

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Hodgkin Lymphoma-Favorable Prognosis Stage I and II

Literature Search Performed on: 5/6/2015

Beginning Date: January 2010

End Date: April 2015

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Hodgkin Disease/ (31342)
 - 2 Drug Therapy/ (28473)
 - 3 Chemoradiotherapy/ (3816)
 - 4 Radiotherapy/ (37077)
 - 5 Antineoplastic Combined Chemotherapy Protocols/ (109697)
 - 6 Combined Modality Therapy/ (145946)
 - 7 early stage.mp. (60373)
 - 8 (stage I or stage II).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier] (40400)
 - 9 early-stage.mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier] (60373)
 - 10 1 and (7 or 8 or 9) (1119)
 - 11 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 (292956)
 - 12 10 and 11 (604)
 - 13 limit 12 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2010 -Current") (82)
 - 14 remove duplicates from 13 (82)

Notes:

.mp = multi-purpose (retrieves results that have this keyword in several fields)

Literature Search Summary

Of the 55 citations in the original bibliography, 43 were retained in the final document. Articles were removed from the original bibliography if they were more than 10 years old and did not contribute to the evidence or they were no longer cited in the revised narrative text.

A new literature search was conducted in May 2015 to identify additional evidence published since the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® *Hodgkin's Lymphoma — Favorable Prognosis Stage I and II* topic was finalized. Using the search strategy described above, 82 articles were found. Eight articles were added to the bibliography. Seventy-four articles were not used due to either poor study design, the articles were not relevant or generalizable to the topic, the results were unclear, misinterpreted, or biased, or the articles were already cited in the original bibliography.

The author added 15 citations from bibliographies, websites, or books that were not found in the new literature search.