

### Poster Sessions

Posters are a highly effective way to present one's research. ACSP enthusiastically encourages faculty, researchers, and students to submit poster proposals highlighting their work. Posters can be turned in to the registration desk anytime Thursday of the conference. The conference will feature accepted posters during a reception in the exhibit hall for several hours on Friday afternoon. Posters will then remain on display until Saturday afternoon. Posters are subjected to the same rigorous review process as papers, except that the materials in posters have to be well-suited for visual presentations. Two best-poster awards will be presented: one chosen by a panel of judges and the other by conference attendees. The winners will be announced at the Saturday Luncheon.

Authors may present both a paper and a poster at the conference, but not from the same abstract submission. Authors must be in attendance during the Friday afternoon poster Session to discuss their poster or they will not be considered for Best Poster.

The presentation of a poster does not require a submitted final paper. Details about the creation of your poster will be posted to [www.acsp.org](http://www.acsp.org).

### Lightning Presentations for Transportation and Housing and Community Development Tracks

The format for lightning presentations is designed to provide lively, informative, interaction among the researcher, discussant and the audience.

Requirements for the abstract submission are the same for the lightning presentation option as they are for posters and papers.

Five minutes will be allowed for each presentation; a live countdown clock will be used. Presenters will be warned when one minute remains, and when time is up, the presentation ends, even if in mid-sentence!

Five (5) minutes of discussion and Q&A from the audience will follow each presentation. If questions from the audience do not fill up the 5 minutes, the moderator will move on to the next presentation.

- Each presenter will be allowed three slides maximum (not including the title). It is required these slides be turned in on Thursday of the conference. No changes will be allowed after turning them in.
- The presentation does not require a submitted final paper.
- The audience will be invited to submit additional questions or comments directly to researchers immediately following the presentation using the conference app (instructions to attendees will be provided).
- We will be looking for strong moderators and discussants for this new presentation format!
- Track chairs reserve the right to re-assign individual paper abstract submissions to a lightning session presentation.

### Pre-Organized Sessions

The conference committee strongly encourages the grouping of papers in pre-organized sessions that have strong intellectual merit, but reserves the right to realign papers when it has all the proposals.

Pre-organized sessions that comprise all faculty from one university or only students will be rejected unless the organizers provide justification for the listed authors.

Instructions for submitting a pre-organized session can be found at [www.acsp.org](http://www.acsp.org) in the Annual Conference section.

A pre-organized session requires one lead person to work in the abstract submission system before the other authors. This leader creates a session title and completes additional general information fields including the name and email address of the primary author for each of the included papers. Once the session is created, the system will then email the participating authors with a link they can use to submit their own papers. Although we cannot guarantee the discussant will not have a schedule conflict, we recommend this session organizer invite a discussant for the session. Please email a note to the conference coordinator so your session can be tracked for successful submission: [abstracts@acsp.org](mailto:abstracts@acsp.org).

If you submit a pre-organized session with only 3 papers, the session will be scheduled in a 60 minute time slot; a 4 paper session in a 75 minute time slot; and a 5 paper session will be scheduled in a 90 minute time slot.

The fact that your session is pre-organized does not preclude track chairs from asking the pre-organized session organizer if additional papers may be added to your session.

### **Roundtable Submissions**

Successful roundtables are those that have the clearest focus and foster discussions. Participants listed in the program should make short summaries of their viewpoints and engage in discussions with each other and the audience. A roundtable requires an organizer who submits the abstract and confirms participants, a moderator to manage the session at the conference (optionally the same person or different people), and a minimum of three participants in order to remain on the program. A successful roundtable requires strict enforcement of time limits that leaves a large block of time for audience participation. Roundtable sessions do not include any paper presentations and are generally placed in a 60-minute time slot.

### **Track Information**

The 2018 conference will be organized around fifteen tracks. You must indicate one track to which you are submitting the abstract. Many – perhaps most – topics cut across two or more tracks. If you are uncertain about the appropriate placement for

your work, we encourage you to correspond with relevant track chairs before submitting the abstract. During the abstract submission process, you will be asked to indicate a second track to which the abstract relates closely. Track chairs reserve the right to transfer an abstract submission to this alternative or more suitable track.

Do not email your final abstract submission directly to a track chair. It must be submitted through the abstract submission web site.

### **The Fate of Your Submission**

Due to the volume of submissions, proposals will either be rejected or accepted. *Many excellent proposals are rejected* due to lack of space on the final agenda.

- If an author's abstract is rejected for a paper presentation, the author will not be offered the opportunity to present the work as a poster or as a lightning presentation.
- Before rejection, track chairs may invite authors of acceptable abstracts to present their work as posters or as lightning presentations. Such a request is not a statement about the quality of the proposed work; it is a statement about whether the research is better suited to a poster or lightning presentation format. The final decision is with the track chair and the track chair has the option to reject the abstract if the author does not agree to change the format.

### **Notifications**

All authors related to an abstract submission will receive an email indicating acceptance or not for the 2018 conference. If you did not receive yours, by the first week of June, and your co-authors did, please check your spam or junk mail folders before contacting [abstracts@acsp.org](mailto:abstracts@acsp.org).

Track Chairs welcome your inquiry. Authors should get in touch with track chairs if they wish to receive feedback on their abstract.

### **Multiple Program Placements**

Participants on the final program are limited to:

- one oral presentation of a paper whether in a paper session, pre-organized paper session, or lightning presentation session;
- one placement on a roundtable;
- one (or two max) placement(s) as a discussant of an oral paper presentation or lightning presentation session;
- one placement in a poster session

A reminder that lightning presentation sessions are restricted to the Housing track and the Transportation track.

Substitutions of types of placements are not permitted. If you have more than one appearance in one of the categories in this paragraph, in fairness to everyone, we will ask you to withdraw the additional appearance(s) prior to the scheduling of sessions.

## Important Information for Students

If you are a masters or doctoral student, you may submit an abstract for a paper, poster or lightning presentation based on research undertaken in collaboration with a faculty member. Students may also submit abstracts for papers, posters or lightning presentations based on the *results* of research from their dissertation or thesis. The abstract submission system will require you to certify that either or both of these conditions are met, and you will be asked to include the name and e-mail address of your advisor or dissertation supervisor with your submission so that track chairs can confirm this information. In submitting a paper to the conference, your advisor or dissertation supervisor certifies that your research is ready for presentation at a national, flagship conference.

Students may submit an abstract for a paper, poster or lightning presentation based on research undertaken independent of collaboration or supervision with a faculty member. The abstract submission system will ask you to confirm your research was undertaken independent of collaboration or supervision with a faculty member.

Student submissions are evaluated using the same criteria as all other submissions.

Abstract submissions for general purpose papers or for work done in preparation for qualifying exams will be rejected and as a reminder, there are no abstract submission fee refunds.

## Final Papers Are Required

**You are required to submit a final paper to both your session discussant and conference organizers by Tuesday, October 2, 2018.** Final papers are required for those presenting in a paper session or pre-organized session, but not required for posters, roundtables, or lightning presentations.

The names of authors that submit by the October 2<sup>nd</sup> deadline and the title of their presentation will be highlighted in the program and in the conference app as having a paper available on request for attendees. Conference attendees often use this information to judge the quality of a session they are considering attending.

**Discussants are not required to provide comments on presentations for which final papers were not submitted.**

- You will not be allowed to change the content of an abstract after it has been submitted.
- Over the course of the summer, you may make minor modifications to the title of the final paper.
- You may not substitute different research if the original research is not complete. Please notify conference organizers that you must withdraw as soon as you know this is necessary.
- Submitted final papers are not considered “published” when submitted to conference organizers. Your colleagues will be instructed to contact you directly.

## Discussants

Track Chairs will invite and do their best to confirm discussants for all paper sessions. We welcome volunteers and suggestions for discussants proffered by authors and pre-organized session leaders. If you are willing to act as discussant or have a suggestion or nomination, please complete the [discussant volunteer form](#) and include your specializations. Discussants may serve two sessions if invited to do so.

## Scheduling Requests

ACSP recognizes ONLY religious holidays as valid reasons for scheduling requests. Once the schedule is created, authors who cannot present during their scheduled time must withdraw their paper from the program. We strongly recommend you do not make travel reservations until you know your schedule, which should be available in July.

## Book of Accepted Abstracts

**PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD** your abstract submission while online! The Book of Accepted Abstracts will be posted at the ACSP website, so it is important that references are complete, all names are spelled correctly, and the text is proofread thoroughly. We cannot edit your submission after publication and there will not be an opportunity for you to edit your work after the abstract submission deadline.

## Awards

Please see [www.acsp.org](http://www.acsp.org) in the Events and Activities section for detailed information about awards for students and faculty.

## Questions

**Program questions should be addressed to:**  
Gerardo Sandoval, ACSP National Conference Committee Chair, [gsando@uoregon.edu](mailto:gsando@uoregon.edu)

**Abstract submission procedure and payment questions should be addressed to:**  
[abstracts@acsp.org](mailto:abstracts@acsp.org).

## Track Information

### TRACK 1 - ANALYTICAL METHODS AND COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Junfeng Jiao, [jjiao@austin.utexas.edu](mailto:jjiao@austin.utexas.edu) [2018-2020]  
Jennifer Minner, [j.minner@cornell.edu](mailto:j.minner@cornell.edu) [term2018-2020]

### TRACK 2 - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Nichola Lowe, [nlowe@email.unc.edu](mailto:nlowe@email.unc.edu) [2017-2019]  
Greg Schrock, [gschrock@pdx.edu](mailto:gschrock@pdx.edu) [2017-2019]

### TRACK 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Makena Coffman, [makenaka@hawaii.edu](mailto:makenaka@hawaii.edu) [2016-2019]  
Ward Lyles, [wardlyles@ku.edu](mailto:wardlyles@ku.edu) [2017-2019]

### TRACK 4 - GENDER AND DIVERSITY IN PLANNING

Jane Rongerude, [jrong@iastate.edu](mailto:jrong@iastate.edu) [2017-2019]  
Erualdo Gonzalez, [egonzalez@fullerton.edu](mailto:egonzalez@fullerton.edu) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 5 - HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Andrew Greenlee, [agreen4@illinois.edu](mailto:agreen4@illinois.edu) [2017-2019]  
Deirdre Pfeiffer, [deirdre.pfeiffer.asu.edu](mailto:deirdre.pfeiffer.asu.edu) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 6 - INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

Paavo Monkkonen, [paavo.monkkonen@ucla.edu](mailto:paavo.monkkonen@ucla.edu) [2018-2020]  
Luis Santiago, [luis.santiago47@upr.edu](mailto:luis.santiago47@upr.edu) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 7 - LAND USE POLICY AND GOVERNANCE

Evangeline "Van" Linkous, [elinkous@usf.edu](mailto:elinkous@usf.edu) [2016-2018]  
Nikhil Kaza, [nkaza@unc.edu](mailto:nkaza@unc.edu) [2017-2019]

### TRACK 8 - FOOD SYSTEMS, COMMUNITY HEALTH, SAFETY

Nisha Botchwey, [nisha.botchwey@coa.gatech.edu](mailto:nisha.botchwey@coa.gatech.edu) [2017-2019]  
Christopher Coutts, [ccoutts@fsu.edu](mailto:ccoutts@fsu.edu) [2017-2019]

### TRACK 9 - PLANNING EDUCATION AND PEDAGOGY

Kami Pothukuchi, [k.pothukuchi@wayne.edu](mailto:k.pothukuchi@wayne.edu) [2016-2018]  
Anna Kim, [anna.kim@gatech.edu](mailto:anna.kim@gatech.edu) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 10 - PLANNING HISTORY

Carlton Basmajian, [carlton@iastate.edu](mailto:carlton@iastate.edu) [2018-2020]  
Rachel Coutinho-Silva, [rachelcc@acd.ufrj.br](mailto:rachelcc@acd.ufrj.br) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 11 - PLANNING PROCESS, ADMINISTRATION, LAW AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Dawn Jourdan, [dawnjourdan@arch.tamu.edu](mailto:dawnjourdan@arch.tamu.edu) [2017-2019]  
Bonnie Johnson, [bojojoh@ku.edu](mailto:bojojoh@ku.edu) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 12 - PLANNING THEORY

Libby Porter, [libby.porter@rmit.edu.au](mailto:libby.porter@rmit.edu.au) [2017-2019]  
Andy Inch, [andy.inch@ics.ulisboa.pt](mailto:andy.inch@ics.ulisboa.pt) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 13 - REGIONAL PLANNING

Elsie Harper-Anderson, [elharperande@vcu.edu](mailto:elharperande@vcu.edu) [2017-2019]  
Stephan Schmidt, [sjs96@cornell.edu](mailto:sjs96@cornell.edu) [2018-2020]

### TRACK 14 - TRANSPORTATION & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING

Gulsah Akar, [akar.3@osu.edu](mailto:akar.3@osu.edu) [2017-2019]  
Bhuiyan Alam, [bhuiyan.alam@utoledo.edu](mailto:bhuiyan.alam@utoledo.edu) [2017-2019]

### TRACK 15 - URBAN DESIGN

Jason Brody, [jbrody@k-state.edu](mailto:jbrody@k-state.edu) [2016-2018]  
James White, [jamest.white@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:jamest.white@glasgow.ac.uk) [2016-2018]