Activity Attendance Summary

Program Evaluation Response
308 pre-registration questionnaires completed, 477 speaker and learning objective evaluations returned, 54 general conference evaluations/CME mission statement surveys returned, 35 daily practice/performance cards, 12 professional practice gap surveys returned

Meeting Attendance
308 Total Registrations; 285 Total Attendance (AOCD Members – 204; Non-Members – 81)

Member Breakdown
- Students/Interns 42 (21%)
- Residents 13 (6%)
- Attending Physicians 149 (73%)

Non-Member Breakdown
- DOs 20 (25%)
- Resident 13 (6%)
- Other 4 (5%)
- Pharmacists/Pharma 8 (10%)
- Students 38 (47%)

Attending Physicians Breakdown
- Students/Interns 42 (21%)
- Residents 13 (6%)
- Attending Physicians 149 (73%)

East 21.40%
Southeast 16.14%
Midwest 17.55%
Southwest 29.12%
Northwest 5.26%
West 10.53%
What was your reason for enrollment? (more than one selection could be made)
- 44.16% - Needed CME hours
- 26.62% - Location of the activity
- 47.08% - Desire to broaden knowledge

Other reasons for enrollment:
- Speaker (25)
- Networking (8)
- Board of Trustees member (7)
- Meet residents and program directors (5)
- Medical student trying to broaden dermatology knowledge (3)
- Mentorship (3)
- AOBD representation (2)

What is the population of the city in which you practice?

What is your professional status?

- Resident 4.3%
- Retired 0.3%
- Pharma 2.6%
- Speaker 2.0%
- Student/Intern 31.1%
- Practicing 59.6%
In which type of practice are you currently engaged?

- N/A 35.0%
- Military 0.3%
- Retired 0.3%
- Hospital 6.8%
- Solo 20.1%
- Group 37.5%

Have you previously attended an AOCD CME program?

- Yes 65.46%
- No 34.54%

Board Certification (if applicable)

- AOA 84.71%
- ABD 15.29%
What would you like to learn from the conference?

- Clinical pearls (4)
- Current topics (3)
- Up to date treatment options (3)
- Medical dermatology (2)
- Surgical dermatology/Mohs (2)
- Updates on general dermatology and emerging therapies (2)
- Anything and everything—I am new to dermatology, so all are welcome
- Become more proficient in and become up to date in dermatology
- Biologic updates, stay current
- Business management pearls
- I would like to broaden my dermatology knowledge
- Keep up-to-date
- New innovations
- New technology and pharma
- New therapies and what’s new
- Practical dermatology information and treatments
- Something new!
- Update on new dermatology info
- Updated information on dermatological diseases and treatments

Which items are important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients? (More than one selection could be made)

- 40.58% - Clinical practice guidelines
- 34.42% - CME courses
- 33.44% - Peer reviewed journal articles
- 26.95% - Opinions of nationally recognized experts
- 9.09% - Pharmaceutical company sales representatives
- 0.65% - Other
Speaker Evaluation & Learning Objectives Summary
477 evaluations completed

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 82.4%
- Agree: 17.0%
- Disagree: 0.4%
- Strongly Disagree: 0.2%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Agree: 17.2%
- Strongly Agree: 82.6%
- Disagree: 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Agree: 21.0%
- Strongly Agree: 78.4%
- Disagree: 0.6%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 77.6%
- Agree: 20.5%
- Disagree: 1.9%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 83.2%
- Agree: 16.6%
- Disagree: 0.2%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 86.3%
- Agree: 13.2%
- Disagree: 0.4%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 99.8%
- No 0.2%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 99.2%
- No 0.8%
Speaker Evaluation – Allison Goldenstein, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 10 (76.9%)
- **Agree**: 3 (23.1%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 10 (76.9%)
- **Agree**: 3 (23.1%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 9 (69.2%)
- **Agree**: 4 (30.8%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 9 (69.2%)
- **Agree**: 4 (30.8%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 9 (69.2%)
- **Agree**: 4 (30.8%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 10 (76.9%)
- **Agree**: 3 (23.1%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 13 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 13 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%
Speaker Comments – Allison Goldenstein, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Good review
- Great review and reminder of dermatological conditions
- Never really considered imaging my patients for some of these
- Staying up to date with current new trends

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Clear
- Good review
- New way to consider working up my patients
- Radiographic findings associated with cutaneous conditions
Speaker Evaluation – Aurel Apple, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 15 (88.2%)
- Agree 2 (11.8%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 14 (82.4%)
- Agree 3 (17.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 14 (82.4%)
- Agree 3 (17.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 14 (82.4%)
- Agree 3 (17.6%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 13 (76.5%)
- Agree 4 (23.5%)
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 17 (100.0%)
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 17 (100.0%)
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 16 (100.0%)
- No 0%


Speaker Comments – Aurel Apple, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Expanding my inflammatory dermatoses differential diagnosis
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved patient differential based on clinical presentation
- Make better clinical decisions

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Concise
- Dense dive into reaction patterns—Great review
- Good lecture
- Good review
- Great topic! Very applicable to all.
- New perspective
**Speaker Evaluation – Brian How, DO**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 11 68.8%
- Agree 5 31.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 11 68.8%
- Agree 5 31.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 11 68.8%
- Agree 5 31.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 11 68.8%
- Agree 5 31.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 11 68.8%
- Agree 5 31.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 12 75.0%
- Agree 4 25.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 16 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 16 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Brian How, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better able to recognize nail lichen planus
- Improve my diagnostic potential for this condition and treatment options
- Keeping nail lichen planus in mind
- Staying up to date with current new trends

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Better able to recognize nail lichen planus
- High impact
- Images and discussion of them was great
Speaker Evaluation – Bruce Smoller, MD (How We Teach)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 70.0%
- Agree: 30.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 70.0%
- Agree: 30.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 70.0%
- Agree: 30.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 70.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 10.0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 80.0%
- Agree: 20.0%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Bruce Smoller, MD (How We Teach)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
• No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• Good refresher of medical education
• To learn with an emphasis on pattern recognition
• Understanding how to teach and learn

What did you find most effective about this session?
• Clear and succinct presentation
• I really enjoyed this lecture. Great examples, interesting topic. I talked to Dr. Smoller after to thank him.
  Thanks for bringing him.
• Theory of medicine
• There are various ways people teach and learn
Speaker Evaluation – Bruce Smoller, MD (Melanoma Mimics)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 8 72.7%
- Agree 3 27.3%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 8 72.7%
- Agree 3 27.3%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 7 63.6%
- Agree 4 36.4%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 6 54.5%
- Agree 4 36.4%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 8 72.7%
- Agree 3 27.3%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 9 81.8%
- Agree 2 18.2%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 11 100.0%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 11 100.0%
- No disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%
Speaker Comments – Bruce Smoller, MD (Melanoma Mimics)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
• No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
• Being critical of melanoma diagnoses
• I don’t do much pathology but I will understand better while communicating with my pathologists
• To avoid dermpath pitfalls with melanoma

What did you find most effective about this session?
• Be very careful with melanoma
• Great dermpath review, great speaker
• Use of photomicrographs
Speaker Evaluation – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD (GEP Melanoma)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 15 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 15 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 15 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 15 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 15 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 14 93.3%
- Agree 1 6.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 15 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 15 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD (GEP Melanoma)**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Different melanomas can exhibit risky Castle scores regardless of Breslow
- I will continue to use the 31-GEP test for my patients with melanoma
- Once again, utilizing genetic testing in melanoma cases
- Staying up to date with current new trends
- Were able to manage my melanoma patients

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Castle testing for melanoma is useful
- Great presentation
- New perspective for managing melanomas
- The importance of technology
- The specific percentages of metastasis based on the class of the GEP
Speaker Evaluation – Carlos Gomez-Mead, DO, FAOCD (GEP SCC)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 16 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 15 93.8%
- Agree 1 6.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 16 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 16 100.0%
- No 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 16 100.0%
- Agree 0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 15 93.8%
- Agree 1 6.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 13 81.3%
- Agree 2 12.5%
- Disagree 1 6.3%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 16 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD (GEP SCC)**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- I already utilize the 40 GEP test on a routine basis
- Incorporate Castle testing for squamous cell carcinoma
- New knowledge about squamous cell carcinoma GEP
- Staying up to date with current new trends
- Utilizing genetic testing more in squamous cell carcinoma cases

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Better able to manage high risk squamous cell carcinomas
- Category 2b of squamous cell carcinoma risk
- Great presentation
- I appreciated learning the specific percentage associated with different class and metastatic event
- The importance of technology
Speaker Evaluation – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD (Skin Grafts)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 13 92.9%
- Agree 1 7.1%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 13 92.9%
- Agree 1 7.1%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 13 92.9%
- Agree 1 7.1%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 14 100.0%
- No 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 13 92.9%
- Agree 1 7.1%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 13 92.9%
- Agree 1 7.1%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 13 92.9%
- Agree 1 7.1%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 14 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Carlos Gomez-Meade, DO, FAOCD (Skin Grafts)**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Carlos is the GOAT of DO Dermatology. Thank you!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
- Greater confidence with skin grafting
- I will apply new techniques to my practice
- Increased consideration of grafting
- Make better surgical decisions regarding grafts
- Will utilize the Brownsville Bolster with future graft repairs

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Clear and understandable
- Grafting approach with duoderm
- Keeping the graft wet!
- Seeing new ways to encourage graft survival
- The steps for performing/creating the bolster
Speaker Evaluation – Catherine Tawfik, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (73.3%)
- Agree: 4 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (73.3%)
- Agree: 4 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (73.3%)
- Agree: 4 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (73.3%)
- Agree: 4 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (73.3%)
- Agree: 4 (26.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 15 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 15 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
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**Speaker Comments – Catherine Tawfik, DO**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Help me make better decisions with my patients
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved patient differential based on clinical presentation
- Keeping urgent conditions in mind
- So many good pearls!!!

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Great review
- Impressive review of dermatological emergencies
- Knowing that emergencies are uncommon for dermatology!
- Very good
- Well organized
Speaker Evaluation – Chelsea Schwartz, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 13 81.3%
- Agree 3 18.8%
- Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 12 75.0%
- Agree 4 25.0%
- Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 12 75.0%
- Agree 4 25.0%
- Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 15 93.8%
- No 1 6.3%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 13 81.3%
- Agree 3 18.8%
- Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 12 75.0%
- Agree 4 25.0%
- Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 13 81.3%
- Agree 3 18.8%
- Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 16 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Chelsea Schwartz, DO**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- N/A (2)
- Educate my mid-levels
- I will institute newer therapies into my daily practice
- Keeping social media in mind clinically
- Know more about what kids are doing to themselves these days, had never heard of some of it
- Knowledge and language to help educate younger patients and their parents
- Staying up to date with current new trends
- These updates help me better care for my patients

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Concise
- Effect of social media
- How social media causes harm
- N/A
- Overall topic
- Presentation
- Things I didn’t know about—it’s nice to hear about these pearls
Speaker Evaluation – Christopher Weyer, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 13 (86.7%)
- Agree: 2 (13.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (80.0%)
- Agree: 3 (20.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (80.0%)
- Agree: 3 (20.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (80.0%)
- Agree: 2 (13.3%)
- Disagree: 1 (6.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 13 (86.7%)
- Agree: 2 (13.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 13 (86.7%)
- Agree: 2 (13.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 15 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 15 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Christopher Weyer, DO, FAOCD

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Additional tools in my repair toolkit
- Greater knowledge of paramedian and melolabial flaps
- I will apply new techniques to my practice
- Increased utilization of melolabial flap after Mohs
- This will help me provide better repairs for my Mohs cases
- Very useful talk—Thank you!

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Cartilage reconstructions
- Description of surgical techniques
- I do not do Mohs so will not utilize the information, but it was a great lecture. Video was helpful on what to evaluate to determine in cartilage graft is needed.
- Practical
- Seeing different closures that I didn’t envision
- Stepwise demonstration of melolabial interpolation flap
**Speaker Evaluation – Daniel Fischer, DO**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 11 (68.8%)
- **Agree**: 5 (31.3%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 11 (68.8%)
- **Agree**: 5 (31.3%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 12 (75.0%)
- **Agree**: 4 (25.0%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 16 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 11 (68.8%)
- **Agree**: 5 (31.3%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 11 (68.8%)
- **Agree**: 5 (31.3%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 12 (75.0%)
- **Agree**: 4 (25.0%)
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 16 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0%
Speaker Comments – Daniel Fischer, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

• No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

• Being mindful of eyelid skin
• Different considerations for my ocular diseases
• ILK for stye
• Improved diagnosis and treatment of ocular findings in my patients
• Staying up to date with current new trends

What did you find most effective about this session?

• Enjoyed all the resident lectures. I thought they did a great job, and I liked the quick nature. 15-20 min then on to the next.
• Focus on ophthalmology
• Great images
• New considerations for treatment
### Speaker Evaluation – Derrick Adams, DO, FAOCD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Agree (14.3%)</th>
<th>Strongly Agree (85.7%)</th>
<th>Disagree (0%)</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree (0%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.</td>
<td>Agree 2</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 12</td>
<td>Disagree 0</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.</td>
<td>Agree 3</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 11</td>
<td>Disagree 0</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sessions assisted me in my professional development.</td>
<td>Agree 2</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 12</td>
<td>Disagree 0</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.</td>
<td>Agree 2</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 12</td>
<td>Disagree 0</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.</td>
<td>Agree 2</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 12</td>
<td>Disagree 0</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The conference remained commercially unbiased.</td>
<td>Agree 1</td>
<td>Strongly Agree 13</td>
<td>Disagree 0</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**

- Yes 14 (100.0%)
- No 0%

**Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**

- Yes 14 (100.0%)
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Derrick Adams, DO, FAOCD**

**Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain**
- No comments received

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- Appreciation for those that came before
- Bought one of the books. Presentation was a little long, might be better to make 2 lectures. Very interesting though.
- Interesting history
- The importance of history and how it can repeat itself
- To educate myself and to share with patients
- Useful history of dermatology

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- Become a giant for the next generation.
- Fascinating deep dive into dermatology’s history, much of which is not surprising but often depressing to know
- Good presentation!
- Historical readings and quotes
- Insight on human experimentation and exploitation
Speaker Evaluation – Eugene Conte, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (66.7%)
- Agree: 6 (33.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (61.1%)
- Agree: 7 (38.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (83.3%)
- Agree: 3 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 18 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 14 (77.8%)
- Agree: 4 (22.2%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (61.1%)
- Agree: 7 (38.9%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 15 (83.3%)
- Agree: 3 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 18 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Eugene Conte, DO, FAOCD

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Anticipatory guidance for future Native American patients I may have
- Applying his experience to my Native American patients
- Better awareness of American Indian population
- Great to hear Dr. Conte speak
- New knowledge regarding Native Americans
- Understand cultural differences and customs that can have an effect on skin conditions

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Fascinating comparison and update of the prior data on Native American populations
- Insight between Hopi and Navajo
- Perspectives I had not previously considered
- Photos from clinic
- Specific knowledge of the different Native American tribes as it relates to common skin conditions
**Speaker Evaluation – Faith McNicholas, RHIT, CPC, CPCS, PSC, CDC**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 14 (93.3%)
- **Agree**: 1 (6.7%)
- **Disagree**: 0
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 13 (86.7%)
- **Agree**: 2 (13.3%)
- **Disagree**: 0
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 15 (100.0%)
- **Agree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 15 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 14 (93.3%)
- **Agree**: 1 (6.7%)
- **Disagree**: 0
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 14 (93.3%)
- **Agree**: 1 (6.7%)
- **Disagree**: 0
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 15 (100.0%)
- **Agree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 14 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0
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Speaker Comments – Faith McNicholas, RHIT, CPC, CPCD, PSC, CDC

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better able to bill appropriately
- Changes to the suture coding has been helpful and the new G code utilization
- Documenting and billing accurately
- Keeping our billing updated
- Knowledge of suture removal codes
- Review coding guidelines
- Use of new Z08 code with Z85.82x in appropriate patients

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Always great to keep coding current
- Coding updates
- Knowledge of tissue transfer chart reviews
- Learning new codes for 2024
- Learning that telehealth will still be covered by Medicare until the end of 2024 but individual insurances vary
- New tidbits about billing
- The Z code use mentioned above
Speaker Evaluation – Haowei Han, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 9 (69.2%)
- Agree: 4 (30.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (76.9%)
- Agree: 3 (23.1%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 9 (69.2%)
- Agree: 4 (30.8%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 13 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 13 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Haowei Han, DO**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Education of patients regarding use of OTC products and the possibility of harm due to them
- Helps me manage pigment issues more cautiously
- Keeping in mind OTC products
- Staying up to date with current new trends

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Better able to counsel my patients
- Detective work needed to determine cause of patient’s problem
- Informative
**Speaker Evaluation – Henry Lim, DO**

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree** 12 (80.0%)
- **Agree** 3 (20.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree** 12 (80.0%)
- **Agree** 3 (20.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree** 9 (60.0%)
- **Agree** 6 (40.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree** 9 (60.0%)
- **Agree** 6 (40.0%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree** 13 (86.7%)
- **Agree** 2 (13.3%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree** 13 (86.7%)
- **Agree** 2 (13.3%)
- **Disagree** 0%
- **Strongly Disagree** 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes** 15 (100.0%)
- **No** 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes** 15 (100.0%)
- **No** 0%
**Speaker Comments – Henry Lim, DO**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better able to identify and understand this condition
- Provides new knowledge
- Staying up to date with current new trends
- Surveillance for this specific condition and improved treatment

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Interesting presentation
- Interesting presentation, as my daughter plays the viola
- Interesting topic—thought it was fun to see into his world and that of professional violin players
- New consideration for treatment
- Presentation and photos
- Specific problems associated with violin layout and unique cutaneous pattern of the condition
Speaker Evaluation – James Q. Del Rosso, DO, FAOCD (Derm Now)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (88.9%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (88.9%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 17 (94.4%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 18 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (88.9%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (88.9%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 16 (88.9%)
- Agree: 2 (11.1%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%
- Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 18 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – James Q. Del Rosso, DO, FAOCD (Derm Now)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better management of acne
- Great pearls
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved and deeper knowledge of treatment options for my patients
- Updated my practice knowledge

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Always a treat to hear Dr. Del Rosso
- Amazing depth of knowledge on each and every slide
- He is very entertaining
- The speaker's breadth of knowledge
Speaker Evaluation – James Q. Del Rosso, DO, FAOCD (Skin of Color)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (70.6%)
- Agree: 4 (23.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.9%)

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (70.6%)
- Agree: 4 (23.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.9%)

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (70.6%)
- Agree: 3 (17.6%)
- Disagree: 2 (11.8%)

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (70.6%)
- Agree: 4 (23.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.9%)

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (70.6%)
- Agree: 4 (23.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.9%)

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 12 (70.6%)
- Agree: 4 (23.5%)
- Disagree: 1 (5.9%)

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 17 (100.0%)
- No: 0 (0%)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 13 (76.5%)
- No: 4 (23.5%)
**Speaker Comments – James Q. Del Rosso, DO, FAOCD (Skin of Color)**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- Would have liked to see other skin conditions in skin of color than mostly just acne

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Better management of skin of color
- Helps me better understand differences in skin of color
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved knowledge for diagnosing and managing patients with skin of color

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Always a pleasure to hear Dr. Del Rosso
- Challenge of diagnosing hair conditions like lichen planopilaris in people of color
- Different diseases present differently
- Very informative updates
Speaker Evaluation – Jamie Moenster, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 5 71.4%
- Agree 2 28.6%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 5 71.4%
- Agree 2 28.6%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 5 71.4%
- Agree 2 28.6%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 5 71.4%
- Agree 2 28.6%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 5 71.4%
- Agree 1 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 6 85.7%
- Agree 1 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 7 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 7 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Jamie Moenster, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Better understanding of cosmetic procedures
- I learned more about body contouring
- N/A - I do not perform cosmetic procedures

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Body contouring is more popular than I realized
- Discussion of pitfalls
- Good presentation for my general knowledge
Speaker Evaluation – Jason Haxton, MA, DO (Hon)

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree: 13 (86.7%)
- Agree: 2 (13.3%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree: 12 (80.0%)
- Agree: 3 (20.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree: 11 (73.3%)
- Agree: 3 (20.0%)
- Disagree: 1 (6.7%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree: 10 (66.7%)
- Agree: 3 (20.0%)
- Disagree: 2 (13.3%)
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree: 14 (93.3%)
- Agree: 1 (6.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree: 14 (93.3%)
- Agree: 1 (6.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes: 15 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes: 15 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Jason Haxton, MA, DO (Hon)

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better support the community
- Interesting historical importance
- Keeping in mind the history of our profession
- Personal knowledge of the evolution and history of Dr. Still and his connection to Native Americans

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Great historical images and letters associated and of Dr. Still
- Interesting - would have been easier if it had been 2 30 min sessions instead of 60 min straight through
- Interesting history
- Mind body spirit emphasis
- The growth of our field
Speaker Evaluation – Jason Winn, Esq.

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 11 91.7%
- Agree 1 8.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 8 66.7%
- Agree 4 33.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 11 91.7%
- Agree 1 8.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 12 100.0%
- No 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 10 83.3%
- Agree 2 16.7%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 8 66.7%
- Agree 4 33.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 11 91.7%
- Agree 1 8.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 12 100.0%
- No 0%
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**Speaker Comments – Jason Winn, Esq.**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better deal with legal/board issues
- I will be more aware of the laws governing my practice
- Just a good reminder to cover all of my bases as far as medical legal issues. I know this is based on Florida, but still need to provide more comprehensive information to patients regarding risks, use of controlled substances.
- Stay out of Florida as much as possible
- This allows me to stay updated with current medical, legal trends, and ethics

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Very concise and to the point
- Worth its weight in gold!
Speaker Evaluation – John Minni, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)
- Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)
- Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 10 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 9 (75.0%)
- Agree: 3 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 11 (91.7%)
- Agree: 1 (8.3%)
- Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – John Minni, DO, FAOCD**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- I already use mid-levels, but I think defining boundaries more clearly will be helpful
- I already utilize 2 x PAs & 1 x DNP, and I’m very much in agreement with Dr. Minni, especially regarding defining expectations in writing prior to starting them in your practice
- Insightful observations on how to make a more efficient office
- Knowledge of business side of advanced practice providers
- Management and development of mid-levels
- New considerations for adding advanced practice providers to the practice

What did you find most effective about this session?

- 3-month rule booking of patients and hiring
- Good presentation
- Great reminders for adding advanced practitioners
- Reviewing on setting expectations with a mid-level provider prior to start date
- Training is 10% needed for advanced practice providers
- Well organized
Speaker Evaluation – Joshua Lenchus, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (75.0%)
- Agree: 2 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (75.0%)
- Agree: 2 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Agree: 1 (12.5%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 7 (87.5%)
- Agree: 1 (12.5%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 6 (75.0%)
- Agree: 2 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 8 (100.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 8 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 8 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
Speaker Comments – Joshua Lenchus, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

• No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

• Better pain management processes
• Staying up to date with current trends and regulations

What did you find most effective about this session?

• Recognizing the severity of the opioid epidemic
• Required for controlled substance/license
Speaker Evaluation – Kari Martin, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.
- Strongly Agree: 9 (75.0%)
- Agree: 3 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.
- Strongly Agree: 9 (75.0%)
- Agree: 3 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.
- Strongly Agree: 9 (75.0%)
- Agree: 3 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?
- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.
- Strongly Agree: 9 (75.0%)
- Agree: 3 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.
- Strongly Agree: 9 (75.0%)
- Agree: 3 (25.0%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.
- Strongly Agree: 10 (83.3%)
- Agree: 2 (16.7%)
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
- Yes: 12 (100.0%)
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Kari Martin, MD**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better able to care for my patients
- Better utilization of patch testing
- Keeping DHRs in my differential diagnosis for these challenging patients

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Clinical pics
- Great allergy review
- Look for a persistent trigger
Speaker Evaluation – Lisa Fronek, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 12 85.7%
- Agree 2 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 11 78.6%
- Agree 3 21.4%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 11 78.6%
- Agree 3 21.4%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 12 85.7%
- Agree 2 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 11 78.6%
- Agree 3 21.4%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 12 85.7%
- Agree 2 14.3%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 14 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 14 100.0%
- No 0%
Speaker Comments – Lisa Fronek, DO

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Better able to care for my transplant patients
- Improve my management of the skin of those patients with solid organ transplant
- Keeping a good differential diagnosis when assessing skin disease in a transplant patient
- Staying up to date with current new trends

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Informative for when treating high risk patients
- New considerations for transplant patients
- Really enjoyed the Merkel cell part of the lecture
- Recent emerging conditions in this patient population
Speaker Evaluation – Lisa Swanson, MD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?
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**Speaker Comments – Lisa Swanson, MD**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better management of pediatric patients
- Help me make better clinical decisions with my pediatric patients
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved management of my pediatric patients
- Incorporation of propranolol
- Incredible lecture—We are so appreciative of Dr. Swanson attending our meetings!
- More preparedness associated with prescribing oral JAK inhibitors and propranolol
- One of the best presentations I have ever listened to!
- Using topical beta-blockers for hemangiomas without fear

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Dr. Swanson is a great speaker
- Effective tips on management that I will implement—Dr. Swanson is always great!
- Her delivery so amazing! Phenomenal!! Dr. Swanson is worth the price of admission. Amazing clinician, person and teacher. I always learn so much from her lectures!!!
- Lisa is one of the best speakers in the dermatology world—she is able to combine effective humorous and intelligent practical lectures that never fail to captivate the audience
- Review of alopecia areata treatments
- She is the best! One of my absolute favorite speakers!
- The updated knowledge and perspective she offered
- Use of propranolol & nadolol for hemangiomas, and topical timolol for pyogenic granulomas
- Very high impact
Speaker Evaluation – Marc Kaprow, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree: 66.7%
- Agree: 33.3%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree: 77.8%
- Agree: 22.2%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree: 55.6%
- Agree: 44.4%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree: 66.7%
- Agree: 33.3%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree: 66.7%
- Agree: 33.3%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree: 88.9%
- Agree: 11.1%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly Disagree: 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes: 100.0%
- No: 0%
**Speaker Comments – Marc Kaprow, DO**

**Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain**
- No comments received

**How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?**
- Reduction of errors
- To always be aware of the possibility of medical errors and to apply root cause analysis in order to deliver optimal patient care

**What did you find most effective about this session?**
- Documentation
- Risk management
- Straightforward and clear message
**Speaker Evaluation – Mark Lebwohl, MD**

- **The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.**
  - Strongly Agree 18 (94.7%)
  - Agree 1 (5.3%)

- **The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.**
  - Strongly Agree 18 (94.7%)
  - Agree 1 (5.3%)

- **The sessions assisted me in my professional development.**
  - Strongly Agree 18 (94.7%)
  - Agree 1 (5.3%)

- **The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.**
  - Strongly Agree 18 (94.7%)
  - Agree 1 (5.3%)

- **The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.**
  - Strongly Agree 18 (94.7%)
  - Agree 2 (10.5%)

- **The conference remained commercially unbiased.**
  - Strongly Agree 17 (89.5%)
  - Agree 2 (10.5%)

- **Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?**
  - Yes 19 (100.0%)
  - No 0%

- **Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?**
  - Yes 19 (100.0%)
  - No 0%


**Speaker Comments – Mark Lebwohl, MD**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Better psoriasis management
- Different approaches with use of IL-17s versus IL-23s
- Help me manage my complex psoriasis patients better
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved confidence in using IL-17i and IL-23i in my psoriasis patients
- Incredible man. Incredible lecture. Thank you!!!!

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Always a treat to hear Dr. Lebwohl
- Cancer safety profiles for certain biologics
- Comprehensive
- New perspectives on the biologics
- Practical insights that will change my management—Plan to start using bimekizumab as my preferred IL-17
- Strong support for use of IL-17s
- Very valuable!
Speaker Evaluation – Paul Imbriano, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 11 73.3%
- Agree 4 26.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 11 73.3%
- Agree 4 26.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 11 73.3%
- Agree 4 26.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 11 73.3%
- Agree 4 26.7%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 12 80.0%
- Agree 3 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 12 80.0%
- Agree 3 20.0%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 15 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 15 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Paul Imbriano, DO**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Gives me new perspective
- Great review of marine/tropical medicine
- Keeping marine exposures in mind clinically
- Staying up to date with current new trends

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Good review
- Great images
- The perspective it gives
- Very in-depth
Speaker Evaluation – Peter Saitta, DO, FAOCD

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- **Strongly Agree**: 13 (86.7%)
- **Agree**: 2 (13.3%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- **Strongly Agree**: 11 (73.3%)
- **Agree**: 4 (26.7%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- **Strongly Agree**: 14 (93.3%)
- **Agree**: 1 (6.7%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- **Yes**: 15 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- **Strongly Agree**: 12 (85.7%)
- **Agree**: 2 (14.3%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- **Strongly Agree**: 11 (73.3%)
- **Agree**: 4 (26.7%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- **Strongly Agree**: 14 (93.3%)
- **Agree**: 1 (6.7%)
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0
- **Disagree**: 0

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- **Yes**: 15 (100.0%)
- **No**: 0
**Speaker Comments – Peter Saitta, DO, FAOCD**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Assessing patients
- Direct patient care
- Focus on the key components of hair dye
- Recognize contact dermatitis better
- Recognizing new and emergent allergens
- To improve clinical practice

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Content and presentation
- Learning about the various allergens and presentations
- New and emerging allergens
- Practical recommendations
- The different options available to patients that are allergic to hair dye
Speaker Evaluation – Shane Swink, DO

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

- Strongly Agree 15 88.2%
- Agree 2 11.8%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

- Strongly Agree 15 88.2%
- Agree 2 11.8%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

- Strongly Agree 15 88.2%
- Agree 2 11.8%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

- Strongly Agree 15 88.2%
- Agree 2 11.8%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

- Strongly Agree 15 88.2%
- Agree 2 11.8%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

- Strongly Agree 16 94.1%
- Agree 1 5.9%
- Disagree 0%
- Strongly Disagree 0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

- Yes 17 100.0%
- No 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

- Yes 16 100.0%
- No 0%
**Speaker Comments – Shane Swink, DO**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain

- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?

- Better management of pediatric patients
- Helps me make better decisions with my pediatric patients
- I will apply new therapies to my practice
- Improved treatment options for my pediatric patients
- More confidence with pediatric patients
- We love Dr. Swink -- excellent lecture as always!

What did you find most effective about this session?

- Good lecture
- Review of atopic derm treatments
- Slides covering different weight-based dosing of medications for pediatric patients
- Updates in atopic dermatitis for pediatrics
- Well organized
Yes 16 100.0%

Were disclosures made or conflicts of interest identified prior to the CME program?

Strongly Agree 13 81.3%
Agree 2 12.5%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The Powerpoints and/or handouts effectively supported the presentation.

Strongly Agree 14 87.5%
Agree 3 18.8%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions assisted me in my professional development.

Strongly Agree 12 75.0%
Agree 4 25.0%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The content of the topics were presented in a clear and understandable manner.

Strongly Agree 14 87.5%
Agree 2 12.5%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The sessions provided me with specific ideas that I intend to use.

Strongly Agree 13 81.3%
Agree 3 18.8%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The presenters were knowledgeable, organized and effective in their presentations.

Strongly Agree 14 87.5%
Agree 2 12.5%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

The conference remained commercially unbiased.

Strongly Agree 15 93.8%
Agree 1 6.3%
Disagree 0%
Strongly Disagree 0%

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met?

Yes 16 100.0%
No 0%
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**Speaker Comments – Suzanne Sirota Rozenberg, DO, FAOCD**

Have the overall specified learning objectives been met? If no, please explain
- No comments received

How will you utilize stated learning objectives within your practice?
- Clinical tips to improve patient care
- Continued improvement for my patients receiving Botox regarding evaluation and treatment
- New perspectives in cosmetics
- Review of danger zones always helpful
- Thanks for the tips!

What did you find most effective about this session?
- Able to offer more to my cosmetic patients
- Distinct uses of Botox for lower face including danger areas and how to
- Her clinical stories
- The slides were good but cannot go back to them for reference since they were not provided. Can you please share the slides used in the presentation
- Toxin placement guide
- Very informative and well-delivered
General Conference Evaluation/Mission Statement Survey Summary
54 Responses Received

The overall mission of each AOCD CME Activity is to:
1. Inform the provider of advances in medical knowledge and technology.
2. Inform the provider of advances in diagnosis and treatments for better patient care and outcomes.
3. Inform the provider of advances in Practice-Based Learning and System-Based Practices.

AOCD CME activities are intended to enhance the knowledge and competence of its attendees, with the intended goal being improving patient care and their health outcomes. The impact of our educational meetings will provide a diversified CME program focusing on the art and science of Dermatology.

The AOCD has met its mission for this conference:

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%

If you answered “no,” please describe how we did not meet the mission:
• No responses received

Did the activity remain commercially unbiased?

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%
Were disclosures made/conflicts of interest identified prior to the activity?

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%

Presentations provided usable ideas and/or techniques?

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%

Have overall learning objectives been met?

- Yes (100%)
- No 0%
As a result of what I learned from this activity, I intend to make the following practice/performance changes that I believe will result in more positive patient outcomes:

- Add new biologics to practice for psoriasis patients
- Adjust botox injections slightly
- Aron regimen for persistent facial eczema
- Awareness of new billing updates
- Be more aware of dermatoses secondary to social media related self-injury
- Be more aware of what kids are doing to themselves
- Brownsville bolster status post grafts
- Coding resources
- Coding updates
- Confidence with the newer biologics and JAK inhibitors
- Cosmetic pearls
- Education of staff and physician extenders about diagnostic and treatment improvement
- I am more likely to treat hidradenitis suppurativa earlier
- I will be able to stay updated with current diagnosis and treatment trends, and improve efficiency in delivering optimal dermatologic patient care
- I will be more likely to use JAK inhibitors
- I will change the way I treat acne
- I will change the way I treat psoriasis
- I will utilize patch testing in more clinical situations
- Implementing several pediatric dermatology pearls
- Improve billing department utilizing AAD assets
- Improved practice
- In treatments and plans
- Increase systemic use—Integrate specific monitoring guidelines
- Look for opportunities for my pediatric patients to try new IPMS
- Medications for difficult to manage cases
- More aware of common contact allergens
- More aware of things kids are doing
- More comfortable with new classes of drugs
- New coding for visits
- New therapeutics
- New treatment options
- New work-up algorithms
- Pediatric pearls
- Prescribing some new medications like IL-17 blockers JAK inhibitors
- Revisit Castle testing
- Skin grafting confidence
- Tox injection techniques
- Try new treatment options recently approved
- Try pediatric pearls from Dr. Swanson
- Use new medications and treatment for my patients
- Using some different OTC remedies
- Utilizing Castle 40-GEP CSCC and 31-GEP for melanoma
- Work up a patient for McCune-Albright syndrome
- Yes

Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of attending these webinars (more than one selection could be made):

- Change the management and/or treatment of my patients – 48.1%
- Change protocols, policies, and/or procedures – 66.7%
- Other Change – 9.3%
  
  Please explain
  
  - Be more aware of the risks of medications and the needs of my patients of color
  - Coding changes
  - Screen teens better

I will share what I learned from today's activity with:

- Colleagues (20)
- Staff (13)
- Advanced practice providers (10)
- Patients (7)
- Residents (6)
- Medical students (3)
What topics would you like to see presented at future meetings?

- Business/Administration/Practice management (3)
- General dermatology treatment updates/pearls (3)
- Hair disorders/loss & treatment (3)
- Coding
- Connective tissue disorders
- Continue same balance
- Cosmetic dermatology updates
- COVID dermatologic talks
- Current/new therapies
- Dermatopathology
- Dermoscopy
- Detailed medical treatment of hyperpigmentation
- Great diversity of topics
- Hidradenitis suppurativa update
- Management issues - private practice vs. private equity
- Medication updates, especially new medications
- Mohs
- New treatment options for non-melanoma skin cancers
- Panel discussion with multiple dermatologists on stage
- Pediatric dermatology
- Surgical tips and tricks
- The most clinical bread and butter topics are always most ideal to me. Like common skin cancers and management of them. Pigmented lesions, dermoscopy, atopic dermatitis, psoriasis, rash differentials, lichen planus, allergic and contact dermatitis, skin of color common issues, are among the top.
- Update on state of AOCD, mission and what the ACCME rules are and how the AOCD follows them compared to other meetings I attend
- Vulvar dermatology
- Workhorse flaps
- Workshops for toxins, fillers, broadband light and intense pulsed light or video workshops of these
Daily Practice/Performance Cards Summary
35 Responses Received

As a result of what I learned from this activity, I intend to make the following practice/performance changes that I believe will result in more positive patient outcomes:

- Aron regimen for persistent facial eczema
- Be more aware of dermatoses in young people secondary to social media
- Better understanding of self-induced dermatology problems in teens via online influencers
- Coding changes and updates
- Coding lecture was fantastic
- Consider propranolol for hemangiomas
- Consider staging squamous cell carcinomas and considering GEP testing for patients with high risk factors
- Consult with my select melanoma patients on Castle testing (select squamous cell carcinoma patients)
- Continued high level of surveillance for treatment of squamous cell carcinoma and melanoma with use of GEP
- Discuss with staff ethics and laws
- Fight for my patients like Dr. Swanson
- GEP testing for high-risk squamous cell carcinomas
- I learned about coding changes for a preventative skin exam
- I will have greater comfort prescribing JAK inhibitors
- Inject recalcitrant styes with ILK before referring to an ophthalmologist
- Intralesional injections of sty
- More in-depth history with examination
- More likely to use JAK inhibitors
- Order fecal calprotectin
- Order more 40-GEP tests for squamous cell carcinoma patients
- Order more GEP testing
- Order some profile tests on some malignant melanoma and squamous cell carcinomas
- Patch test patients with frontal fibrosing alopecia & lichen planopilaris
- Pediatric pearls and tips
- Prescribing more IL-17, being more comfortable with regimens and patient management
- Reassess my protocols for Castle test for squamous cell carcinoma
- Today my takeaways were about opioid use
- Unsure
- Use clascoterone, sarecycline
- Use new medications for acne, prugrigo nodularis and atopic dermatitis
- Using topical propranolol for hemangiomas
- Will order more GEP tests for melanoma patients up to five years now
- Work-up a patient for McCune-Albright syndrome

Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of attending these lectures (more than one selection could be made):

- Change the management and/or treatment of my patients – 34.3%
- Change protocols, policies, and/or procedures – 77.1%
- Other Change – 2.3%
  Please explain
    • Change my coding habits as applicable
    • Change the medications I use
    • Follow up with billing department
    • Increased monitoring and workup for squamous cell carcinoma
    • Liked Dr. Del Rosso’s talk on acne and explaining topical androgens
    • Liked the toxin lecture
I will share what I learned from today's activity with:

- Advanced practice providers (14)
- Staff (14)
- Colleagues (11)
- Patients (5)
- Billing department (2)
- Medical students (2)
- Residents (2)
Professional Practice Gap Survey
12 Responses Received

What problems/issues in your practice would you like our continuing medical education program to try and help you with?
- Billing and coding (4)
- Continue to focus on new and updated treatment options for common skin conditions
- Contracts
- Cosmetics
- Lasers
- Medical updates
- Medicare rules and regulations
- MIPS
- Mohs certification
- How to engage employees to show up to work
- Negotiating with insurance plans for better reimbursements
- None
- Office management
- Reimbursement
- Skin cancers—various topics
- Updates with basic guidelines such as acne, psoriasis and atopic dermatitis

What questions in practice are you having that you are not getting answers to?
- None, N/A (4)
- Billing/Coding (1)
- Changing landscape of the dermatology specialty within a community healthcare system
- Laser settings
- Not sure
- Practice management for solo practitioners

What patient problems or patient challenges do you feel that you're not able to address appropriately or to your satisfaction?
- None, N/A (2)
- Coding changes
- Insurances and authorizations
- Not sure
- Optimizing lasers
- Patient access to derm care with HMO’s and Medicare advantage plans
- Updates with basic guidelines such as acne, psoriasis and atopic dermatitis
- Vitiligo

What patient problems are your patients communicating to you needs more attention or more follow-up?
- None, N/A (4)
- Hypopigmentation
- Idiopathic guttate hypomelanosis
- Insurance changes every year, have to keep re-learning the game
• Insurances and authorizations
• Lengthy wait times in the waiting room
• Progressive macular hypomelanosis
• Superficial radiation treatments in place of gold standard of surgery for skin cancer

What are the most common cases seen in your area?
• Skin cancer (6)
• Acne (2)
• Eczema (2)
• Alopecia
• Atopic dermatitis
• Full body skin exams
• Hidradenitis suppurativa
• Nevi
• Psoriasis
• Seborrheic dermatitis
• Warts

What are the most prevalent and serious medical problems for your state? (Please provide state)
• California
  o Atopic dermatitis
  o Psoriasis
• Florida
  o Psoriasis
  o Measles outbreak
  o Skin cancer
• North Carolina
  o Melanoma
• Oklahoma
  o Skin Cancer
• Texas
  o Obesity
• Not Specified
  o COVID
  o M-Pox

What are the key issues or obstacles to patient care you or your colleagues encounter?
• Access to care
• Drug access
• Getting medications that are prescribed for our patients approved
• Hard to get Medicare patients on new drugs
• Insurance
• Insurance coverage
• Insurances and authorizations
• Long wait times/access to dermatology medical care
• No inpatient treatment access to SJS/TEN in Charlotte area
What kinds of clinical situations do you find difficult to manage or resolve?

- None, N/A (3)
- Delusions of parasitosis (2)
- Hidradenitis suppurativa (2)
- End stage alopecia
- ipledge
- Skin cancer recurrences
- Vitiligo

The following items are very important in helping you provide optimal care to your patients.

**Articles in peer-reviewed journals**
- 88.89% Agree
- 11.11% Neutral
- 0.00% Disagree

**Continuing medical education courses**
- 100.00% Agree
- 0.00% Neutral
- 0.00% Disagree

**Pharmaceutical company sales representatives**
- 44.45% Agree
- 44.45% Neutral
- 11.10% Disagree

**Opinions of nationally recognized experts**
- 88.89% Agree
- 11.11% Neutral
- 0.00% Disagree

**Clinical practice guidelines**
- 87.50% Agree
- 12.50% Neutral
- 0.00% Disagree
Comments

• Always a great conference by the AOCD. Great variety of topics & great staff!
• Annual literature update
• Appreciated the good lectures and the care that goes into planning these conferences. Lots of work and effort by so many people. Would be nice to have less intermission breaks for product theater/expo. Or at least shorter breaks so that the schedule can end sooner in the day to be able to enjoy the conference locations. Overall great job.
• Don’t change published reception schedule
• Excellent meeting
• Front lights in lecture hall need to be turned off during lecturing--washed out the slides. Food, snacks and beverages were great.
• Good conference!
• Great conference! Thanks to all!
• Great location for a meeting!
• Great meeting as always!
• Great meeting as usual
• Great meeting with great staff. Always a pleasure to attend AOCD.
• Handouts for the lectures appreciated
• Having the AOBD representatives (Felicia) was awesome. They had great info and updates. Thanks for inviting them. Coding lecture was also great. Conference was good. My only discussion point would be an update to scheduling. Less 60 minute lectures and more 15-20 minute lectures (Fall Derm Clinical follows this). Just an idea. Topics and speakers were great.
• I do enjoy the quick resident lectures. It’s often a great review of topics. Cosmetic talk was pertinent too.
• Like last year -- amazing site, fantastic speakers and a great opportunity for students and residents to connect with seasoned professionals. Keep up the great work!
• N/A
• Nice conference as usual!
• Open up membership to NPs and PAs. Have a general membership vote. Will increase attendance.
• Please please please do not have the lectures all be an hour and thus makes for a long day with too many exhibitor breaks also. All of us providers have a touch of ADHD...optimally, pack more lectures into a tighter schedule. For example, have more lectures that are hard hitting 30-minute lectures packed into a morning to noon session, then have a lunch/exhibitor break, then maybe a shorter afternoon session like 1-3:30 with hard hitting 30 minute lectures. With exhibitor breaks at the end or shorter 10 min breaks within those lecture sessions. Overall, they had too many hour-long lectures that were not pertinent information and the days stretched way too long.
• Very good meeting. Thank you!
• WiFi was sub-optimal at this venue
• Would prefer shorter more high-yield lectures, starting earlier and ending earlier with less time for breaks would be welcomed