2023 AOCD Spring Meeting Program Review

-----

West Palm Beach, FL
February 23-26, 2023
Activity Attendance Summary

Program Evaluation Response
325 preregistration questionnaires completed, 49 program evaluations returned, 481 speaker and learning objective evaluations returned, 22 CME mission statement surveys returned, 10 professional practice gap surveys returned, 193 daily card/attestation exit surveys returned, 5 practice management session evaluations returned, 27 outcomes evaluations returned

Meeting Attendance
325 Total Registrations; 306 Total Attendance (AOCD Members – 234; Non-Members – 72)

Member Breakdown
- Students/Interns 31 (13%)
- Residents 27 (12%)
- Attending Physicians 176 (75%)

Non-Member Breakdown
- Students 42 (58%)
- DOs 10 (14%)
- DPs 9 (13%)
- Other 4 (6%)
- PA-Cs 1 (1%)
- MDs 3 (4%)
- APRN/NPs 1 (1%)

East 17.05%
Southeast 54.10%
Midwest 13.11%
Southwest 10.49%
Northwest 0.66%
West 4.59%
Registration Questionnaire Summary
325 registration questionnaires completed

What was your reason for enrollment? (more than one selection could be made)
- 47.69% - Needed CME hours
- 28.31% - Location of the activity
- 50.77% - Desire to broaden knowledge
- 23.38% - Activity topics
- 16.92% - Other

Other Reasons for enrollment:
- Speaker (24)
- Trustee (10)
- Student meet and greet (4)
- Networking (3)
- 2nd-year medical student applying for dermatology residency
- CLIA proficiency offered
- I am a second-year medical student and would love to network with professionals in dermatology and be competitive for residency!
- I am a third-year osteopathic medical student interested in learning about dermatological topics and applying for dermatology residency programs.
- I have not previously attended an AOCD CME program
- I hope to become a dermatologist!
- Pharma
- Poster will be presented
- Student research event
- Third-year medical student interested in dermatology and networking with DO’s in the profession.
- To participate and support the AOCD

What is the population of the city in which you practice?

- Over 100,000 62%
- 50,000 - 100,000 15%
- 30,000 - 50,000 11%
- 10,000 - 30,000 9%
- Under 10,000 3%
What is your professional status?

- Practicing: 59.4%
- Student/Intern: 24.6%
- Resident: 10.8%
- Pharma: 2.8%
- Retired: 0.6%
- Other: 1.8%

In which type of practice are you currently engaged?

- Group: 41.7%
- Solo: 18.2%
- N/A: 29.5%
- Hospital: 10.1%
- Retired: 0.6%
Have you previously attended an AOCD CME program?

- Yes (71.43%)
- No (28.57%)

What would you like to learn from the conference?

- Cosmetic dermatology update (4)
- Alopecia/Hair loss (3)
- New therapies (3)
- Clinical pearls (2)
- Medical dermatology (2)
- New trends in dermatology (2)
- OMT/Osteopathic integration into management of patients (2)
- Acne scar treatments
- As a 4th year student, I am eager to broaden my knowledge of dermatologic topics
- As much as possible
- Business topics and changing landscape
- Chemical peels
- Difficult case management
- Everything
- Expand my knowledge on what it would like to be a DO dermatologist
- For CME lectures, I would like to learn more about laws and regulations governing the healthcare system. Academic lectures I prefer to be based on unregulated expert opinion on how we as dermatologists, DO dermatologists specifically, can improve our delivery of patient care through evidence-based methods and techniques. Sponsored lectures with a slide deck that contains the package insert, much similar to a promotional dinner, is not a good use of time as it pertains to this conference specifically. I believe it is important to have lectures similar to the one Dr. Cleaver gave in Orlando in Feb 2022 about the AOCD and the Mohs boards/board certification, which focus on updates surrounding the dynamic relationship between AOCD-ABD-ACGME and clarifying what is really happening, what to anticipate, and what we as DOs can do to be proactive on behalf of our profession as osteopathic dermatologists.
- General dermatology
- I want to continue my journey into dermatology as an informed medical student
- I would like to gain a greater understanding of the assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of dermatological conditions that present in various clinical settings. I also look forward to participating in educational sessions to increase my knowledge about current interventions/procedures in the field of dermatology.
- I would like to increase exposure to different dermatology topics as I am a third-year medical student and will be doing audition rotations this summer
- Lasers
- New acne treatments
- Osteopathic advances in dermatology
- Pediatric dermatology conditions
- Practical information regarding diseases and treatments that I can apply to my daily practice.
- Psoriasis treatment updates
- Relevant topics/techniques in Mohs surgery
- Skin of color treatment options
- Technology that can be used in the office to help facilitate
- Tips for students applying for dermatology
- Update on medical oncology particularly for melanoma
- Updated guidelines and new medicines
- Updates
Which items are important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients? (More than one selection could be made)

- 37.84% - Clinical practice guidelines
- 32.31% - CME courses
- 32.92% - Peer reviewed journal articles
- 29.23% - Opinions of nationally recognized experts
- 7.08% - Pharmaceutical company sales representatives
- 0.62% - Other
General Conference Evaluation Summary

49 evaluations returned

Was the activity fair, balanced, and free from bias?

Yes 100.00%

No 0.00%

Please describe what you found most effective about this activity:

- AAD coding course
- Ability to get CME and take Florida mandatory CMEs during the same conference
- All lectures and speakers were very engaging and informative
- All residents’ topics
- All the lectures
- As a plastic surgeon, it is an excellent review of skin pathology, knowing of non-surgical evolving treatment not often discussed within plastic surgery
- Breakout seminars
- Clear and concise speakers
- CME appropriate to current practice
- Coding
- Colleague interaction
- Concise and useful lectures by great speakers
- Covered AOA credits and state mandated CME in one stop
- Dermatology lectures given by certified dermatologists
- Disease presentation refresher
- Diverse breadth of dermatology topics--medical to cosmetic to surgical to Mohs to history to coding
- Diverse schedule
- Education
- Everything
- Everything -- it was a meaningful and informative program
- Florida laws
- Florida mandatory CME courses
- Fulfillment of required courses
- General dermatology treatment for psoriasis
- Good billing and coding lectures
- Good breaks were given allowing to re-charge
- Good resident lectures
- Great
- Great practice pearls
- I also loved the breakfast/lunch lectures, it was a nice change in pace for the day
- I like the surgical lectures
- I love the smaller venue and close access to conference hall
- In person didactics
- Interacting with colleagues
- Interesting cases
- Interesting lectures that were spaced out well
- It was excellent
- Keeping up with the new medicine
- Learning new information to implement in patient care
- Like that we now meet with pathology college
- Meet and greet time
- Meeting with fellow colleagues
- Mohs panel
- Networking
- New developments and updates
- New treatment modalities
- Pediatrics
- Pharmaceutical talks
- Plenty of non-CME activities to build community
- Post-op wound care
- Practical real-life insights from presenters
- Psoriasis IL-23 tips
- Surgical lectures and discussion/debate
- Surgical pearls
- The coding of procedures was helpful
- The conference was very organized, informative and enjoyable
- The opportunity to network with other DOs
- Therapeutics
• Up to date subject matters
• Updates in disease management and treatment options
• Variety of new concepts and treatments of some skin diseases
• Very engaging speakers and interesting practical lectures

Please recommend any changes you feel need to be made:
• No changes recommended (11)
• All good (2)
• More lectures (2)
• Always have a Zoom option
• App enhancement. List title and speaker name on the event calendar so that attendees can just scroll to get an overview of the meeting instead of having to click on each date and time to see the lecture.
• App is a bit difficult to use. It would be nice if you didn’t have to click on each day and time to see lecture title and speaker. How to claim CME for new attendees is a bit unclear.
• Consider having more Mohs and surgical procedures
• Find additional speakers
• Friday reception starting sooner after the final lecture
• Greater volume of lectures
• Healthy food options if and when possible (e.g. turkey sausage/chicken sausage instead of sausages, whole grains instead of croissants)
• Heavier focus on medical complexity of lectures could be helpful
• I learned some coding changes which will optimize reimbursements
• I was very happy

What area(s) of interest would you like to learn about?
• Coding and billing (4)
• Cosmetics (4)
• Chemical peels (3)
• Fillers (3)
• Surgical dermatology/pearls (3)
• Cosmetic lectures on techniques (2)
• Cosmetic procedures (2)
• Nail disease (2)
• Surgical techniques for to improve best scar outcome (2)
• Acne management update
• All of them
• All parts of medicine

• Very good mix of clinical, surgical and business content
• Very relevant to everyday practice
• What's new in coding
• When superficial cancers recur
• Wound closure talks

Integrate residents more
Longer meeting with more DO lectures (25-30 CMEs)
Looking into artificial grafts
Maybe get the lunch lectures covered for CME credit
More cosmetic lectures
More dermoscopy
More hours per convention
New city locations for future meetings
None--This was the best one yet!
Round table is good idea, needs a little more structure
Shorten lecture durations
Shorter but more lectures
Shorter session and more speakers
Some of the topics are too specialized, cosmetic procedures in pediatric population would be of interest to pediatric dermatologists
Start time should be earlier--Starting at 9:00 is easier for the late risers but we are here to learn not party into the night.
The program was balanced and highlighted key topics--Include more of the same
• Evaluation of the cosmetic patient
• Future trends of dermatologic modalities and therapeutic treatments
• Genetic syndromes with cancer link review
• Hair loss
• Hospital dermatology consultations
• How to deal with accounts receivable
• Insurance reimbursement
• LASERs (contact Dr. Buscaglia)
• Medical dermatology update
• MIPS updates
• Mohs
• Nail unit biopsy techniques
• New cosmetic technology and the role dermatology has
• New technologies
• Non-surgical treatment of skin pathologies (i.e. squamous cell carcinoma or basal cell carcinoma)
• Office efficiency
• Pediatric dermatology
• Practice management
• Retirement
• Skincare
• Staff training tips
• Surgical advancements
• Surgical repairs
• Things we see commonly in day-to-day practice
• Topicals
• Wound closure
**Speaker Evaluation & Learning Objectives Summary**
481 evaluations completed

**The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**
- Strongly Agree: 86.3%
- Agree: 13.1%
- Disagree: 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree: 0.4%

**The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**
- Strongly Agree: 84.2%
- Agree: 15.2%
- Disagree: 0.6%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**
- Strongly Agree: 79.8%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 79.3%
- Agree 20.1%
- Disagree 0.6%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 82.1%
- Agree 17.7%
- Disagree 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 82.8%
- Agree 17.2%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%
Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 99.8%
- No 0.2%

If no, please explain:
- Need more handouts
- Utilizing handouts on website I could not find. My computer limited abilities made it hard to navigate the site.

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within you practice?
- Coding and billing (2)
- Acquire new resources to treat patients in office
- Adding to knowledge of treatment of skin disorders
- Always update office with therapies I learned and new therapies
- Code correctly
- Education
- Expanded my knowledge of the tool box dermatologists can utilize in practice
- I plan to use information presented from lectures relevant and helpful in my practice
- I will share pearls with PA, staff and students
- Improve office effectiveness
- In all ways
- Incorporate dermoscopy into daily use
- Increase use of biologics for psoriasis
- I’ve made note of many different new ideas that I intend to use in my practice
- Lots of pearls and advice to improve my practice
• New information to manage complex dermatology cases
• Share updates with staff
• Some therapeutic pearls and coding information

• Stop ED&C
• Therapy
• To better manage patient care
Speaker Evaluation – Alex Doctoroff, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 19 (90.5%)
- Agree: 2 (9.5%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 20 (95.2%)
- Agree: 1 (4.8%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 17 (81.0%)
- Agree: 4 (19.0%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 17 (81.0%)
- Agree: 4 (19.0%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 20 (95.2%)
- Agree: 2 (9.5%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 19 (90.5%)
- Agree: 2 (9.5%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 21 (100.0%)
- No: 0

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 21 (100.0%)
- No: 0
Speaker Comments – Alex Doctoroff, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Necessary coding for my daily practice.
- Lecture more for residents than practicing dermatologist as information was more basic
- I will strongly consider using JAK & TK2 Inhibitors in my practice.
- Best basic review I have attended on this ridiculously convoluted subject.

What did you find most effective about this session?

- We need more lectures like this. Great!
- Very helpful, would like more of these lectures
- New updated therapies.
- Practical advice given by the presenter
Speaker Evaluation – Amit Sharma, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.  

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.  

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.  

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.  

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.  

The conference remained commercially unbiased.  

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?  

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?  

Yes 13 100.0%  

No 0%


**Speaker Comments – Amit Sharma, DO**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- The learning objectives are strongly applicable to the practice of dermatology. The manifestations presented in this lecture will make myself as well as practicing dermatologists more cognizant of specific dermatologic presentations that are exclusive to OB/GYN patients. The content enforced the need for an expansive patient history and evaluation prior to and throughout the pregnancy so as to ensure that safe and proper treatments can be recommended appropriately. In this way, the dermatologist can optimize the overall health status and wellbeing of the patient.
- Will consider DDx discussed for pregnant females with pruritic skin eruption

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Dr. Sharma’s lecture was not only comprehensive, but also extremely useful to both dermatologists and OB/GYN’s alike. As such, it reminds physicians that the need for constant communication and learning, as well as the need for interplay between the fields, cannot be understated. It is critical that the physicians from both fields come together to craft a plan of action and treatment protocol that is best tailored to the patient’s needs. Moreover, the content matter itself was well-detailed in displaying the etiologies, presentations, and proper modes of treatment. This was further supplemented by images. Overall, Dr. Sharma did an outstanding job in this session and was very well-spoken, knowledgeable, and most importantly, passionate about the subject matter. I look forward to hopefully seeing another presentation by Dr. Sharma at next year’s AOCD meeting.
- Good review of things to remember in the pregnant patient
Speaker Evaluation – Aurash Bina, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 16 (84.2%)
- Agree 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 15 (78.9%)
- Agree 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 14 (73.7%)
- Agree 5 (26.3%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 14 (77.8%)
- Agree 4 (22.2%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 15 (78.9%)
- Agree 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 16 (84.2%)
- Agree 3 (15.8%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 19 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 19 (100.0%)
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Aurash Bina, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Consider these devices
- Won’t, I don’t do body sculpting

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Great overview
Speaker Evaluation – Aurel Apple, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Aurel Apple, DO**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- No comments received
**Speaker Evaluation – Bruce Smoller, MD (Send a Photo)**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Bruce Smoller, MD (Send a Photo)**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Well presented
Speaker Evaluation – Bruce Smoller, MD (Dermatopathology in Systemic Disease)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
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Speaker Comments – Bruce Smoller, MD (Dermatopathology in Systemic Disease)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?

- No comments received
Speaker Evaluation – Daniel Fischer, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (84.2%)
- Agree: 3 (15.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (78.9%)
- Agree: 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (78.9%)
- Agree: 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 19 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (84.2%)
- Agree: 3 (15.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (78.9%)
- Agree: 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (78.9%)
- Agree: 4 (21.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 19 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Daniel Fischer, DO**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- Drug eruption case can be common in practice
- New treatment modalities to implement in my practice
- Put in ddx

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- Well organized. Great review
**Speaker Evaluation – David Crasto, DO**

**The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**
- Strongly Agree: 7 (63.6%)
- Agree: 4 (36.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**
- Strongly Agree: 7 (63.6%)
- Agree: 4 (36.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**
- Strongly Agree: 7 (63.6%)
- Agree: 4 (36.4%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.**
- Strongly Agree: 8 (72.7%)
- Agree: 3 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.**
- Strongly Agree: 8 (72.7%)
- Agree: 3 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**The conference remained commercially unbiased.**
- Strongly Agree: 8 (72.7%)
- Agree: 3 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

**Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**
- Yes: 11 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

**Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**
- Yes: 11 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – David Crasto, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• Already implement objectives discussed

What did you find most effective about this session?
• Good talk for residents
Speaker Evaluation – Evyatar Evron, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 14 (77.8%)
- Agree: 3 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 14 (82.4%)
- Agree: 3 (17.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 18 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.6%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 14 (82.4%)
- Agree: 3 (17.6%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 16 (94.1%)
- No: 1 (5.9%)
Speaker Comments – Evyatar Evron, MD

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Won’t

What did you find most effective about this session?
- New treatment options for scleroderma
Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Yes 13 100.0%

No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

Yes 13 100.0%

No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Faith McNicholas, RHIT, CPC, CPCD, PSC, CDC**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Will obtain LCDs for Medicare and private payer insurance

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Appreciate presenters attempt to make this difficult topic understandable but it is still a big tangle to unwind.
- Excellent session. Please bring back again in the future. These rules change annually. It's great to have this resource annually.
Speaker Evaluation – G. Scott Drew, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

Strongly Agree 23 95.8%
Agree 1 4.2%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

Strongly Agree 19 79.2%
Agree 5 20.8%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

Strongly Agree 19 79.2%
Agree 5 20.8%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

Strongly Agree 19 79.2%
Agree 5 20.8%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

Strongly Agree 17 70.8%
Agree 7 29.2%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Strongly Agree 20 83.3%
Agree 4 16.7%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Yes 24 100.0%
No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

Yes 24 100.0%
No 0%
**Speaker Comments – G. Scott Drew, DO, FAOCD**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Interesting cases and future missions interest

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Fantastic
- Engaging
Speaker Evaluation – Harold Rabinovitz, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 15 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 14 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Harold Rabinovitz, MD**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- This was a great update!
Speaker Evaluation – Jason Haxton, MA, DO (Hon)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (85.7%)
- Agree: 2 (14.3%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (78.6%)
- Agree: 3 (21.4%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (71.4%)
- Agree: 4 (28.6%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (71.4%)
- Agree: 4 (28.6%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (78.6%)
- Agree: 3 (21.4%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (78.6%)
- Agree: 3 (21.4%)
- Disagree: 0
- Strongly Disagree: 0

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 14 (100.0%)
- No: 0

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 14 (100.0%)
- No: 0
Speaker Comments – Jason Haxton, MA, DO (Hon)

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Super interesting lecture
- So fascinating! Please bring him back again in the future! Very engaging!
Speaker Evaluation – Jason Winn, Esq.

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 7 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Agree 1 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Agree 6 85.7%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Agree 1 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Agree 6 85.7%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 7 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Agree 1 14.3%
- Strongly Agree 6 85.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 6 85.7%
- Agree 1 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 7 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 7 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Jason Winn, Esq.**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?

- No comments received
**Speaker Evaluation – Jon Hyland, JD**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree** 11 78.6%
- **Agree** 3 21.4%
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes** 14 100.0%
- **No** 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree** 11 78.6%
- **Agree** 3 21.4%
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree** 11 78.6%
- **Agree** 3 21.4%
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree** 11 78.6%
- **Agree** 3 21.4%
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree** 11 78.6%
- **Agree** 3 21.4%
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree** 11 78.6%
- **Agree** 3 21.4%
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes** 14 100.0%
- **No** 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes** 14 100.0%
- **No** 0%
Speaker Comments – Jon Hyland, JD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
• No comment received
Speaker Evaluation – Jordan Lane, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Jordan Lane, DO**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**

- Implement some treatments recommended for similar patients in my practice

**What did you find most effective about this session?**

- No comments received
Speaker Evaluation – Joshua Lenchus, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 7 (100.0%)
- Agree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (85.7%)
- Agree: 14.3%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (85.7%)
- Agree: 16.7%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 7 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (85.7%)
- Agree: 14.3%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (85.7%)
- Agree: 14.3%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 5 (83.3%)
- Agree: 16.7%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 7 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Joshua Lenchus, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- No comments received
Speaker Evaluation – Karthik Krishnamurthy, DO, FAOCD

1. Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
   - Yes 21 100.0%
   - No 0%

2. Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
   - Yes 21 100.0%
   - No 0%

3. The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
   - Strongly Agree 20 95.2%
   - Agree 1 4.8%
   - Strongly Disagree 0%
   - Disagree 0%

4. The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
   - Strongly Agree 20 95.2%
   - Agree 1 4.8%
   - Strongly Disagree 0%
   - Disagree 0%

5. The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
   - Strongly Agree 19 90.5%
   - Agree 2 9.5%
   - Strongly Disagree 0%
   - Disagree 0%

6. The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
   - Strongly Agree 20 95.2%
   - Agree 1 4.8%
   - Strongly Disagree 0%
   - Disagree 0%

7. The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
   - Strongly Agree 19 90.5%
   - Agree 2 9.5%
   - Strongly Disagree 0%
   - Disagree 0%

8. The conference remained commercially unbiased.
   - Strongly Agree 19 90.5%
   - Agree 2 9.5%
   - Strongly Disagree 0%
   - Disagree 0%

2023 Spring Meeting Program Review – West Palm Beach, FL
Speaker Comments – Karthik Krishnamurthy, DO, FAOCD

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Will look into fish grafts
- Consider SRT

What did you find most effective about this session?

- He is always an engaging speaker and makes subject relevant to practice as well as education enhancement
- Practical skills for use in day to day.
- PowerPoint was well done, knew his information well.
Speaker Evaluation – Katerina Warda, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (71.4%)
- Agree: 4 (28.6%)
- No strongly disagree
- No disagree

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 8 (57.1%)
- Agree: 6 (42.9%)
- No strongly disagree
- No disagree

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 9 (64.3%)
- Agree: 6 (42.9%)
- No strongly disagree
- No disagree

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 13 (100.0%)
- No: 0

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 14 (100.0%)
- No: 0
**Speaker Comments – Katerina Warda, DO**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- No comments received

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- No comments received
**Speaker Evaluation – Lily Park, DO**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 9 (69.2%)
- **Agree**: 4 (30.8%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 9 (69.2%)
- **Agree**: 4 (30.8%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 8 (61.5%)
- **Agree**: 5 (38.5%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 8 (61.5%)
- **Agree**: 4 (30.8%)
- **Disagree**: 1 (7.7%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 8 (61.5%)
- **Agree**: 5 (38.5%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 8 (61.5%)
- **Agree**: 5 (38.5%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 13 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 13 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%
Speaker Comments – Lily Park, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
• Excellent review of laser physics
Speaker Evaluation – Marc Kaprow, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 5 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 4 80.0%
- Agree 1 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 4 80.0%
- Agree 1 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 4 80.0%
- Agree 1 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 4 80.0%
- Agree 1 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 4 80.0%
- Agree 1 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

 Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 5 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 5 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Marc Kaprow, DO**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- No comments received
**Speaker Evaluation – Mark Lebwohl, MD**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree** 24 (92.3%)
- **Agree** 2 (7.7%)
- **Disagree 0%**
- **Strongly Disagree 0%**

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree** 22 (84.6%)
- **Agree** 4 (15.4%)
- **Disagree 0%**
- **Strongly Disagree 0%**

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree** 23 (88.5%)
- **Agree** 3 (11.5%)
- **Disagree 0%**
- **Strongly Disagree 0%**

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes** 24 (92.3%)
- **No** 2 (7.7%)

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree** 23 (88.5%)
- **Agree** 2 (7.7%)
- **Disagree 1 (3.8%)**
- **Strongly Disagree 0%**

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree** 21 (80.8%)
- **Agree** 5 (19.2%)
- **Disagree 0%**
- **Strongly Disagree 0%**

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree** 23 (88.5%)
- **Agree** 3 (11.5%)
- **Disagree 0%**
- **Strongly Disagree 0%**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes** 26 (100.0%)
- **No 0%**
**Speaker Comments – Mark Lebwohl, MD**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- Check quant gold for yearly especially for TNF-alpha, continue to use il23i
- Too much information presented. Not possible to follow all this.

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- Key points succinct.
- He is always so informative and keeps lectures relevant to practice. Loved it!
- Broad based review of mabs (mab madness)
Speaker Evaluation – Meagan Klyn, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 17 (77.3%)
- Agree: 5 (22.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (72.7%)
- Agree: 6 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 17 (77.3%)
- Agree: 5 (22.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 22 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (72.7%)
- Agree: 6 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (68.2%)
- Agree: 7 (31.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (72.7%)
- Agree: 6 (27.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 22 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Meagan Klyn, MD**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- What is a resident in Larkin Florida doing a presentation on a pt in Massachusetts? Was it an out rotation? No value if this is a review from a journal.

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Good medical derm session
- Being cognizant of potential symptoms & signs representing DRESS
Speaker Evaluation – Mohs Panel: Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD - SC Recur

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (100.0%)
- Agree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Agree: 2 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (100.0%)
- Agree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Mohs Panel: Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD - SC Recur**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- With the Mohs team

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- More insights
- Again, great speaker!
- Sensible and practical information
Speaker Evaluation – Mohs Panel: Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD – Wound Care

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
**Speaker Comments – Mohs Panel: Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD – Wound Care**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- Will only reserve ED&C to non-facial superficial malignancies
- Perhaps use more aquaphor

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- Secondary intention is a good way for the body to heal itself
- Great speaker
- Very practical information
Speaker Evaluation – Mohs Panel: Maryam Sickinger, DO, FAOCD – Danger Zones

---

- **The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**
  - Strongly Agree: 12 (92.3%)
  - Agree: 1 (7.7%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**
  - Strongly Agree: 12 (92.3%)
  - Agree: 1 (7.7%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**
  - Strongly Agree: 12 (92.3%)
  - Agree: 1 (7.7%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.**
  - Strongly Agree: 12 (92.3%)
  - Agree: 1 (7.7%)
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.**
  - Strongly Agree: 13 (100.0%)
  - Agree: 0%
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **The conference remained commercially unbiased.**
  - Strongly Agree: 13 (100.0%)
  - Agree: 0%
  - Disagree: 0%
  - Strongly Disagree: 0%

- **Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**
  - Yes: 13 (100.0%)
  - No: 0%

- **Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**
  - Yes: 13 (100.0%)
  - No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Mohs Panel: Maryam Sickinger, DO, FAOCD – Danger Zones

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
• Good necessary information! Great talk.
• Let the body do what the body do!
Speaker Evaluation – Sarah Stano, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 7 70.0%
- Agree 3 30.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 7 70.0%
- Agree 3 30.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 6 60.0%
- Agree 4 40.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 6 60.0%
- Agree 4 40.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 6 60.0%
- Agree 4 40.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 6 60.0%
- Agree 4 40.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 10 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 10 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Sarah Stano, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- No comments received

What did you find most effective about this session?
- No comments received
**Speaker Evaluation – Shoni Rozenberg-Seide, DO**

**The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**

- **Strongly Agree** 24 (96.0%)
- **Agree** 1 (4.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

**The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**

- **Agree** 5 (20.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Agree** 20 (80.0%)
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

**The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.**

- **Agree** 5 (20.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Agree** 20 (80.0%)
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

**The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**

- **Strongly Agree** 22 (88.0%)
- **Agree** 3 (12.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

**The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.**

- **strongly agree** 20 (80.0%)
- **Agree** 5 (20.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

**The conference remained commercially unbiased.**

- **Strongly Agree** 20 (83.3%)
- **Agree** 4 (16.7%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

**Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**

- **Yes** 23 (95.8%)
- **No** 1 (4.2%)

**Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**

- **Yes** 25 (100.0%)
- **No** 0%
**Speaker Comments – Shoni Rozenberg-Seide, DO**

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- Always good for peds stuff
- Patient education

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- Format
- Practical
- Good talk, relevant
Speaker Evaluation – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 24 (88.9%)
- Agree 3 (11.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 22 (81.5%)
- Agree 5 (18.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 23 (85.2%)
- Agree 4 (14.8%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 26 (96.3%)
- No 1 (3.7%)
- Strongly Agree 26
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 24 (92.3%)
- Agree 2 (7.7%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 20 (74.1%)
- Agree 7 (25.9%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 24 (88.9%)
- Agree 3 (11.1%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 26 (100.0%)
- No 0%
- Strongly Agree 26
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%
**Speaker Comments – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO, FAOCD**

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Great pearls!
- Better patient-doctor relationship
- All useful information to make patients more comfortable
- Be more cognizant of nonverbal cues in patient encounters. Encourage a nonjudgmental environment. Be respectful of various cultural/religious beliefs.

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Everything was great!
- I will incorporate lesson into my everyday practice
- How best to relate to patients and their expectations
- Humility of speaker
- Common sense approach to patient encounters
Speaker Evaluation – Westley Carter, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 9 75.0%
- Agree 3 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 9 75.0%
- Agree 3 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 9 75.0%
- Agree 3 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 9 75.0%
- Agree 3 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 9 75.0%
- Agree 3 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 9 75.0%
- Agree 3 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 12 100.0%
- No 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 12 100.0%
- No 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%
Speaker Comments – Westley Carter, DO

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• Excellent review

What did you find most effective about this session?
• Presenter looked great and was well poised. He knew his subject matter and spoke clearly. Most of the other presenters mumbled. Great mnemonics as well.
The overall mission of each AOCD CME Activity is to:
1. Inform the provider of advances in medical knowledge and technology.
2. Inform the provider of advances in diagnosis and treatments for better patient care and outcomes.
3. Inform the provider of advances in Practice-Based Learning and System-Based Practices.

AOCD CME activities are intended to enhance the knowledge and competence of its attendees, with the intended goal being improving patient care and their health outcomes. The impact of our educational meetings will provide a diversified CME program focusing on the art and science of Dermatology.

The AOCD has met its mission for this conference:

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%

If you answered “no,” please describe how we did not meet the mission:
- No comments received
Professional Practice Gap Survey
10 Responses Received

What problems/issues in your practice would you like our continuing medical education program to try and help you with?

- Billing and coding (3)
- Alternative and OTC pharmaceutical/nutraceutical therapy
- Delusion of parasitosis
- Hospital dermatology
- I think another great thing (and I have created some of these) are flow charts for meds. Page 1 would be a pre questionnaire, followed by needed labs and then return visit ROS and needed labs. This would be a great lecture
- Mohs billing
- Morgellons syndrome
- Navigating the regulatory environment, not scaring me about all the things I’m not doing, but helping me be on top of it.
- New classes of pharmaceutical therapy
- Pigmentary disorders in Fitz 4+
- Practice management
- Prior authorizations
- Regulatory information
- Specific guidelines around blood monitoring for Isotretinoin use.
- Talks on how we as physicians can be better stewards of healthcare dollars. The current model is unsustainable. How can we make an impact in our individual practices.
- Updated research information or updated practical guidelines

What questions in practice are you having that you are not getting answers to?

- None (3)
- Billing and Coding/Reimbursement (2)
- Mohs billing
- Proper hair loss workup (data driven) in different age group women
- Use of new genetic tests for SCC
- What other practices are doing, managing diseases, managing the practice itself

What patient problems or patient challenges do you feel that you're not able to address appropriately or to your satisfaction?

- None (4)
- Billing and reimbursement. Hate to keep writing the same things but most "medical" problems I can get answers to with journals and textbooks and such. The regulatory stuff that is constantly changing, like billing and reimbursement, is hard to get good information on.
- Clear work up protocols for unusual/difficult conditions
- Hair loss in women
- Hidradenitis suppurativa not responding to high dose infliximab
- Lichen planopilaris
- New treatments for oral lichen planus
- Outpatient surgical approach to hidradenitis suppurativa
- Pigmentary disorders
- Pruritus in the elderly
- The huge amount of marketing information they get on the internet and social media that is often it odds with scientific data. This makes it difficult for a Board-Certified Dermatologist to appear as the leading authority on these issues. Can we regain that position?
- The need to address all concerns at one visit
What patient problems are your patients communicating to you needs more attention or more follow-up?

- Communication access (2)
- None (2)
- Access to dermatology
- Hair loss
- Phone wait times
- Pruritus
- Therapeutics

What are the most common cases seen in your area?

- Skin cancer (8)
- Eczema (5)
- Acne (4)
- Psoriasis (4)
- Seborrheic dermatitis (2)
- Alopecia
- Basal cell carcinoma
- Hidradenitis suppurativa
- Keloids
- Lichen planus
- Lyme disease
- Molluscum
- Skin checks
- Squamous cell carcinoma
- Sun damage
- Verruca
- Warts

What are the most prevalent and serious medical problems for your state? (Please provide state)

- Arizona
  - Melanoma
- California
  - Access to care
- Colorado
  - Skin cancer
- North Carolina
  - Melanoma
  - Ocular melanoma
- New York
  - Hypertension
  - Diabetes mellitus
  - Lack of insurance
  - Medicaid
  - Obesity
- Oklahoma
  - Skin cancer

What are the key issues or obstacles to patient care you or your colleagues encounter?

- None (2)
- Prescription coverage/Pricing (2)
- Access to reasonably priced medications
- Billing and reimbursement
- Insurance coverage
- Long wait times
- Medication coverage
- The medicine and procedures are so expensive that insurance premiums have become outrageous. Patients have chosen to only carry catastrophic insurance, making them postpone or never get adequate care.
- Time at current reimbursement levels. Getting the correct meds is always an issue. Loss of referral options due to insurance limitations

What kinds of clinical situations do you find difficult to manage or resolve?

- Non compliance (2)
- Billing
- Female pattern hair loss
- Hair loss
- Hidradenitis suppurativa
- Lichen planopilaris
- Morgellons
- Non-compliance
- Non-specific rashes (Spongiotic dermatitis)
- None
- Oral lichen planus
- Pemphigus
- Pigmentary disorders
- Pyoderma gangrenosum
- Rheumatology-Dermatology
The following items are very important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Articles in peer-reviewed journals</th>
<th>Opinions of nationally recognized experts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90.00% Agree</td>
<td>90.00% Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00% Neutral</td>
<td>10.00% Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00% Disagree</td>
<td>0.00% Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuing medical education courses</th>
<th>Clinical practice guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.00% Agree</td>
<td>80.00% Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00% Neutral</td>
<td>20.00% Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00% Disagree</td>
<td>0.00% Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmaceutical company sales representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.00% Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00% Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.00% Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Daily Evaluation Cards / Attestation Exit Survey
193 Responses Received

Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of attending these webinars (more than one selection could be made):

- Change the management and or treatment of my patients – 97.93%
- Change protocols, policies, and or procedures – 61.14%
- Other Change – 11.40%

  Please explain
  - None (5)
  - I will change a few coding changes to optimize my reimbursements (2)
  - Add INSM-1 stain to NET's
  - Artificial graft
  - Billing
  - Change my general approach to communicating with patients
  - Coding
  - Communication techniques for better patient-physician relationship
  - Confirmation of my coding methods, encouragement to bill appropriate codes and not "short-change" my work
  - Consider using biologics with oral medications
  - Continue to make direct eye contact
  - Dermoscopy lecture was outstanding!
  - I am a med student. Listening to all the lectures help me to appreciate Osteopathic approach in dermatology.
  - I feel more comfortable using certain medication that I didn’t feel comfortable using in the past. I feel more comfortable with dermoscopy as well.
  - I feel more comfortable with the new biologics from Dr. Lebwohl's talk and will use them
  - I will be aware of possible risks with “blind” treatments of non-melanoma skin cancer
  - I will implement medical updates in improving delivery of patient care
  - Incorporate new medications in treatment plans
  - Learned about the new updated coding system to how appropriately use the modifiers. Also, resident lectures were a good refresher course for differential diagnosis and treatments. Learned about new or different approaches for treating patients.
  - Less lab monitoring for some systemics
  - May consider trial of kerecis
  - Pathologist - No changes but interesting topics
  - Pathology - Interesting but don't see patients, pediatric skin care and wound healing interesting
  - Pay more attention to social trends affecting my patients' health
  - Prescribe new agents for psoriasis
  - Read the ethical protocols in handouts in room
  - Retired
  - Start using more excisional biopsies - an easier technique was introduced
  - There were many great lectures during the meeting. The lecture from Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO was exceptional, really one of the best that I have experienced.
  - Whole patient care considering social/cultural/psychological factors affecting patient care

I will share what I learned from today's activity with:

- Colleagues (108)
- Staff (48)
- NPs/PAs (26)
- Residents (24)
- Patients (22)
- Students (6)
- Office Manager (3)
- Medical assistants
As a result of what I learned from this activity, I intend to make the following practice/performance changes that I believe will result in more positive patient outcomes:

- Billing/Coding (17)
- Different and new wound care modalities (10)
- Awareness of various dermatologic treatment that are being proposed on social media (7)
- Consider kerecis grafts for wound healing (7)
- Better communication with pediatric/teen patient population. (5)
- Better communication (4)
- Improved dermoscopy skills (4)
- Update knowledge base (4)
- Better patient diagnostics, therapeutics and outcomes (3)
- Improved diagnosis (3)
- Patient education (3)
- Understand osteopathic heritage and history (3)
- Approach to patients (2)
- Assessing patient as a whole unit (2)
- Be more aware of how my EMR is coding procedures (2)
- Better office workflows (2)
- Better patient care (2)
- Change protocols, policies, and/or procedures (2)
- Check drugs for drug eruptions (2)
- Check quant gold yearly for those on biologics (2)
- Consider combination therapy with biologic treatments (2)
- Consider IL-23 in psoriasis patients (2)
- Consider international medical volunteer trips (2)
- Good (2)
- Grafts (2)
- I will likely use the newer biologics for my patients with psoriasis and with alopecia areata (2)
- I will use more Jak inhibitors to treat patients (2)
- Improved decision making for dermoscopy biopsy choices (2)
- Incorporating new surgical therapy in caring for my patients (2)
- Modify my surgical dressings (2)
- Psoriasis changes (2)
- Surgical pearls (2)
- Use biologics more frequently for the appropriate psoriasis patient (2)
- Using of dermoscopy in improving early diagnosis of melanoma (2)
- Add on and specific CPT codes
- Add online patient scheduling to the practice
- Adding non-surgical treatments for certain patient populations with SCC or BCC.
- Approach to my treatment modality
- Attempt to use more biologics as patients’ insurance allows.
- Be more thoughtful of how I prescribe biologics for psoriasis
- Better at reading pathology
- Better care
- Better consents
- Better listener
- Better understanding of newest systemic therapies
- Better use of biologics off label
- Better utilize modifiers 25, 59, and 76 when coding for medical services that were provided
- Change labs ordered
- Change the management and or treatment of my patients
- Closure options
- Combo sotyktu and skyrizi when needed
- Complex cases refresher
- Connect our pathologist with EMR to view pictures
- Consider allografts for wound healing.
- Consider alternate dosing and biologic options including guselkumab
- Consider billing Medicare for telephone visits
- Consider more use of skin graft substitutes to reduce risk of wound contraction
- Consider osteopathic treatment for patients with notalgia paresthetica
- Consider treating BCCs more aggressively.
  However, I will need to do additional research.
- Consider using anti-IL23 biologics for limited disease or nail-only involvement of psoriasis
- Consider using Sotyktu as adjuvant therapy with IL23
- Continue to use my dermoscopy skills for teaching purposes.
- Continuing to be compassionate with patient care and understanding patient circumstances
• Controlled substances protocol
• Dermatology in systemic disease
• Diagnostic and therapeutics
• Document communication
• Does protocols and maintain highest quality of
• Ensure that there is closed-loop communication
  between my practice and referring physicians.
• Evidence based managements
• Examine use of non-steroid topicals for psoriasis
• Feel less worries about leaving wounds open for
  secondary intention.
• Focus more on osteopathy
• Follow IG of Dr. Drew
• Help improve people’s lives with psoriasis
• I am a little more familiar with new biologics for
  psoriasis
• I will be better informed and updated on current
  trends
• I will have broader view and deeper knowledge
  to come up with differential diagnosis
• I will introduce and expand our use of the
  newest psoriasis options now available to
  improve our patient results
• I will prepare for the changes in maintenance of
  certification exam
• I will utilize new medications discussed
• Ice packs after surgery
• Improve patient care with improved diagnoses
  and treatment plans as well as follow up
  strategies
• Improve the medical management of patients
  with medications and surgery
• Improved dermoscopy to reduce unnecessary
  biopsies
• Improved efficiency with workflow and utilizing
  EMR better
• Incorporating new therapy and updated
  knowledge in caring for my patients
• Incorporating new treatments and guidelines
• Maintenance of licensure and AOA certification
• Making sure to actively listen and not interrupt
  in patient encounters
• Mohs closure technique
• More careful examinations and treatments
• More options in the management of chronic
  disease and being comfortable managing her.
• More physical contact with patients
• More sensitive to cultural diversity
• New treatment modalities to incorporate in my
  practice. Also, very good and pertinent
  information from Dr. Gomez-Meade’s discussion
  - establishing patient informed consent and
  effectively treating patient skin cancer to
  establish a cure so as to avoid future
  patient/provider problems.
• None
• Not look at the computer until I need to refer to
  chart
• Offer new treatment modalities
• Patient care
• Patient handout information
• Prescribe a new drug deucravacitinib
• Protocols to help avoid medical errors
• Retired
• Review of vermilionectomy
• Review with my staff and add knowledge that I
  learned to my patient care
• Safety data on new and emerging treatment
  options are clearer to me
• Send photos to pathologist
• So many great pediatric pearls I will use in my
  office when treating this population
• Social determinants of medicine
• Take more dermatoscopic images
• Talk to staff and managers regarding be more
  attentive and really listening to patients
• Trademark my name and logo
• Treatment plans
• Try to stop spending so much time on my
  computer in patient office visits and more time
  with direct eye contact
• Updated diagnostic & treatment options
• Use IL-23 tips
• Use less topical steroid and start more modern
  treatments
• Use more IL-17 drugs
• Use new therapies when it is applicable
• Use of grafts in low legs
• Use of tyk2s
• Use the Mohs appropriate use criteria more
  often
• Use Velcro compression stockings
• Use xenografts for the appropriate Mohs patient
• Utilizing new information obtained by attending
  the conference sessions
• Very much enjoyed it
• We will no longer do ED&Cs of BCCs of the face
• Work with my patients more as a mutual
  participant in their care
• Wound care management pearls with silver
  nitrate from Dr. Gomez-Meade lecture was new
  to me. Also, Dr. Krishnamurthy’s approach to
  wound healing post procedure was also
  interesting, and I will use the mesh he
  recommended.
• Yes
Practice Management Workshop Evaluation
5 Responses Received

Please describe what you found most effective about this activity:
- All the above
- Board certification table
- Intimate setting/communal sharing ideas including different approaches
- Small groups to discuss practice management issues with peers
- Workshop was a very good idea but after first session, it lost its structure and became a discussion on random practice issues

Please recommend any changes you feel need to be made:
- A tiny bit more focus at the tables
- Have a mechanism in place to keep the session structure in place
- N/A
- Great the way it was
- None

Should the AOCD continue to offer a session like this?
- Yes (100%)
- No 0%

Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of attending these webinars (more than one selection could be made):
- Billing (2)
- Coding
- Handling accounts receivable
- Negotiating contracts/rates
- New dermatology EMR
**30-45 Day Post-Activity Outcomes Evaluation**

27 Responses Received

**Were the materials from this meeting useful as reference tools?**

- Yes 100.0%
- No 0%

**As a result of attending this activity, have you been able to implement any changes of protocols, policies and or procedures?**

- Yes 88.9%
- No 11.1%

**Please share an example:**

- Better communication and care with my patients
- Billing updates
- Different approaches to wound care
- How I approach skin cancers on the head and neck especially when a SBB or SCCIS
- Polarized dermoscopy implemented
- Started using silver nitrate
- Updated practice to reflect new concepts learned at the meeting
- Updated treatment protocols with psoriasis
- We bill more acutely for shave removal of lesions
As a result of attending this activity, have you been able to implement any changes to the management and or treatment of patients?

Yes 88.9%
No 11.1%

Please share an example:
- Being more willing to send for Mohs despite age
- I have employed many new cutting-edge medications that I had not previously used that were introduced during this meeting
- More thorough exams and treatments.
- New psoriasis treatment
- New wound care products
- Psoriasis patients
- Skin cancer follow up & re-evaluation timeline
- Using new modalities for treatment of psoriasis
- Using psoriasis medications in combination
- With JAK medications

Please select the barrier(s) that prevented you from implementing changes in your practice:
- Clinical knowledge/skill/expertise – 33.33%
- Peer influence – 9.52%
- Recall, confidence – 19.05%
- Legal concerns – 14.29%
- Patient characteristics/factors – 23.81%
- Patient adherence – 33.33%
- Workload – 28.57%
- Safety concerns – 9.52%
- Cost/funding – 47.62%
- Lack of opportunity – 33.33%

I still plan to implement changes, I need more time.

Yes 80.0%
No 20.0%
Comments

- Excellent conference. Congratulations to all the faculty and planners for this event
- I invested 4.5 days in this conference. That is a lot of time for 18 hours of CME. I don't care about the tuition cost, I care about time.
- I would like to see more Mohs along with cosmetic procedures
- Thanks
- The meeting would be better if there are treatment pearls for the clinician.
- These lectures are wonderful! They are broad and with diverse dermatology topics. Excellent as always!
- We needed a handout on coding