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Program Attendance Summary

Program Evaluation Response
100 program evaluations returned, 258 daily speaker and content evaluation forms returned

Meeting Attendance
550 Total Registrations; 505 Total Attendance (AOCD Members – 479; Non-Members – 26)
Program Evaluation Summary

What was your reason for enrollment?
- 5% - Program topics
- 8% - Location of the program
- 33% - Desire to broaden knowledge
- 45% - Needed CME hours
- 10% - Other
  o Resident requirement/In-training exam
  o CLIA exam
  o AOBD recertification
  o Seeing friends

Were you interested in a specific speaker?
  35% - Yes (see chart below)
  65% - No
Did the lectures reflect current and/or future guidelines in dermatology?

- 58% Yes, the lectures truly reflected current and/or future guidelines.
- 32% Yes, the topics were current.
- 9% The topics somewhat reflected current and/or future guidelines.
- 0% No, the topics did not reflect current and/or future guidelines.
- 1% Not applicable.
What, if any, aspects of these lectures would you implement or change within your current practice?

- Hair loss treatment - 6
- Keeping current with updates - 5
- Pediatric treatment - 4
- Informed consent - 3
- Many things - 3
- Laser tattoo removal - 2
- None - 2
- OCC/OCAT - 2
- Awareness of lesions that mimic benign lesions, yet are actually malignant - 1
- Awareness of subtleties in histopath reports and collaborating with pathologists to ensure best and most accurate diagnosis and treatment - 1
- Bullous disease biopsy site - 1
- Cosmetics - 1
- Hair loss in women workup and therapeutics - 1
- I now will consider radiation treatment in certain situations and skin cancer types - 1
- I will add low level light therapy to my practice - 1
- Incorporating management to current practice - 1
- Injection techniques per Dr. Leavitt - 1
- More biopsies - 1
- Osteopathic principles - 1
- Pediatric population treatment of molluscum and warts - 1
- Practical pearls in diagnosis or treatment - 1
- Practice guidelines - 1
- Recommend baby wipes without irritating preservative - 1
- Spironolactone - 1
- Therapeutics and clinical tips - 1
- Use Rogaine more often in treatment of some types of alopecia - 1
- Workup modalities - 1

Will you incorporate what you learned at this meeting into your daily practice?

- 93% Yes
- 0% No
- 7% Not sure

How important is each of the following in helping you provide optimal care to your patients?

1. Continuing medical education courses
2. Articles in peer-reviewed journals (tie)
   Clinical practice guidelines (tie)
3. Opinions of nationally-recognized experts
4. Pharmaceutical company sales representatives

In regard to all of the lectures, what was the most beneficial information to your current practice?

- Pediatric dermatology - 17
- Hair loss treatment - 9
- New therapies and review of current treatment guidelines - 7
- Informed consent/medicolegal - 4
- OCC process & requirements - 4
- All lectures - 3
- General dermatology lectures - 2
- Inpatient consults from Larkin were instructive - 2
- Radiation treatment - 2
- Resident lecture topics - 2
- Urticaria - 2
- Dr. Barron’s review - 1
- Clinical pearls - 1
- Cosmetic dermatology - 1
- Dermatopathology - 1
- Differential diagnosis reviews - 1
- Osteopathic Dermatology in an Allopathic World lecture - 1
- Fungal lecture by residents - 1
- Management strategies when basic treatments don’t work - 1
- Practice management - 1
- Psoriatic treatment trends - 1
- Update lectures – 1
- What it means to be a DO dermatologist - 1

Which of the following practice gaps (the difference between actual and ideal performance and/or patient outcomes) do you anticipate addressing with the materials presented at this conference?

- 44% Knowledge – Facts and information acquired by a person through experience or education
- 24% Competence – Have the ability to apply knowledge, skills, or judgement in practice if called upon to do so
- 21% Performance – What a dermatologist actually does practice
- 11% Needs – The necessity for education on a specific topic identified by a gap in professional practice
Did the presentations address your interest of the topics?
- 84% Yes
- 14% Somewhat, I felt it could have been better
- 2% No

Did the conference remain commercially unbiased?
- 99% Yes
- 1% No

List the subjects you felt were most valuable to you:
- Pediatric dermatology - 21
- Alopecia - 11
- Treatment updates - 7
- Informed consent/legal - 5
- Psoriasis update - 5
- All - 4
- Urticaria - 4
- Dermatopathology - 3
- General dermatology - 3
- OCC - 3
- Radiation treatment - 3
- Bullos diseases - 2
- Clinical updates - 2
- Future of dermatology for DOs, addressed by Dr. Lebwohl - 2
- Laser tattoo removal - 2
- Resident lectures - 2
- Vasculitis - 2
- AAD & AOCD Updates - 1
- Cosmetic - 1
- Dermpath review with Dr. Barron - 1
- Disease management lectures - 1
- Hair loss in women - 1
- Inpatient dermatology - 1
- Legislative - 1
- Melanoma review - 1
- Pearls on the solo practice - 1
- Pigmented lesions - 1
- Practice guidelines - 1
- Practice management - 1
- Profession enhancers - 1
- Tumors - 1
- What it means to be a DO dermatologist - 1

List the subjects you feel could have been omitted:
- None - 14
- Too much on hair restoration - 3
- Osteopathic review - 2
- Practice management - 2
- Resident lectures - 2
- Solo strategies - 2
- What is an osteopathic dermatologist - 2
- Acne - 1
- Androgenetic alopecia update - 1
- Boutique practices - 1
- Cosmetic topics - 1
- Laser tattoo removal - 1
- Redundancy in some topics - 1
- Surgical dermatology - 1
- Therapeutic update - 1

What topics would you like to see presented at future meetings?
- Surgical dermatology - 8
- Bullos disorders (bullous pemphigoid/pemphigus tips and pearls) - 2
- Clinical dermatology update - 2
- Connective tissue disease - 2
- Cosmetic dermatology - 2
- Cutaneous lupus management - 2
- Dermatopathology - 2
- Filler update - 2
- Laser update - 2
- More of the same - 2
- Patient workshops - 2
- Pediatric dermatology - 2
- Practice management - 2
- Psoriasis - 2
- Autoimmune disease update - 1
- Biologics update - 1
- Breakout sessions in small groups to review clinical cases and exchange ideas with other colleagues in informal discussion - 1
- Business in dermatology - 1
- Complex medical dermatology - 1
- Contact dermatitis: Dr. Zirwas is a great lecturer. Topic could be broken down into body location: eyelid dermatitis, oral contact dermatitis, hand/foot contact dermatitis etc. - 1
- CTCL - 1
- Dermatomyositis - 1
- Dermatopathology for the general dermatologist - 1
- Dr. Lebwohl - 1
- Dysplastic nevi - 1
- EMR - 1
• Genetic and molecular basis of diagnosis and therapeutics - 1
• Hidradenitis supportiva - 1
• Immunodermatology - 1
• Inventory management system for selling products in the office – 1
• Lectures in different categories (i.e. papulosquamous lecture, skin cancer lecture, genodermatoses lecture, with up to date treatments) - 1
• Legal issues - 1
• Lichen planus and oral lichen planus treatment - 1
• Medical dermatology – 1
• More grand rounds cases - 1
• Nationally recognized speakers on specific content areas (i.e. alopecias, vitiligo, autoimmune, pediatrics, Mohs) – 1
• OMM in dermatology update - 1
• Pruritus workup and treatment - 1
• Psoriasis of the nail - 1
• Rheumatology series of 3-4 lectures - 1
• Skin cancer treatment - 1
• Surgical reconstruction - 1
• Surgical workshop - 1
• Treatment challenges - 1
• Vasculitis - 1
• Vitiligo - 1

What was the best part of your experience at this meeting?
• Seeing colleagues and friends - 13
• Lectures and speakers - 7
• Location - 6
• Venue/Hotel - 4
• Dr. Barron's board review for Residents - 2
• Good flow and organization - 2
• Pediatric dermatology lecture - 2
• Strong curriculum - 2
• AOCD and its staff, led by Marsha - 1
• Dr. Kerdel's lecture - 1
• Dr. Lebwohl's lecture - 1
• Everything was good - 1
• Exchanging new ideas and learning new ways of treating skin - 1
• Going to the gym - 1
• OCC lecture - 1
• Quiet environment for the boards - 1
• Resident lectures - 1
• Therapeutic updates - 1

What was the worst part of your experience at this meeting?
• Nothing - 13
• Resident presentations - 4
• Different meeting venue on Sunday - 3
• Long days - 2
• Cosmetic topics - 1
• Dates of meeting - 1
• Difficulty hearing lectures due to poor acoustics and lack of enough speakers - 1
• Getting up too early - 1
• Large size of lecture hall - 1
• Limited session availability - 1
• Minimal local shops near hotel other than Universal; needed a car - 1
• Orlando Convention Center - 1
• Panel of speakers and lecture series were better at previous AOCD meetings - 1
• Registration - 1
• Scheduling - 1
• Too much hair restoration - 1
• Travel - 1

Rank the following cities for a future meeting location:
1. San Diego, CA
2. Charleston, SC
3. New York, NY/Miami, FL
4. Austin, TX
5. Chicago, IL
6. New Orleans, LA
7. Atlanta, GA
8. Tampa, FL
9. Denver, CO
10. Seattle, WA
11. Washington, DC
12. Portland, OR
13. Los Angeles, CA
14. Philadelphia, PA
15. St. Louis, MO
16. Kansas City, MO
17. Des Moines, IA

Other locations mentioned in the comments:
• Orlando, FL - 2
• Savannah, GA
• Dallas, TX
• Salt Lake City, UT
• Pittsburgh, PA
• Nashville, TN
• St. Petersburg, FL
• Jacksonville, FL
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation. [Friday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirby</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavitt</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladd</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cangelosi</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirotta</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rozenberg</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation. [Saturday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herold</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minni</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nousari</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebwohl</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glick</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lober</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanson</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerdel</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To what extent was the presenter knowledgeable, organized and effective in his/her presentation. [Sunday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson/Huzij</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early AM Residents</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late AM Residents</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early PM Residents</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late PM Residents</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Powerpoint and/or handout effectively supported the presentation. [Friday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirby</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavitt</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladd</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cangelosi</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirota Rozenberg</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaver</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Powerpoint and/or handout effectively supported the presentation. [Saturday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herold</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minni</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nousari</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebwohl</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glick</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lober</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanson</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerdel</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Powerpoint and/or handout effectively supported the presentation. [Sunday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson/Huzij</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early AM</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late AM</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early PM</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late PM</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The content of the topic was presented in a clear and understandable manner. [Friday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kirby</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavitt</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladd</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cangelosi</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirota Rozenberg</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaver</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The content of the topic was presented in a clear and understandable manner. [Saturday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herold</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minni</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nousari</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebwohl</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glick</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lober</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanson</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerdel</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The content of the topic was presented in a clear and understandable manner. [Sunday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anderson/Huzij</th>
<th>Early AM Residents</th>
<th>Late AM Residents</th>
<th>Early PM Residents</th>
<th>Late PM Residents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Rating</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This session assisted me in my professional development. [Friday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kirby</th>
<th>Leavitt</th>
<th>Ladd</th>
<th>Cangelosi</th>
<th>Friedman</th>
<th>Sirota Rozenberg</th>
<th>Cleaver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Rating</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This session assisted me in my professional development.

[Saturday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herold</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minni</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nousari</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebwohl</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glick</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lober</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanson</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerdel</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This session assisted me in my professional development.

[Sunday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson/Huzij</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early AM Residents</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late AM Residents</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early PM Residents</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late PM Residents</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This session provided specific ideas that I intend to use. [Friday Speakers]

Name: Kirby, Leavitt, Ladd, Cangelosi, Friedman, Sirota Rozenberg, Cleaver

Average Rating: 3.43, 3.69, 3.38, 3.55, 3.6, 3.41, 3.54

This session provided specific ideas that I intend to use. [Saturday Speakers]

Name: Hoffman, Herold, Minni, Nousari, Lebwohl, Glick, Lober, Swanson, Kerdel

Average Rating: 3.63, 3.42, 3.63, 3.59, 3.85, 3.73, 3.79, 3.84, 3.6
This session provided specific ideas that I intend to use. [Sunday Speakers]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anderson/Huzij</th>
<th>Early AM Residents</th>
<th>Late AM Residents</th>
<th>Early PM Residents</th>
<th>Late PM Residents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Rating</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evaluation of Program Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time for questions &amp; answers was sufficient</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminar met your expectations</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation provided usable ideas and/or techniques</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program will improve professional effectiveness</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Program Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Rating</th>
<th>3.52</th>
<th>3.4</th>
<th>3.52</th>
<th>3.6</th>
<th>3.54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program content</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of program</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Rating</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments

- 1st AOCD meeting. ABD certified needed AOA credits. Really impressed, totally enjoyed the meeting!!!
- Case reports and resident presentations are not as useful as expert speakers who speak from experience and evidence-based medicine.
- Didn’t like the Orlando Convention Center but loved the Loew’s resort!
- Dr. Nousari’s lecture was very hard to understand and follow. I think that we need a lecture on bullous disease, just with a different lecturer.
- Excellent speakers this meeting. Look forward to more collaboration with allopaths as we all move toward AOA ACGME unification.
- Have presenters check spelling on their slides. It looks unprofessional when there are mistakes.
- I enjoyed the location and for the most part the meeting being located away from the busy convention center.
- I thought that having the residents summarize chapters out of a review book was a little odd.
- I would like to see more surgical cases.
- Nice updates; however, limited additive information to current general practice.
- Please provide a selection card for boxed lunches so you can get the sandwich you actually want.
- Resident lectures were great. More variety of lectures.
- Solo strategies by Dr. Ladd. I would prefer lectures to assist with common and not so common medical conditions in the office. This would make a good luncheon lecture.
- The conference ending at 6:00 p.m. on Sunday is not optimal for physicians/residents needing to prepare for their upcoming obligations and didactics on Monday. Traveling late in the evening and into the early morning hours is not safe.
• The resident symposium uniformly excellent
• The Sunday hours had to be at another location, so I gave them up. I don’t feel it was fair to do this as CME is so expensive, and I would have liked to have it at the hotel where the conference was held.
• There should have been more organization and better structure at the main check in table. Too many forms and too many colored sheets of paper.
• There were many good, practical "pearls" given during the conference. I especially liked the way the residents' lectures were organized (i.e. with an assigned topic rather than a case review).
• Wanted to know more about surviving as a solo practice, but that turned out to be more of how to start your own practice. Still a good lecture but not what I had hoped.
• We need help in an inventory management system for products we sell in the office. I have never seen anyone go over this aspect of the business. Sadly, the medi-spas do it better than we do. They have a software program, but it's costly. I want to know how great business-minded dermatologists do it.
• Would like to have a meeting on the west coast, fair weather cities and easy access to large airports need to be top considerations. If the goal is to encourage attendance by society members then I suggest immediately eliminating mid and small market cities. When have you ever heard, "I'm really excited to take my family on vacation to St. Louis"?
• Would like to see a different style of practice management. It would be great if we had folks who have a variety of backgrounds (multi-specialty groups, solo practice, single specialty, academic) give a talk on the present and future of practice management.
2015 Fall Meeting Outcomes Evaluation Results

86 Responses Received

Do you intend to make changes or apply learning to your practice as a result of this program?

- Yes, I plan to make changes: 61 (72.62%)
- I’m not sure, but I’m considering changes: 20 (23.81%)
- No, I already practice these recommendations: 3 (3.57%)

Was the program successful in meeting the following objectives?

Define what an Osteopathic Dermatologist is.
- Yes, definitely: 87.21%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 10.47%
- No: 2.33%

Encourage new thought on treatments.
- Yes, definitely: 95.35%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 4.65%
- No: 0.00%

Start a dialogue of what Osteopaths offer the world of dermatology.
- Yes, definitely: 75.58%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 24.42%
- No: 0.00%

New impatient dermatology unit description.
- Yes, definitely: 61.18%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 32.94%
- No: 5.88%

New format for grand rounds.
- Yes, definitely: 48.84%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 40.70%
- No: 10.47%

Interesting case discussion.
- Yes, definitely: 88.24%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 11.76%
- No: 0.00%

Identify common rashes in children.
- Yes, definitely: 95.29%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 4.71%
- No: 0.00%

Learn about treatments for pediatric rashes and lesions.
- Yes, definitely: 94.05%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 5.95%
- No: 0.00%

Get an update on what is new in pediatric dermatology.
- Yes, definitely: 97.65%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 2.35%
- No: 0.00%

Identification of histologic subtypes of urticaria and its mimickers.
- Yes, definitely: 90.59%
- Maybe, I’m not sure: 8.24%
- No: 1.18%
Diagnostic role of histology and immunofluorescence in urticarial dermatitides.
- 87.21% Yes, definitely
- 12.79% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

Immunopathology as a guide for therapy of common and serious urticarial dermatitides.
- 87.21% Yes, definitely
- 12.79% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

Review newer therapies in dermatology.
- 94.19% Yes, definitely
- 5.81% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

Review pertinent side effects.
- 91.76% Yes, definitely
- 8.24% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

Discuss results.
- 87.06% Yes, definitely
- 12.94% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

To review the histological perspective of x-ray therapy.
- 83.53% Yes, definitely
- 16.47% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

To understand patient selection for radiation.
- 89.41% Yes, definitely
- 10.59% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

To comprehend the many radiation options/techniques available.
- 87.06% Yes, definitely
- 11.76% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.18% No

Understand osteopathic approach to dermatology.
- 83.13% Yes, definitely
- 13.25% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 3.61% No

Correlation between the tenants of osteopathy.
- 78.82% Yes, definitely
- 18.82% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 2.35% No

Practical aspects of running a practice.
- 79.07% Yes, definitely
- 17.44% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 3.49% No

Update on treatment modalities for androgenetic alopecia in males and females.
- 91.86% Yes, definitely
- 6.98% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.16% No

Education in how level laser therapy for assisting the physician in treating patients nonsurgically.
- 82.56% Yes, definitely
- 16.28% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.16% No

Inform audience that clinically benign lesions can sometimes end up malignant histologically.
- 89.53% Yes, definitely
- 10.47% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

Important to have low threshold for biopsy if lesion is rapidly growing or loop study is recently changed.
- 91.86% Yes, definitely
- 8.14% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

Include as much clinical information as possible and ensure optimal clinical-pathologic correlation.
- 93.02% Yes, definitely
- 6.98% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

To increase knowledge of future patient demographics.
- 83.72% Yes, definitely
- 16.28% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

To increase knowledge of future skin cancer burden.
- 91.86% Yes, definitely
- 8.14% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 0.00% No

To offer strategies to meet future skin cancer burdens in a way that is ethical and profitable.
- 84.88% Yes, definitely
- 13.95% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.16% No
Understand/identify the various causes and etiology of female hair loss.
- 91.86% Yes, definitely
- 6.98% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.16% No

Understand/identify treatments and/or therapies for female hair loss.
- 93.02% Yes, definitely
- 5.81% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.16% No

Understand/determine hair transplantation as a treatment for female hair loss and when it is appropriate.
- 86.05% Yes, definitely
- 11.63% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 2.33% No

To educate the audience on the history of laser tattoo removal.
- 86.05% Yes, definitely
- 12.79% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 1.16% No

Provide suggested treatment parameters.
- 82.56% Yes, definitely
- 15.12% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 2.33% No

Discuss future implications.
- 81.18% Yes, definitely
- 16.47% Maybe, I’m not sure
- 2.35% No

How useful to your practice were the following topics of this program?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Not useful</th>
<th>Somewhat useful</th>
<th>Very useful</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser Tattoo Removal</td>
<td>22.09%</td>
<td>48.84%</td>
<td>24.42%</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Hair Loss</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>22.09%</td>
<td>75.58%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solo Strategies: The Future Is Still Bright</td>
<td>9.41%</td>
<td>49.41%</td>
<td>37.65%</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign or Malignant: What Does the Pathology Say?</td>
<td>1.16%</td>
<td>29.07%</td>
<td>69.77%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update on Androgenetic Alopecia: Surgical and Non-Surgical Treatments</td>
<td>1.16%</td>
<td>30.23%</td>
<td>67.44%</td>
<td>1.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Osteopathic Review in Dermatology and Practice Management
- 4.65% Not useful
- 48.84% Somewhat useful
- 44.19% Very useful
- 2.33% N/A

Osteopathic Continuing Certification Update
- 6.98% Not useful
- 29.07% Somewhat useful
- 60.47% Very useful
- 3.49% N/A

The Art of Radiotherapy: Skin Cancer Removal Without a Trace
- 6.98% Not useful
- 36.05% Somewhat useful
- 55.81% Very useful
- 3.49% N/A

Therapeutic Update
- 1.18% Not useful
- 24.71% Somewhat useful
- 72.94% Very useful
- 1.18% N/A

Urticarial Dermatitis: Urticaria or Mimicker?
- 2.35% Not useful
- 29.41% Somewhat useful
- 68.24% Very useful
- 0.00% N/A
Biologic/Psoriasis Update
- 1.18% Not useful
- 25.88% Somewhat useful
- 72.94% Very useful
- 0.00% N/A

The Best Malpractice Defense: Informed Consent
- 1.16% Not useful
- 25.58% Somewhat useful
- 73.26% Very useful
- 0.00% N/A

New Updates in Pediatric Dermatology
- 0.00% Not useful
- 14.12% Somewhat useful
- 85.88% Very useful
- 0.00% N/A

Larkin Community Hospital Grand Rounds Cases
- 3.53% Not useful
- 36.47% Somewhat useful
- 57.65% Very useful
- 2.35% N/A

What is an Osteopathic Dermatologist Anyway?
- 8.24% Not useful
- 42.35% Somewhat useful
- 48.24% Very useful
- 1.18% N/A

Resident Lectures
- 10.59% Not useful
- 43.53% Somewhat useful
- 38.82% Very useful
- 7.06% N/A

Would additional education and training on any of these topics be helpful to your practice?
- Yes, definitely 66 (76.74%)
- Maybe, I'm not sure 19 (22.09%)
- No 1 (1.16%)

The content presented was timely and will influence how I practice?
- Yes, definitely 71 (83.53%)
- Maybe, I'm not sure 14 (16.47%)
- No 0 (0.00%)
Please identify one concept you learned from this program that you will try to incorporate into your practice.

- Better use of management guidelines 34 (39.53%)
- Treating earlier or more aggressively 16 (18.60%)
- Initiate prevention management 14 (16.28%)
- Use of evidence-based adherence 22 (25.58%)