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Program Attendance Summary

Program Evaluation Response
222 preregistration questionnaires completed, 14 program evaluations returned, 872 speaker and learning objective evaluations returned, 7 CME mission statement surveys returned, 71 outcomes evaluations returned, 21 30-45 day post-activity outcomes evaluations returned

Meeting Attendance
222 Total Registrations (AOCD Members – 176; Non-Members – 46)

Member Breakdown
- Students/Interns 23 (13%)
- Residents 13 (7%)
- Attending Physicians 140 (80%)

Non-Member Breakdown
- DOs 14 (31%)
- PharmD 2 (4%)
- NPs 1 (2%)
- MDs 8 (17%)
- Residents 1 (2%)
- Students 20 (44%)

United States Map:
- East 15.77%
- Southeast 19.82%
- Midwest 20.72%
- Southwest 24.32%
- Northwest 3.15%
- West 16.22%
Registration Questionnaire Summary
222 registration questionnaires completed

What was your reason for enrollment? (more than one selection could be made)
- 53.60% - Needed CME hours
- 51.80% - Desire to broaden knowledge
- 28.83% - Activity topics
- 15.77% - Other
- 10.36% - Location

Other Reasons for enrollment:
- Speaker - 17
- BOT Member - 12
- CME Committee Member - 2
- Networking - 2
- Also hoping to become more familiar with any dermatology residency programs, listen to any lectures from anyone associated with residencies, and network if possible.
- Communication with colleagues
- I support the AOCD
- Network with dermatologists, learn about research in dermatology and learn current practices for management of dermatologic conditions.
- Networking and mentorship in dermatology
- Requirement for residency

What is the population of the city in which you practice?

- Over 100,000 - 55%
- 50,000 - 100,000 - 22%
- 30,000 - 50,000 - 12%
- 10,000 - 30,000 - 7%
- Under 10,000 - 4%

What is your professional status?

- Practicing - 68.9%
- Retired - 1.4%
- Resident - 6.8%
- Student/Intern - 19.4%
- Other - 3.6%
In which type of practice are you currently engaged?

- Solo 23.0%
- N/A 19.1%
- Retired 1.3%
- Hospital 11.3%
- Group 45.2%

Have you previously attended an AOCD CME program?

- Yes (78.83%)
- No (21.17%)

What would you like to learn from the conference?

- Advancements in clinical and cosmetic dermatology, dermatologic surgery, practice management, etc.
- All the things my amazing colleagues know
- Allergic skin disease
- Anything new or current thinking
- As a medical student, I would like to learn how to get involved in dermatology research, network with DO dermatologists and learn about current innovations in the field.
- Better topics and speakers, teach more with less political or social issues/topics
- Clinical pearls, treatment tips, pathophysiology review, these are always great meetings
- CME medical dermatology
- Current guidelines for therapeutics
- Current thinking on atopic diseases
- Current trends and updates on systemic medications
- Dermatology practices
- Dermatology
- DO dermatology
- Gain a broader knowledge in the field of dermatology.
- General knowledge, coding, surgical
- General up to date dermatology practices and information as well as new developments in dermatology treatment.
- High yield clinical pearls for cosmetic and medical dermatology
- I would like to expand my knowledge on dermatology topics.
- I would like to learn about new advances in pediatric dermatology and dermatologic surgery.
- I would like to learn more about the dermatology field in general and the current updates in techniques and procedures done.
- Just starting to get a foundation
- Learn new trends or treatments in dermatology
- Medical dermatology treatment tips
- New treatment options
- New treatments and new government mandates
- New trends
- Practical pearls, current advancements in the field
- Practical treatments for my patients
- Therapeutic updates and pearls for clinical practice.
- Therapy and diagnoses
- To learn more about the dermatology field as a whole and expand on my general dermatology knowledge gained from my first two years of medical school. I hope to solidify my interest and excitement about the area.
- Topics in complex dermatology and Autoimmune diseases
- Up to date clinical guidelines; Pearls for treating difficult conditions/cases
- Updated therapeutics
- Updates
- Updates in management of disease of the skin and new diagnostic options for challenging skin diseases.
- Updates in Psoriasis, atopic dermatitis and acne
- Updates on treatment for general dermatology; New surgical and cosmetic insights, techniques
- Updates to literature

Which items are important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients? (More than one selection could be made)
- 50.45% - Clinical practice guidelines
- 45.95% - CME courses
- 40.54% - Peer reviewed journal articles
- 39.64% - Opinions of nationally recognized experts
- 9.01% - Pharmaceutical company sales representatives
- 2.70% - Other
General Conference Evaluation Summary
14 evaluations returned

General Program Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity content</th>
<th>Overall rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Rating</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation of Program Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity met your expectations</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations provided usable ideas and/or techniques</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity will improve professional effectiveness</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Did the activity remain commercially unbiased?

- Yes 100.00%
- No 0.00%

Please describe any poor ratings:
- When finished with evaluations it goes to the AOCD website and not the next lecture or back to the lecture page can be bothersome. Sometimes when I need a break it throws me out and I must re-sign in and the password takes 4 minutes to arrive.

List the subjects you felt were most valuable to you:
- New drugs (3)
- Alopecia/Hair disorders (2)
- Coding changes (2)
- Dermoscopy (2)
- Pediatric dermatology (2)
- Art of osteopathy
- Biological therapies
- JAK inhibitors
- Laser and scars
- Molluscum
- Psoriasis lectures
- Rosacea pearls
- Skin cancer
- The sexual abuse lecture was so important! I’m very proud of our DO colleagues bringing this topic to this conference, in hopes of it reaching more physicians, especially to incoming medical students!

What topics would you like to see presented at future meetings?
- More Art of Medicine - This is what will set us apart from the conglomerates
- Autoimmune disease
- Complicated cases
- Dissecting cellulitis and similar
- Filler and Botox pearls
- New trends
- Off-label treatments
- Pediatric dermatology
- Peel techniques
- Pruritis advances
- Skin cancer management
- Surgical pearls
Speaker Evaluation & Learning Objectives Summary
872 evaluations completed

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 81.7%
- Agree: 18.2%
- Disagree: 0.1%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 81.4%
- Agree: 18.1%
- Disagree: 0.5%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 75.9%
- Agree: 23.2%
- Disagree: 0.9%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 73.5%
- **Agree**: 24.9%
- **Disagree**: 1.4%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0.2%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 82.4%
- **Agree**: 17.3%
- **Disagree**: 0.3%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 83.7%
- **Agree**: 16.3%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%
Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 98.2%
- No: 1.8%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 99.8%
- No: 0.2%
Speaker Evaluation – Brandon Basehore, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 29 (80.6%)
- Agree: 7 (19.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (83.3%)
- Agree: 6 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (83.3%)
- Agree: 6 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (88.9%)
- Agree: 4 (11.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 36 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 35 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Brandon Basehore, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better identify symptoms of autoimmune
- Board material to review
- Check for malignancy at least 3 years after diagnosis of dermatomyosites
- Good reminder of tests that can be used for diagnostic purposes
- Great review and it will help me to more quickly and effectively diagnose DM and lupus
- Great review—prednisone mg dosing to suppress various types of immune cells was greatly appreciated
- I feel like I know a lot more about DM and can better manage new cases
- The mechanic hands association with interstitial lung disease is something that I had forgotten so it is helpful reminder.
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will apply concepts to monitor and treat my patients with these autoimmune conditions
- Will consider further work up and if needed, referral for additional work up and treatment
Speaker Evaluation – Neal Bhatia, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 30 (81.1%)
- **Agree**: 7 (18.9%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 29 (78.4%)
- **Agree**: 8 (21.6%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Agree**: 8 (21.6%)
- **Strongly Agree**: 29 (78.4%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Agree**: 10 (27.8%)
- **Strongly Agree**: 26 (72.2%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Agree**: 7 (18.9%)
- **Strongly Agree**: 30 (81.1%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Agree**: 7 (18.9%)
- **Strongly Agree**: 30 (81.1%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 36 (97.3%)
- **No**: 1 (2.7%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 37 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Neal Bhatia, MD**

*How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?*

- Alopecia areata with JAK inhibitors looks promising for my more difficult patients. Heat treatment for warts is a fresh idea.
- Anxiously await JAK inhibitors approval and use the JAK topicals now
- Better understanding of Janus Kinase inhibitors
- Bleomycin for warts
- Excellent overview of the new therapies, especially JAK inhibitors
- I will try to treat warts with PDT
- Interesting options for wart treatments
- Look forward to using JAK inhibitors in the future as well as new treatments for warts
- Over my head—busy slides
- Prepare for JAK inhibitors to be utilized more in dermatology
- Utilize information for patient care
- Wart therapies expanded
- Will hope to utilize the new drugs in development in my treatment approach for warts if FDA approved
Speaker Evaluation – David Cleaver, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 25 (78.1%)
- **Agree**: 7 (21.9%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 26 (81.3%)
- **Agree**: 5 (15.6%)
- **Disagree**: 1 (3.1%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 25 (78.1%)
- **Agree**: 7 (21.9%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 26 (81.3%)
- **Agree**: 6 (18.8%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 27 (84.4%)
- **Agree**: 5 (15.6%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 28 (87.5%)
- **Agree**: 4 (12.5%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 32 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 32 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%
Speaker Comments – David Cleaver, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better understanding of board process
- Continue to use different biologics based on potential side effects
- Excellent review of the new set up of the ACGME and the AOA and then board review materials
- Good to know structure of the dermatology licensing boards, also nice review of common treatments
- Great review and informational update on Board Certification and the direction it may go
- I use all the helpful info to maintain certification
- Incredibly informative and helpful!
- Learned 15 hours necessary for AOCD recertification; MOHS Cert now requires ACGME programs
- Updated information which I utilize in practice; good review
- Utilize information for patient care
- Very easy to understand; Good medication review.
- Very informative. Good board prep and expectations explained. May take my certification exam earlier rather than waiting until the ten year mark.
Speaker Evaluation – James Del Rosso, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 28 82.4%
- Agree 6 17.6%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 29 85.3%
- Agree 5 14.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 29 85.3%
- Agree 5 14.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 29 85.3%
- Agree 5 14.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 30 88.2%
- Agree 4 11.8%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 27 79.4%
- Agree 7 20.6%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 33 97.1%
- No 1 2.9%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 34 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – James Del Rosso, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Always great—I will be using some of the newer drugs that have gotten FDA approval and be more ready to use drugs pending FDA approval.
- Cantharadin for MC; Topical pd1 are coming Kayseri is less red than 5FU
- Dupilumab for bullous pemphoid
- Great speaker...great colorful slides... don’t understand much of the mechanism of immunology...does anybody? Seriously...
- Improved implementation of systemic therapies in my patients with acne, rosacea, atopic dermatitis, and psoriasis
- The visual problems with Dupixent; Watch for rbc rare side effects
- Try newer treatments
- Use treatment modalities and aware of newer modalities for treatment of various conditions
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will consider using tirbanibulin for actinic keratoses
- Will use agents discussed in daily practice
- Will use the new agents for psoriasis and atopic dermatitis as soon as they are approved!
**Speaker Evaluation – G. Scott Drew, DO, FAOCD**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree** 34 (85.0%)
- **Agree** 6 (15.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree** 34 (85.0%)
- **Agree** 6 (15.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree** 28 (70.0%)
- **Agree** 10 (25.0%)
- **Disagree** 2 (5.0%)
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree** 24 (60.0%)
- **Agree** 15 (37.5%)
- **Disagree** 1 (2.5%)
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree** 34 (85.0%)
- **Agree** 6 (15.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree** 35 (89.7%)
- **Agree** 4 (10.3%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes** 39 (97.5%)
- **No** 1 (2.5%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes** 40 (100.0%)
- **No** 0%
Speaker Comments – G. Scott Drew, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- African disease is interesting
- Disseminate this curious presentation to staff for curiosity sake
- Enhance appreciation for the quality of dermatologic care here in the United States
- Excellent review of tropical skin diseases that I can use when I return to Haiti
- I probably won't. But what Dr. Drew presented is so profoundly more important in so many more important ways when it comes to practicing dermatology. This lecture is one of the best AOCD lectures I've even had the pleasure and honor of viewing. We all could and *should* take a lesson from Dr. Drew and contribute to diagnosing and treating dermatologic disease around the world. I hope these types of lectures become more common. What Scott Drew does is inspirational.
- I will keep my eyes out for some of these more rare diseases even in our patient population and also appreciate the luxuries that we have here in America
- In these days of increased migration, this will help me to keep a broad ddx and go about the correct steps to make the best dx and tx decisions
- Increased my compassion
- Interesting lecture
- Keeping dx in mind especially for patients returning from Africa
- Learned how to accurately diagnose with minimal labs and treat with less expensive drugs
- Utilize information to treat patients
- Very informative
- Was able to see long term effects of nutritional deficiency
Speaker Evaluation – Dirk Elston, MD (Coding)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree: 34 (82.9%)
- Agree: 6 (14.6%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree: 35 (85.4%)
- Agree: 5 (12.2%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree: 28 (70.0%)
- Agree: 11 (27.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.5%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree: 28 (68.3%)
- Agree: 12 (29.3%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree: 34 (82.9%)
- Agree: 6 (14.6%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree: 37 (90.2%)
- Agree: 4 (9.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes: 41 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes: 41 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Dirk Elston, MD (Coding)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Along with Dr. Kaufmann, a great set of tools to correctly code
- Confusing utter failure of a lecture, not lecturer’s fault just insurance and government faults
- Good lecture
- Good to know
- Great reminder of the new changes to coding guidelines and what to watch for to code correctly
- Help with new coding guidelines
- Keeping up with the changes help
- Pay more attention to complexity for coding
- Review new coding for office visits, procedures, etc.
- Understand new guidelines and which affect my practice. Thanks.
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will apply concepts daily with updated coding regulations
**Speaker Evaluation – Dirk Elston, MD (Hair Disorders/JAAD/Infections)**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 33 94.3%
- Agree 2 5.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 32 94.1%
- Agree 2 5.9%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 34 97.1%
- Agree 2 5.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 34 97.1%
- Agree 1 2.9%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 33 94.3%
- Agree 2 5.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 34 97.1%
- Agree 2 5.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 34 97.1%
- No 1 2.9%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 35 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Dirk Elston, MD (Hair Disorders/JAAD/Infections)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Add to my differential
- Best lecture yet—Varied not every day diseases presented
- Dutasteride for FFA
- Great lecture, many pearls; May try low dose naltraxone
- Great review of the most current data and treatment options, will help me to more effectively treat my patients
- I learned many good pearls and pitfalls - thank you so much.
- I will now make sure to biopsy active areas present for at least 6 months when performing biopsy for hair loss
- Improve approach to patients with hair loss
- May utilize some of the treatment options discussed
- New treatments
- So many great tips on so many subjects thanks!
- Utilize information for patient care
- Very Good!!! Great clinical photos. Good biologic information.
Speaker Evaluation – Bradley Glick, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 31 (88.6%)
- Agree 4 (11.4%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%
- Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 30 (85.7%)
- Agree 5 (14.3%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%
- Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 28 (82.4%)
- Agree 6 (17.6%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%
- Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 28 (80.0%)
- Agree 7 (20.0%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%
- Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 30 (88.2%)
- Agree 4 (11.8%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%
- Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 30 (90.9%)
- Agree 3 (9.1%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%
- Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 34 (100.0%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 35 (100.0%)

No 0%
Speaker Comments – Bradley Glick, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Await newer biologics to incorporate into treatment of psoriatic patients
- I don't use biologics, but the talk was great. Easy to understand and a lot of energy and enthusiasm. Good to know the problems and advantages of the biologics.
- I will be more likely to use newer topical therapies when available.
- I will try to have patients on biologics follow up every 6 months.
- Improve management of psoriasis patients—Looking forward to the new TAMA medications
- Lots of new therapies
- Need ppd for these drugs but not liver kidney profiles or check for heart disease usually
- Use the arsenal of treatments especially in my psoriasis patients
- Utilize information for patient
- Will use all the wonderful diagrams to keep different treatment regimens
**Speaker Evaluation – Michael Gold, MD**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 29 (82.9%)
- Agree 6 (17.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 29 (82.9%)
- Agree 6 (17.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 28 (80.0%)
- Agree 6 (17.1%)
- Disagree 1 (2.9%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 25 (71.4%)
- Agree 10 (28.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 28 (82.4%)
- Agree 6 (17.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 29 (82.9%)
- Agree 6 (17.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 34 (97.1%)
- No 1 (2.9%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 35 (100.0%)
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Michael Gold, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- I intend to introduce light more quickly
- Implementation of current guidelines in rosacea care considering the rosacea classifications and medication recommendations
- May refer out more for laser prn
- Medical management of rosacea
- New medications will be used and referrals for laser or IPL
- Reinforcement
- Try newer treatments
- Use presentation to enhance approach to treating various rosacea patients
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will consider using Botox to treat rosacea
Speaker Evaluation – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 28 (82.4%)
- Agree 6 (17.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 24 (70.6%)
- Agree 10 (29.4%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 27 (79.4%)
- Agree 7 (20.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 33 (97.1%)
- No 1 (2.9%)

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 26 (76.5%)
- Agree 8 (23.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 25 (73.5%)
- Agree 9 (26.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 27 (79.4%)
- Agree 7 (20.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 34 (97.1%)
- No 1 (2.9%)
Speaker Comments – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better understanding of when to use Mohs Surgery.
- Do more Mohs
- Enhanced appreciation for the potential of recurrence and what to watch for after Mohs Surgery
- I utilize Mohs referrals frequently and will be aware of looking for recurrences of skin cancers and further referral to Mohs.
- I will take all he presented with a grain of salt and individually evaluate each patient
- Make sure to evaluate treated sites for recurrence especially when they’ve had superficial treatments
- More aware of factors to be considered
- Really enjoyed this. It gave me a new perspective on SCCIS and other superficial skin cancers and approach.
- Relay to staff
- The value of follow-up visits after surgery in order to prevent any recurrences whether it’s Mohs or not
- Use Mohs
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will continue to review treatment options and document in chart prior to all procedures
**Speaker Evaluation – Whitney High, MD**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 25 (83.3%)
- **Agree**: 5 (16.7%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 25 (83.3%)
- **Agree**: 5 (16.7%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 22 (73.3%)
- **Agree**: 8 (26.7%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 21 (70.0%)
- **Agree**: 9 (30.0%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 24 (80.0%)
- **Agree**: 6 (20.0%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 26 (86.7%)
- **Agree**: 4 (13.3%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 30 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 30 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%
Speaker Comments – Whitney High, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- I will make sure that I give my pathologist more clinical information.
- I will perform a shave biopsy to rule out MF
- Improved appreciation of dermpath in diagnostics for my patient's care
- Improved knowledge of biopsy size
- Leave hair stubble for alopecia; Don't crush possible lymphoma tissue; Deep to fat for panniculitis, vasculitis; Freeze artifact for specimen box; Blister biopsy site; Immuno medium; Clinical info...etc.
- Liked how it talked about correct sampling of different lesions and rashes
- Take specimen sample suggestions
- The importance of getting the correct type of biopsy—E.g. getting a punch biopsy when one suspects a deep lesion under a superficial lesion
- Utilize information for patient care
**Speaker Evaluation – Francisca Kartono, DO, FAOCD**

- **The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**
  - Strongly Agree: 39 (84.8%)
  - Agree: 7 (15.2%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**
  - Strongly Agree: 39 (84.8%)
  - Agree: 6 (13.0%)
  - Disagree: 1 (2.2%)
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**
  - Strongly Agree: 39 (84.8%)
  - Agree: 7 (15.2%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.**
  - Strongly Agree: 36 (80.0%)
  - Agree: 9 (20.0%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.**
  - Strongly Agree: 40 (87.0%)
  - Agree: 5 (10.9%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The conference remained commercially unbiased.**
  - Strongly Agree: 41 (89.1%)
  - Agree: 5 (10.9%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**
  - Yes: 45 (100.0%)
  - No: 2 (4.4%)

- **Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**
  - Yes: 45 (100.0%)
  - No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Francisca Kartono, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Add JAK inhibitors & utilize more dupilimad, apremilast for some of these diseases
- Add to my differential diagnosis
- As many of these cases are rare, it is a great overview of diagnoses and treatments
- ASDSA charts...good tool to use
- Excellent, Francisca
- Great update on new therapeutics
- I am aware that topical JAK inhibitors are not very successful in treating AA, so will avoid trial in patients.
- It was over my head... I don't use biologics. Good to know about severe cases that respond to biologics.
- It will help me to keep a broader DDX and to come to more complex diagnoses more efficiently
- Look forward to the JAK inhibitors and will look for parapsoriasis along with MF
- Maintain the possibility of CTCL/MF as a possibility even in younger patients
- New treatments for vitiligo
- Newer ideas on diagnosis and treatment of difficult cases
- Relay information to staff
- Reminder of a variety of conditions and how to approach such situations
- Use ASDS guides and info
- Would help if she spoke more slowly
Speaker Evaluation – Mark Kaufmann, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (83.3%)
- Agree: 6 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (75.0%)
- Agree: 9 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (75.0%)
- Agree: 8 (22.2%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.8%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 36 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 36 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Mark Kaufmann, MD**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Apply new coding for appropriate levels
- Better coding knowledge
- Code appropriately with new rules
- Going away from time coding to information based
- Great review on coding and updates
- Great tool to help code correctly
- I am aware that 90 day global starts 2 days prior to a procedure and will bill accordingly
- Problems and decisions
- Utilize information for patient care
- Watch our EMR documentation to be sure we are getting max reimbursement
- Will print out new coding for staff
**Speaker Evaluation – Karan Lal, DO**

**The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**
- Strongly Agree 26 (78.8%)
- Agree 7 (21.2%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

**The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**
- Strongly Agree 22 (66.7%)
- Agree 10 (30.3%)
- Disagree 1 (3.0%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

**The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**
- Strongly Agree 20 (60.6%)
- Agree 13 (39.4%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

**The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.**
- Strongly Agree 19 (57.6%)
- Agree 9 (27.3%)
- Disagree 3 (9.1%)
- Strongly Disagree 2 (6.1%)

**The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.**
- Strongly Agree 25 (75.8%)
- Agree 7 (21.2%)
- Disagree 1 (3.0%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

**The conference remained commercially unbiased.**
- Strongly Agree 26 (78.8%)
- Agree 7 (21.2%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

**Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**
- Yes 33 (100.0%)
- No 0%

**Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**
- Yes 33 (100.0%)
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Karan Lal, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better understanding of color
- Bimatoprost with microneedling for hypo or depigmentation
- Don’t go over 50% of the depth of the scar. Except for fractional lasers on accurate patients, it’s best to wait 6-12 months depending on the laser used.
- I do not have lasers in my practice
- I have often told patients of color that it is not safe to have laser for scar rejuvenation. I will now be referring them to a laser specialist.
- Improved approach to LASER use in people of color
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will consider trying Halo laser to treat scars
Speaker Evaluation – Mark Lebwohl, MD (Biologic Therapies)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree: 34 (81.0%)
- Agree: 8 (19.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree: 35 (83.3%)
- Agree: 7 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree: 35 (83.3%)
- Agree: 7 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree: 32 (76.2%)
- Agree: 10 (23.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree: 35 (83.3%)
- Agree: 7 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree: 32 (76.2%)
- Agree: 10 (23.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes: 42 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes: 41 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Mark Lebwohl, MD (Biologic Therapies)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Amazing and in depth review on biologics
- Better understanding of which biologics to use
- Don’t use biologics...but good to know paradoxical reactions
- Enables me to have a better understanding of the medications and evaluate my biologic options for my psoriatic patients
- Good primer on biologics
- Great information reinforcing my knowledge about biologics
- Great insights and pearls around using meds that I often use—Very helpful
- I feel more confident using biologics in the elderly population.
- Improve discussion with patients
- Liked the TB discussion, also liked the breakdown of safety on the different biologics
- Utilize information to treat patients
- Will apply daily when prescribing biologics
Speaker Evaluation – Mark Lebwohl, MD (IL-17 Blockers)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (88.6%)
- Agree: 4 (11.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (88.6%)
- Agree: 4 (11.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (88.2%)
- Agree: 4 (11.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (91.4%)
- Agree: 5 (14.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (88.6%)
- Agree: 4 (11.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (85.7%)
- Agree: 5 (14.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 35 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 35 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Mark Lebwohl, MD (IL-17 Blockers)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better understand use and options moving forward
- Better understanding of IL-17 biologics
- Brodalumab can be tried even if other IL-17'S fail. IL-17's don't increase risk of malignancy or re-activate TB.
- Great information—will definitely use this when choosing biologics in my practice; reinforces and adds to what I already knew
- Great speaker—always learn something new
- I will be using more IL-17 blockers and may start using Brodalumab knowing the true suicide data
- Improved appreciation for the IL-17 class of biologics, and when to use them in patients with psoriasis
- Re-evaluate patients with limited results on biologics and switching/adding others
- Updates on suicide rates is put into perspective as not as connected as previously thought
- Utilize information to treat patients
- Will be more open to the use of brodalumab in those who have failed prior IL-17 therapy
- Will feel more comfortable using IL-17 blockers
Speaker Evaluation – Chaya Prasad, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 24 (72.7%)
- Agree: 9 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 22 (61.1%)
- Agree: 12 (33.3%)
- Disagree: 2 (5.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 25 (69.4%)
- Agree: 10 (27.8%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.8%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 25 (69.4%)
- Agree: 11 (30.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 18 (50.0%)
- Agree: 15 (41.7%)
- Disagree: 3 (8.3%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 29 (80.6%)
- Agree: 7 (19.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 34 (94.4%)
- No: 2 (5.6%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 35 (97.2%)
- No: 1 (2.8%)
Speaker Comments – Chaya Prasad, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Be aware of patients who have been abused sexually or in other ways
- Be careful of precarious students
- Be more aware
- Female sexual abuse in medical is common
- I am much more aware now of sexual harassment and abuse in the workplace
- Increase awareness of the sexual harassment in the workplace both toward employees and patients.
- Reinforced current practices
- This will help me to be more aware of sexual harassment and to change my office procedures around harassment
- Try to guide my students and residents on awareness
- Utilize information for patient care
Speaker Evaluation – Harold Rabinovitz, MD (Dermoscopy Pt. 1)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (71.7%)
- Agree: 13 (28.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 38 (84.4%)
- Agree: 7 (15.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 36 (78.3%)
- Agree: 10 (21.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (73.3%)
- Agree: 12 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 37 (82.2%)
- Agree: 8 (17.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 37 (80.4%)
- Agree: 9 (19.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 44 (97.8%)
- No: 1 (2.2%)
Speaker Comments – Harold Rabinovitz, MD (Dermoscopy Pt. 1)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Helps with my dermoscopy skills (4)
- Consider using dermoscopy and findings presented to aid in the diagnosis of skin lesions
- Dermoscopy is an essential tool in evaluating dermatology patients. I will continue to use it every day with my patients.
- Excellent review
- I am nonclinical but enjoy the content for self-edification.
- I don’t recall him mentioning LPLK in the lecture—overall excellent. Will improve dermoscopy diagnostic accuracy and confidence.
- I will look into dermoscopy and see if I can incorporate into practice
- Liked the interpretation of acanthosis
- Looking dermatoscopically at pigment networks is always helpful. Where I get a bit caught up are the normal lesions that have slightly erratic pigment networks. This was helpful, though, in identifying AMH vs melanoma vs congenital and blue nevi.
- Re-enforced previous knowledge
- Use my dermoscopy more
- Utilize information for patient care
- Very good...very helpful
- Very informative for clinical dermatology
- Will help to avoid pitfalls in dermoscopy
- Will utilize dermoscopy more frequently in day-to-day practice
Speaker Evaluation – Harold Rabinovitz, MD (Dermoscopy Pt. 2)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 31 (86.1%)
- Agree 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 29 (80.6%)
- Agree 7 (19.4%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 25 (71.4%)
- Agree 10 (28.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 26 (72.2%)
- Agree 9 (25.0%)
- Disagree 1 (2.8%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 31 (86.1%)
- Agree 5 (13.9%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 30 (83.3%)
- Agree 6 (16.7%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 36 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 35 (100.0%)
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Harold Rabinovitz, MD (Dermoscopy Pt. 2)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Helps to improve dermoscopy skills (2)
- Good review of melanocytic dermoscopy
- Great dermatoscopy and confocal lecture
- It will help me avoid dermoscopy pitfalls
- Review strengthens already learned material
- This lecture does discuss LPLK. Guess I jumped the gun when I reviewed the first lecture without watching the second. I loved both lectures, thank you. So much good information to help with diagnosing, treating and caring for my patients. Look forward to more of these lectures in the future.
- Use of dermoscopy in clinical practice
- Use of spongiotic findings can help in the differentials
- Utilize information to take care of patients
- Very helpful to have the clinical photo of the lesion. Very good!!!
- Will utilize dermoscopy more frequently in day-to-day practice
Speaker Evaluation – Maryam Sickinger, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 25 73.5%
- Agree 9 26.5%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 25 73.5%
- Agree 9 26.5%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 22 64.7%
- Agree 12 35.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 22 64.7%
- Agree 12 35.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 23 69.7%
- Agree 10 30.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 26 76.5%
- Agree 8 23.5%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 34 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 34 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Maryam Sickinger, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- An excellent overview—Not too much new or in-depth information into anyone’s skin cancer or treatment
- Biopsy all non-healing, changing, and suspicious gland lesions and utilize Mohs surgery more often to get a higher percentage of clearing on the first surgery
- Good review of different skin cancers
- Great clinical photos...very good!
- Great review of skin cancer for application to Mohs cases
- Identified lesions that were not obvious
- Liked the review and going over alternative treatments for skin cancers
- Nice review of all of the skin cancer types and will be more aware of rare ones coming into my office
- Reinforce behaviors
- Utilize information for patient treatment
- Will consider treating BCC and SCC with cryotherapy
Speaker Evaluation – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 78.8%
- **Agree**: 21.2%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%
- **Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 78.8%
- **Agree**: 21.2%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%
- **Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 63.6%
- **Agree**: 33.3%
- **Disagree**: 3.0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 63.6%
- **Agree**: 33.3%
- **Disagree**: 3.0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 75.8%
- **Agree**: 24.2%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 81.8%
- **Agree**: 18.2%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 97.0%
- **No**: 3.0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 100.0%
- **No**: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Be more osteopathic
- Capsaicin for itch
- I will take a more holistic approach to my patients and keep a broader differential diagnosis regarding cutaneous manifestations of systemic diseases
- Implementation of approach to the difficult patient and getting to the root cause of their behavior
- Lymphoma can present as pruritus. Patients are upset about high deductibles. We must explain, as insurance companies aren’t.
- More OMT
- Neuralgia parasthetica can be treated with OMT
- Reduced days and hours working to relax more
- Utilize info for patient care
Speaker Evaluation – Amy Spizuoco, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree** 27 (69.2%)
- **Agree** 12 (30.8%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree** 28 (70.0%)
- **Agree** 12 (30.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree** 24 (61.5%)
- **Agree** 14 (35.9%)
- **Disagree** 1 (2.6%)
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree** 22 (56.4%)
- **Agree** 17 (43.6%)
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%
- **Disagree** 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree** 25 (65.8%)
- **Agree** 13 (34.2%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree** 28 (70.0%)
- **Agree** 12 (30.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes** 39 (99.2%)
- **No** 1 (2.5%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes** 39 (100.0%)
- **No** 0%
Speaker Comments – Amy Spizuoco, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Consider adding ceramides, nicotinamide to regimens
- Explore additional therapeutics in my treatment regimen
- Good ideas for practical use
- Good lecture
- Great review of treatments, mechanisms of action, safety, and future of acne management
- Inform patients of new therapies that insurance will fight me prescribing
- It was great to hear about the newer products and where they will allow me to help my patients
- Loved the review of mechanism of actions, and also talking about nitrous oxide for treatment of acne possibly in the future
- Reinforce present decisions
- Reinforced current treatment
- Reinforced my knowledge about acne
- Reinforcement
- Slides progressed too quickly in comparison to speech
- Use of topical minocycline looks better than I thought seysera appears to have none of the immunological side effects that are typical of the usual minocycline
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will consider not getting labs for patients on spironolactone
- Will use content discussed in daily practice
Speaker Evaluation – Lisa Swanson, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (83.3%)
- Agree: 6 (16.7%)
- None: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (88.9%)
- Agree: 4 (11.1%)
- None: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- None: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- None: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (88.9%)
- Agree: 4 (11.1%)
- None: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (86.1%)
- Agree: 5 (13.9%)
- None: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 36 (100.0%)
- No: 2 (5.6%)
- None: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 36 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Lisa Swanson, MD**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**

- As always, a fantastic presentation with a trove of insightful, pertinent and practical clinical information that can be applied immediately in the office—Thank you
- Best lecture, so much great info, treating hemangioma and PHACES syndrome
- Good wart tips
- Great lecture—Great info regarding diagnosis and treatments that I can use in my office
- I will think about demodex when I see papulopustule on the nose of a child
- Improved management of atopic dermatitis in the young pediatric derm patient.
- It’s always nice to hear her expert perspective on atopic dermatitis, hemangiomas
- Nadalol or lower dose propranolol for infantile hemangiomas
- No loading dose with dupixent, lamisil in kids at pediatric dosing, topical timolol for PGs
- Utilize information for patient care
- Utilize new treatments for atopic dermatitis
- Very good, and always a pleasure to listen to Dr Swanson. Great photos and cases. Hemangioma cases interesting. Naloxone to treat can be better. Haven’t seen a hemangioma in 15 years. Good to get info on successful treatments.
- Where to start? Best lecture of the conference so far. Great info on treating AD, hemangiomas and complex pediatric cases. I will be using more fluocinolone for one small thing.
Speaker Evaluation – Alison Tam, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 26 (83.9%)
- Agree: 5 (16.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 26 (83.9%)
- Agree: 5 (16.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 22 (71.0%)
- Agree: 9 (29.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 23 (74.2%)
- Agree: 7 (22.6%)
- Disagree: 1 (3.2%)

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 25 (80.6%)
- Agree: 6 (19.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 26 (83.9%)
- Agree: 5 (16.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 30 (96.8%)
- No: 1 (3.2%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 31 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Alison Tam, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Excellent review of hyperpigmentation and its treatments, I will be much more comfortable using high potency compounds for melasma
- I would like to try new bleaching products beyond HQ
- Implementation of TXA for patients with challenging cases of melasma
- Informing my cosmetic staff of this information
- Try new treatments
- Use more tranexamic pills on difficult patients
- Use tranexamic acid
- Using higher dose than 4% hq and I didn’t see LoJack on the list which I use successfully
- Utilize information for patient care
- Will use transamic acid more and higher doses of hydrox
Mission Statement Survey
7 Responses Received

The overall mission of each AOCD CME Activity is to:
1. Inform the provider of advances in medical knowledge and technology.
2. Inform the provider of advances in diagnosis and treatments for better patient care and outcomes.
3. Inform the provider of advances in Practice-Based Learning and System-Based Practices.

AOCD CME activities are intended to enhance the knowledge and competence of its attendees, with the intended goal being improving patient care and their health outcomes. The impact of our educational meetings will provide a diversified CME program focusing on the art and science of Dermatology.

The AOCD has met its mission for this conference:

Yes (100%)
No 0%

If you answered “no,” please describe how we did not meet the mission:
• N/A
2021 Fall Meeting Outcomes Evaluation Results
71 Responses Received

Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of attending these webinars

- Change the management and or treatment of my patients – 87.32%
- Change protocols, policies, and or procedures – 52.11%
- Other Change – 4.23%
  
  Please explain
  - Better diagnose and treat
  - Billing tips
  - I will be more aware of potential sexual harrassment in the office
  - Learned a lot of different new treatments for multiple diseases like MF, CTCL, melasma, acne, eczema, etc. will be now using in my practice
  - More comfort with pediatrics, adding in timolol
  - Retired

I will share what I learned from today's activity with:

- Colleagues (36)
- Staff (19)
- PAs/NPs (17)
- Patients (11)
- Residents (3)
- Friends/Family (2)
- MA (2)
- Medical students (2)
- Referring doctors (1)

As a result of what I learned from this activity, I intend to make the following practice/performance changes that I believe will result in more positive patient outcomes:

- N/A (6)
- Agree
- Approach some superficial skin cancers a little differently especially with superficial bcc and SCCIS. Taking into consideration recurrence rates and possibility of a small amount of SCCIS having an invasive component
- Better art of osteopathy and psoriasis therapies
- Better coding, more details; Not be reticent to use the newer biologics; To be mindful of having an MA with me in skin exams; To be aware of emerging tropical diseases with climate change; To be able to diagnose disorders of the scalp - Alopecia
- Better informed about both diagnostics and treatment options for a multitude of dermatological conditions
- Better therapeutics
- Change approach with superficial skin cancers, communicate clearly to patients regarding tx, consider dipulamab for BP
- Change in management; diagnosis
- Code more accurately. I will also change the way I manage my biologic and melasma patient's based on these lectures.
- Consider suboccipital OMT applications...
- Current prescription and management protocols based on the newest information and technology available as discussed throughout the lectures
- Dermoscopy pearls
- Different approaches to management of patients.
- Discuss all treatment options with patients
- Eczema; Biologics; Diagnosis; Billing Tips
- Enhanced diagnosis and management
- Fewer ED&Cs, research new biologics in depth, incorporate more laser therapy, double check coding
- Gyne use a much higher dose of tranexamic acid and my dose seems less likely to cause side effects, yet I will still counsel patients about side effects.
- Having viewed all of the lectures, there is an abundance of material from every lecture to improve care for the patients in my practice. I will utilize without listing concepts and practices from each and every lecture. In particular, Dr. Gomez- Meade's lectures on recurrences of superficial skin cancer was of particular interest as it is something that will happen.
• I am retired but still help friends and family. The content in this meeting was by far one of the best!
• I believe that enhancing your medical knowledge can enhance patient outcomes and treatment of certain diagnoses and lead to a better understanding of dermatology to ultimately better serve your patients.
• I will apply all that I have learned in this conference to the clinical research arena in which I am currently employed with the intent to influence study design and most importantly to ensure the safety of subjects enrolled in the clinical trials that I oversee.
• Implement new medical approaches on my medical decision on diagnosis
• Improved rosacea care. Improved biologic management.
• Inform staff of new procedures and treatments
• Loved the virtual format. Hopefully it is offered all the time. Allowed me to continue patient care and still get my CME. Or would allow me to review a lecture after attending a conference. Sometimes hard to get great notes for all lectures. Being in practice for years and out of the classroom. Great learning tool. Thanks.
• Many changes, better informed consent
• More aggressive treatment of melasma with high strength hydroquinone; Comfort in using JAK inhibitors when they come to market for dermatological conditions
• More aggressive treatment of skin cancers to avoid recurrences
• More aggressive treatment of superficial NMSC's, amongst several other things
• more aggressive treatment with melanoma
• more biologics
• More fully discuss Alt , Risk and Benefit of procedures
• More long term data on biology’s and staying on drug
• More options for different treatment options which hopefully will lead to better outcomes
• More patient education and updated treatment options
• Multiple different therapies for different conditions
• New treatments for common conditions
• Options for entertaining changing therapeutics for patients with psoriasis with biologics and assessing options for appropriate medications when having medication failure
• Sampling of CTCL specimens with shave biopsy; Consider topical JAK inhibitors in AD patients
• Start to use Halo laser as treatment for scars
• The dermatopathologist lecture (Dr. High) was very educational of what happens "behind the scenes" after a biopsy is performed and more dermatologists should be educated on this process. Well done.
• Treatment of hair disorders, use or JAK inhibitors
• Understand dermoscopy better to identify cancerous vs non-cancerous lesions, change some prescribing habits
• Update in treatment of psoriasis, melasma, patient treatment discussions
• Update protocols and practices based on new knowledge obtained
• Updated protocols and procedures
• Updated therapies
• Use of JAK inhibitors for a wider variety of conditions
• Use the acquired information and learning to improve patient care
• Using learned information to improve my current treatment protocols
• We will write a new policy and procedure of how to handle biologics
30-45 Day Post-Activity Outcomes Evaluation
21 Responses Received

Were the materials from this meeting useful as reference tools?

- Yes 95.2%
- No 4.8%

As a result of attending this activity, have you been able to implement any changes of protocols, policies and or procedures?

- Yes 81.0%
- No 19.0%

Please share an example:

- As a medical student, I do not have my own protocols, policies, or procedures in place but after attending this conference I feel more confident in my ability to create an ordered list of biologics I would like a patient to try based on their H&P for psoriasis and atopic dermatitis conditions specifically. I also feel more confident in my approach to looking at lesions under dermoscopy.
- Better patient care
- Educating staff
- Hydroquinone and tranexamic acid for melasma
- I have set up a preprinted protocol for evaluating hair loss
- More appropriate use of biologic therapies
- New treatments on many diseases
- Review of BCC biopsies
- Some acne treatments, looking at transamic acid
- Utilize information that I learned about for treatment of patients
As a result of attending this activity, have you been able to implement any changes to the management and or treatment of patients?

Yes 85.7%

No 14.3%

Please share an example:

- Again, as a medical student, I do not fully manage or treat my own patients, however, after attending this conference I feel more confident to manage and treat my own in the future and I will specifically implement osteopathic approaches like treating the patient as a whole when I have my own dermatology practice in the future.
- Again, new approaches to hair loss in women
- Improved diagnostic ability
- Information about treatments for patients that enhanced my options
- More Cautious use of methotrexate in elderly / alternative medication if possible
- Psoriasis
- Review of BCC biopsies
- So much information, all good
- Use of new therapies

Please select the barrier(s) that prevented you from implementing changes in your practice:

- Clinical knowledge/skill/expertise - 15.79%
- Peer influence - 10.53%
- Recall, confidence - 21.05%
- Legal concerns - 10.53%
- Patient characteristics/factors - 31.58%
- Patient adherence - 26.32%
- Workload - 42.11%
- Safety concerns - 10.53%
- Cost/funding - 47.37%
- Lack of opportunity - 31.58%

I still plan to implement changes, I need more time.

Yes 75.0%

No 25.0%
Comments

- I like the "listen at your leisure" virtual conference format. This should be available for all future conferences.
- Great meeting
- I prefer this format to live. I’m able to listen to the entire lecture, back it up, or watch it again. There are no distractions during the lectures opposed to live where people have never learned to sit still or be quiet.
- I like the virtual format a lot! I can pause the lecture to make my notes more complete. I can go back and listen to a concept several times and feel like I understand it better. I can get up and move around so I don't get stiff. It is definitely more economical. It is less disruptive to my personal and professional life and schedule.
- It was great. Thank you.