



2019 CALL FOR ABSTRACTS & SESSIONS

Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning
 59th Annual Conference, October 24-27, 2019
 Hosted by: Clemson University



Website Open for Submissions:	Tuesday, February 12, 2019, 8:00am EST
Abstract submission deadline:	Tuesday, March 26, 2019, 11:59pm EDT
Notification of Accept or Reject:	We anticipate the week of May 20

See you in Greenville!

Our local host, Clemson University, invites ACSP to Greenville. One of America’s best-planned, attractive, lively, and livable small cities, it has made a dramatic transition from an economically challenged textile town. Together, government, local and international businesses, and an active, committed community have turned Greenville into a national destination and leader in terms of walkability, downtown redevelopment and revitalization, the arts, parks, the local food scene, environmental restoration, economic development, and much more. A terrific venue to engage in lively exchanges, on top of a little Southern hospitality.

AESOP-ACSP Joint Sessions

We invite pre-organized sessions/roundtables that include scholars from both the Association of European Schools of Planning (AESOP) and the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning (ACSP) on any topic. Such proposals should highlight the advantage of the transatlantic perspective. This is part of a long-term effort by AESOP and ACSP to build more collaborations. The AESOP-ACSP joint sessions usually involve proposals for the same theme at both AESOP (summer, 2019) and ACSP (fall, 2019), with scholars from both Europe and North America participating in the sessions at both conferences. Proposals for an AESOP-ACSP joint session should clearly self-identify as such joint session(s) and reference the proposal or activity at the AESOP conference, to clarify that it is a collaboration spanning the two meetings.

Pre-organized sessions and roundtables that are comprised of participants from multiple regions of the world are also welcomed, and global

scholarship, transatlantic and transnational, is encouraged in any track. All submissions have the same requirements as others described below, and are submitted through the abstract submission site.

All Abstract Submissions

Please note that ALL proposals should contain the required information as described below including keywords and citations. Only abstracts that meet these criteria will be accepted for the conference.

Requirements

If you think that any of the following requirements are inappropriate for your work, please provide other relevant information. You will not have the option to attach full bibliographies, reports, or full papers during the abstract submission process.

Your abstract should contain the following information in about 600 words and be suitable for publication online without any further editing:

- Central theme or planning issue you are addressing: what research question(s) are you trying to answer?
- Approach and methodology: how will you address that question(s)?
- Meaningful and new findings. (Research with concrete findings has a better chance of being accepted into the conference.)
- Relevance and implication of your work to planning scholarship, practice, and/or education
- Key words (up to 5)
- Major references/citations (up to 5)

Key Words

- Provide at least one, but no more than five.
- Key words help track chairs when putting together coherent paper sessions.
- The Book of Accepted Abstracts published to www.acsp.org will have an index of key words.

Major References/Citations

- Provide at least three, but no more than five.
- Citations help reviewers place your work in its field
- The abstract submission system will restrict the listing of citations to a total of five (5).
- In the past, submissions have been rejected because of a lack of citations.

PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD your abstract submission while online! Abstracts cannot be edited after the submission deadline.

AICP Credit Eligibility for your Submission

AICP pre-approves the ACSP Conference sessions so AICP members know the sessions they attend will be accepted for continuing education credits. During the abstract submission process, you will be required to use a pull-down menu to indicate an AICP-related topic for your abstract. There may not be a perfect fit choice, but select the one that seems the closest to your topic. This is a list created by the AICP.

The National Conference Committee is very interested in the inclusion of sessions that will provide our AICP faculty and attending professionals with law and ethics CE credits. If you are proposing such a session, or know someone we should contact in this regard, please contact ddodd@acsp.org.

Submission Fee and Payment

The required fee for online submission is \$25 per abstract, prior to finalizing the submission.

- ACSP does not accept abstract submissions by email, fax or mail.
- Abstracts sent directly to the track chair will not be reviewed or considered.
- Payment of the submission fee requires the use of a credit card. ACSP accepts MasterCard, Visa, American Express, and Discover.
- There are no abstract submission fee refunds.

Submission Types

The National Conference Committee cordially invites faculty, researchers, and students to submit proposals for:

- Individual paper presentations
- Pre-organized paper sessions
- Roundtable discussions
- Poster presentations

Poster Sessions

Posters are a highly effective way to present one's research. ACSP enthusiastically encourages faculty, researchers, and students to submit poster proposals highlighting their work. Posters can be turned in to the registration desk anytime Thursday of the conference. The conference will feature accepted posters during a reception in the exhibit hall for several hours on Friday afternoon. Posters will then remain on display until Saturday afternoon. Posters are subjected to the same rigorous review process as papers, except that the materials in posters have to be well-suited for visual presentations. Two best-poster awards will be presented: one chosen by a panel of judges and the other by conference attendees. The winners will be announced at the Saturday Luncheon.

Authors may present both a paper and a poster at the conference, but not from the same abstract submission. Authors must be in attendance during the Friday afternoon poster Session to discuss their poster or they will not be considered for Best Poster.

The presentation of a poster does not require a submitted final paper. Details about the creation of your poster will be posted to www.acsp.org in the Annual Conference section.

Pre-Organized Sessions

The conference committee strongly encourages the grouping of papers in pre-organized sessions that have strong intellectual merit, but reserves the right to realign papers when it has all the proposals.

- Pre-organized sessions that comprise all faculty from one university or only students will be rejected unless the organizers provide justification for the listed authors.
- Instructions for submitting a pre-organized session can be found at www.acsp.org in the Annual Conference section.

A pre-organized session requires one lead person to work in the abstract submission system before the other authors. This leader creates a session title and completes additional general information fields including the name and email address of the primary author for each of the included papers. Once the session is created, the system will then email the participating authors with a link they can use to submit their own papers. Although we cannot guarantee the discussant will not have a schedule conflict, we recommend this session organizer invite a discussant for the session. Please email a note to the conference coordinator so your session can be tracked for successful submission: abstracts@acsp.org.

A pre-organized session with only 3 papers will be scheduled in a 60 minute time slot; a 4 paper session in a 75 minute time slot; and a 5 paper session will be scheduled in a 90 minute time slot.

The fact that a session is pre-organized does not preclude track chairs from working with the pre-organized session organizer to add appropriate accepted papers to the session.

Roundtable Submissions

Successful roundtables are those that have the clearest focus and foster discussions. Participants listed in the program should make short summaries of their viewpoints and engage in discussions with each other and the audience. A roundtable requires an organizer who submits the abstract. Participants should be invited and confirmed prior to the abstract submission. A named moderator helps manage the session at the conference (optionally the same as the organizer, but can be someone different). A minimum of three participants is required in order for the session to remain on the program. A successful roundtable requires strict enforcement of time limits that leaves a large block

of time for audience participation. Roundtable session do not include any paper presentations and are generally placed in a 60-minute time slot.

Track Information

The 2019 conference will be organized around sixteen tracks. You must indicate one track to which you are submitting the abstract. Many – perhaps most – topics cut across two or more tracks. If you are uncertain about the appropriate placement for your work, we encourage you to correspond with relevant track chairs before submitting the abstract. During the abstract submission process, you will be asked to indicate a second track to which the abstract relates closely. Track chairs reserve the right to transfer an abstract submission to this alternative or more suitable track.

Do not email your final abstract submission directly to a track chair. It must be submitted through the abstract submission site.

The Fate of Your Submission

Due to the volume of submissions, proposals will either be rejected or accepted. *Many excellent proposals are rejected* due to lack of space on the final program.

- If an author's abstract is rejected for a paper presentation, the author will not be offered the opportunity to present the work as a poster. However, ...
- Track chairs may invite authors of acceptable abstracts to present their work as posters prior to the review decision being emailed. Such a request is not a statement about the quality of the proposed work; it is a statement about whether the research is suitable in a poster format. The final decision is with the track chair and the track chair has the option to reject the abstract if the author does not agree to change the format.
- Track chairs make the ultimate decision on whether a submission is accepted or rejected.

Notifications

All authors and co-authors related to an abstract submission will receive an email indicating acceptance or not for the 2019 conference. If you did not receive yours, by the first week of June, and your co-authors did, please check your spam or junk mail folders before contacting abstracts@acsp.org.

Track chairs welcome your inquiry. Authors should get in touch with track chairs if they wish to receive feedback on their abstract.

Multiple Program Placements

Participants on the final program are limited to:

- one presentation of a paper as lead author whether in a paper session or pre-organized paper session
- one placement on a roundtable;
- one (or two max) placement(s) as a discussant of a paper presentation;
- one placement in a poster session

If you have more than one appearance in one of the categories in this paragraph, in fairness to everyone, we will ask you to withdraw the additional appearance(s) prior to the scheduling of sessions.

Important Information for Students

If you are a master's or doctoral student, you may submit an abstract for a paper or poster presentation based on research undertaken in collaboration with a faculty member. Students may also submit abstracts for papers or posters based on the *results* of research from their dissertation or thesis. The abstract submission system will require you to certify that either or both of these conditions are met, and you will be asked to include the name and e-mail address of your advisor or dissertation supervisor with your submission so that track chairs can confirm this information. In submitting a paper to the conference, your advisor or dissertation supervisor certifies that your research is ready for presentation at a national, flagship conference.

In addition, students may submit an abstract for a paper or poster presentation based on research undertaken independent of collaboration or supervision with a faculty member. The abstract submission system will ask you to confirm your research was undertaken independent of collaboration or supervision with a faculty member.

Student submissions are evaluated using the same criteria as all other submissions.

Abstract submissions for general purpose papers or for work done in preparation for qualifying exams

will be rejected and as a reminder, there are no abstract submission fee refunds.

Final Papers & Presentations

You will be required to submit a final paper to both your session discussant and conference organizers by Tuesday, October 8, 2019. Final papers are required for those presenting in a paper session or pre-organized session, but not for posters or roundtables.

The names of authors that submit by the October 8 deadline and the title of their presentation will be highlighted in the program and in the conference app as having a paper available on request for attendees. Conference attendees often use this information to judge the quality of a session they are considering attending.

Discussants are not required to provide comments on presentations for which final papers were not submitted.

- You will not be allowed to change the content of an abstract after it has been submitted.
- Over the course of the summer, you may make minor modifications to the title of the final paper.
- You may not substitute different research if the original research is not complete. Please notify conference organizers that you must withdraw as soon as you know this is necessary.
- Submitted final papers are not considered "published" when submitted to conference. Colleagues interested in your paper will be instructed to contact you directly.

Discussants

Track chairs will invite and do their best to confirm discussants for all paper sessions. We welcome volunteers and suggestions for discussants proffered by authors and pre-organized session leaders. If you are willing to act as discussant or have a suggestion or nomination, please complete the [discussant volunteer form](#) and include your specializations. Discussants may serve two sessions if invited to do so.

Scheduling Requests

ACSP recognizes ONLY religious holidays as valid reasons for scheduling requests. Once the schedule is created, authors who cannot present during their scheduled time must withdraw their paper from the

program. We strongly recommend you do not make travel reservations until you know your schedule, which should be available in July.

Book of Accepted Abstracts

PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD, PROOFREAD your abstract submission while online!

- The Book of Accepted Abstracts will be posted at the ACSP website, so it is important that references are complete, all names are spelled correctly, and the text is proofread thoroughly.
- We will not edit your submission after publication and there will not be an opportunity for you to edit your work after the abstract submission deadline.

Questions

- **Program questions** should be addressed to: Gerardo F. Sandoval, ACSP National Conference Chair, gsando@uoregon.edu
- **Abstract submission** procedure and payment questions should be addressed to: abstracts@acsp.org.

Track Information

TRACK 1 – ANALYTICAL METHODS, TECHNOLOGY AND SOCIETY

Jennifer Minner, j.minner@cornell.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

Junfeng Jiao, jjiao@austin.utexas.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 2 - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Yanmei Li, yli22@fau.edu [2019 & 2020]

Patricia A. Wilson, pwilson@mail.utexas.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 3 - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Greg Schrock, gschrock@pdx.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Joanna Ganning, j.ganning@csuohio.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Adrienne Greve, agreve@calpoly.edu [2018 & 2019]

Larissa Larsen, larissal@umich.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 5 - GENDER AND DIVERSITY IN PLANNING

Jane Rongerude, jrong@iastate.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Erualdo Gonzalez, egonzalez@fullerton.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

TRACK 6 - HOUSING

Andrew Greenlee, agreen4@illinois.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Deirdre Pfeiffer, deirdre.pfeiffer@asu.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

TRACK 7 - INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

Paavo Monkkonen, paavo.monkkonen@ucla.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

Luis Santiago, luis.santiago@ucf.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

TRACK 8 - LAND USE POLICY AND GOVERNANCE

Nikhil Kaza, nkaza@unc.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Bev Wilson, bevwilso@illinois.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 9 - FOOD SYSTEMS, COMMUNITY HEALTH, SAFETY

Berneece Herbert, berneece.herbert@aamu.edu [2019 & 2020]

Peilei Fan, fanpeile@msu.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 10 - PLANNING EDUCATION AND PEDAGOGY

Anna Kim, anna.kim@gatech.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

K. Meghan Wieters, kmeghanwieters@ou.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 11 - PLANNING HISTORY

Carlton Basmajian, carlton@iastate.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

Rachel Coutinho-Silva, rachelcc@acd.ufrrj.br [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 12 - PLANNING PROCESS, ADMINISTRATION, LAW AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Dawn Jourdan, dawnjourdan@arch.tamu.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Bonnie Johnson, bojojoh@ku.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

TRACK 13 - PLANNING THEORY

Andy Inch, a.inch@sheffield.ac.uk [2018 & 2019]

T. William Lester, twlester@unc.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]

TRACK 14 - REGIONAL PLANNING

Elsie Harper-Anderson, elharperande@vcu.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Stephan Schmidt, sjs96@cornell.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

TRACK 15 - TRANSPORTATION & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING

Bhuiyan Alam, bhuiyan.alam@utoledo.edu [2017, 2018 & 2019]

Gulsah Akar, akar.3@osu.edu [2018, 2019 & 2020]

TRACK 16 - URBAN DESIGN

Orly Linovski, orly.linovski@umanitoba.ca [2018, 2019 & 2020]

Sanjeev Vidyarthi, svidy@uic.edu [2019, 2020 & 2021]