

TITLE	A Proposal for a Comprehensive Provincial Alcohol Strategy: Enhancing Public Health through Prevention, Education, Regulation and Treatment
SPONSOR	Oxford-Elgin-St. Thomas Board of Health (Operating as Southwestern Public Health (SWPH))
WHEREAS	alcohol caused 6,202 deaths, 60,902 hospitalizations (including day surgery) and 258,676 emergency room visits in Ontario for the year 2020; and ^(1,2)
WHEREAS	the harms due to alcohol are disproportionately carried by individuals with low socio-economic status (SES), compared to those of high SES, even though the exact amounts of alcohol or less are consumed; described as the alcohol harm paradox; and ^(3,4)
WHEREAS	alcohol is classified as a group one carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer and can cause cancer of the breast, colon, rectum, mouth and throat, liver, esophagus, and larynx; and ⁽⁵⁾
WHEREAS	between 2017-2020, 31.1% of adults age 19 and older exceeded the low-risk threshold for alcohol-related harms as per the <i>Canadian Guidance on Alcohol and Health</i> , having reported drinking more than two alcoholic drinks in the past week, with the recognition that self-reported alcohol intake usually is underreported, and the number of those drinking above this level is likely higher. ⁽⁶⁾
WHEREAS	alcohol was the most frequently reported substance of concern among people accessing treatment services in both Ontario and Canada; and ⁽⁷⁾
WHEREAS	research confirms that as alcohol becomes more available and affordable, the following problems increase: street and domestic violence, chronic diseases, sexually transmitted infections, road crashes, youth drinking, injury, ⁽⁸⁾ and suicide; ^(9,10) which is disturbing being the current government plans to increase alcohol availability with up to 8,500 new stores eligible to sell alcohol in Ontario; and ⁽¹¹⁾
WHEREAS	the current government has committed \$10 million, above current funding, over five years to the Ministry of Health to support social responsibility and public health efforts; and ⁽¹¹⁾
WHEREAS	comprehensive and enforced alcohol control policies delay the age of onset and lower alcohol prevalence and frequency among young people; and ⁽¹²⁾
WHEREAS	the World Health Organization recognizes that policies need to address the availability, acceptability, and affordability of alcohol, as these are the factors that create alcogenic environments; and ^(12,13)
WHEREAS	despite alcohol revenue, the substantial societal costs caused by alcohol create a deficit of \$1.947 billion in Ontario and \$6.196 billion each year in Canada. ^(1,14)
WHEREAS	the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) Code For Broadcast Advertising Of Alcoholic Beverages has not been updated since 1996 and includes no provisions for new ways of advertising, such as social media and lacks concrete enforcement of the rules; and ⁽¹⁵⁾

- WHEREAS** the membership previously carried alPHa RESOLUTION A08-2, to Establish Stricter Advertising Standards for Alcohol; and
- WHEREAS** the membership previously carried alPHa RESOLUTION A08-3 requesting advocacy for an Enhanced Provincial Public Education and Promotion Campaign on the Negative Health Impacts of Alcohol Misuse; and
- WHEREAS** the membership previously carried alPHa RESOLUTION A08-4.1 to eliminate The Availability of Alcohol Except in Liquor Control Board Outlets (LCBO) (i.e. Increase Point of Sale Control); and
- WHEREAS** the membership previously carried alPHa RESOLUTION A11-1 to conduct a Formal Review and Impact Analysis of the Health and Economic Effects of Alcohol in Ontario and Thereafter Develop a Provincial Alcohol Strategy; and
- WHEREAS** the membership previously carried alPHa RESOLUTION A12-4 TITLE: Alcohol Pricing and LCBO Revenue Generation; and
- WHEREAS** all of the above resolutions on alcohol were introduced more than a decade ago, with the majority of actions taken before 2019, according to [alPHa's public records](#), with the recognition that alPHa recently sent a letter regarding a call for an alcohol strategy dated December 14, 2023; priority for these resolutions must be re-established.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Association of Local Public Health Agencies write to the Provincial Government recommending that a comprehensive alcohol strategy be developed, in keeping with CMOH's 2023 Annual Report on an all-of society approach, to address substance use and harms, which includes the following actions: promote comprehensive public education campaigns, strengthen regulations on advertising, increase alcohol taxes, adopt a prevention model, and improve access to addiction treatment and support services;

AND FURTHER that the alcohol strategy be formed and written with the support of a multidisciplinary panel of experts, including local public health and people with lived experience; Now therefore be it resolve that alPHa write to the provincial government

AND FURTHER that the Association of Local Public Health Agencies petitions the federal government to either ban alcohol advertising like cannabis and tobacco, or in the absence of such a ban, update the CRTC code to include alcohol restrictions on digital and social media.

AND FURTHER that the Association of Local Public Health Agencies recommend that health equity be foundational to the strategy;

AND FURTHER that the Association of Local Public Health Agencies recommends that in the development of a provincial strategy, the government implement a tax or pricing system that covers the growing deficit alcohol causes each year;

AND FURTHER that the government limits the influence of the Alcohol Industry on the creation of alcohol policies and education campaigns, as they have a conflict of interest being that increased consumption of alcohol provides increased industry sales and profit.⁽⁸⁾

AND FURTHER that a copy be sent to the Chief Medical Officer of Health of Ontario.

CARRIED AS AMENDED.

BACKGROUND

Effective Interventions

It is recognized in Canada and internationally that the most cost-effective strategies to reduce the harmful effects of alcohol include increasing price, restrictions on the physical availability of alcohol, restrictions on alcohol advertising and marketing, enforcing drunk driving countermeasures, and implementing screening, brief interventions, referral, and treatment. ^(1,4,8,13,16,17)

It cannot be disputed that tobacco control policies are highly effective in decreasing smoking rates and lung cancer deaths. ^(14,18,19) As tobacco regulations have slowly become stronger, alcohol regulation has eroded over the past few decades. ^(17,11,14) These changes began in 2014 when alcohol retail sales were permitted through farmer's markets in Ontario and continued to become more accessible through grocery stores, bookstores, movie theatres, Liquor Control Board of Ontario (LCBO) convenience outlets, extended off premise retail hours of 9 am to 11 pm, home delivery and now further expansion of privatized alcohol retail locations. ^(20,21) To reduce population-level harms due to alcohol, the measures used for tobacco control should be applied to alcohol.

Comprehensive Public Education Campaigns

When individuals become aware of the link between cancer and alcohol, their support of alcohol policy increases. ^(22,23) Education alone is known to be less effective in changing population-level behaviours than policy interventions. However, education has positive impacts when coupled with alcohol policy regulating price, availability, and marketing. ^(1,8,9)

Studies have shown that the public is largely unaware of the harms of alcohol. ^(24,25,5) The Canadian Guidance on Alcohol and Health states that even small amounts of alcohol can be harmful and that decreasing alcohol use has benefits. ⁽⁵⁾ Information on alcohol harms and the Canadian Guidance on Alcohol and Health are not promoted widely. This information must be promoted collectively on government and health organization websites, and at point of sale (by the alcohol industry retail sector) across Ontario and Canada. The lack of restrictions on alcohol marketing promotions, coupled with a population who does not fully understand the implications of their choices regarding alcohol, will likely lead to more harm. To make informed decisions using the most recent recommendations made by the Canadian Guidance on Alcohol and Health, the population needs information readily available. ⁽⁵⁾

It is well-documented that the Alcohol Industry distorts and denies evidence of alcohol harm to the public and during government consultations regarding alcohol policy. ^(22,26,27) They also have a conflict of interest because the more people drink, the more profit they make. ⁽⁸⁾ Therefore, they should not have input regarding public education and alcohol policy.

Stricter regulations on advertising

Alcohol marketing accelerates the onset of drinking, increases consumption by those already drinking, and is associated with problematic alcohol use. ⁽⁸⁾ The World Health Organization recommends that alcohol advertising be banned or that comprehensive restrictions on alcohol advertising, sponsorship, and promotion be legislated and enforced. ⁽¹³⁾

There must be restrictions on advertising and marketing in conjunction with public health campaigns. The playing field is imbalanced between the Ontario Ministry of Health and the Alcohol Industry. The financial power of the Alcohol Industry, compared to Public Health's vastly smaller budget, gives the Alcohol

Industry a clear advantage when competing in mass communication campaigns. ^(8,11) Marketing is an important industry strategy. Alcohol companies regularly contribute significant amounts of money towards ‘investment in brands’. ⁽⁸⁾ In 2019, AB InBev, the largest alcohol corporation in 2021, was the 11th largest advertiser in the world, while another six Transnational Alcohol Companies were among the top 100 advertisers in 2019. ⁽⁸⁾

The Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) Code For Broadcast Advertising Of Alcoholic Beverages has not been updated since 1996, and it includes no provisions for new ways of advertising, such as social media, and lacks concrete enforcement of the rules. ⁽¹⁵⁾ At a provincial level, the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario (AGCO) regulates alcohol advertising through the Liquor License Control Act, 2019, through a complaints-based system, and within the parameters set out in the regulation and the Registrar’s Interim Standards and Requirements for Liquor. ^(28,29,30)

It is relevant to look at the experience of banning tobacco marketing when considering the likely impact of a ban on alcohol marketing. Before the global community widely adopted the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC), comprehensive but not partial bans were found to reduce tobacco consumption in high-income countries. ⁽⁸⁾ Post adoption of the FCTC, and after numerous countries adopted the highest level of tobacco advertising bans on all direct and indirect advertising, it is estimated that approximately 3.7 million fewer smoking-attributable deaths occurred due to these measures. ^(8,31) Research from the World Health Organization currently points toward complete and comprehensive advertising and marketing bans as more effective than partial bans and industry-regulated restrictions. ^(8,31) The best way forward would be to enact a legislative approach, rather than a code, through a National Alcohol Act, like what exists for cannabis and tobacco. ⁽²⁹⁾

Without a complete ban, the following restrictions could be suggested as better than the status quo:

- Regulations should include all forms of media, such as the internet, social media, print, radio, and television. ⁽²⁹⁾
- Cap the quantity of alcohol advertising at all retail outlets. ⁽²⁹⁾
- Ban marketing activities in connection to young people, people with alcohol use disorders, heavy drinkers, and vulnerable populations. ⁽²⁹⁾
- Supervision should be introduced to ensure compliance with provincial and federal regulations, creating an independent organization to monitor and pre-screen alcohol advertisements and alcohol industry activities proactively rather than reactively, beyond a complaints-based system. ⁽²⁹⁾

Decrease Affordability, Increase Price

Alcohol was the substance that cost Canada the most in 2020, at \$19.7 billion, due to health care, lost productivity, criminal justice, and other direct costs. In comparison, alcohol costs more than both Tobacco (\$11.2 Billion) and Opioids (\$7.1 Billion) combined in 2020. ⁽¹⁴⁾ At the very least, alcohol should cover the costs it contributes to rather than contribute to government debt each year. In contrast, AB InBev, the largest Alcohol corporation in 2021, had an annual revenue of \$45.6 billion (U.S) in 2017. To provide perspective on this amount, half of the world’s countries don’t reach that amount in terms of their gross domestic product.

Increasing the price of alcohol has been noted as the most effective strategy to decrease harm due to alcohol. ^(1,8,13) Strong policies that could be used include indexed minimum unit pricing, alcohol-specific sales taxes, and markups. ⁽¹⁾ Despite what many may think, pricing is considered an equitable policy, as it has been shown to decrease harm in those populations found to be most deprived. As recently demonstrated in Scotland, Minimum Unit Pricing (MUP) was implemented, and it was associated with a

significant 13.4% reduction in deaths and a 4.1% decrease in hospitalizations from conditions 100% attributable to alcohol consumption.⁽³²⁾ The greatest reductions were found in the four most socioeconomically deprived groups, demonstrating the policy is effective at improving deprivation-based inequalities in harm due to alcohol.⁽³²⁾

Adoption of a Prevention Model

The factors that contribute to youth initiation of substance use, specifically alcohol, are dynamic and complex. Preventing and reducing substance use among youth should include collaborative interventions that decrease risks and harms and increase protective factors and wellness while providing a safe and inclusive environment that does not promote the use of substances.^(12,33,34) Because risk and protective factors exist within every aspect of our society, a substance prevention model should consider interventions with an ecological view. This view would consider factors and interventions at the personal, interpersonal, community and policy levels and how these interact at all levels of society.⁽³³⁾ Participating must have a shared vision, collaboration, and agreement.⁽³³⁾

The Planet Youth approach is a model that demonstrates the above vision and goals, sometimes known as “The Icelandic Model.” This approach improves social environments and decreases substance use through collaborative actions based on local research that includes the whole community and partnerships across sectors.^(33,35,36) While being implemented in Iceland, this model decreased youth substance use dramatically. Their rate for 30-day drunkenness decreased from 29.6% in 1997 to 3.6% in 2014, with dramatic decreases among other substances as well.⁽³⁷⁾ The Planet Youth approach has been introduced to numerous countries since 2006 and has been implemented or used in 16 countries and hundreds of municipalities since 2022.⁽³⁸⁾ Funding an approach such as the Planet Youth Model as part of an Alcohol Strategy would support goals to prevent future substance use.

Improving Access to Treatment and Support Services

Alcohol was the most common problem substance for people accessing treatment services and was reported by more than 67,000 people per year over 2016-2018.⁽⁷⁾ Collaboration with People with Lived Experience and those using treatment services are vital, as they are the experts in this regard and their practical experience should be incorporated into the Alcohol Strategy. An alcohol strategy should consider how to improve access to treatment and support services for alcohol use disorder, such as:

- Incorporation of a Universal Screener for substance use in healthcare settings across Ontario, with compensation for healthcare staff who regularly provide screening, brief interventions, and referral to treatment for their clients.
- Improved wait times for public access to treatment and support services related to mental health care and substance-related treatment, as well as ongoing support while people wait for these services.
- Improved support and capacity for caregivers of those with substance use disorders.

The current alcohol policy environment will impact the need for treatment and support services in the future. Because the proportion of heavy drinkers is strongly associated with the total level of consumption of the general population, it is essential to consider society’s overall alcohol policy within a strategy to reduce consumption in general, not just consumption by heavy drinkers.⁽⁸⁾

1. Naimi T, Stockwell T, Giesbrecht N, Wettlaufer A, Vallance K, Farrell-Low A, et al. Canadian Alcohol Policy Evaluation (CAPE) 3.0 Project. Policy Domain Results Summary (Provincial/Territorial). Victoria, BC: University of Victoria, Canadian Institute for Substance Use Research; 2023.
2. Canadian Substance Use Costs and Harms. CSUCH Visualization Tool. [Online].; 2023 [cited 2024 02 29]. Available from: <https://csuch.ca/explore-the-data/>.
3. Bloomfield k. Understanding the alcohol-harm paradox: what next? The Lancet: Public Health. 2020 June; 5(6).
4. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Alcohol Harm in Canada: Examining Hospitalizations Entirely Caused by Alcohol and Strategies to Reduce Alcohol Harm. Ottawa, Ontario: CIHI; 2017.
5. Paradis C, Butt P, Shield K, Poole N, Wells S, Naimi T, et al. Canada's Guidance on Alcohol and Health: Final Report. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction; 2023.
6. Ontario Health. Prevention System Quality Index. Toronto; 2023.
7. Konefal S, Maloney-Hall B, Urbanoski K, National Treatment Indicators Working Group.. National Treatment Indicators Report: 2016–2018 Data. Ottawa: ON: Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction; 2020.
8. Babor T, Casswell S, Graham K, Huckle T, Linington M, Osterber E, et al. Alcohol: No Ordinary Commodity Research and Public Policy. 3rd ed.: Oxford University Press; 2023.
9. Stockwell T, Wettlaufer A, Vallance K, Chow C, Giesbrecht N, April N, et al. Strategies to reduce alcohol-related harms and costs in Canada: a review of provincial and territorial policies. Victoria, B.C.: Canadian Institute for Substance Use Research; 2019.
10. Ontario Public Health Association. OPHA Issue Series: Alcohol Outlet Density. [Online]. [cited 2023 May 9]. Available from: <https://opha.on.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Alcohol-Outlet-Density.pdf>.
11. Ontario News Room. News Release: Ontario Consumers Will be Able to Buy Beer, Cider, Wine and Low-Alcohol Ready-to-Drink Beverages at Convenience, Grocery and Big Box Stores. [Online].; 2023 [cited 2024 01 09]. Available from: <https://news.ontario.ca/en/release/1003988/ontario-consumers-will-be-able-to-buy-beer-cider-wine-and-low-alcohol-ready-to-drink-beverages-at-convenience-grocery-and-big-box-stores>.
12. World Health Organization. A health promotion approach for reducing youth exposure to alcogenic environments. Brief 12 (Snapshot series on alcohol control policies and practice). Geneva; 2023.
13. World Health Organization. The SAFER technical package: five areas of intervention at national and subnational levels. Geneva; 2019.
14. Canadian Substance Use Costs and Harms Scientific Working Group. (Prepared by the Canadian Institute for Substance Use Research and the Canadian Centre on Substance Use andAddiction.). Canadian substance use costs and harms 2007–2020. Ottawa, ON.: Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction; 2023.
15. Government of Canada. Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission, Code for Broadcast Advertising of Alcoholic Beverages. [Online].; 1996 [cited 2024 January 24. Available from: <https://crtc.gc.ca/eng/television/publicit/codesalco.htm>.
16. Ontario Health and Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario).. Burden of Health Conditions Attributable to Smoking and Alcohol by Public Health Unit in Ontario. Appendix A: Estimates. Toronto; 2023. Report No.: 116-117.
17. Myran DT, Chen JT, Giesbrecht N, Rees VW. The association between alcohol access and alcohol-attributable emergency department visits in Ontario, Canada. Addiction. 2019 July 1183-1191; 114(7).
18. Canadian Cancer Society. Tobacco Control. [Online].; 2024 [cited 2024 March 4. Available from: <https://cancer.ca/en/get-involved/advocacy/what-we-are-doing/tobacco-control>.
19. Levy D, Tam J, Kuo C, Fong G, Chaloupka F. The Impact of Implementing Tobacco Control Policies: The 2017 Tobacco Control Policy Scorecard. Journal of public health management and practice. 2018 September; 24(5).
20. Ontario Public Health Association. Timeline of Government of Ontario's Actions to Modernize Alcohol Sales and to Develop a Province-wide Alcohol Policy to Minimize Harms. 2017..
21. Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH). Alcohol Availability in Ontario. 2023..
22. Stockwell T, Solomon R, O'Brien P, Vallance K, Hobin E. Cancer Warning Labels on Alcohol Containers: A Consumer's Right to Know, a Government's Responsibility to Inform, and an Industry's Power to Thwart. Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs. 2020; 81(2): p. 284-292.

23. Weerasinghe A, Schoueri-Mychasiw N, Vallance K, Stockwell T, Hammond D, McGavock J, et al. Improving Knowledge that Alcohol Can Cause Cancer is Associated with Consumer Support for Alcohol Policies: Findings from a Real-World Alcohol Labelling Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 Jan 7;17(2):398. 2020 January; 17(2).
24. Canadian Cancer Society IPSOS Poll. 2022 POLLING Topics. ; February 2022.
25. Hobin E, Shokar S, Vallance K, Hammond D, McGavock J, Greenfield T, et al. Communicating risks to drinkers: testing alcohol labels with a cancer warning and national drinking guidelines in Canada. *Can J Public Health*. 2020 October; 111(5): p. 716-725.
26. Ashley J. Yukon's alcohol label study back on but without a cancer warning. 2018 February 16..
27. Miller M, Livingston M, Maganja D, Wright C. Unpacking assertions made by the alcohol industry and how they make them: An analysis of submissions into Australia's National Alcohol Strategy. *Drug and Alcohol Review*. 2023 May; 42(6).
28. Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario (AGCO). Liquor Advertising. [Online].; 2019 [cited 2024 March 7. Available from: <https://www.agco.ca/alcohol/liquor-advertising>.
29. Ontario Public Health Association. Marketing and Advertisement of Alcoholic Beverages in Canada: An Analysis of Compliance with Current Restrictions, Limitations and Gaps. ; 2021.
30. Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario (AGCO). Registrar's Interim Standards and Requirements for Liquor. [Online].; 2022 [cited 2024 March 7. Available from: <https://www.agco.ca/alcohol/registrars-interim-standards-and-requirements-liquor>.
31. Levy DT,YZ,LY,&MD(. Seven years of progress in tobacco control: an evaluation of the effect of nations meeting the highest level MPOWER measures between 2007 and 2014. *Tobacco Control*. 2018 January; 27(1).
32. Wyper G, Mackay D, Fraser C, Lewsey J, Robinson M, Beeston C, et al. Evaluating the impact of alcohol minimum unit pricing on deaths and hospitalisations in Scotland: a controlled interrupted time series study. *The Lancet*. 2023 March; 401(10385).
33. Public Health Agency of Canada. Chief Public Health Officer's report on the health status of Canadians: preventing problematic substance use in youth. ; 2018.
34. Canadian Centre on Substance Use and Addiction. Substance Abuse in Canada: Youth in Focus. ; 2007.
35. Kristjansson A, Mann M, Sigfusson J, Thorisdottir I, Allegrante J, Sigfusdottir I. Development and Guiding Principles of the Icelandic Model for Preventing Adolescent Substance Use. *Health Promotion Practice*. 2020 January; 21(1).
36. Sigfusdottir I, Humberto S, Mann M, Kristjansson A. Prevention Is Possible: A Brief History of the Origin and Dissemination of the Icelandic Prevention Model. *Health Promotion Practice*. 2020 January; 21(1).
37. Kristjansson A, Sigfusdottir I, Thorlind T, Mann M, Sigfusson J, Allegrante J. Population trends in smoking, alcohol use and primary prevention variables among adolescents in Iceland, 1997-2014. *Addiction*. 2016 April; 111(4).
38. Planet Youth: Our Partners. [Online]. [cited 2024 March 1. Available from: <https://planetyouth.org/our-partners/>.