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Program Attendance Summary

Program Evaluation Response
230 preregistration questionnaires completed, 29 program evaluations returned, 1027 speaker and learning objective evaluations returned, 14 CME mission statement surveys 19 professional practice gap surveys returned, 96 outcomes evaluations returned

Meeting Attendance
230 Total Registrations (AOCD Members – 209; Non-Members – 21)

Member Breakdown
- Students/Interns 21 (10%)
- Residents 25 (12%)
- Attending Physicians 163 (78%)

Non-Member Breakdown
- DOs 5 (24%)
- MDs 5 (24%)
- PA-Cs 3 (14%)
- Students 3 (14%)
- PharmD 3 (14%)
- PhDs 2 (10%)

Map showing distribution of attendees across states:
- East 17.83%
- Southeast 30.43%
- Midwest 23.04%
- Southwest 15.22%
- Northwest 1.74%
- West 11.74%
Registration Questionnaire Summary
230 registration questionnaires completed

What was your reason for enrollment? (more than one selection could be made)
- 57.83% - Needed CME hours
- 56.96% - Desire to broaden knowledge
- 24.78% - Activity topics
- 13.04% - Other

Other Reasons for enrollment:
- BOT member (14)
- Speaker (11)
- Broaden my exposure in dermatology!
- Connect with dermatologists and make personal connections/contribute to research in dermatology
- I hope to be able to find mentors
- Mandatory conference

What is the population of the city in which you practice?

- Over 100,000: 51%
- 50,000 - 100,000: 19%
- 30,000 - 50,000: 17%
- 10,000 - 30,000: 9%
- Under 10,000: 4%

What is your professional status?

- Practicing: 75.7%
- Student/Intern: 10.4%
- Resident: 11.3%
- Retired: 2.2%
- Other: 0.4%
In which type of practice are you currently engaged?

- Solo 25.0%
- Group 47.1%
- N/A 15.6%
- Retired 2.0%
- Hospital 9.8%
- Military 0.4%

Have you previously attended an AOCD CME program?

- Yes (90.00%)
- No (10.00%)

What would you like to learn from the conference?

- Current dermatology updates (7)
- Therapeutic update (5)
- Clinical pearls (2)
- CME (2)
- Current trends (2)
- As much dermatology as possible
- Brush up on the multitude of psoriasis treatment options out there
- Coding with the new CPT codes
- Complex medical dermatology management
- Everything
- I enjoy conferences as I am always trying to refine my practice. I do my best to stay up to date with the latest body of evidence supporting my practice and am continuously looking for pearls to fine-tune my methods and enhance my patients’ outcomes.
- I would like to learn about the research and interesting case studies that are being written in my home state of Missouri. I would also like to establish connections within the dermatology community and expand my knowledge.
• I would like to learn new changes in dermatology and would appreciate any advice on how to best match into a residency program
• Improve patient care
• Improve practice knowledge, patient outcomes, expand practice.
• Learn more about new research and gain a better understanding of dermatology
• New and helpful relevant clinical information on common diseases
• New information on various skin conditions
• New treatment approaches
• New trends in dermatology
• Practical guidelines
• Practical tips
• State of the art treatments
• The most up to date information regarding diagnosis and treatment of dermatologic conditions.
• Tips for good clinical value.
• Treatment of type 2 inflammatory diseases
• Up to date information regarding new skin conditions and treatment options.
• Updates in medical and cosmetic derm
• What are new procedures and treatments in dermatology

Which items are important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients? (More than one selection could be made)
• 52.17% - Clinical practice guidelines
• 48.26% - CME courses
• 43.04% - Peer reviewed journal articles
• 40.43% - Opinions of nationally recognized experts
• 10.87% - Pharmaceutical company sales representatives
• 1.30% - Other
### General Conference Evaluation Summary

29 evaluations returned

#### General Program Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity content</th>
<th>Overall rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Rating</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Evaluation of Program Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity met your</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations provided</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>usable ideas and/or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>techniques</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity will improve</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Did the activity remain commercially unbiased?

Yes 100.00%

Please describe any poor ratings:
- N/A (3)
- Nothing was poor

List the subjects you felt were most valuable to you:
- Coding updates (2)
- Dysplastic nevi (2)
- Medical tips (2)
- Pruritis (2)
- All
- Brownsville Bolster
- Good tips from one of the ladies toward the end of the conference, especially one on not incubating PDT patients
- I loved the coding by Kaufman, Also the pearls from the JAAD by 'Dr. Dawn' was one of the best lectures ever at an AOCD meeting. What's New and best of JAAD. It's silly, but these really will change the way that I treat conditions that I see every day. Dr. Lebwohl was also fantastic... as usual.
- Nothing was poor
- I thought all the lectures are very valuable and could be put to good use and practice. I particularly enjoy the diversity of lectures but yet all pertinent.
- JAAD summary
- Medical dermatology
- Medicolegal
- Mohs
- Most were good
- Practice management
- Updates
- Medical dermatology
- Medicolegal
- Mohs
- Most were good
- Practice management
- Updates

What topics would you like to see presented at future meetings?
- General dermatology
- Hypersensitivity reactions
- I loved the ‘whats new’ and updates from Dr. Lebwohl
- I loved the quick-hit, high yield format of the “what’s new at the JAAD” lecture
- International highlights in dermatology
- Mohs closure options
- More dermatopathology
- Pearls practice management
- Private equity
- Therapeutics updates
Speaker Evaluation & Learning Objectives Summary
1027 evaluations completed

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 83.3%
- Agree: 16.6%
- Disagree: 0.1%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 81.5%
- Agree: 18.3%
- Disagree: 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 70.8%
- Agree: 26.2%
- Disagree: 2.9%
- Strongly Disagree: 0.1%
The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 65.6%
- Agree: 28.9%
- Disagree: 5.4%
- Strongly Disagree: 0.1%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 81.1%
- Agree: 18.7%
- Disagree: 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 84.4%
- Agree: 15.5%
- Disagree: 0.1%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 99.3%
- No 0.7%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 99.4%
- No 0.6%
Speaker Evaluation – Derrick Adams, DO, FAOCD (Mummies)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (65.9%)
- Agree: 14 (34.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 28 (68.3%)
- Agree: 12 (29.3%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 20 (48.8%)
- Agree: 15 (36.6%)
- Disagree: 6 (14.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 17 (41.5%)
- Agree: 13 (31.7%)
- Disagree: 11 (26.8%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 29 (70.7%)
- Agree: 11 (26.8%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 35 (85.4%)
- Agree: 6 (14.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 41 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 41 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Derrick Adams, DO, FAOCD (Mummies)**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Appreciation of medical history.
- Awesome as always.
- Felt like very interesting lecture with good historical information.
- Fun historical knowledge. A good perspective.
- Helpful to understand history
- I found this topic interesting and worthwhile even if it does not change my clinical practice.
- I was kind of surprised how interesting this was
- Improve my knowledge base to better educate my patients.
- Informative
- interesting view of history
- Probably will not use the objectives in clinical practice but found the lecture interesting
- Quite interesting on preserved skin, mummies’ diseases, tattoos, etc.
- Retired. But very interesting.
- With tenderness
Speaker Evaluation – Derrick Adams, DO, FAOCD (Sideshow)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree 34 (82.9%)
- Agree 7 (17.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree 29 (72.5%)
- Agree 11 (27.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree 22 (53.7%)
- Agree 16 (39.0%)
- Disagree 3 (7.3%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree 21 (51.2%)
- Agree 15 (36.6%)
- Disagree 5 (12.2%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree 32 (78.0%)
- Agree 9 (22.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree 36 (87.8%)
- Agree 5 (12.2%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes 40 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes 39 (97.5%)
- No 1 (2.5%)
**Speaker Comments – Derrick Adams, DO, FAOCD (Sideshow)**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**

- A good review of some of the genodermatoses
- Again very interesting but no practical application in practice aside from reminding us that there are genodermatoses.
- Better understanding of the history of genodermatosis
- Carefully
- Expanded in a very entertaining way knowledge of genodermatoses and the way individuals both used their disability to their advantage and how they were abused.
- Fascinating lecture! Very interesting history.
- Improve my knowledge base to better educate and treat my patients.
- Interesting topic
- It will increase the scope of my observation
- Not sure how I will use the learning objectives in practice but still was a VERY INTERESTING TALK!
- Retired
- Well done!
Speaker Evaluation – Shino Bay Aguilera, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 37 (71.2%)
- Agree: 14 (26.9%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 1 (1.9%)

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (60.4%)
- Agree: 20 (37.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 1 (1.9%)

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (56.6%)
- Agree: 18 (34.0%)
- Disagree: 4 (7.5%)
- Strongly Disagree: 1 (1.9%)

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (51.9%)
- Agree: 17 (32.7%)
- Disagree: 8 (15.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 1 (1.9%)

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 41 (77.4%)
- Agree: 12 (22.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 40 (76.9%)
- Agree: 12 (23.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 51 (98.1%)
- No: 1 (1.9%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 53 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Shino Bay Aguilera, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Awareness of facial changes with age and options for treatment
- Become aware of bruxism and referral for treatment
- Dx bruxism and better relate to cosmetic procedures of aging
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Great, I did not even know to check for this condition in my patients
- I do not treat this condition.
- I hope to better recognize and treat bruxism
- I primarily deal with skin cancer. There is little place in my practice for this.
- I will screen for Bruxism
- I will start screening patients for bruxism and addressing their needs accordingly.
- Increased appreciation
- Increased awareness
- Low yield with my practice
- Pay much more attention to signs of bruxism.
- Relate to my staff to start screening for this
- Retired
- This was a problem I was not aware of
- Useful info to evaluate my patients
### Speaker Evaluation – Jean-Paul Azzi, MD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 36 76.6%</td>
<td>Agree 11 23.4%</td>
<td>Disagree 0%</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 37 78.7%</td>
<td>Agree 10 21.3%</td>
<td>Disagree 0%</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sessions assisted me in my professional development.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 28 59.6%</td>
<td>Agree 17 36.2%</td>
<td>Disagree 2 4.3%</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 24 51.1%</td>
<td>Agree 18 38.3%</td>
<td>Disagree 5 10.6%</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 34 72.3%</td>
<td>Agree 13 27.7%</td>
<td>Disagree 0%</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The conference remained commercially unbiased.</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 38 80.9%</td>
<td>Agree 8 17.0%</td>
<td>Disagree 1 2.1%</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?</td>
<td>Yes 47 100.0%</td>
<td>No 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?</td>
<td>Yes 47 100.0%</td>
<td>No 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Speaker Comments – Jean-Paul Azzi, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Awareness of plastic techniques.
- Better understanding of the procedures performed by Facial Plastic Surgeon
- Didn’t provide any information that I can use in my practice. Only to refer to plastics.
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Great talk.
- How to better evaluate the aging face and recommend appropriate procedures to my patients.
- Nice overview of plastics
- Not useful in my practice but amazing
- Retired
- This will allow me to better address questions patients have about what can be done surgically for aging.
- Truly a gifted and talented surgeon... excellent presentation... thank you Dr. Azzi
- Understand available options for patients
- Understanding face - lift options better.
- Will help me to counsel my patients regarding options
Speaker Evaluation – Ruth Dubyel, DO, MBA

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (76.7%)
- Agree: 10 (23.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 43 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (74.4%)
- Agree: 11 (25.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 43 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (62.8%)
- Agree: 16 (37.2%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 25 (58.1%)
- Agree: 18 (41.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (69.8%)
- Agree: 13 (30.2%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 34 (79.1%)
- Agree: 9 (20.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
Speaker Comments – Ruth Dubyel, DO, MBA

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- A good review and exploration of dermatoses of pregnancy.
- Awareness of rashes of pregnancy. A good review.
- Better identification of pregnant rashes
- Better understand how to treat the dermatological manifestations of pregnancy
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Good lecture
- Good reinforcement
- Good review to hear. Useful tips. Overall, good content.
- Interesting presentation
- More readily recognize rashes of pregnancy
- Refreshed knowledge of peripartum rashes and treatment along with possible complications to mom & Baby
- Retired
- There was nothing new presented but it was nice to have a refresher.
Speaker Evaluation – Dirk Elston, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree: 45 (93.8%)
- Agree: 3 (6.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree: 45 (93.8%)
- Agree: 3 (6.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree: 41 (85.4%)
- Agree: 6 (12.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree: 42 (87.5%)
- Agree: 6 (12.5%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree: 44 (91.7%)
- Agree: 4 (8.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree: 47 (97.9%)
- Agree: 1 (2.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes: 47 (97.9%)
- No: 1 (2.1%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes: 48 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Dirk Elston, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Change some billing practices
- Coding issues as well as updates - specifically lab monitoring for terbinafine.
- Coding review was helpful, Best of JAAD had great bullets of info
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent presentation!!
- Excellent summary!
- Excellent. Will greatly help my practice
- Good clinical info
- Great lectures
- Outstanding lectures... excellent presentation
- Reinforced better documentation and coding for new guidelines and excellent review of pearls to use from JAAD
- Retired
- Review coding guidelines. Alter management of KA.
- Should be able to more accurately code
- The JAAD update was very helpful and will have a place in my practice.
- Will definitely help me to code better!
- Would like to hear this speaker again
Speaker Evaluation – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 31 (77.5%)
- Agree 9 (22.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 33 (80.5%)
- Agree 8 (19.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 27 (65.9%)
- Agree 13 (31.7%)
- Disagree 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 28 (68.3%)
- Agree 9 (22.0%)
- Disagree 4 (9.8%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 31 (75.6%)
- Agree 10 (24.4%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 30 (73.2%)
- Agree 11 (26.8%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 40 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 40 (100.0%)
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Apply to general knowledge
- Better understanding of Mohs techniques
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent utilizable pearl!
- Good info. Not ready to implement at this time.
- Good lecture
- Good method
- Helpful additional technique for grafting.
- I believe the bolster technical will be helpful in our surgeries and well as peri operative care illustrated
- I do not do Mohs or surgeries that require skin grafting.
- I like the bolster and will use it. What was difficult to see though was how or if he sutured the bolster into place.
- New technique for me
- Retired
- Utilize his technique for grafting
- Will begin using the Brownsville bolster in my surgical practice
Speaker Evaluation – David Herold, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

Yes 38 97.4%

No 1 2.6%

Yes 40 97.6%

No 1 2.4%
Speaker Comments – David Herold, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Great topic and lecture
- Greater consideration for use of radiotherapy for management of skin cancers
- I will continue to send appropriate patients for radiation treatment of skin cancers.
- I will investigate how to incorporate this technology into my practice
- Informative. Helped me with informed consent.
- It will help me further evaluate patients and benefits of radiation therapy for their cancer treatments.
- May consider radiation a bit more in the future
- May use as an adjunct to older carcinomas
- More appropriate consideration of radiation therapy
- Reinforced the option of radiation to treat skin cancers, benefits and pitfalls of use and ownership
- Retired
- This information will assist in better selection of the patients I select for SRT within my own practice
- To refer to radiation oncology when necessary
- Using radio therapy is a good option, I will not be using it in my office
- Will be better able to counsel patients
Speaker Evaluation – Whitney High, MD (Medicolegal)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 40 (93.0%)
- Agree 3 (7.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 41 (93.2%)
- Agree 3 (6.8%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 38 (86.4%)
- Agree 6 (13.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 36 (81.8%)
- Agree 8 (18.2%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 38 (86.4%)
- Agree 6 (13.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 40 (93.0%)
- Agree 3 (7.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 44 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 44 (100.0%)
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Whitney High, MD (Medicolegal)**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Always good to know
- Becoming more diligent
- Continued vigilance.
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent lecture as usual and will increase awareness about following up with patients
- Good info
- Great lecture. Please re-invite
- Great topic
- How to better minimize medicolegal risk and maximizing patient outcome
- I will be implementing the 3D rule for biopsy description and improving my office communication with patients
- Keep up with MM results and follow up, call backs, follow up, path log
- Look into apology laws in my state; prioritize keeping a paper biopsy log rather than relying on the electronic medical record generated log.
- Reminder on follow up of biopsies.
- Reminds me to be very conscious of wording in the room and in charting.
- Retired
- Think first before throwing papillomas in the garbage.
- Very good
Speaker Evaluation – Whitney High, MD (Pigmented Lesions)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree: 38 (90.5%)
- Agree: 4 (9.5%)
- Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree: 37 (88.1%)
- Agree: 5 (11.9%)
- Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree: 34 (81.0%)
- Agree: 7 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.4%)

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree: 33 (78.6%)
- Agree: 8 (19.0%)
- Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree: 37 (88.1%)
- Agree: 7 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree: 35 (83.3%)
- Agree: 7 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes: 42 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes: 42 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Whitney High, MD (Pigmented Lesions)**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**

- Better understand gene testing in determining what course of action and follow up on patients in respect to melanomas and suspected melanomas.
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Everything was informative and will be used in my practice. Please (please) re-invite for future lectures.
- Excellent review of this emerging area. It will help me to better understand the potential benefits of genetic testing in MM and also its limitations.
- Fantastic lecture! Perfectly balanced the synthesis a very complex subject matter into digestible format.
- Good pathology review.
- Great topic.
- Helps me look towards the future.
- I will not.
- Integrating innovation with clinical practice.
- It will increase my awareness.
- Outstanding lecture.... All information to be used for clinical diagnosis of melanomas. Bring him back.
- Reinforces the difficulty in diagnosing a melanoma. Genetic testing will likely become more prevalent in my practice.
- Retired.
- Will be able to apply a greater understanding of genetic testing in practice.
Speaker Evaluation – Jon B. Hyland, JD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 38 (77.6%)
- Agree: 11 (22.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 38 (79.2%)
- Agree: 10 (20.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 29 (59.2%)
- Agree: 17 (34.7%)
- Disagree: 3 (6.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (55.1%)
- Agree: 17 (34.7%)
- Disagree: 4 (8.2%)
- Strongly Disagree: 1 (2.0%)

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 38 (79.2%)
- Agree: 10 (20.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 37 (77.1%)
- Agree: 11 (22.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 49 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 48 (98.0%)
- No: 1 (2.0%)
**Speaker Comments – Jon B. Hyland, JD**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**

- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Expand knowledge of patents and IP. Awareness of value of trade secrets
- Great info. I always wondered about these things.
- I am now clearer on how and when I can use outside material in advertising
- Increased awareness about IP
- Informative
- Informative. Small practice. Unlikely to need many of the topics covered. Many things good to know.
- Interesting new concepts
- Retired
- This topic really has no place in my practice, but it's nice to have the information.
- This was not relevant to my practice
- Very interesting lecture learned a lot about protecting my IP & not infringing on others
Speaker Evaluation – Mark Kaufmann, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 46 92.0%
- Agree 4 8.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 47 94.0%
- Agree 3 6.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 43 86.0%
- Agree 7 14.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 43 86.0%
- Agree 7 14.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 45 90.0%
- Agree 5 10.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 46 92.0%
- Agree 4 8.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 49 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 50 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Mark Kaufmann, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Attempt to code properly.
- Best lecture I have ever seen for the new coding changes of 2021 and I have seen many lectures on this topic. This lecture is so good you should put it on the AOCD website until this lecture becomes obsolete when coding changes are made again eventually.
- Better code and document
- Change my billing preconceptions
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent lecture! It helped me to plan on properly implementing the new E/M guidelines for coding / billing
- Good job
- I am much more clear as to the proper use of codes
- Not sure
- Prescription drug management - how it works for coding.
- Retired
- This is a great update to new coding, and very helpful.
- This lecture is helpful
- Use for coding
- Very important information. I have been under coding.
- Very meaningful for practice
- We will input Dr. Kaufmann's guidelines immediately in practice.
- Will be very useful for my practice
Speaker Evaluation – Mark Lebwohl, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 90.0%
- **Agree**: 10.0%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 94.0%
- **Agree**: 10.0%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 88.0%
- **Agree**: 12.0%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 79.2%
- **Agree**: 12.0%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 92.0%
- **Agree**: 8.0%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 90.0%
- **Agree**: 10.0%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 98.0%
- **No**: 2.0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 100.0%
- **No**: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Mark Lebwohl, MD**

*How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?*

- Better guide patients through use of biologics with vaccines and side effects
- Better knowledge in the use of biologics
- Better understanding
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent lecture. Please re-invite for future lectures.
- Great lecture and very helpful with all of my patients
- Greater awareness of potential malignancies with use of biologics
- Helped me with recommendations around COVID vaccines in my psoriasis patients
- Helpful answering questions during COVID with immunizations.
- I see a fair number of psoriasis patients. This information will be helpful in the choice of biologic.
- I will be able to treat psoriasis in view of several situations, COVID, pediatric and malignancy
- Improved treatment plans
- In clinical practice!
- Learned that vaccinations specifically COVID vaccinations can be given to patients on biologics
- N/A
- New info on COVID vaccines with systemic medications.
- On a daily basis
- Relay to staff
- Retired
- Treatment of MRSA and when not to stop biologics
**Speaker Evaluation – Michael Nowak, MD**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (81.6%)
- Agree: 7 (18.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (76.9%)
- Agree: 8 (20.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 29 (76.3%)
- Agree: 9 (23.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (82.1%)
- Agree: 7 (17.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 27 (69.2%)
- Agree: 11 (28.2%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (84.6%)
- Agree: 6 (15.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 38 (97.4%)
- No: 1 (2.6%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 39 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Yes: 39 (100.0%)

Disagree: 1 (2.6%)

Strongly Disagree: 0%

Strongly Agree: 27 (69.2%)

Agree: 11 (28.2%)

Disagree: 1 (2.6%)

Strongly Disagree: 0%

Strongly Agree: 32 (82.1%)

Agree: 7 (17.9%)

Disagree: 0%

Strongly Disagree: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Michael Nowak, MD**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- A refresher
- Better handle on metastatic lesions to the skin
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Greater detection of metastatic carcinomas to the skin. Thanks and Hi Mike!
- Have not seen a visceral metastatic carcinoma in a few years. This lecture helped me recalibrate my differential for some cutaneous lesions.
- I now have a better understanding of metastatic CA and will be able to apply to my practice
- I will be more aware in the future
- It will help me to hone my differential diagnosis for suspected malignancy to the skin
- Outstanding... watched this video twice..... please have him back next year
- Retired
- This lecture reinforces that not all neoplasms of the skin are primary skin cancers. It is a great idea to always keep that in mind.
Speaker Evaluation – Harold Rabinovitz, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (79.5%)
- Agree: 8 (20.5%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 31 (79.5%)
- Agree: 8 (20.5%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 24 (61.5%)
- Agree: 14 (35.9%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 20 (51.3%)
- Agree: 15 (38.5%)
- Disagree: 4 (10.3%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (84.6%)
- Agree: 6 (15.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (84.6%)
- Agree: 6 (15.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 39 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 38 (97.4%)
- No: 1 (2.6%)
Speaker Comments – Harold Rabinovitz, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better understanding of confocal microscopy
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- General Knowledge
- Helped view confocal microscopy as the wave of the future, usefulness, accuracy and such a beneficial evaluation tool
- I will not
- It added to my knowledge base
- Not much influence on my clinical practice
- Not sure?
- Presented an excellent over view. I feel that this will be more useful in the future
- Retired
- This is all informative and interesting. However, currently it has no place in a small office based practice.
- This lecture has great application in evaluation of different pigmented lesions and evaluation of them.
- Thought I was advanced using dermoscopy. Humbled by this lecture.
- Updates current knowledge of CFM
Speaker Evaluation – Paolo Romanelli, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (73.3%)
- Agree: 12 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 24 (53.3%)
- Agree: 19 (42.2%)
- Disagree: 2 (4.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (71.1%)
- Agree: 12 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.2%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 30 (68.2%)
- Agree: 14 (31.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 22 (48.9%)
- Agree: 20 (44.4%)
- Disagree: 3 (6.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (73.3%)
- Agree: 12 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 44 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 45 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Paolo Romanelli, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- A good view of the future of IHC
- A great topic and very knowledgeable speaker/mentor.
- Better diagnosing
- Better understanding of which treatment modalities will better treat some of the more rare dermatological diagnoses
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent
- Extremely interesting
- Great ideas to use
- Improved options with wound healing
- It increased my awareness
- More awareness if IHC markers both present and in future to direct therapy in difficult cases
- No
- Not sure
- Retired
- The information is very educational and informative. This research will filter down into treatments for dermatologic disorders seen in a general dermatology office such as mine. However, there are not many practical applications in a general dermatology office by the practitioner.
Speaker Evaluation – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 38 (88.4%)
- Agree 5 (11.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 37 (86.0%)
- Agree 6 (14.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 33 (78.6%)
- Agree 8 (19.0%)
- Disagree 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 30 (71.4%)
- Agree 11 (26.2%)
- Disagree 1 (2.4%)
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 36 (83.7%)
- Agree 8 (18.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 35 (81.4%)
- Agree 8 (18.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 43 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 43 (100.0%)
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO, FAOCD**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**

- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Helping residents be more mindful
- I will be increasing the amount of time I spend "connecting with and 'touching'" my patients
- Integrating the osteopathic approach
- It has reinforced all the principles I have lived by
- It’s always good to be reminded of certain things when interacting with patients.
- Loved the saying patients don’t care about how much you know as much as they know how much you care!
- Reinforced relationship to patient and good communication adding to what we learned in osteopathic training programs, also not to forget taking care of our mind and body
- Reinforced the importance of touch & empathy in osteopathic dermatology
- Reinforces my pride as a DO
- Retired
Speaker Evaluation – Dawn Sammons, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

2021 Spring Meeting Program Review – Virtual
How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent tips both medically and surgically
- Fantastic Lecture!! I will be changing the way I do PDT!!
- Good tips, especially the MA scheduling
- Got a lot of good and useful tips
- Great lecture
- Great lecture, well done
- Great tips, I will try new topicalcs for porokeratosis
- Great, practical pearls
- Incorporate the surgical techniques, but most importantly move to appropriate use of informed consent by the physician and laminated consent forms for the patient to read.
- Learned a few new topical treatment options
- Management of HS.
- Referral
- Retired
- Staff appreciation tips will be implemented.
- The treatment for DSAP and female balding were elucidating and will be incorporated into my practice.
Speaker Evaluation – Karysse Trandem, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 39 (84.8%)
- Agree: 7 (15.2%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 36 (78.3%)
- Agree: 10 (21.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 28 (60.9%)
- Agree: 17 (37.0%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 2 (4.4%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 25 (54.3%)
- Agree: 18 (39.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 3 (6.5%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 35 (76.1%)
- Agree: 11 (23.9%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 42 (91.3%)
- Agree: 4 (8.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 45 (100.0%)
- No: 1 (2.2%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 45 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
Speaker Comments – Karysse Trandem, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- BEING MORE COMMISANT OF SIGNS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING.
- Better awareness of signs of trafficking
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Enhanced awareness and more
- I will look to parents tracking their kids, never knew that.
- Increased awareness
- It will improve my acumen in suspecting and investigating Trafficked persons
- Much better prepared to recognize signs of human trafficking. Dr. Trandem’s empathy and genuine care for the victims is very inspiring.
- Retired
- Shocking. knowledge is helpful
- This lecture although interesting probably will not affect my clinical practice
- This was good information and could be valuable but I’ve never suspected trafficking from any of my patients.
- Timely and effective response
- Very difficult problem.
- Very knowledgeable... at times a little scattered
- Very useful information.
Speaker Evaluation – Shannon Trotter, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 36 (90.0%)
- Agree 4 (10.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 32 (80.0%)
- Agree 8 (20.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 33 (84.6%)
- Agree 6 (15.4%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 35 (87.5%)
- Agree 5 (12.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 29 (72.5%)
- Agree 11 (27.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 36 (90.0%)
- Agree 4 (10.0%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 40 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 40 (100.0%)
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Shannon Trotter, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Confirms my understanding of CN, DN, MM
- Early detection of skin cancer (particularly melanoma) is one of my areas of interest. This was one of the best lectures in the whole conference. Please re-invite for future lectures.
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Good framework for management of patients with nevi.
- Great explanation as to how to approach the treatment of dysplastic nevi.
- Great summary of a very complicated topic. I may use the tape stripping in my practice after this.
- Helped with opinions on when to re excise nevi and not as well as how to communicate this to patient, reinforced noninvasive evaluation of nevi that I am using.
- Helpful lecture.
- I do not tend to biopsy nevi that I think are dysplastic. Biopsies are taken to r/o melanoma. Unfortunately patients with multiple dysplastic nevi have multiple atypical appearing nevi as camouflage making it more difficult on exam to find a new or transforming lesion. Great presentation of a difficult subject.
- I will change the way I speak to pts about their DN's. considering if not to use PLA stripping in my office.
- It clears up a lot of my concerns.
- It may give me pause about some re-excisions but I am still confident that dysplastic nevi with moderate atypia should be excised rather than merely observed.
- May choose to only observe incompletely excised moderately dysplastic nevi...
- Pitied
- Plan to Utilize Dr trotters approach to Dysplastic nevi within our practice.
- Understand DN as an entity of its own. Will not use the term pre-cancer.
- Well done.
Speaker Evaluation – Michael Wein, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (84.2%)
- Agree: 6 (15.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 28 (73.7%)
- Agree: 10 (26.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 32 (84.2%)
- Agree: 6 (15.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 29 (76.3%)
- Agree: 9 (23.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 24 (63.2%)
- Agree: 14 (36.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 33 (86.8%)
- Agree: 5 (13.2%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 38 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 38 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Yes: 38 (100.0%)

No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Michael Wein, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Awesome!
- Broaden knowledge of the targets of various present and future drugs to help patients with pruritis/allergy/other.
- Dermatologists are immunologists
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Etiology of diagnosis helpful
- Greater understanding of new therapeutics agents
- Incorporate new biologics into practice
- Increased my knowledge
- Options for treating patients with better understanding of meds, and immunologic pathways
- Retired
- So much material for one lecture.
- Very helpful information to combat commonly seen conditions.
Speaker Evaluation – Christopher Weyer, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 42 (85.7%)
- Agree: 7 (14.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 42 (84.0%)
- Agree: 8 (16.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 39 (78.0%)
- Agree: 10 (20.0%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.0%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 34 (68.0%)
- Agree: 15 (30.0%)
- Disagree: 1 (2.0%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 41 (82.0%)
- Agree: 9 (18.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 40 (83.3%)
- Agree: 8 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 50 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 50 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Christopher Weyer, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better handle on what Mohs procedures are appropriate to better refer and advise my pts, he has relieved me of the hot tip cautery burden!!
- Dr. Weyer did a great job of presenting some very important topics and I will utilize more the muscle hinge flap
- Educate my staff and incorporate into my practice.
- Excellent.... important info presented.... presenter needs to return
- Good lecture
- Great lecture (2)
- I appreciated the information on discontinuing anticoagulation 48 hours prior to surgery
- I do not personally perform Mohs. I have a Mohs surgeon in my practice.
- I will utilize them
- Improve outcomes for my Mohs patients with challenging surgical defects
- Improved management of anticoagulated patients and use of electrocautery in patients with cardiac devices.
- May use Electrocautery in patients that have defibrillators
- Nice review and new info
- Retired
- Some, but I don't do Mohs. However, some good practical surgical pearls
- This will change the way I manage patients on anti-coagulants and also it has made me more comfortable using hyfrecation in my patients with pacers
- Utilize hinge flaps.
- Very informative
Speaker Evaluation – Gil Yosipovitch, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 43 (84.3%)
- Agree: 8 (15.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 41 (80.4%)
- Agree: 10 (19.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 39 (76.5%)
- Agree: 10 (19.6%)
- Disagree: 2 (3.9%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 35 (68.6%)
- Agree: 14 (27.5%)
- Disagree: 2 (3.9%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 42 (82.4%)
- Agree: 9 (17.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 43 (84.3%)
- Agree: 8 (15.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 51 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 49 (98.0%)
- No: 1 (2.0%)
Speaker Comments – Gil Yosipovitch, MD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better address pruritis dx and treatment
- Crucial update
- Different Rx for itch
- Educate my staff and incorporate treatment strategies into my practice.
- Good lecture
- I am better prepared to treat chronic itch
- I have a whole host of new options for treating itch in my patients
- NA
- Outstanding!!!!!! Best lecture so far.....
- Outstanding!!!!!!
- Retired
- This was illuminating information. However, it is unlikely that I will use these treatments in my practice. Rather I’ll refer them to someone more well-versed in their use.
- This was the most useful lecture of conference
- Understanding all options for patients
- Very difficult problem. Unfortunately all treatments also have problems.
The overall mission of each AOCD CME Activity is to:
1. Inform the provider of advances in medical knowledge and technology.
2. Inform the provider of advances in diagnosis and treatments for better patient care and outcomes.
3. Inform the provider of advances in Practice-Based Learning and System-Based Practices.

AOCD CME activities are intended to enhance the knowledge and competence of its attendees, with the intended goal being improving patient care and their health outcomes. The impact of our educational meetings will provide a diversified CME program focusing on the art and science of Dermatology.

The AOCD has met its mission for this conference:

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%

If you answered “no,” please describe how we did not meet the mission:
- N/A
Professional Practice Gap Survey
19 Responses Received

What problems/issues in your practice would you like our continuing medical education program to try and help you with?

- Billing/Coding (10)
  - Help with the new coding guidelines for 2021 and how best to incorporate them into our practice
- Mohs surgery (3)
- Pediatric dermatology (2)
- Practice management (2)
- Psoriasis (2)
- Therapeutic updates (2)
- All CME should be available virtually. Unequivocally, this is the most prudent way to keep AOCD members engaged in meaningful way.
- Atopic dermatitis
- Board recertification review
- Concise overview of current concepts, their changes and therapeutics
- Conflict resolution
- COVID screening guidelines
- Cutaneous oncology
- Dermoscopy
- Dermatopathology/clinical correlation
- How to discharge patients
- Insurance
- MIPS
- More OMM talks
- Motivating staff
- New E/M standards
- Personnel issues
- Prioritizing new, focused research and studies for the most high-yield or practice changing information.
- Professional liability
- Provide clinically relevant and economical CME credits for the practicing dermatologist
- Social media presence
- Surgical dermatology
- Treatment options for eyelid and hand dermatitis
- All CME should be available virtually.

What questions in practice are you having that you are not getting answers to?

- None (4)
- Atopic dermatitis
- Billing
- BOP regulations
- Buy and bill drug dispensing
- Coding
- Currently, dealing with the new coding and meeting codes that accurately reflect the work done
- Genodermatoses
- I want to know how I can get my CME virtually.
- I would like to see more billing courses.
- Insurance coverages and payments (not so much medical issues)
- Managing a web site
- Mohs
- New simple, safe, highly effective, and inexpensive ways to help my dermatology patients cure their skin diseases.
- Practice management
- Professional liability
- Psoriasis
- See first question
- The specialty pharmacies and PBM’s that approve our prescriptions is always a nebulous process.
- Updates on MIPS and other regulatory information

What patient problems or patient challenges do you feel that you’re not able to address appropriately or to your satisfaction?

- None (3)
- Billing/Coding (2)
- Access to medication due to high copays, cost especially in government health plans
- Alopecia totalis
- Atopic dermatitis
- Compliance
- Costs related to medication that patients have to pay
- Deciding which biologic will work the best for which patient
Ethics - When to not treat cancer in demented and elderly
Genodermatoses
Hair loss in women
Hand and eyelid dermatitis
Hand eczema - What to really do for people other than give them creams that don’t work or are not covered.
I honestly just need easy, inexpensive CME from the AOCD
Insurance barriers to therapeutics that our patients need such as lengthy PAs and denials.
Keloids
Lichen planopilaris

Misinformation from outside sources. Sometimes poor internet/social media information or non-Dermatology PA/NP or physicians provide incorrect advice that is difficult to tactfully state that they are wrong. Need unified voice that Dermatology is the specialty of skin expertise.
Mohs
Practice management
Professional liability
Psoriasis
Socioeconomic burdens
Spongiotic derm - A good overall approach
Use of castle bioscience tests
Verruca

What patient problems are your patients communicating to you needs more attention or more follow-up?
- Cost/Coverage of prescription medications (4)
- None (3)
- Acne (2)
- Educating patients on their insurance policy/deductible (2)
- Atopic dermatitis
- Can we possibly vote to get rid of recertification?

What are the most common cases seen in your area?
- Acne (9)
- Skin cancer (9)
- Psoriasis (7)
- Eczema (6)
- Atopic dermatitis (4)
- Warts (4)
- Actinic keratoses (3)
- Cosmetic (2)
- Seborrheic dermatitis (2)
- Acne vulgaris
- Asteatotic eczema

What are the most prevalent and serious medical problems for your state? (Please provide state)
- California
  o Meth & Heroin
- Colorado
  o Skin cancer
- Florida
  o Skin cancers - All types both melanoma and non-melanoma
- Idaho
  o Asteatotic dermatitis
  o Atopic dermatitis
  o Malignant melanoma
- Iowa
  o Actinic Keratosis and skin cancer, especially in the older generation of farmers
- New York
  o BCC/SCC/MM
  o COVID
  o Lack of good insurance coverage
- Ohio
  o COVID-19
  o Diabetes
- Obesity
- Opioid epidemic
- Oklahoma
  - Advanced skin cancer
- Utah
  - Diabetes mellitus
- Heart disease
- Hypertension
- Obesity
- Unknown State
  - Skin cancer (3)
  - COVID vaccination

What are the key issues or obstacles to patient care you or your colleagues encounter?

- Prescription coverage (5)
- Insurance issues (3)
- Regulation (3)
- Insurance access issues (2)
- Prior authorizations (2)
- Coding
- Compliance
- Denials for needed therapeutics - biologics, cacineurin inhibitors, etc
- Government
- Health care coverage
- I have no complaints
- Lengthy prior authorizations
- Mainly obstacles to obtaining treatments for patients whether medication or procedures that first must be approved by insurance companies.
- Non-compliance
- Sometimes referrals from managed care
- Treatments of advanced skin cancer cases
- Wasting time trying to get CME

What kinds of clinical situations do you find difficult to manage or resolve?

- Anything that has to do with arguing with insurance.
- Atopic dermatitis in the elderly
- Biologic coverage
- Chronic debilitating conditions such as hidradenitis suppurativa, dissecting cellulitis of the scalp, metastatic melanoma, Merkel cell carcinoma.
- Cutaneous metastasis of skin cancers
- Female hair loss
- Finding a Mohs surgeon in a timely manner.
- Finding CME
- Generalized itchy patient
- Hand eczema
- Insurance obstacles
- Lentigines
- Medication coverage
- Melasma
- N/A
- Payor issues
- Pyoderma gangrenosum
- SJS/TEN
- Urticaria and Xolair

The following items are very important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients.

Articles in peer-reviewed journals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72.22%</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
<td>5.56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuing medical education courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pharmaceutical company sales representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.78%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>22.22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2021 Spring Meeting Outcomes Evaluation Results
96 Responses Received

Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of attending these webinars

- Change the management and or treatment of my patients – 83.46%
- Change protocols, policies, and or procedures – 52.76%
- Other Change – 3.15%

Please explain
- Retired (2)
- Closer observation of the rules of coding; better documentation.
- Explaining skin changes in pregnancy. I will treat patients with Bruxism now!
- I am retired but the lectures were great! Very informative
- I’m changing the way I approach itch.
- Improve management of dysplastic nevi
- Improved skin graft bolster
- New data.
- Reinforces information I already know
- Signing my own consents

I will share what I learned from today’s activity with:

- Colleagues (43)
- Staff (37)
- Patients (22)
- PAs (13)
- Residents (11)
- Midlevel Providers (4)
- Nurses (3)
- NP (2)
- Students (2)
- As needed
- Staff, we will try the new way of doing PDT

As a result of what I learned from this activity, I intend to make the following practice/performance changes that I believe will result in more positive patient outcomes:

- Additional treatment options for my patients (especially chronic itch patients - great lecture!)
- All clinical information works towards improving a more positive patient outcome. 2021 rules of coding will assist providers knowledge of billing.
- Alternative medications for some of my medical dermatology patients.
- Appropriate coding changes, new treatment options for chronic medical conditions.
- Assessment/approach toward dysplastic nevi
- Better treatment options
- Biological use
- Certain treatment regimens
- Change my choices in biologics for psoriasis patients. try a new mohs closure and use new coding techniques
- Changing PDT protocols.
- Coding changes based on new CMS rules, drug monitoring changes
- Coding evaluation and changes
- Coding updates
- Definitely a game changer with atypical nevi
- Explain course, treatment, prognosis to patients and staff.
- Following more closely evidence based medicine
- Have MA make follow-up appointments
- I believe there are beneficial changes to the management both for patients and office. First checkout of our patients with Ma scheduling as well as management strategy for patients with pregnancy dermatoses, dysplastic nevi and challenging chronic pruritus patients. I also thought the intellectual property lecture had much useful information. The coding and billing updates were also very helpful.
- I feel more equipped to handle a lot of the covid vaccine questions that have been coming my way, especially from my biologic patients. Will start considering Dupilumab for some of my elderly chronic pruritus patients.
- I have a better understanding of the new treatments out for Atopic Dermatitis and Psoriasis as well as the new coding changes
- I have some new treatments in my armamentarium
• I will adjust my coding practices. I will give some thought to my management of dysplastic nevi and use dermoscopy more frequently.
• I will evaluate my patients more thoroughly for the topics discussed and therefore be able to treat them better
• I will expand my treatment armamentarium for melanoma and scc.
• I will feel more comfortable managing patients with chronic itch and have more treatment options. I will also be confident in not ordering LFTs in patients on terbinafine.
• I will have better information when counseling patients. I will also change how obtaining consent or procedures is done.
• I will incorporate some of the surgical and general dermatological information. I will better utilize the coding information
• I will incorporate those things that I feel as well as my group feel consistent with advancing the wellbeing of our patients
• I will utilize different surgical techniques, have a better understanding of my options with biologics, and be able to better connect with my patients, to name a few.
• Implement protocols learned
• Improve coding
• Improve coding documentation. Investigate further confocal microscopy. Improve information conveyed to pathologist on biopsies.
• Improved up to date, innovative patient care
• Incorporate clinical pearls from the lectures to provide better patient care in a more effective and efficient manner.
• Incorporate information into current practice
• Incorporate new biologics into practice
• Increase in knowledge to take better care of my patients
• Increase in patient options for treatment and care
• Increased empathy. Guidance on covid vaccines. Surgical pearls.
• Interaction with patients, coding, procedural changes
• Introduce the treatments discussed in the medical dermatology lecture
• Itch management changes and looking out for possible human trafficking.
• Itch protocols
• Less use of battery powered cautery.
• Management of atypical nevi
• Management of dysplastic nevi, patient care
• Managing DNS
• Many clinical pearls and some practice management pearls.
• Med management of psoriasis, androgenetic alopecia
• More biopsies for atypical lesions
• More choices for itching patients
• More clarity for patients
• More treatment options
• Multiple new ideas for my practice management and patient care. Excellent.
• N/A
• Nevi eval & management, surgical pearls, cosmetic eva, new confocal microscopy awareness, etc., all lectures added something as mt evaluations noted, one of the more useful meetings knowledge wise, great job done by all
• None - Retired
• Offer radiation therapy for some skin cancers.
• Overall treatment options
• Patient management
• Patient protocols
• Primarily Changes in chronic itch and coding
• Protocol
• Retired
• Review coding
• Screening more expertly for bruxism. Having guidelines for psoriasis and COVID vaccine. Nice table.
• Share treatment protocol changes
• Since our practice accepts state insurance and serves multiple cultures, I will try to be more aware of the signs of human trafficking.
• Tell my staff good job more
• Update coding codes in handbook and system.
• Update existing policies and protocols
• Update my coding codes in the office.
• Updated treatments
• Will add Gabapentin/Mertazapine for neurogenic priorities...More targeted use of biological...
Comments

- Allowing us to view the lectures on our own schedules gives us the opportunity to spend more time on the areas that we feel can help us the most.
- Every meeting should be made available virtually from now on. It is vastly more cost and time efficient. In-person meetings can persist for those desirous but we should be given the choice between the two. Every Time. You’ve proven it can be done and have no excuse not to continue to do so.
- Great lectures, excellent virtual conference
- I learn more with this format than live. There are less distractions. I listened to 100% of the lectures. I hope we never go back to live.
- I prefer to learn using this CME format...although in person meetings are collegial, I would recommend this format as an option for those unable to attend in person.
- I really enjoyed the conference and format. I felt there was much valuable information and a wide variety of information. Thanks
- It would be beneficial to have a greater period of time to access the online content for CME purposes. Like 3 - 4 months.
- N/A (2)
- Some of the topics while interesting are very esoteric and have no application in practice.
- Was really good
- Good job
- My comment is the same as in the previous survey.