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Executive Summary

Ontario’s public health system delivers value for money, and helps to ensure Ontarians are fully able to contribute to a prosperous, sustainable and healthy future. Investments in public health are vital to maximizing prevention efforts in order to protect the Province and reduce demands for downstream health care services. Public health recognizes that it plays an important role in reducing hallway health care.

Peterborough Public Health (PPH) does not support the changes to the Ontario public health system put forward by the Provincial Government as part of its April 2019 budget. Although modifications to the system designed to make it more effective should be considered, the proposals of the Provincial Government were overly broad and did not target key areas for reform. If adopted, their impact would have significantly and irrevocably damaged the governance and delivery of public health services in the province. They were akin to using a sledgehammer to crack open a peanut. Public health in Peterborough is not broken – with the exception of issues related to capacity and funding, our communities benefit from services that are responsive, timely and effective.

PPH has worked hard to inform the Province and other stakeholders about its concerns including:

- Responding to local media in order to inform the public and local stakeholders on the potential negative impacts
- Making written submissions to the Minister and Ministry
- Engaging local government MPPs in discussion with the board and local political leaders
- Developing and presenting an emergency resolution to the Annual General Meeting of the Association of Local Public Health Agencies (alPHa)
- Engaging in discussions with neighbouring boards of health
- Engaging in the Eastern Ontario Wardens Caucus resolution
- Engaging in the formal Provincial consultation
- Completing the Ministry survey on public health modernization
- Engaging decision makers at both the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and Rural Ontario Municipal Association (ROMA) conferences

We applaud the Provincial Government for seeking public input before proceeding with any structural changes however PPH continues to express concern that the Government is continuing with its plan to transfer $180 million of public health costs unto the local tax base, although at a slower pace than originally announced.

Principles of Reform

PPH believes that public health in Ontario must be shaped and delivered at the local level and that any proposed changes to public health governance and delivery need to be consistent with the following principles:

1. The enhancement of health promotion and disease prevention must be the primary priority of any changes undertaken;
2. Investments in public health must be recognized as a critical strategy in reducing the need for hallway health care;
3. Any consolidation of public health units should reflect a community of interests which include distinguishing between rural and urban challenges and facilitates the meaningful participation of First Nations;
4. Adequate provincial funding is necessary to ensure effective health promotion and prevention activities in Ontario. Funding should be predictable and consider factors such as equity, population demographics and density, rural/urban mix and increase to meet new demands;
5. Local funding needs to consider a municipality’s ability to pay in the context of the broad range of changes in funding arrangements between the Province and municipalities;
6. As public health is a joint municipal-provincial venture, its governance structure must provide accountability to the local councils that are required to fund local public health agencies;
7. Changes undertaken need to be evidenced based and not ideologically driven; and,
8. Change must be driven from the bottom up, in a process that respects both Provincial and local interests and facilitates genuine collaboration. Change management impact must be acknowledged in this process.

Recommendations

In addressing the reform of public Health in Ontario, PPH has developed a series of recommendations in three broad thematic areas consistent with the principles noted above:

1. Structure and Governance

1.1. Negotiate boundaries for a local public health agency (LPHA) with an optimal size of 300,000 to 500,000\(^1\) that reflects a community of interests and recognizes the rights and interests of First Nations.
1.2. Structure negotiations in a manner that respects local concerns and is responsive to local priorities.
1.3. Mandate municipal board representation and accountability that reflects municipal fiscal contributions.
1.4. Consider the establishment of regional structures to assist local boards in the delivery of programming and cost containment (i.e., back office integration, mutual aid agreements, issue-specific expertise).
1.5. Enhance Public Health Ontario’s (PHO) coordination role as it relates to knowledge and technical support; central analytics; evidence generation; and, performance measurement.

---

\(^{1}\) Mays et al. Institutional and Economic Determinants of Public Health System Performance. Amer J Pub Health 2006;96;3;523-531.
2. Program Delivery

2.1. Ensure health promotion and prevention programming is designed to reduce future health care use and costs.

2.2. Ensure stable and predictable provincial funding is provided that reflects demographic, equity and other local conditions, responsive to increased or emerging demands.

2.3. Ensure local financial contributions are reflective of municipalities’ abilities to pay.

2.4. The Province should provide LPHAs with training and human resource support to ensure frontline staff have core competencies consistent with provincial standards.

2.5. The local delivery of public health programming should include:
   - Community engagement in design and delivery;
   - Nurturing of local relationships with delivery partners;
   - Supporting local decision makers with healthy public policy;
   - Program delivery which encompasses consistent local staffing;
   - Promotion of provincial policy development based on local needs and issues;
   - Delivery of health promotion campaigns that reflect local conditions and are built on local strategies;
   - Ensuring the social determinants of health are a lens through which local policies are developed; and,
   - Undertaking local applied research that is disseminated at a provincial level for the benefit of all LPHAs.

3. Implementation

3.1. Provide sufficient time to implement any proposed changes.

3.2. Build on best practices learned from past amalgamations.

3.3. Ensure sufficient provincial financial support is available to meet one-time implementation costs.

3.4. Implement changes using an integrated and comprehensive approach.

Ontario experienced a prolonged drought for public health that was brought to light with the tragedies of both SARS and Walkerton. We hope that important lessons have been learned and that the neglect that occurred in the past will not be repeated. In order to do that, boards of health need to know that the Province is committed to investing in public health in order to protect its citizens and keep our communities open for business.
**Introduction**

Peterborough’s board of health believes public health must be shaped and delivered at the local level. We were encouraged by the current Provincial Government’s recognition that this is a strength of our system, and one which we want to build upon. Coupled with a well-designed provincial and regional framework, we can work together to achieve the strategic alignment and efficiencies desired from a public health system.

Any restructuring, including the potential for amalgamations, deserves thoughtful consideration to ensure clear value-added outcomes, limited potential for disruption or paralysis, and minimal risk of unintended consequences.

PPH endorses the following principles and recommends that they be used as a tool to ensure that the best interests of our communities are served well by any changes to our province’s local public health system:

1. The enhancement of health promotion and disease prevention must be the primary priority of any changes undertaken;
2. Investments in public health must be recognized as a critical strategy in reducing the need for hallway health care;
3. Any consolidation of public health units should reflect a community of interests which include distinguishing between rural and urban challenges and facilitates the meaningful participation of First Nations;
4. Adequate provincial funding is necessary to ensure effective health promotion and prevention activities in Ontario. Funding should be predictable and consider factors such as equity, population demographics and density, rural/urban mix and increase to meet new demands;
5. Local funding needs to consider a municipality’s ability to pay in the context of the broad range of changes in funding arrangements between the Province and municipalities;
6. As public health is a joint municipal-provincial venture, its governance structure must provide accountability to the local councils that are required to fund local public health agencies;
7. Changes undertaken need to be evidenced based and not ideologically driven, and,
8. Change must be driven from the bottom up, in a process that respects both provincial and local interests and facilitates genuine collaboration. Change management impact must be acknowledged in this process.

Many of these principles have been echoed elsewhere in other tables and forums that have emerged in response to the 2019 announcements. It is of utmost importance that the goal of this restructuring be the improvement of population health through enhanced protection and promotion of population health and health equity.

Furthermore, “obligated municipalities”, whether municipal or First Nation (Section 50, Health Protection and Promotion Act (HPPA)), must be engaged in a meaningful way in decision-making to ensure public health remains responsive and accountable to the local communities it serves. This means that autonomous boards must continue to contain a majority of municipal representatives. It also means the structure and delivery of services and programs must meet the needs of the communities served. Any new organizational structure should build on the strong collaborative relationships currently existing between the current LPHAs and delivery partners including municipalities. Where there is common interest and benefit at the provincial or regional level, it makes sense to organize and deliver work at these levels. Any new regions established for
this purpose should therefore reflect similar demographics, history and culture, and be flexible enough to enhance planning, priority-setting and delivery in an efficient and effective manner, without adding another layer of bureaucracy.

The funding model/formula for local public health must be sustainable and take into account factors such as equity, population demographics and density, and the rural-urban mix. Any efficiencies identified should be optimized without sacrificing the quality and effectiveness of services provided. And it goes without saying that the best available evidence should be considered as part of policy decision making.

Acknowledging the key challenges raised through the discussion document on Public Health Modernization and this opportunity to improve the impact on the wellbeing of Ontarians through strategic changes to the formal public health system and delivery models, and with consideration of the principles listed above, we respectfully submit the following key recommendations in three key areas.

Peterborough Public Health has a proud 130-year history of improving the health of our communities.
Section 1: Structure and Governance

As a smaller LPHA, PPH has experienced the challenges and vulnerability of limited capacity. We therefore support expanded boundaries for LPHAs where they are strategic. In consideration of the evidence for effectiveness of LPHAs that serve a population size of 300,000 – 500,000 (Mays et al., 2006), PPH would benefit from a larger area composed of neighbouring municipalities and First Nations, where interested. However, increasing the size of a health unit needs to be carefully balanced with the need to ensure strong local accountability and representation for participating municipalities and First Nations. Amalgamations should be negotiated, and be based on existing collaborative efforts and alignment with other key sectors.

PPH has worked diligently to develop and nurture strong relationships with our partners - both municipal governments and local organizations. Local governments value public health as a key partner and contact. Extreme caution must be applied if any restructuring of local boards is pursued. Such action could seriously handicap the ability of a new board to positively influence the social determinants of health at the local level. These strong credible relationships take years to establish. We are very proud to be a valued partner within the population we serve.

In addition to strategic amalgamations, further coordination can be achieved through a regional and provincial approach that supports and incentivizes collaboration where appropriate. LPHAs could come together to plan at a regional level, establish mutual aid agreements and develop back office integration. These could create opportunities to share expertise across the region. As an example, the LPHAs currently included in the Eastern Ontario Warden’s Caucus and Eastern Ontario Mayor’s Caucus could work together through established municipal partnerships and public health leadership to strengthen coordination without necessarily adding another layer that requires additional staffing and funding.

But for any modernization effort to work, there is a need to strengthen provincial leadership for public health.
This will require stronger collaboration between the Ministry of Health, other Ministries, sector partners and provincial associations and PHO. The establishment of leadership tables and themed work groups can ensure relevant voices can contribute to establishing provincial priorities and plans. PHO should continue its role as advisor and support to all three levels of public health planning: provincial, regional and local; and should be given an expanded role in data collection and analysis, training and research. Data systems need to be adequately resourced to produce information that can be applied at the provincial, regional and local level and support setting and monitoring of targets.

When all three levels of program planning and delivery are functioning optimally, there will be added value and improved outcomes. This requires a bottom up and top down approach, bringing together frontline knowledge and central expertise to develop solutions.

We have 5 recommendations to make regarding potential changes to the structure of public health that would address this vision:

1.1. Negotiate boundaries for a local public health agency with an optimal size of 300,000 to 500,000 (Mays et al., 2006) that reflects a community of interests and recognizes the rights and interests of First Nations.
1.2. Structure negotiations in a manner that respects local concerns and is responsive to local priorities.
1.3. Mandate municipal board representation and accountability that reflects municipal fiscal contributions.
1.4. Consider the establishment of regional structures to assist local boards in the delivery of programming and cost containment (i.e., back office integration, mutual aid agreements, issue-specific expertise).
1.5. Enhance Public Health Ontario’s (PHO) coordination role as it relates to knowledge and technical support; central analytics; evidence generation; and, performance measurement.

Improving food systems to address food security is an example of public health work that requires coordination and support from multiple provincial ministries and local partners.
Section 2: Program Delivery

Public health is an investment that prevents future costs and contributes to creating a healthy and productive population. The formal public health system does much more than deliver services. Through strong partnerships at all levels, public health builds community capacity and influences health outcomes through built environment and policy changes. To achieve optimal efficiency and effectiveness, resources need to be invested wisely with actions taken at the appropriate level (provincial – regional – local) and support systems and evidence-based resources must be readily available.

As planning at the provincial, regional and local levels occur, through the system noted above, areas of work such as communications, technology, staff development, continuous quality improvement, knowledge translation and risk management can be optimised through improved alignment with the avoidance of duplication of effort. In addition to the provincial and regional planning tables, ongoing support for existing and potential communities of practice, constituent groups and provincial task groups will create a stronger and more coordinated local system.

Provincially-developed communication campaigns and tools can significantly reduce duplication. These need to be developed with local input and local adaptability with recognition that target audiences and media vehicles vary significantly from community to community. There are, however, significant opportunities with tools such as a common evidence-based website, provincial and regional market research and polling data, and common branding. Common technology platforms provide an opportunity for reduced duplication as well as the improved ability to share and compare data across the system.

To deliver high quality programs, staff at each LPHA must have the appropriate competencies. Organizational leaders (including governors), frontline and back office staff must have core public health competencies and specialized knowledge and skills to meet the provincial standards and requirements. Standards for staffing of

Teaching food skills in PPH’s Community Kitchen supports better nutrition for families, preventing hallway health care.
LPHAs should be established with consideration for balancing the benefits of specific disciplines, the core competencies required and adequate flexibility at the local level to their own context.

Ongoing support to maintain and further develop competencies should be supported at the provincial and regional level. Existing provincial agencies (including but not limited to PHO) should be leveraged to respond to priorities and needs. These agencies can also act as resource leads for key areas to support the broader public health system.

Provincial priority setting will enhance alignment and focus at all levels of implementation. This should not, however, supersede the Ontario Public Health Standards and expectations for local flexibility. The Annual Service Plan process should be used to set expectations for provincial priorities and ensure a minimum level of service across all areas of the public health mandate.

Relationships with Indigenous communities should be retained as a core requirement, with recognition that knowledge keepers within these communities have a great deal to teach us and that relationships are built on trust, self-determination and that each community is unique.

We make 5 recommendations to improve the delivery of services:

2.1 Ensure health promotion and prevention programming is designed to reduce future health care use and costs.
2.2 Ensure stable and predictable provincial funding is provided that reflects demographic, equity and other local conditions, responsive to increased or emerging demands.
2.3 Ensure local financial contributions are reflective of municipalities’ abilities to pay.
2.4 The Province should provide LPHAs with training and human resource support to ensure frontline staff have core competencies consistent with provincial standards.
2.5 The local delivery of public health programming should include:
   - Community engagement in design and delivery;
   - Nurturing of local relationships with delivery partners;
   - Supporting local decision makers with healthy public policy;
   - Program delivery which encompasses consistent local staffing;
   - Promotion of provincial policy development based on local needs and issues;
   - Delivery of health promotion campaigns that reflect local conditions and are built on local strategies;
   - Ensuring the social determinants of health are a lens through which local policies are developed; and,
   - Undertaking local applied research that is disseminated at a provincial level for the benefit of all LPHAs.
Section 3: Implementation

The process for implementation of the recommended changes to system and delivery models is equally important to success. Change management principles should be applied with the appropriate support and time to implement. Changes to health unit boundaries and formation of new organizations and regions requires financial support and will benefit from the learnings of past experiences within public health and beyond. Advice and best practices should inform timelines and keys to success.

The resulting system of local public health agencies, regional groupings and strengthened provincial coordination and support systems will require adequate resources to achieve expected outcomes. At the local level, a cost-shared model for public health continues to be accepted as the most appropriate model. There must be recognition, however, of the limited capacity the varied obligated municipalities have to fund beyond existing levels. This varied ability to pay has historically and could continue to create a disparity in service levels across the province. A funding formula needs to be created that will ensure a sustainable delivery of public health service without undue pressure on obligated municipalities.

PPH benefits from a partnership with Curve Lake and Hiawatha First Nations that goes back over 50 years and predates the current HPPA Section 50 language. Modernization of public health presents an opportunity to strengthen First Nation engagement and the process of reconciliation. This requires the active participation and leadership of First Nation communities, as well as that of the federal government.

PPH has 4 recommendations to offer on implementation:

3.1. Provide sufficient time to implement any proposed changes.
3.2. Build on best practices learned from past amalgamations.
3.3. Ensure sufficient provincial financial support is available to meet one-time implementation costs.
3.4. Implement changes using an integrated and comprehensive approach.
Conclusion

As an autonomous board, Peterborough currently has strong relations with both funders and stakeholders. The board has had representation from Curve Lake First Nation (CLFN) and Hiawatha First Nation (HFN) since 1968. We wish to retain our “autonomous”, or independent, board structure with meaningful representation from all three categories of funding partners: municipal, Indigenous and provincial.

We do not believe a one-size-fits-all approach to board governance is necessary, or even recommended, for the maximization of local public health benefits. For example, on the topic of the built environment, which is a powerful determinant of illness and health, some of the most ground-breaking work in Ontario has been done by health departments that are integrated into regional councils. We see the variability in governance models as a strength that can benefit us all. As long as provincial requirements for governance are clearly articulated and diligently met, the sector can be stronger.

By amalgamating smaller public health units like PPH to achieve a minimum target population of between 300,000 and 500,000 (Mays et al., 2006), which is supported by evidence, all local boards of health should have the capacity required to ensure consistent and uninterrupted provision of service. Amalgamating with neighbouring boards to achieve a population of this size would represent a doubling of our current capacity and staff size. We caution that any amalgamated health units not become so large as to compromise access, efficiency, representative governance and the possibility of a shared logical cohesive identity for participating municipalities and First Nations.

Peterborough has benefited from the contributions of PHO and we wish to see these continue and grow, both provincially, as well as in the field. As our technical and scientific arm, having PHO advise and assist all levels of a modernized public health system makes sense.

The Ministry, PHO and other public health leaders in the province have the potential to improve coordination and establish clear provincial priorities through assessment of provincial data and weighing needs against potential impact and appropriateness of action by the public health sector. Provincial planning tables should bring together representatives from the field with key provincial stakeholders on a regular basis to establish strategic directions and to set provincial and regional targets. In addition to a priority setting and coordination table at the provincial level, there will be a need for issue-based planning groups to be established that can facilitate development of more detailed provincial plans and engage the field to facilitate implementation.

The 2017 Auditor General’s report identified duplication, inconsistencies and lack of coordination in the
efforts to reduce and prevent chronic disease. We agree with recommendations for a provincial strategy, provincial goals and targets that would be applicable to all partners across both the health care sector and public health, were applicable.

Since the Auditor General’s report was released, public health’s mandate, the Ontario Public Health Standards (OPHS), has been modernized. PPH supports the recommendations of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts which calls for greater coordination by the Ministry of Health. We believe this could occur as a result of establishing provincial goals and targets for chronic disease and injury prevention, which could then be reflected and established locally, across health, municipal and public health sectors. As described in the section above, provincially-developed priorities and strategies will be most successful when the field is engaged in the process and the strategies allow for enough variability to accommodate the needs of each local health unit.

The modernized OPHS is currently implemented through provincial approval of the Annual Service Plan (ASP) for each LPHA. The ASP established accountability to ensure that local planning is based on local needs and resources are allocated appropriately to meet minimum requirements and address local needs. This accountability process is still relatively new and evolving, but presents an opportunity for integrating provincial priority setting with local implementation. By adjusting the timing for submissions, and appropriate direction from the Province, these submissions can provide accountability for setting delivery targets for provincial priorities and demonstrating need and appropriate action for local priorities. In doing so, this will preserve the split between “standardized” and “locally-flexible” program areas within the OPHS, but set expectations for areas of flexible programming where there is a clear provincial priority.

Following SARS, 103 recommendations were made and many were implemented, including a shift in provincial/municipal funding to 75/25 provincial/municipal funding formula. In its January 2019 Compendium of Municipal Health Activities and Recommendations, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) requested that a forum be established to “guide policy, funding, and planning decisions concerning local public health delivery”. Peterborough respectfully requests that the AMO recommendations be considered at this time of modernization. Funding of public health is important because without adequate funding, programs and services will be eroded. PPH is concerned that the new funding formula, which now has local funders paying for 30% of all Ministry of Health-funded public health programs, with the exception of the newly announced Seniors Dental Care Program, is not affordable, sustainable, or fair.

In conclusion, Ontario experienced a prolonged drought for public health that was brought to light with the tragedies of both SARS and Walkerton. We hope that important lessons have been learned and that the neglect that occurred in the past will not be repeated. In order to do that, boards of health need to know that the Province is committed to investing in public health in order to protect its citizens and keep our communities open for business.
We respectfully acknowledge that Peterborough Public Health is located on the Treaty 20 Michi Saagiig territory and in the traditional territory of the Michi Saagiig and Chippewa Nations, collectively known as the Williams Treaties First Nations, which include: Curve Lake, Hiawatha, Alderville, Scugog Island, Rama, Beausoleil, and Georgina Island First Nations.

Peterborough Public Health respectfully acknowledges that the Williams Treaties First Nations are the stewards and caretakers of these lands and waters in perpetuity, and that they continue to maintain this responsibility to ensure their health and integrity for generations to come.

We are all Treaty people.