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LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER

To All Members of the Association:

The Executive Committee of the Pacific Division of The American Philosophical Association cordially invites you to attend the 83rd annual meeting of The American Philosophical Association Pacific Division. The meeting will be held at the Westin Bayshore in Vancouver, British Columbia, Wednesday, April 8, through Sunday, April 12, 2009.

1. MEETING LOCATION: SPECIAL ATTRACTIONS

Vancouver is a cosmopolitan city surrounded on three sides by water, affording spectacular views of the Coast Mountains. It’s known for kayaking, whale watching, hiking, and spring skiing; and, as western Canada’s largest city, it boasts many theatres and galleries. The neighborhoods making up the downtown core are friendly, vibrant, and well stocked with clubs, bars, and restaurants.

The Westin Bayshore is located on Coal Harbor alongside Stanley Park, the third largest urban park in North America, with a thousand acres of forest, trails, and beaches. A short walk away are the restaurants, bars, and shops of Robson Street and the West End. The Vancouver Aquarium is in Stanley Park, and the Vancouver Art Gallery, the Granville Island arts district, the Dr Sun Yat Sen Classical Chinese Garden, and Science World can be reached by taxi or bus, as can UBC’s famed Museum of Anthropology and the Nitobe Japanese Garden. Skiers may choose between the three north shore ski slopes and Whistler-Blackcomb, an hour and a half from the city.

2. OUR HOTEL: LOCATION, RESERVATIONS, AND PARKING

The Westin Bayshore is a recently renovated resort hotel, offering rooms with mountain or city views, a fully equipped fitness center, and indoor and outdoor pools—the latter overlooking the harbor.

The hotel’s address is 1601 Bayshore Drive, Vancouver, BC V6G 2V4. The telephone number is (604) 682-3377 and the fax number is (604) 687-3102. Reservations can be made on-line through the Division’s web site, www.apa-pacific.org. The convention rate is available until March 10, subject to availability, and members are strongly advised to book early. The hotel offers handicap accessible facilities. For accessible, adaptive sleeping rooms, please reserve early and communicate any special requests to the hotel. Twenty-four hour valet parking is available.
3. Travel Documents

U.S. citizens now require a passport to enter the U.S. from Canada. The DHS strongly encourages all U.S. citizens to apply for a passport well in advance of travel. U.S. citizens and permanent residents do not need a visa to enter Canada. A list of countries whose citizens need visas to enter Canada is available at www.apa-pacific.org. The web site also provides information for F1 (student) visa holders travelling outside the U.S.

4. Transportation

Airport. Vancouver International Airport (YVR) serves non-stop flights from many destinations in North America and overseas, including all the principal hubs. Taxis from the airport start at $40. An Airporter runs to the conference hotel every half hour and can be booked at the airport or in advance at (800) 668-3141 (for more information, visit www.yvrairporter.com). The major car rental companies operate from the airport.

Wheelchair taxis. Wheelchair accessible taxis can be booked through Vancouver Taxi at (604) 871-1111 or SN Transport at (800) 768-0044. Advance booking is recommended.

Bus Service. The Westin Bayshore offers a free shuttle to points downtown, as available. Translink, Vancouver’s transit system, is popular, safe, and efficient. Most fares for buses and the subway are $2.50 for adults. Buses are wheelchair accessible. Information for visitors is available at www.translink.bc.ca.

Bicycles. Dedicated bicycle routes crisscross the city. Spokes Bicycle Rental is located at the corner of Georgia and Denman, a five-minute walk from the conference hotel. For information about Spokes, visit www.vancouverbikerental.com. Translink buses are equipped with bike carriers.

5. Child Care

Child care is offered in downtown Vancouver through Nannies on Call at (604) 734-1776 or www.nanniesoncall.com.

6. Meeting Registration

The registration fee is $10.00 for student members, $50.00 for regular members, and $60.00 for non-members of the APA who wish to attend more than a single session. Special tickets for $10.00 will be available to non-members who wish to attend a single session or one of the receptions.

Only those who are registered or have purchased the limited number of extra tickets may attend the scheduled sessions or receptions. Please be sure to wear your convention badge at all times to identify yourself as a registered participant.

A pre-registration form is included in the back of this issue of the Proceedings.

People who are not now members of the APA may take advantage of the lower registration fee by becoming members. Membership forms are
available from the National Office, online at www.apaonline.org and will also be available at the Convention Registration desk.

7. Placement Service
Only APA members are eligible to use the Placement service. Appointing officers and applicants are urged to make preparations for their part in the placement operation in advance of the meeting.

8. Presidential Address
The Presidential Address will be delivered by Nancy Cartwright at 6:00 p.m. on Friday, April 10. President Cartwright will be introduced by Vice President Michael Bratman.

9. Receptions and Special Events
The Annual Reception will be held from 10:00 p.m. to midnight on Thursday, April 9.

Barbara Herman will deliver the Dewey Lecture on Friday, April 10, 4:00-5:30 p.m., with a reception to follow.

The Presidential Reception will be held from 7:00-8:00 p.m. on Friday, April 10, following the Presidential Address.

The APA Committee on Philosophy and Law is hosting a reception on Saturday, April 11 from 6:00-7:30 p.m., following its session to honor the recipient of the Berger Prize and its panel on John Arthur’s Race, Equality, and the Burdens of History.

There will be a reception for the mini-conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology on Saturday, April 11, 6:00-7:00 p.m.

Generally, receptions are for persons registered at the convention. Tickets for guests may be purchased at the door of receptions or the convention registration desk.

Please check with the convention registration desk for information about receptions. More events may be added to the schedule as the convention date nears.

10. Mini-conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology
A mini-conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology will take place on Saturday and Sunday, April 11 and 12. All registrants are invited to attend the mini-conference and the mini-conference reception at 6:00 p.m. on Saturday, April 11.

11. Business Meeting and Committee Nominations
The annual Business Meeting will be held at noon on Thursday, April 9. According to the Association’s By-Laws, regular membership is given by an act of the Executive Secretary on behalf of the Board of Officers. The list of eligible voters is the list of regular members that appears in this issue of the Proceedings.
Pacific Division members may make nominations or volunteer for membership on the Program Committee or the Nomination Committee. Nominations should be sent by email to the Secretary-Treasurer, Dominic Lopes, at dom.lopes@ubc.ca, no later than March 14, 2009.

12. REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Nick Smith (Chair), Alice Sowall, Mark Wrathall, and Dominic McIver Lopes (ex officio) served as the 2008-9 Nominating Committee. They have nominated the following APA Pacific Division members for terms beginning July 1, 2009: for Vice President: Michael Tooley; for Secretary-Treasurer: Dominic McIver Lopes; for Executive Committee Member: Julie Van Camp.

13. 2009 PROGRAM

This year’s Program Committee, chaired by Paul Hurley, has organized a full and exciting program. Principal papers in Colloquia and Symposia were selected after blind review of all submitted papers. Participants in Invited Paper, Invited Symposia, and Author-Meets-Critics sessions were invited by the Program Committee. Participants in sessions arranged by APA Committees were selected by those Committees.

14. 2010 MEETING SITE AND PROGRAM

The 2010 Pacific Division Meeting will be held at the Westin St. Francis Hotel in San Francisco. The Program Committee invites contributions for the 2010 meeting. The deadline for submission is September 1, 2009. Each author may make only one submission. Electronic submissions are encouraged. Paper submissions should indicate prominently that the paper is submitted for the 2010 Pacific Division Meeting. Because the Program Committee will blind-review all submissions, the names of the author and her/his institution should not appear in the paper in any way that defeats the operation of blind-reviewing. The 2010 Program Committee also welcomes suggestions for topics and/or speakers of invited sessions. Recommendations (including self-nominations) for commentators and session chairs also are welcome. These all should be sent to the 2010 Program Chair, Rebecca Copenhaver at chair@apa-pacific.org.

15. ACCOMMODATING MEMBERS WITH MULTIPLE CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To provide a welcoming environment for members with multiple chemical sensitivities, all members are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.

On behalf of the Executive and Program Committees of the APA Pacific Division, I extend to every member of The American Philosophical Association a warm invitation to take part in our 2009 Annual Meeting.

Cordially,

Dominic McIver Lopes
Secretary-Treasurer
PACIFIC DIVISION COMMITTEES, 2008-2009

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Joan MacGregor (Chair)       Paul Hurley
Heather Battaly              Dominic McIver Lopes
Michael Bratman              Ned Markosian
Nancy Cartwright             Nicholas Smith
Stewart Cohen

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Paul Hurley (Chair)          Mark McPherran
Richard Arneson              Dana Nelkin
Mohammad Azadpur             Ryan Nichols
Sylvia Berryman              Jay Odenbaugh
Craig Callender              Laurie Paul
Rebecca Copenhaver            Mary V. Rorty
Branden Fitelson             Patrick W. Rysiew
Patrick R. Frierson          David Schmidtz
Peter Graham                 Amy Schmitter
Nadeem J. Z. Hussain         Charles Siewert
Eric Hutton                  Ori Simchen
Sherri Irvin                 Julie Tannenbaum
Robin Jeshion                Iain Thomson
Amy Kind                     Eric Watkins

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Nicholas Smith (Chair)       Alice Sowaal
Dominic McIver Lopes, ex officio Mark Wrathall
MINI-CONFERENCE ON NEO-CONFUCIAN MORAL PSYCHOLOGY

This mini-conference is included in the registration fee for all those attending the conference, and all registrants are welcome to the mini-conference and the mini-conference reception.

SATURDAY MORNING, APRIL 11

SESSION VIII — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

VIII-N. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Topic: Moral Education/Cultivation

Chair: Eric Hutton (University of Utah)

Speakers:

Stephen C. Angle (Wesleyan University)
“A Productive Dialogue: Contemporary Moral Education and Neo-Confucian Virtue Ethics”

Guoxiang Peng (Tsinghua University)
“Confucian Self-Cultivation as Spiritual-Bodily Exercise with Therapeutic Significance: Against Hellenistic Tradition”

Pauline Lee (Washington University in St. Louis)
“An Ethics of Authenticity Zhen: A Comparison of Charles Taylor and Li Zhi”

Commentators:

Eric Schwitzgebel (University of California–Riverside)
Donald Blakeley (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
Yang Xiao (Kenyon College)
Saturday Afternoon, April 11

Session IX — 1:00-4:00 p.m.

IX-O. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology
1:00-4:00 p.m.

Topic:  Virtue and Self-regarding Attitudes
Chair:  Gary Watson (University of California–Riverside)
Speakers:  Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)
“The Self-centeredness Objection to Virtue Ethics: Zhu Xi’s Neo-Confucian Response”
Kwong-loi Shun (Chinese University of Hong Kong)
“On Anger: An Experimental Essay in Confucian Moral Psychology”
Justin Tiwald (San Francisco State University)
“Self-love, Sympathy, and Virtue: Dai Zhen’s Defense of Self-interest”

Commentators:  Thomas Hurka (University of Toronto)
Howard J. Curzer (Texas Tech University)
Michael Slote (University of Miami)

Mini-conference Reception
6:00-7:30 p.m.
Convention attendees are cordially invited to the mini-conference reception.

Saturday Early Evening, April 11

Session X — 4:00-6:00 p.m.

X-L. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Topic:  The Psychological Foundations of Ethical and Political Philosophy
Chair:  Amélie Rorty (National Humanities Center)
Speakers:  Youngmin Kim (Seoul National University)
“Moral Psychology as ‘Political’ Psychology”
Kam Por Yu (Hong Kong Polytechnic University)
“Su Shi on the Psychological Basis of Morality”

Commentators:  Tongdong Bai (Xavier University)
Rachana Kamtekar (University of Arizona)
SUNDAY MORNING, APRIL 12

SESSION XI — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

XI-A. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Topic: Moral Perception

Chair: David S. Nivison (Stanford University)

Speakers:
- Philip J. Ivanhoe (City University of Hong Kong)
  “Metaphysics and Moral Psychology: Moral Perception in Mengzi, Wang Yangming, and John McDowell”
- JeeLoo Liu (California State University–Fullerton)
  “Moral Sense, Moral Motivation and the Possibility of Altruism: Zhang Zai’s and Wang Fus’i’s Moral Psychology”
- David Tien (National University of Singapore)
  “Intuitions, Affections, and the Moral Faculty in the Philosophy of Wang Yangming (1472-1529)”

Commentators:
- Christine Swanton (University of Auckland)
- Owen Flanagan (Duke University)
- Edward Slingerland (University of British Columbia)
MAIN PROGRAM

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
11:00 a.m.-8:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

REGISTRATION
2:00-8:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
4:00-7:00 p.m., Marine Room

WEDNESDAY EARLY EVENING, APRIL 8

SESSION I — 4:00-6:00 P.M.

I-A. Invited Paper: Skepticism
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Nikolaj Jang Pedersen (University of California–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Adam Leite (Indiana University–Bloomington)
“An Empirical Response to External World Skepticism”
Commentators: Albert Casullo (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)
Jonathan Kvanvig (Baylor University)

I-B. Invited Symposium: Foundations of Conditional Probability
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Aidan Lyon (Australian National University)
Speakers: Kenny Easwaran (University of California–Berkeley)
Alan Hájek (Australian National University)
Commentator: James Hawthorne (University of Oklahoma)

I-C. Invited Symposium: Moral Responsibility
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Brandon Johns (University of Southern California)
Speakers: Michael McKenna (Florida State University)
“Conversation and Responsibility”
Daniel Speak (Loyola Marymount University)
“Would Causal Determinism Cost Us Our Reasons?”

Commentators: Ish Haji (University of Calgary)
Michael Zimmerman (University of North Carolina–Greensboro)

I-D. **Colloquium: Counterfactuals and Truthmakers**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Kenneth Lucey (University of Nevada–Reno)
Speaker: Barak Krakauer (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
“Content and Counterpossibles”
Commentator: Ulrich Meyer (Colgate University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Don Fallis (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Avram Hiller (Portland State University)
“Truthmakers as Difference-makers”
Commentator: Elizabeth Barnes (University of Leeds)

I-E. **Colloquium: Jealousy and Desire**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Laura P. Guerrero (University of New Mexico)
Speaker: Irene McMullin (University of Arkansas)
“Love and Entitlement: Sartre and Beauvoir on the Nature of Jealousy”
Commentator: Christian Wood (University of New Mexico)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Lara Ostaric (St. Michael’s College)
Speaker: Kate Padgett Walsh (Iowa State University)
“‘Stepping Back’ Revisited: Hegel on Critical Distance”
Commentator: Todd Gullion (San Francisco State University)

I-F. **Colloquium: Perception and Proprioception in Aristotle**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: David Creese (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Tony Roark (Boise State University)
“Perspectival Cognition in Aristotle’s *De Memoria*”
Commentator: Daniel Schwartz (University of California–San Diego)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Jamie Avis (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Anastasia K. Anderson (University of the Fraser Valley)
“The Problem of Proprioception in Aristotle”
Commentator: David Mirhady (Simon Fraser University)

I-G. Colloquium: Science and Classification
4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Moira Howes (Trent University)
Speaker: Matthew Slater (University of Idaho)
“Pluto and the Platypus: Tale of an Odd Ball and an Odd Duck”
Commentator: Nathan Robert Smith (University of California–Davis)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Jacquelyn Ann K. Kegley (California State University–Bakersfield)
Speaker: Joel Velasco (Stanford University)
“Developing a Phylogenetic Species Concept”
Commentator: Matt Haber (University of Utah)

I-H. Symposium: Social Psychology and Character Traits
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Chair: Tanya Rodriguez (City University of New York–City College)
Speaker: Christian Miller (Wake Forest University)
“Character Traits, Social Psychology, and Impediments to Helping Behavior”
Commentators: Linda Radzik (Texas A&M University)
Nancy E. Snow (Marquette University)

I-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Chair: Leslie Francis (University of Utah)
Respondent: Gerald Gaus (University of Arizona)
Commentators: James Bohman (St. Louis University)
Thomas Powers (University of Delaware)
I-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
4:00-6:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Gender and Technology
Chair: Amy White (Ohio University–Zanesville)
Speakers: H. E. Baber (University of San Diego)
Margaret Crouch (Eastern Michigan University)
Lori Watson (University of San Diego)

I-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Getting Philosophy into the High School Curriculum
Chair: David Boersema (Pacific University)
Speakers: Frank Cunningham (University of Toronto)
Michael Heath (Catlin Gable School)
John Holloran (Oregon Episcopal School)
Marcia Huth (Hawbridge School)

**GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.**
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Session 1
North American Society for Social Philosophy
Radical Philosophy Association, Session 1
Society for Business Ethics
Society for German Idealism, Session 1
Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy
Society for Student Philosophers, Session 1

**GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-9:00 P.M.**
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Karl Jaspers Society, Session 1
North American Kant Society
North American Wittgenstein Society
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 1
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-10:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Bay Area Continental Philosophy Association
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 2

THURSDAY, APRIL 9

BREAKFAST MEETING FOR THE APA COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION
8:00-9:00 a.m.

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
8:30 a.m.-8:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

PLACEMENT INTERVIEWING
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Location TBA

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-8:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

BOOK DISPLAYS
11:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom DEF

ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING
Noon-1:00 p.m., Marine Room

ANNUAL RECEPTION
10:00 p.m.-Midnight, Bayshore Ballroom ABC
The Annual Reception is jointly sponsored by the Departments of Philosophy at Simon Fraser University and the University of British Columbia

THURSDAY MORNING, APRIL 9

SESSION II — 9:00-NOON

II-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Amie L. Thomasson, Ordinary Objects
9:00 a.m.-Noon
   Chair: Sergio Gallegos (City University of New York–Graduate Center)
   Critics: Matti Eklund (Cornell University)
            Jonathan Schaffer (Australian National University)
   Author: Amie L. Thomasson (University of Miami)
II-B. Invited Symposium: Civic Virtue

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Samuel Black (Simon Fraser University)
Speakers: Neera Badhwar (University of Oklahoma)
          Jason Brennan (Brown University)
          Julia Driver (Washington University in St. Louis)
Commentators: Rebecca Lynn Stangl (University of Virginia)
              Kyle Swan (National University of Singapore)

II-C. Invited Symposium: Evolutionary Game Theoretic Explanations of the Emergence of Language

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Rory Smead (University of California–Irvine)
Speakers: J. McKenzie Alexander (London School of Economics)
          Jeffrey Barrett (University of California–Irvine)
          Simon Huttegger (Konrad Lorenz Institute for Evolution and Cognition Research)
          Brian Skyrms (University of California–Irvine)
          Kevin Zollman (Carnegie Mellon University)

II-D. Invited Symposium: Rawls and the History of Political Philosophy

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Samantha Brennan (University of Western Ontario)
Speakers: Thomas Hill (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
          “Rawls’s Approach to Classics: Rousseau and Kant”
          Faviola Rivera-Castro (Universidad Autónoma de Méjico)
          “Rawls’s Liberalism and Political Context”
          Erin Cline (University of Oregon)
          “Rawls, the Sense of Justice, and the Political Virtues in Historical Perspective”

II-E. Invited Symposium: Social Epistemology

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Martin Curd (Purdue University)
Speakers: Duncan Pritchard (University of Edinburgh)
          “Knowledge and the Social”
          Alvin Goldman (Rutgers University)
          “Systems-Oriented Social Epistemology”
Christian List (London School of Economics)
“The Aggregation of Propositional Attitudes”

**II-F. Invited Symposium: Virtue Ethics with a Cross-cultural Perspective**

*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

**Chair:** Amélie Rorty (National Humanities Center)

**Speakers:**
- Matthew Walker (University of Miami)
  “Structured Inclusivism about Human Flourishing: a Non-Aristotelian Approach”
- Deborah Mower (Youngstown State University)
  “The Embeddedness of Sentimentalist Virtue Ethics”
- Sean McAleer (University of Wisconsin—Eau Claire)
  “Think Fast”

**Commentator:** David B. Wong (Duke University)

**II-G. Colloquium: Biomedical Ethics**

*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

9:00-10:00 a.m.

**Chair:** Jacob Metcalf (University of California–Santa Cruz)

**Speaker:** Inmaculada de Melo-Martin (Cornell University)
“On Threatening Human Dignity by Creating New Types of Beings”

**Commentator:** Margaret Battin (University of Utah)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

**Chair:** Kory Schaff (Occidental College)

**Speaker:** Anton R. Tupa (Saginaw Valley State University)
“Not ‘Who?’ but ‘Why?’: Information and Consent in the Ethics of Contemporary Health Care Decision-making”

**Commentator:** Nicole Hassoun (Carnegie Mellon University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon

**Chair:** Kelly Sorensen (Ursinus College)

**Speaker:** Kristen A. Hine (Towson University)
“What Is the Four Principles Approach to Biomedical Ethics?”

**Commentator:** Rosamond Rhodes (Mount Sinai School of Medicine and City University of New York–Graduate Center)
II-H. Colloquium: Concepts and Content

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Byeong-Uk Yi (University of Toronto)
Speaker: Mark McCullagh (University of Guelph)
“How to Use a Concept You Reject”
Commentator: Endre Begby (Claremont McKenna College)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Dave Beisecker (University of Nevada–Las Vegas)
Speaker: Dan Ryder (University of British Columbia–Okanagan)
“Who’s Afraid of the Big, Bad Wolf? Naturalizing Empty Concepts”
Commentator: Nicolas Bullot (Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Ori Simchen (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Kevan Edwards (Syracuse University)
“Keeping Reference in Mind”
Commentator: Marc Moffett (University of Wyoming)

II-I. Colloquium: Metaethics

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Tiffany Teeman Blase (University of California–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Jean-Paul Vessel (New Mexico State University)
“Moore’s Open Question Maneuvering”
Commentator: Robert Gressis (California State University–Northridge)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Michael Cholbi (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)
Speaker: S. Matthew Liao (Oxford University)
“Crisp on the Buck-passing Account of Value”
Commentator: Adam Swenson (California State University–Northridge)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Jennifer Johnson (Simon Fraser University)
Speaker: Danielle Bromwich (National Institutes of Health)
“A Problem for Motivational Externalism”
Commentator: Stephen Finlay (University of Southern California)
II-J. Colloquium: Plato: Knowledge, Beauty, and Goodness

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: James Butler (Berea College)
Speaker: Kelly Arenson (Emory University)
“The Perception Requirement in Plato’s Philebus”
Commentator: Keith McPartland (Williams College)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Richard Werner (Hamilton College)
Speaker: Matthew Holtzman (Johns Hopkins University)
“Knowledge, Harmony, and Beauty in the Theaetetus”
Commentator: Suzanne Obdrzalek (Claremont McKenna College)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Gerard Kuperus (University of San Francisco)
Speaker: George Harvey (Indiana University Southeast)
“Techne and the Unity of the Good in Plato and Aristotle”
Commentator: Catherine McKeen (Williams College)

II-K. Colloquium: Properties and Powers

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Robert Briscoe (Ohio University)
Speaker: Benedicte Veillet (Lafayette College)
“Against the Property Dualist Argument”
Commentator: Torin Alter (University of Alabama–Tuscaloosa)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: David Boersema (Pacific University)
Speaker: Patrick S. Dieveney (California State University–Long Beach)
“The Metaphysician’s Gambit: Appeals to Discriminatory Power”
Commentator: Anastasia Panagopoulos (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Nils Rauhut (Coastal Carolina University)
Speaker: Randolph Clarke (Florida State University)
“Opposing Powers”
Commentator: Dana Lynne Goswick (University of California–Davis)
II-L. Colloquium: Vision and Qualia
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Gary Bartlett (Central Washington University)
Speaker: Paul Audi (University of Nebraska–Omaha)
“Introspection and Absent Qualia”
Commentator: Joseph Moore (Amherst College)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Jennifer Church (Vassar College)
Speaker: Derek Brown (Brandon University)
“On a Rational Reconstruction of Intentionalism Debates”
Commentator: Brad Thompson (Southern Methodist University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Christian Coseru (College of Charleston)
Speaker: Robert Schroer (Arkansas State University)
“The Fringe of Consciousness and the Introspectible Difference Between Vision and Thought”
Commentator: Philippe Chuard (Southern Methodist University)

II-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
9:00 a.m.-Noon

Topic: Confucianism, Daoism, and Trans-nationalism Confronting Gender Issues
Chair: Lijun Yuan (Texas State University–San Marcos)
Speakers: Lin Ma (Renmin University of China)
“On the Primordiality of Femininity in the Daodejing”
Ranjoo Herr (Bentley College)
“Confucian Welfare State and Gender Equality: A Proposal for Confucian Feminism”
Hye-ryoung Kang (University of Nevada–Reno)
“A Structural Base for Women’s Transnational Solidarity in the Context of Globalization”
Lijun Yuan (Texas State University–San Marcos)
“Notions of Relational Self and Gender Philosophy”
Commentators: Donna Reeves (University of Colorado–Boulder)
Xianduan Shi (University of Utah)
II-N. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Topic: Mid-career Professional Survival, Success, and Change

Chair: Shelley Wilcox (San Francisco State University)
Speakers: Christina M. Bellon (California State University–Sacramento)
Claire Katz (Texas A&M University)
Norah Martin (University of Portland)
Candice Shelby (University of Colorado–Denver)
Shelley Wilcox (San Francisco State University)

ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING

Noon-1:00 p.m., Marine Room

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, APRIL 9

SESSION III — 1:00-4:00 P.M.

III-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Berys Gaut, Art, Emotion, and Ethics

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Joshua Johnston (University of British Columbia)
Critics: Noël Carroll (City University of New York–Graduate School)
Andrew McGonigal (University of Leeds and Cornell University)
Elisabeth Schellekens (University of Durham)
Author: Berys Gaut (University of St. Andrews)

III-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Daniel W. Graham, Explaining the Cosmos: The Ionian Tradition of Scientific Philosophy

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Sylvia Berryman (University of British Columbia)
Critics: Patricia Curd (Purdue University)
Alexander P. D. Mourelatos (University of Texas–Austin)
Author: Daniel W. Graham (Brigham Young University)

III-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Richard Healey, Gauging What’s Real: The Conceptual Foundations of Gauge Theories

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Lawrence Sklar (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
Critics: Gordon Belot (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
Wayne Myrvold (University of Western Ontario)
William Unruh (University of British Columbia)
Author: Richard Healey (University of Arizona)

III-D. Author-Meets-Critics: Lucy O’Brien, *Self-knowing Agents*
1:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Courtney Morris (University of California–Riverside)
Critics: Naomi Eilan (Warwick University)
Luca Ferrero (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee)
Johannes Roessler (Warwick University)
Author: Lucy O’Brien (University College London)

III-E. Author-Meets-Critics: Paul Russell, *The Riddle of Hume’s Treatise*
1:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Margaret Schabas (University of British Columbia)
Critics: Joseph Keim Campbell (Washington State University)
Don Garrett (New York University)
James A. Harris (University of St. Andrews)
Author: Paul Russell (University of British Columbia)

III-F. Author-Meets-Critics: Carl Cranor, *Toxic Torts: Science, Law, and the Possibility of Justice*
1:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Colin Macleod (University of Victoria)
Critics: David Caudill (Villanova University)
Joseph Sanders (University of Houston)
Author: Carl Cranor (University of California–Riverside)

III-G. Invited Symposium: Consequentialist Foundations for Liberal Rights
1:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Paul Hurley (Claremont McKenna College)
Speakers: William J. Talbott (University of Washington)
“Consequentialist Foundations for Liberal Rights”
Samuel Freeman (University of Pennsylvania)
“Some Problems with the Consequentialist Justification of Rights”
Elizabeth Ashford (University of St. Andrews)
“Structural Problems with Kantian Accounts of Human Rights”
III-H. Invited Symposium: Modeling Trusteeship  
1:00-4:00 p.m.  
Chair: Judy Illes (University of British Columbia)  
Speakers: Bruce Jennings (Yale University)  
“Dementia and Human Interests”  
Agnieszka Jaworska (University of California–Riverside)  
“On the Status of the Severely Demented”  
Leslie Francis (University of Utah) and Anita Silvers (San Francisco State University)  
“Thinking about the Good: Liberal Metaphysics (or Not) for People with Cognitive Disabilities”

III-I. Invited Symposium: The Conatus  
1:00-4:00 p.m.  
Chair: Amy Schmitter (University of Alberta)  
Speakers: Alan Gabbey (Barnard College)  
“Neologisms and the New Philosophy: Conatus and Other Newcomers”  
Dan Garber (Princeton University)  
“Descartes: Nature, Teleology and Conatus”  
Deborah J. Brown (University of Queensland)  
“Power in Transition”  
Commentator: Calvin Normore (University of California–Los Angeles)

III-J. Invited Symposium: Vagueness, Truth, and the Goals of Inquiry  
1:00-4:00 p.m.  
Chair: Francis Jeffry Pelletier (Simon Fraser University)  
Speakers: Michael Glanzberg (University of California–Davis)  
Peter Ludlow (Northwestern University)  
Paul Teller (University of California–Davis)  
Commentator: David Braun (University at Buffalo)

III-K. Colloquium: Disjunctivism, Reliabilism, and Higher-order Evidence  
1:00-4:00 p.m.  
1:00-2:00 p.m.  
Chair: Rebekah L. H. Rice (Seattle Pacific University)  
Speaker: Jonathan Matheson (University of Rochester)  
“Conciliatory Views of Disagreement and Higher-order Evidence”
II. III-K: Colloquium: Epistemology
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Matthew Braich (University of California–Riverside)
Speaker: Jared Bates (Hanover College)
“Damming the Swamping Problem, Reliably”
Commentator: Peter Kung (Pomona College)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Megs Gendreau (University of California–Riverside)
Speaker: Berit Brogaard (University of Missouri–St. Louis)
“Disjunctivism and Primitive Knowledge”
Commentator: John Chandler (University of California–Santa Barbara)

III-L. Colloquium: Hedonism and Eudaimonism
1:00-4:00 p.m.
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Michaela Lucas (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Stephen G. Morris (Missouri Western State University)
“In Defense of the Hedonistic Account of Happiness”
Commentator: Daniel Haybron (St. Louis University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Shelley Wilcox (San Francisco State University)
Speaker: Jonathan Sands Wise (Georgetown College)
“Giving Eudaimonism Its Due”
Commentator: Michael Tiborís (University of California–San Diego)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Paul Green (Mount St. Mary’s College)
Speaker: H. E. Baber (University of San Diego)
“A New Paradox of Hedonism?”
Commentator: Danny Scoccia (New Mexico State University)

III-M. Colloquium: Meaning, Implicature, and Indexicality
1:00-4:00 p.m.
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: John Ramsey (University of California–Riverside)
Speaker: Claire Horisk (University of Missouri)
“Conventional Implicature and Strong Speaker Orientation”
Commentator: David Barnett (University of Colorado–Boulder)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Gurpreet Rattan (University of Toronto)
Speaker: Michael Humiston (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Indexicalism and Default Saturation”
Commentator: David Hunter (Ryerson University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Chris Tillman (University of Manitoba)
Speaker: Nathaniel J. Goldberg (Washington and Lee University)
“Meaningfulness as a Secondary Quality”
Commentator: Catherine Wearing (Wellesley College)

III-N. Colloquium: Philosophy of Biology
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Joel Martinez (Lewis and Clark College)
Speaker: Alan C. Love (University of Minnesota)
“Temporal Dimensions of Reductionism in Biology”
Commentator: Andrew Hamilton (Arizona State University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Geoffrey Gorham (St. Olaf College)
Speaker: Joshua Filler (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Newtonian Forces and Evolutionary Biology: A Problem and Solution for Extending the Force Interpretation”
Commentator: Derek Turner (Connecticut College)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Ann Cudd (University of Kansas)
Speaker: Armin Schulz (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Gigerenzer’s Evolutionary Argument Against Rational Choice Theory: An Assessment”
Commentator: Yuichi Amitani (University of British Columbia)

III-O. Colloquium: Plato and Aristotle on Matter and Universals
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Marjolein Oele (University of San Francisco)
Speaker: Barbara M. Sattler (Yale University)
“Plato’s Receptacle: A Notion of Space?”
Commentator: Charles Young (Claremont Graduate University)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Nola Semczyszyn (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Philip Corkum (University of Alberta)
“Are Aristotle’s Universals Sortals?”
Commentator: Jurgis Brakas (Marist College)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Jamie Hellewell (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Mary Katrina Krizan (University of Colorado–Boulder)
“The Dilemma of Underlying Matter in Aristotle’s De Generatione et Corruptione II.1, 328b31-329a23”
Commentator: Ian Brooks (University of British Columbia)

THURSDAY EARLY EVENING, APRIL 9

SESSION IV — 4:00-6:00 P.M.

IV-A. Invited Paper: Aesthetics and the Self
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Carolyn A. Fahey (University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne)
Speaker: Cynthia Freeland (University of Houston)
“Portraits, Narratives, and Persons”
Commentators: Marya Schechtman (University of Illinois–Chicago)
Sarah Worth (Furman University)

IV-B. Invited Paper: Kant and the Fact of Reason
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Paul Franks (University of Toronto)
Speaker: Pauline Kleingeld (Universiteit Leiden)
“Moral Consciousness and the ‘Fact of Reason’”
Commentators: David Sussman (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

IV-C. Invited Paper: Moral Perception
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Evan Tiffany (Simon Fraser University)
Speaker: Robert Audi (University of Notre Dame)
“Moral Perception and Moral Knowledge”
Commentators: Pekka Vayrynen (University of Leeds)
Dennis Whitcomb (Western Washington University)
IV-D. Invited Symposium: Memory

4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Rebecca Copenhaver (Lewis and Clark College)
Speakers: Mohan Matthen (University of Toronto)
“Memory and Justification”
Alex Byrne (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Memory and Perception”
Commentator: John Sutton (Macquarie University)

IV-E. Colloquium: Aesthetics

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Jenn Neilson (University of Texas–Austin)
Speakers: Ben Caplan (Ohio State University) and Carl Matheson (University of Manitoba)
“Against Sonicism”
Commentator: Julian Dodd (University of Manchester)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Alan Goldman (College of William and Mary)
Speaker: Michael Watkins (Auburn University)
“Aesthetic Supervenience and Coincident Objects”
Commentator: John V. Kulvicki (Dartmouth College)

IV-F. Colloquium: Aristotle’s Physics

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: James Sherman (University of Texas–Austin)
Speaker: Margaret Scharle (Reed College)
“The Dialectic of Physics II.8”
Commentator: Margaret Cameron (University of Victoria)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Kelvin Booth (Thompson Rivers University)
Speaker: Aimee L. Koeplin (Loyola Marymount University)
“How Dirt Works: Natural Motion of the Elements in Aristotle’s Physics VIII.4”
Commentator: Maria Paleologou (California State University–Bakersfield)
IV-G. Colloquium: Justice and Health Care
4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Jeremy Snyder (Simon Fraser University)
Speaker: Thomas D. Harter (University of Tennessee)
“In Sickness and in Health: Can the Marriage Between Health Care and the Market Live Ethically Ever After?”
Commentator: Udo Schuklenk (Queen's University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: John Farnum (Portland Community College)
Speaker: Dale Murray (University of Wisconsin–Baraboo/Sauk County and University of Wisconsin–Richland)
“Individual Mandates and the Massachusetts Universal Coverage Health Plan”
Commentator: Paul Menzel (Pacific Lutheran University)

IV-H. Colloquium: Metaphysics: Animalism
4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Kathleen Akins (Simon Fraser University)
Speaker: Peter Nichols (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Substance Concepts and Personal Identity”
Commentator: Stavroula Glezakos (Wake Forest University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Daniel Howard-Snyder (Western Washington University)
Speaker: Tuomas Manninen (Arizona State University)
“An Argument Against Animalism”
Commentator: Ted Parent (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

IV-I. Colloquium: Philosophy of Religion
4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Uriah Kriegel (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Erik J. Wielenberg (DePauw University)
“Skeptical Theism and Divine Lies”
Commentator: Klaas Kraay (Ryerson University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Michael Hodges (Vanderbilt University)
Main Program

Speaker:  Luke Gelinas (University of Toronto)
“The Goodness of Worlds, World-creating Acts, and World-creators”
Commentator:  Kevin Timpe (University of San Diego)

**IV-J. Colloquium: Topics in the Philosophy of Science**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair:  Steven Savitt (University of British Columbia)
Speaker:  Jill North (Yale University)
“The Structure of Classical Mechanics”
Commentator:  Carolyn Brighouse (Occidental College)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair:  Vijay Mascarenhas (Metropolitan State College of Denver)
Speaker:  Wayne Wright (University of California–Irvine)
“The Appearance Matches and Color Constancy”
Commentator:  David Hilbert (University of Illinois–Chicago)

**IV-K. Colloquium: Trust and Promising**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair:  Geoffrey Frasz (College of Southern Nevada)
Speaker:  Christopher Hudspeth (University of South Florida–Tampa)
“Defending Trust as a Two-part Relationship”
Commentator:  James Nickel (Arizona State University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair:  Leonard Kahn (University of Calgary)
Speaker:  Allen N. Habib (University of Calgary)
“Promises, Trust, and Warrant”
Commentator:  Charlie Kurth (University of California–San Diego)

**IV-L. Symposium: Practical Reason and Rationalism**

4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair:  Diane Williamson (Vanderbilt University)
Speaker:  Michael Nelson (University of California–Riverside)
“Rationalism Within Reason”
Commentators:  Adam Morton (University of Alberta)
Sergio Tenenbaum (University of Toronto)

*Winner of the 2009 Hampton Prize*
IV-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers

4:00-6:00 p.m.

Topic: Africana Perspectives on Ancient Greek Philosophy

Chair: Patrick Goodin (Howard University)
Speakers:
   Rose Cherubin (George Mason University)
   “Pluralism, Relativism, and Justice in Aristotle and Alain Locke”
   Pamela Hood (San Francisco State University)
   “Presocratic Cosmogonies and Cosmologies: Religious Sources and Influences from Egypt”
   Donna-Dale L. Marcano (Trinity College Connecticut)
   “Re-Reading Plato’s Symposium: Re-reading Alcibiades as a Black Woman”

IV-N. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy in Two-Year Colleges

4:00-6:00 p.m.

Topic: Experiments in Teaching Introduction to Philosophy

Chair: Geoffrey Frasz (College of Southern Nevada)
Speaker: Ken Burak (Northampton Community College)
   “How to Integrate Yoga into an Introduction to Philosophy Class: Some Experiments in Experiential Pedagogy”
Commentator: Bill Hartmann (St. Louis Community College)

ANNUAL RECEPTION

10:00 p.m.-Midnight, Bayshore Ballroom ABC

The Annual Reception is jointly sponsored by the Departments of Philosophy at Simon Fraser University and the University of British Columbia.

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Ayn Rand Society
Radical Philosophy Association, Session 2
Society for Empirical Ethics
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session 1
Society for the Study of Process Philosophy
Society of Christian Philosophers
**GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-9:00 P.M.**
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
- Hume Society
- International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Session 1
- Karl Jaspers Society, Session 2
- Society for German Idealism, Session 2
- Society for Philosophy and Technology, Session 1
- Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Session 1
- Society for the Metaphysics of Science, Session 1
- Society of Indian Philosophy and Religion

**GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-10:00 P.M.**
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
- American Society for Aesthetics
- Concerned Philosophers for Peace

**FRIDAY, APRIL 10**

**PROGRAM COMMITTEE BREAKFAST**
7:30-9:00 am.

**PLACEMENT INFORMATION**
8:30 am.-5:00 pm., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

**PLACEMENT INTERVIEWING**
8:30-5:00 pm., Location TBA

**REGISTRATION**
8:30 am.-5:00 pm., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

**BOOK DISPLAYS**
10:00 am.-6:00 pm., Bayshore Ballroom DEF

**DEWEY LECTURE**
4:00-5:30 pm., Bayshore Ballroom AB

**DEWEY LECTURE RECEPTION**
5:30-6:00 pm., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer
PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS
6:00-7:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom AB

PRESIDENTIAL RECEPTION
7:00-8:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

FRIDAY MORNING, APRIL 10

SESSION V — 9:00 A.M.-NOON


9:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Julia Annas (University of Arizona)
Critics: John Doris (Washington University in St. Louis)
Rachana Kamtekar (University of Arizona)
Author: Robert Adams (Oxford University)

V-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Paul Redding, *Analytic Philosophy and the Return of Hegelian Thought*

9:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Christopher Yeomans (Kenyon College)
Critics: Robert Brandom (University of Pittsburgh)
James Kreines (Claremont McKenna College)
Author: Paul Redding (University of Sydney)

V-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Thomas Christiano, *The Constitution of Equality*

9:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Alastair Norcross (University of Colorado–Boulder)
Critics: Corey Brettschneider (Brown University)
Cindy Holder (University of Victoria)
Chin Liew Ten (National University of Singapore)
Author: Thomas Christiano (University of Arizona)

V-D. Author-Meets-Critics: Jeffrey C. King, *The Nature and Structure of Content*

9:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Seth Yalcin (New York University)
Critics: James Higginbotham (University of Southern California)
Paul Pietroski (University of Maryland–College Park)
Author: Jeffrey C. King (Rutgers University)
V-E. Invited Symposium: Conceivability and the Mind-Body Problem  
9:00 a.m.-Noon  
Chair: Ryan Wasserman (Western Washington University)  
Speakers: Katalin Balog (Yale University)  
Stephen Yablo (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)  
Commentator: David Chalmers (Australian National University)  

V-F. Invited Symposium: Early Confucian Moral Philosophy  
9:00 a.m.-Noon  
Chair: Xinyan Jiang (University of Redlands)  
Speakers: Roger T. Ames (University of Hawaii–Manoa)  
“Confucian Role Ethics”  
Edward Slingerland (University of British Columbia)  
“Early Confucian Virtue Ethics: A Response to the Situationist Critique”  
Steven Geisz (University of Tampa)  
“Minimal Psychological Realism and Early Confucianism”  
Commentators: Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)  
Michael Slote (University of Miami)  
Yang Xiao (Kenyon College)  

V-G. Invited Symposium: Fictions in Science  
9:00 a.m.-Noon  
Chair: Arthur Fine (University of Washington)  
Speakers: Alirio Rosales (University of British Columbia)  
John Woods (University of British Columbia)  
“Has Scientific Fictionalism Anything to Learn from Literary Fictionalism?”  
Robert Howell (State University of New York–Albany)  
“Literary Fictions, Real and Unreal”  
Mark Balaguer (California State University–Los Angeles)  
“Mathematical Fictionalism, Mathematical Facts, and Logical Facts”  
Mauricio Suarez (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)  
“Wide and Narrow Fictionalism in Science”
V-H. Colloquium: Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz, and Kant
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Don Sievert (University of Missouri)
Speaker: Andrew R. Platt (St. Cloud State University)
“Descartes on the Causes of Motion: Reevaluating the Evidence for an Occasionalist Reading of Descartes’ Physics”
Commentator: Dan Kaufman (University of Colorado–Boulder)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Roger Florka (Ursinus College)
Speaker: Matthew J. Kisner (University of South Carolina)
“Reconsidering Spinoza’s Free Man”
Commentator: Andrew Youpa (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Brandon Look (University of Kentucky)
Speaker: David Forman (University of Nevada–Las Vegas)
“Appetimus sub Ratione Boni: The Leibnizian Roots of Kant’s Account of Free Choice”
Commentator: Anne Margaret Baxley (Washington University in St. Louis)

V-I. Colloquium: Epistemology
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: S. West Gurley (John Carroll University)
Speaker: Bryan Norwood (Mississippi State University)
“Epistemopolis: Anti-utopia, Anarchy, and the Architecture of Postmodern Epistemology”
Commentator: Carolyn Thomas (University of New Mexico)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Paul Hughes (University of Michigan–Dearborn)
Speaker: Patrick Fleming (James Madison University)
“Intuitions as Invitations”
Commentator: Brian Talbot (University of Southern California)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Robert Shanklin (University of Southern California)
Speaker: Anthony D. Coleman (Willamette University)
“Two Standpoints on Knowledge”
Commentator: John Collins (East Carolina University)
V-J. Colloquium: Meaning and Rule Following
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: William Salmon (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Barbara Fultner (University of Connecticut and Denison University)
“Re-imagining Normativity: Meaning, Rules, and the Imagination”
Commentator: Paul Erekuff (San Francisco State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Jeff Johnson (College of St. Catherine)
Speaker: Errol Katayama (Ohio Northern University)
“David Charles and Aristotle’s Builders”
Commentator: Pamela Hood (San Francisco State University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Marc Joseph (Mills College)
Speaker: Giacomo Sillari (University of Pennsylvania)
“Rule-following as Coordination”
Commentator: J. M. Fritzman (Lewis and Clark College)

V-K. Colloquium: Method, Reason, and Goodness in Plato
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: David DeMoss (Pacific University)
Speaker: Chad E. Wiener (Portland State University)
“Recollection and the Method of Hypothesis in Plato’s Meno”
Commentator: Scott Berman (St. Louis University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Chris Tennberg (University of California–Santa Barbara)
Speaker: Franco V. Trivigno (Marquette University)
“Is Good Tragedy Possible? The Argument of Gorgias 502b-503b”
Commentator: Nalin Ranasinghe (Assumption College)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Albert Spencer (Portland State University)
Speaker: Michelle Jenkins (University of Arizona)
“The Rationality of the Youths of Kallipolis”
Commentator: Jeremy Kirby (Albion College)
V-L. Colloquium: Moral Particularism and Moral Perception

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chat: Soon-Ah K. Fadness (University of California–Irvine)
Speaker: Elizabeth Tropman (Colorado State University)
"Intuitionism and the Secondary Quality Analogy in Ethics"
Commentator: Chris Pariso (San Francisco State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Ariela Tubert (University of Puget Sound)
Speaker: Justin P. McBrayer (Fort Lewis College)
"Moral Perception and the Causal Objection"
Commentator: Dale Turner (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Kelly Jolley (Auburn University)
Speaker: James Harold (Mount Holyoke College)
"Moral Particularism and the Aesthetics Analogy"
Commentator: Jonathan Dancy (University of Texas–Austin and University of Reading)

V-M. Colloquium: Philosophical Paradoxes

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Anthony Rudd (St. Olaf College)
Speaker: Joseph Shieber (Lafayette College)
"Against the Paradoxicality of Moore’s Paradox"
Commentator: Anand Vaidya (San Jose State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Branden Fitelson (University of California–Berkeley)
Speaker: Roger Clarke (University of British Columbia)
"‘The Ravens Paradox’ Is a Misnomer"
Commentator: Peter Broessel (Universität Konstanz)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Andrew Egan (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor and Australian National University)
Speaker: Joe R. Salerno (St. Louis University)
“A New Paradox of Happiness"
Commentator: Sonia Memetea (University of British Columbia)
V-N. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine
9:00 a.m.-Noon

**Topic:** Is ‘Brain Death’ Death?

**Chair:** John P. Lizza (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)
**Speakers:** Bernard Gert (Dartmouth College)
Ari Joffe (University of Alberta)
Don Marquis (University of Kansas)
D. Alan Shewmon (University of California–Los Angeles)
Robert Truog (Harvard University)

**Commentator:** John P. Lizza (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)

**Friday Afternoon, April 10**

**Session VI — 1:00-4:00 p.m.**

**VI-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Robert Fiengo and Robert May, De Lingua Belief**
1:00-4:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Kent Bach (San Francisco State University)
**Critics:** Joshua Armstrong (Rutgers University)
Ernest LePore (Rutgers University)
Kenneth Taylor (Stanford University)
**Authors:** Robert Fiengo (City University of New York–Graduate Center)
Robert May (University of California–Davis)

**VI-B. Author-Meets-Critics: David Estlund, Democratic Authority: A Philosophical Framework**
1:00-4:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Rex Martin (University of Kansas)
**Critics:** David Copp (University of Florida)
Gerald Gaus (University of Arizona)
Henry S. Richardson (Georgetown University)
**Author:** David Estlund (Brown University)

**VI-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Robert Hanna, Kant, Science, and Human Nature**
1:00-4:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Eric Watkins (University of California–San Diego)
**Critics:** Karl Ameriks (University of Notre Dame)
Paul Guyer (University of Pennsylvania)
**Author:** Robert Hanna (University of Colorado–Boulder)
VI-D. Author-Meets-Critics: Sydney Shoemaker, *Physical Realization*
1:00-4:00 p.m.
   Chair: Amy Kind (Claremont McKenna College)
   Critics: Louise Antony (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
            Jaegwon Kim (Brown University)
            Andrew Melnyk (University of Missouri)
   Author: Sydney Shoemaker (Cornell University)

VI-E. Author-Meets-Critics: Ralph Wedgwood, *The Nature of Normativity*
1:00-4:00 p.m.
   Chair: Tristram McPherson (University of Minnesota–Duluth)
   Critics: Richard Holton (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
            Peter Railton (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
   Author: Ralph Wedgwood (Oxford University)

VI-F. Invited Symposium: Getting the Big Picture—Or Not: Early Modern Philosophical Systems
1:00-4:00 p.m.
   Chair: Marc Bobro (Santa Barbara City College)
   Speakers: Sean Greenberg (University of California–Irvine)
            “Contexts of Malebranche Interpretation”
            Glenn Hartz (Ohio State University–Mansfield) and Patrick Lewtas (American University of Beirut)
            “Is Descartes the Patron Saint of Skepticism?”
            James Van Cleve (University of Southern California)
            “Reid on the Real Foundation of the Primary/Secondary Quality Distinction”
   Commentators: Kenneth Clatterbaugh (University of Washington)
                 Todd Ganson (Oberlin College)
                 Tad Schmaltz (Duke University)

VI-G. Invited Symposium: Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, and Ancient Greek Religion
1:00-4:00 p.m.
   Chair: Zina Giannopoulou (University of California–Irvine)
   Speakers: Robert Mayhew (Seton Hall University)
            “‘God or Some Human’: On the Source of Law in Plato’s *Laws*”
Paul Woodruff (University of Texas–Austin)  
“Doing Without the Gods”  

Timothy Mahoney (Providence College)  
“What the Gods Do for Plato”  

Commentators: Hugh Benson (University of Oklahoma)  
Russell Jones (University of Oklahoma)  

VI-H. Invited Symposium: Some Implications of Work in Experimental Economics for Moral and Political Philosophy  
1:00-4:00 p.m.  
Chair: Craig Callender (University of California–San Diego)  
Speakers: James Woodward (California Institute of Technology)  
“Some Lessons from Experimental Economics for Moral and Political Philosophy”  
Steven Weinstein (University of Waterloo)  
“Decoherence and the (Non)emergence of Classicality”  
Commentator: Amit Hagar (Indiana University–Bloomington)  

VI-I. Colloquium: Conscious Experience and Self-awareness  
1:00-4:00 p.m.  
1:00-2:00 p.m.  
Chair: Mark Ralkowski (University of New Mexico)  
Speaker: B. Scot Rousse (Northwestern University)  
“Self-awareness, Self-understanding, and Self-interpretation”  
Commentator: Phillip Williamson (University of New Mexico)  
2:00-3:00 p.m.  
Chair: Scott Clifton (University of Washington)  
Speaker: Elizabeth Schechter (University of Maryland–College Park)  
“The Phenomenal Contents of the Global Workspace”  
Commentator: Karim Dharamsi (University of Winnipeg)  
3:00-4:00 p.m.  
Chair: Susanna Schellenberg (Australian National University)  
Speaker: Michael Bruno (University of Arizona)  
“Minimal Enactivism”  
Commentator: James Genone (University of California–Berkeley)
VI-J. Colloquium: Contractualism, Well-being, and Moral Status
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Thomas Heyd (University of Victoria)
Speaker: Benjamin A. Sachs (National Institutes of Health)
“Doing Without Moral Status”
Commentator: Lori Gruen (Wesleyan University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Jason Kawall (Colgate University)
Speaker: Jennifer Hawkins (University of Toronto)
“The Subjective Intuition”
Commentator: Jason Raibley (California State University–Long Beach)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: William Cowling (Oregon State University)
Speaker: Travis N. Rieder (University of South Carolina–Columbia)
“The Case for a New Contractualism”
Commentator: Talbot Brewer (University of Virginia)

VI-K. Colloquium: Language: Evolutionary Explanation and Experimental Investigation
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Murat Aydede (University of British Columbia)
Speakers: Jonathan Livengood (University of Pittsburgh)
Justin M. Sytsma (University of Pittsburgh)
“The Case of the Divergent Descriptions: An Experimental Investigation of Semantics, Cross-cultural Style”
Commentator: Arthur Sullivan (Memorial University of Newfoundland)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Jay Odenbaugh (Lewis and Clark College)
Speaker: William A. Rottschaefer (Lewis and Clark College)
“Ultra-sociality and Language: Is Extensive Human Cooperation the Result of Gene/Culture Co-evolution?”
Commentator: Gregory Lusk (Ohio University)
VI-L. Colloquium: Luck, Responsibility, and Forgiveness

1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Robert Sanchez (University of California–Riverside)
Speaker: E. J. Coffman (University of Tennessee)
“Does Luck Exclude Control?”
Commentator: Wayne Riggs (University of Oklahoma)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Malek Khazaee (California State University–Long Beach)
Speaker: Kristen Bell (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Forgetness as an Alternative Response to Wrongdoing”
Commentator: Robert Hughes (University of California–Los Angeles)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Kimberley Brownlee (University of Manchester)
Speaker: Neal A. Tognazzini (University of California–Riverside)
“Authority Incompatibilism”
Commentator: Sharon Skare (University of California–San Diego)

VI-M. Colloquium: Simulation, Intention, and Control

1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Nicoletta Orlandi (Rice University)
Speaker: William M. Ramsey (University of Nevada–Las Vegas)
“How Not to Build a Hybrid”
Commentator: Jacob Beck (Texas Tech University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Per Milam (University of California–San Diego)
Speaker: Josh Bright (University of California–Riverside)
“The Alleged Impotence of Reflection: Owens on Belief and Control”
Commentator: Matthias Steup (Purdue University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: John Woods (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)
Speaker: Whit Schonbein (College of Charleston)
“Coordinated Behavior, Emergence, and the Explanatory Salience of Collective Representations”
Commentator: Mark Decker (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)
VI-N. Colloquium: Social Philosophy: Race, Sex, and Marriage
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Lori Watson (University of San Diego)
Speaker: Hallie Rose Liberto (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Normalizing Prostitution vs. Normalizing the Alienability of Sexual Rights”
Commentator: Scott A. Anderson (University of British Columbia)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Stephen Brown (Briar Cliff College)
Speaker: Peter Brian Barry (Saginaw Valley State University)
“Same-sex Marriage and the Charge of Illiberality”
Commentator: Susan Shell (Boston College)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Ann Garry (California State University–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Kay Mathiesen (University of Arizona)
“Race as an Institutional Fact”
Commentator: Marcus Arvan (University of British Columbia)

VI-O. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
1:00-4:00 p.m.

Topic: Buddhist Nominalism
Chair: Eun-su Cho (Seoul National University)
Speakers: Shoryu Katsuura (Ryukoku University)
“Apoha in Historical Perspective”
Pascale Hugon (Austrian Academy of Sciences)
“Breaking the Circle: Dharmakirti’s Response to the Charge of Circularity Against the Apoha Theory and Its Tibetan Adaptation”
Arindam Chakrabarti (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
“Is Our Understanding of Others’ Words Inference of the Differences They Have in Mind?”
Commentator: Mark Siderits (Seoul National University)
**FRIDAY EARLY EVENING, APRIL 10**

**SESSION VII — 4:00-6:00 P.M.**

**VII-A. Invited Paper: Hypocrisy and Moral Address**

*4:00-6:00 p.m.*

Chair: Noell Birondo (Pomona College)

Speaker: R. Jay Wallace (University of California–Berkeley)

“Hypocrisy and Moral Address”

Commentators: Melissa Barry (Williams College)

Tamar Schapiro (Stanford University)

**VII-B. Invited Paper: Kant’s Theoretical Philosophy**

*4:00-6:00 p.m.*

Chair: Patrick R. Frierson (Whitman College)

Speaker: Sven Bernecker (University of California–Irvine)

“Kant on Spatial Orientation”

Commentators: Peter Thielke (Pomona College)

**VII-C. Invited Symposium: De Se Updating**

*4:00-6:00 p.m.*

Chair: Fabrizio Cariani (University of California–Berkeley)

Speakers: Sarah Moss (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Michael Titelbaum (Australian National University and University of Wisconsin–Madison)

Commentator: James Joyce (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)

**VII-D. Invited Symposium: Mereology and Ontology**

*4:00-6:00 p.m.*

Chair: Laurie Paul (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

Speakers: Ross Cameron (University of Leeds)

Theodore Sider (New York University)

Gabriel Uzquiano (Oxford University)

**VII-E. Invited Symposium: Pragmatism and Subjectivity**

*4:00-6:00 p.m.*

Chair: Michael Hymers (Dalhousie University)

Speakers: Sami Pihlstrom (University of Jyväskylä)

“Pragmatism and Naturalized Transcendental Subjectivity”

Bjørn Ramberg (Universitetet i Oslo)

“The Authority of Subjectivity”
Cheryl Misak (University of Toronto)
“The Trail of the Human Serpent: Pragmatism and Subjectivism”

VII-F. Invited Symposium: Trust
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Ben Almassi (University of Washington)
Speakers: Ryan Preston (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Faith in Humanity”
Philip Nickel (University of California–Irvine)
“The Moral Conception of Trust”
Commentator: Edward Hinchman (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee)

VII-G. Colloquium: Distributive Justice
4:00-6:00 p.m.
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: John Harris (Texas Christian University)
Speaker: Kristi A. Olson (Harvard University)
“Equality of Resources and the Equally Talented Society”
Commentator: Bruce Landesman (University of Utah)
5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Tian Jie (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: I. Larry Udell (West Chester University)
“Taking Employment Seriously”
Commentator: Ken Rogerson (Florida International University)

VII-H. Colloquium: Hume’s Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m.
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Robert Paul (Reed College)
Speaker: Lorraine L. Besser-Jones (Middlebury College)
“Virtue, Not Jealousy: Hume’s View of Social Dependency”
Commentator: Margaret Watkins (Baylor University)
5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: John Kardosh (University of Alberta)
Speaker: James Shelley (Auburn University)
“Hume and the Value of the Beautiful”
Commentator: Eva Dadlez (University of Central Oklahoma)
VII-I. Colloquium: Knowledge and Mathematics
4:00-6:00 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Andrew Irvine (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Jeffrey Roland (Louisiana State University)
“Concept Grounding and Knowledge of Set Theory: On Jenkins’s ‘Knowledge of Arithmetic’”
Commentator: Gillian Russell (Washington University in St. Louis)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Melinda Hogan (Kwantlen Polytechnic University)
Speaker: Dave McElhoes (University of Maryland–College Park)
“The Mysterious Mu: An Argument for Small-number Nihilism”
Commentator: Gerhard Nuffer (Reed College)

VII-J. Symposium: The Foundations of Logic
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Patrick W. Rysiew (University of Victoria)
Speaker: Gila Sher (University California–San Diego)
“Is Logic in the Mind or in the World?”
Commentators: Phil Hanson (Simon Fraser University)
Marcus Rossberg (University of Connecticut)

VII-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Topic: Doing Placement Well: Suggestions for Job Seekers and for Departments
Chair: Leslie Francis (University of Utah)
Speakers: Leslie Francis (University of Utah)
Debra Nails (Michigan State University)

VII-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy in Two-Year Colleges
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Topic: The Promise and Peril of Online Teaching
Chair: Bill Hartmann (St. Louis Community College)
Speaker: Geoffrey Frasz (College of Southern Nevada)
VII-M. Dewey Lecture

4:00-5:30 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom AB

Introduction: Julie Tannenbaum (California State University–Northridge)
Speaker: Barbara Herman (University of California–Los Angeles)
“Morality and Moral Theory”

Dewey Lecture Reception

5:30-6:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

Convention attendees are cordially invited to a reception sponsored by the Dewey Foundation in honor of the Dewey Lecturer.

Presidential Address

6:00-7:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom AB

Introduction: Michael Bratman (Stanford University)
Speaker: Nancy Cartwright (London School of Economics and University of California–San Diego)
“How to Do Things with Causes”

Presidential Reception

7:00-8:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

Group Meetings, 7:00-9:00 p.m.

(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Session 1

Group Meetings, 7:00-10:00 p.m.

(See Group Meeting Program for details)
American Association of Philosophy Teachers
Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Session 2
International Hobbes Association, Session 1
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session 1
North American Nietzsche Society
Philosophy of Time Society
Society for Analytical Feminism
Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Session 2
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, Graduate Student Section
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session 2
Society for the Philosophy of History
Society for the Study of Philosophy and the Martial Arts

SATURDAY, APRIL 11

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

PLACEMENT INTERVIEWING
8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Location TBA

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

BOOK DISPLAYS
9:00 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom DEF

MINI-CONFERENCE RECEPTION
6:00-7:30 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

PHILOSOPHY AND LAW RECEPTION
6:00-7:30 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer

SATURDAY MORNING, APRIL 11

SESSION VIII — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

VIII-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Sanford Goldberg, Anti-Individualism: Mind and Language, Knowledge, and Justification
9:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Peter Graham (University of California–Riverside)
Critics: Anthony Brueckner (University of California–Santa Barbara)
Mikkel Gerken (University of Copenhagen)
Christopher Hill (Brown University)
Author: Sanford Goldberg (Northwestern University)

VIII-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Christopher Rowe, Plato and the Art of Philosophical Writing
9:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Nicholas D. Smith (Lewis and Clark College)
Critics: Ruby Blondell (University of Washington)
Charles Kahn (University of Pennsylvania)
George Rudebusch (Northern Arizona University)

Author: Christopher Rowe (University of Durham)

VIII-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Richard Kraut, *What Is Good and Why*

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Corinne Gartner (Princeton University)

Critics: Stephen Darwall (Yale University)
        Thomas Hurka (University of Toronto)
        Gary Watson (University of California–Riverside)

Author: Richard Kraut (Northwestern University)

VIII-D. Invited Paper: Propositional Attitudes

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Timothy Schroeder (Ohio State University)

Speaker: William G. Lycan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
        “Desire Considered as a Propositional Attitude”

Commentators: Robert Gordon (Simon Fraser University)
               Fred Schueler (University of Delaware)

VIII-E. Invited Symposium: Bennett on Conditionals

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Jonathan Bennett (Syracuse University)

Speakers: Barry Loewer (Rutgers University)
          Robert Stalnaker (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Commentator: Anthony Gillies (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)

VIII-F. Invited Symposium: Human Kinds

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Robert A. Wilson (University of Alberta)

Speakers: Natasha Vita-More (University of Plymouth)
          “Design Issues Concerning Extreme Life Extension”
          Gregor Wolbring (University of Calgary)
          “Human Beings—Sentient Beings: Species Typical, Sub-typical, and Beyond Typical”
          Nicholas Agar (Victoria University of Wellington)
          “Ray Kurzweil and Uploading: Just Say ‘No’”
          Edward Stein (Yeshiva University)
          “The Categories of Sexual Orientation in Law, Science, and Society”
VIII-G. Invited Symposium: The Government of the Passions in Early Modern Philosophy

9:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Jacqueline Taylor (University of San Francisco)
Speakers: Donald Rutherford (University of California–San Diego)

“Law and Order: Policing the Passions in Spinoza’s Ethics”

Susan James (Birkbeck College London)
“Reckoning with Fear in Early Modern Political Philosophy”

Michael Rosenthal (University of Washington)
“The Passion of Wonder in the Government of Self and Others”

Commentator: Lisa Shapiro (Simon Fraser University)

VIII-H. Colloquium: Laws and Naturalism

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Joseph Lynch (California Polytechnic State University)
Speaker: Scott D. Tanona (Kansas State University)

“The Pursuit of the Natural”

Commentator: Eric Martin (University of California–San Diego)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Charles Wallis (California State University–Long Beach)
Speaker: Travis Dumsday (University of Calgary)

“Natural Kinds, Laws, and the Problem of Complex Essences”

Commentator: Tarun Menon (University of California–San Diego)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Leslie Burkholder (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Patrick McGivern (University of Alberta)

“Fundamental Laws and Counterfactual Stability”

Commentator: Katrina Elliott (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

VIII-I. Colloquium: Metaphysics

9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Stephanie R. Lewis (Independent Scholar)
Speaker: Jacob Berger (City University of New York Graduate Center)  
“Armstrong and the Difference Between Particulars and Universals: A Reply to MacBride”

Commentator: Anthony Kulic (University of Toronto)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Howard G, Callaway (Independent Scholar)
Speaker: Ralf Bader (University of St Andrews)  
“Supervenience and Structure-specific Indiscernibility”

Commentator: Joseph Tolliver (University of Arizona)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Daniel Krasner (Metropolitan State College of Denver)
Speaker: David Ian Spencer (University of California–Davis)  
“Why Do We Need Tense?”

Commentator: Meg Wallace (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

VIII-J. Colloquium: Necessity, Rigidity, and Actuality
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Douglas Cannon (University of Puget Sound)
Speaker: Brendan Murday (Ithaca College)  
“Actuality and Triply-indexed Semantics”

Commentator: Adam Sennet (University of California–Davis)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Alan Moore (University of California–Riverside)
Speaker: Roberta Ballarin (University of British Columbia)  
“Non-essential Necessary Connections”

Commentator: Matthew Davidson (California State University–San Bernadino)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Ali Kazmi (University of Calgary)
Speaker: Jussi Haukioja (University of Turku)  
“Rigidity or Actuality-dependence?”

Commentator: Sam Cowling (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
VIII-K. Colloquium: Perceptual Knowledge
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Sarah Jones (Northern Michigan University)
Speaker: Christopher Stephens (University of British Columbia)
“Good Objections to Dogmatism”
Commentator: Susan Vineberg (Wayne State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: W. Russ Payne (Bellevue College)
Speaker: Bence Nanay (Syracuse University and University of British Columbia)
“Do We Perceive Apples as Edible?”
Commentator: Clare Batty (University of Kentucky)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Sarah Scott (City University of New York–John Jay College)
Speaker: James John (University of Toronto)
“Gupta on the Given”
Commentator: Anil Gupta (University of Pittsburgh)

VIII-L. Colloquium: Political Philosophy
9:00 a.m.-Noon

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: David Cummiskey (Bates College)
Speaker: Jeppe von Platz (University of Pennsylvania)
“Facts and Principles of Justice”
Commentator: Elizabeth Harman (Princeton University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Holly M. Smith (Rutgers University)
Speaker: Clair Morrissey (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Two Arguments from ‘Human Rights Violation’ to ‘Universal Jurisdiction’”
Commentator: Daniel Silvermint (University of Arizona)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Jonathan Kaplan (Oregon State University)
Speaker: Alexander Guerrero (New York University)
“All Political Justification Is Instrumental”
Commentator: Steven Scalet (State University of New York–Binghamton)
VIII-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers

9:00 a.m.-Noon

**Topic:** Derrick Bell: Race, the Law, and Hope

**Chair:** Sybol Cook Anderson (St. Mary’s College of Maryland)

**Speakers:**
- Bill E. Lawson (University of Memphis)
- “Deceptive Hope or Despair: King and Bell on the Ending of Racism”
- Bernard Boxill (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
- “Was Brown a Mistake?”
- Tommy J. Curry (Pennsylvania State University)
- “We Who Must Fight in the Shade: The Philosophy of Racial Realism”

VIII-N. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology

9:00 a.m.-Noon

**Topic:** Moral Education/Cultivation

**Chair:** Eric Hutton (University of Utah)

**Speakers:**
- Stephen C. Angle (Wesleyan University)
  “A Productive Dialogue: Contemporary Moral Education and Neo-Confucian Virtue Ethics”
- Guoxiang Peng (Tsinghua University)
  “Confucian Self-cultivation as a Spiritual-bodily Exercise with Therapeutic Significance: Against Hellenistic Tradition”
- Pauline Lee (Washington University in St. Louis)
  “An Ethics of Authenticity Zhen: A Comparison of Charles Taylor and Li Zhi”

**Commentators:**
- Eric Schwitzgebel (University of California–Riverside)
- Donald Blakeley (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
- Yang Xiao (Kenyon College)

**Saturday Afternoon, April 11**

**Session IX — 1:00-4:00 p.m.**

IX-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Simon Evnine, *Epistemic Dimensions of Personhood*

1:00-4:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Dana Nelkin (University of California–San Diego)

**Critics:** Dorit Bar-On (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
IX-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Glenn Parsons and Allen Carlson, *Functional Beauty*

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Rachel Zuckert (Northwestern University)
Critics: Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)
Gregory Currie (University of Nottingham)
Stephen Davies (University of Auckland)
Authors: Glenn Parsons (Ryerson University)
Allen Carlson (University of Alberta)

IX-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Richard Miller, *Globalizing Justice*

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Richard Arneson (University of California–San Diego)
Critics: Charles Beitz (Princeton University)
Bob Goodin (Australian National University)
Kok-chor Tan (University of Pennsylvania)
Author: Richard Miller (Cornell University)

IX-D. Author-Meets-Critics: Barbara Herman, *Moral Literacy*

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Collin O'Neil (National Institutes of Health)
Critics: Stephen Engstrom (University of Pittsburgh)
Andrews Reath (University of California–Riverside)
Sally Sedgwick (University of Illinois–Chicago)
Author: Barbara Herman (University of California–Los Angeles)

IX-E. Invited Symposium: Feminist Issues in Philosophy of Language

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Robin Jeshion (University of California–Riverside)
Speakers: Sally Haslanger (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
Rae Langton (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
Jennifer Saul (University of Sheffield)

IX-F. Invited Symposium: Rethinking Impairment

1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Anita Ho (University of British Columbia)
Speakers:  David Wasserman (University of Maryland–College Park and Yeshiva University)  
“Correcting Impairments Prenatally”

Jackie Leach Scully (University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne)  
“Disabling Interactions: Impairments on the Other Side of the Fence”

Shelley Tremain (Wilfrid Laurier University)  
“Three Conceptions of Impairment and Disability”

Sara Goering (University of Washington)  
“Valuing Impairment”

Commentator:  Adrienne Asch (Yeshiva University)

IX-G. Invited Symposium: Vere Chappell and Early Modern Philosophy  
1:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair:  Gideon Yaffe (University of Southern California)  
Speakers:  David Owen (University of Arizona)  
“Knowledge and Existential Propositions in Locke”

Paul Hoffman (University of California–Riverside)  
“Reasons, Causes, and Inclinations”

Commentator:  Vere Chappell (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

IX-H. Colloquium: Explanation, Causation, and Understanding  
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.

Chair:  Shannon Vallor (Santa Clara University)  
Speaker:  Kevin McCain (University of Rochester)  
“Scientific Explanation, Understanding, and Knowledge”

Commentator:  Matthew J. Brown (University of California–San Diego)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Chair:  Jacob Stegenga (University of California–San Diego)  
Speaker:  Joshua Rust (Stetson University)  
“Informative Rejections of Why Questions”

Commentator:  Sharyn Clough (Oregon State University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair:  Justin Tiehen (University of Puget Sound)  
Speaker:  Kevin W. Sharpe (St. Cloud State University)  
“Standard Compatibilism and the Problem of Causal Exclusion”
Commentator: Sara Bernstein (University of Arizona)

**IX-I. Colloquium: Heidegger**

1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.

Chair: Agnes Curry (St. Joseph College)

Speaker: Tara Kennedy (University of New Mexico)

“Ethos as Abode: The Heideggerian Ground of Ethics”

Commentator: Babette Babich (Fordham University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Chair: William Peck (Reed College)

Speaker: Matthew Shockey (Indiana University–South Bend)

“What’s Formal about Formal Indication?”

Commentator: Cecily Gonzalez (San Francisco State University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Nancy Bauer (Tufts University)

Speaker: William H. Koch (University of South Florida)

“The World Thinking Itself: Heideggarian Themes from Categorial Intuition to the Origin of the Work of Art”

Commentator: Andrew Peterson (San Francisco State University)

**IX-J. Colloquium: Mereology and Metaphysics**

1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.

Chair: Panayot Butchvarov (University of Iowa)

Speaker: Daniel Z. Korman (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

“A New Solution to the Grounding Problem for Coincident Objects”

Commentator: Marc Johansen (University of Arizona)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Chair: Stephen Capone, Jr. (University of Utah)

Speaker: Bradley Rettler (University of Notre Dame)

“Simple Persistence”

Commentator: David Sanford (Duke University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Mark McPherran (Simon Fraser University)

Speaker: Daniel Giberman (Stanford University)

“Against Zero-dimensional Material Objects”
Commentator: Raul Saucedo (Cornell University)

IX-K. Colloquium: Mind and Metaphysics
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: John Spackman (Middlebury College)
Speaker: Richard Brown (City University of New York–LaGuardia)
“The Reverse-Zombie Argument Against Dualism”
Commentator: Robert Howell (Southern Methodist University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Asta Sveinsdottir (San Francisco State University)
Speaker: Joungbin Lim (University of Virginia)
“Taking Brains Seriously: A Response to Eric Olson’s Sparse Ontology”
Commentator: Christopher Kane (Tulane University of New Orleans)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Richard Corrigan (University College, Dublin)
Speaker: Dwayne Moore (Wilfrid Laurier University)
“Reconciling Anomalous Monism and Scheme Content Dualism”
Commentator: Danielle Macbeth (Haverford College)

IX-L. Colloquium: Pragmatism, Confidence, and Egocentrism
1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Mary Butterfield (Vanderbilt University)
Speaker: Jennifer Nagel (University of Toronto)
“Knowledge Ascription and Epistemic Egocentrism”
Commentator: Mark Heller (Syracuse University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Joe Ulatowski (University of Wyoming)
Speaker: William J. Melanson (University of Nebraska–Omaha)
“The Role and Value of Epistemic Confidence”
Commentator: Heather Battaly (California State University–Fullerton)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Rod Bertolet (Purdue University)
Speaker: Juan Comesaña (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Epistemic Pragmatism: An Argument Against Moderation”
Commentator: Jeremy Fantl (University of Calgary)

**IX-M. Colloquium: Reason, Autonomy, and Agency**

1:00-4:00 p.m.

1:00-2:00 p.m.

Chair: Oisin Deery (University of British Columbia)
Speakers: Dwight Furrow (San Diego Mesa College) and Mark Wheeler (San Diego State University)
“Reflective Self-appraisal, Autonomy, and the Motive of Care”

Commentator: John Christman (Pennsylvania State University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Chair: Yuval Avnur (Scripps College)
Speaker: John Brunero (University of Missouri–St. Louis)
“Reasons and Evidence One Ought”

Commentator: Daniel Star (Boston University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Sandra Woien (Arizona State University)
Speaker: Kevin Falvey (University of California–Santa Barbara)
“Agency as the Exercise of Practical Knowledge”

Commentator: Daniel Guevara (University of California–Santa Cruz)

**IX-N. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law**

1:00-4:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Berger Prize Essay: Jeppe von Platz and David A. Reidy, “The Structural Diversity of Historical Injustices”

Chair: Judith Wagner DeCew (Clark University)
Speakers: Rahul Kumar (Queen’s University)
Joan McGregor (Arizona State University)
David Reidy (University of Tennessee)
Jeppe von Platz (University of Pennsylvania)

**IX-O. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology**

1:00-4:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Virtue and Self-regarding Attitudes

Chair: Gary Watson (University of California–Riverside)
Speakers: Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)
“The Self-centeredness Objection to Virtue Ethics: Zhu Xi’s Neo-Confucian Response”
Kwong-loi Shun (Chinese University of Hong Kong)  
“On Anger: An Experimental Essay in Confucian Moral Psychology”

Justin Tiwald (San Francisco State University)  
“Self-love, Sympathy, and Virtue: Dai Zhen’s Defense of Self-interest”

Commentators: Thomas Hurka (University of Toronto)  
Howard J. Curzer (Texas Tech University)  
Michael Slote (University of Miami)

**Saturday Early Evening, April 11**

**Session X — 4:00-6:00 p.m.**

**X-A. Invited Paper: Dynamic Semantics**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

Chair: Andrew P. Mills (Otterbein College)
Speaker: Josh Dever (University of Texas–Austin)  
“Assertion and the Future”

Commentators: Bernhard Nickel (Harvard University)  
Mark Richard (Tufts University)

**X-B. Invited Symposium: Ethics and Justice**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

Chair: Jeremy Anderson (DePauw University)
Speakers: Alex John London (Carnegie Mellon University)  
“Market Forces and Fair Benefits: Some Problems for Procedural Approaches to Justice in International Research”

Elizabeth Fenton (Harvard University)  
“Overlapping Consensus and Human Rights”

John Arras (University of Virginia)  
“Political Theory and Global Bioethics”

Gopal Sreenivasan (Duke University)  
“Varieties of Overlapping Consensus and Their Utility for Global Bioethics”

**X-C. Invited Symposium: Experimental Philosophy, Moral Theory, and Moral Practice**

4:00-6:00 p.m.

Chair: Hagop Sarkissian (City University of New York–Baruch College)
Speakers: Joshua Knobe (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Experimental Philosophy and Moral Judgment”
Eric Schwitzgebel (University of California–Riverside)
“Moral Reflection and Moral Behavior”
Shaun Nichols (University of Arizona)
“Normative Ethics and the Psychology of Moral Luck”

X-D. Invited Symposium: Metaphysics and Mind
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: David Robb (Davidson College)
Speakers: Derk Pereboom (Cornell University)
Jessica Wilson (University of Toronto)
Commentator: Alyssa Ney (University of Rochester)

X-E. Colloquium: Embodied Cognition
4:00-6:00 p.m.
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: David Pitt (California State University–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Saray Ayala (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and University of British Columbia)
“Body-chauvinism or Mind-chauvinism? Questioning the Autonomy of Psychology”
Commentator: Jennifer Matey (Florida International University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: William P. Seeley (Franklin and Marshall College)
Speaker: Anne Jacobson (University of Houston)
“In Defense of Extended, Embodied Cognition: Some Lessons for Philosophy from Cognitive Neuroscience”
Commentator: Tom Roberts (University of Edinburgh)

X-F. Colloquium: Kant’s Ethics and Political Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m.
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Christina Chuang (University of California–Irvine)
Speaker: Marcy Latta (University of Pennsylvania)
“Kant’s Problem Regarding Others”
Commentator: Elizabeth Foreman (St. Louis University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Michelle Grier (University of San Diego)
Speaker: Helga Varden (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
“Kant on Public Reason and Free Speech”

Commentator: Howard Williams (University of Wales–Aberystwyth)

X-G. Symposium: Agent-relative Reasons
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Daniel Campana (University of La Verne)
Speaker: Mark LeBar (Ohio University)
“Agent-relativity and Second-personality”
Commentators: Louis-Philippe Hodgson (York University)
Alan Thomas (University of Kent)

X-H. Symposium: Theories of Lawhood in the Sciences
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: John Koolage (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Jonathan Cohen (University of California–San Diego)
“A Better Best System Account of Lawhood”
Commentators: Paul Bartha (University of British Columbia)
Barry M. Ward (University of Arkansas–Fayetteville)

X-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Topic: Peer Review
Chair: Carol C. Gould (Temple University)
Speakers: Charles Beitz (Princeton University)
Bob Goodin (Australian National University)
Carol C. Gould (Temple University)

X-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: James Montmarquet (Tennessee State University)
Speakers: Lawrence Blum (University of Massachusetts–Boston)
Charles W. Mills (Northwestern University)
Janna Thompson (La Trobe University)
PHILOSOPHY AND LAW RECEPTION
6:00-7:30 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer
Conferees are invited to attend this reception sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law in honor of the Berger Prizewinners and John Arthur.

X-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Teaching of Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Topic: Philosophy and Plagiarism
Chair: Mark McPherran (Simon Fraser University)
Speakers: Robert Gordon (Simon Fraser University)
Jill McIntosh (Simon Fraser University)
Mark Migotti (University of Calgary)
Nils Rauhut (Coastal Carolina University)

X-L. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology
4:00-6:00 p.m.
Topic: The Psychological Foundations of Ethical and Political Philosophy
Chair: Amélie Rorty (National Humanities Center)
Speakers: Youngmin Kim (Seoul National University)
"Moral Psychology as ‘Political’ Psychology"
Kam Por Yu (Hong Kong Polytechnic University)
"Su Shi on the Psychological Basis of Morality"
Commentators: Tongdong Bai (Xavier University)
Rachana Kamtekar (University of Arizona)

MINI-CONFERENCE RECEPTION
6:00-7:30 p.m., Bayshore Ballroom Foyer
Convention attendees are cordially invited to the mini-conference reception.

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Gandhi/King Society
International Association for the Philosophy of Sport
International Society for Chinese Philosophy
Josiah Royce Society
GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-9:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
International Hobbes Association, Session 2
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session 2
Kierkegaard Society
Pacific Society for Women in Philosophy
Philosophy of Religion Group
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy
Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs
Society for Philosophy and Technology, Session 2
Society for Skeptical Studies
Society for the Metaphysics of Science, Session 2

GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-10:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Session 2
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Session 2
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 3
Society for Student Philosophers, Session 2

SUNDAY, APRIL 12
MEETING OF PHILOSOPHY DEPARTMENT CHAIRS AND HEADS
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Marine Room

SUNDAY MORNING, APRIL 12
SESSION XI — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

XI-A. Mini-Conference on Neo-Confucian Moral Psychology
9:00 a.m.-Noon

Topic: Moral Perception
Chair: David S. Nivison (Stanford University)
Speakers: Philip J. Ivanhoe (City University of Hong Kong)
“Metaphysics and Moral Psychology: Moral Perception in Mengzi, Wang Yangming, and John McDowell”
JeeLoo Liu (California State University–Fullerton)
“Moral Sense, Moral Motivation and the Possibility of Altruism: Zhang Zai’s and Wang Fuzhi’s Moral Psychology”

David Tien (National University of Singapore)
“Intuitions, Affections, and the Moral Faculty in the Philosophy of Wang Yangming (1472-1529)”

Commentators: Christine Swanton (University of Auckland)
Owen Flanagan (Duke University)
Edward Slingerland (University of British Columbia)
GROUP PROGRAM

WEDNESDAY EVENING, APRIL 8

GROUP SESSION GI — 6:00-8:00 P.M.

GI-A. Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Session 1
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Dao Annual Best Essay Award
Chair: Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)
Speaker: Erin Cline (University of Oregon)
“Two Senses of Justice: Confucianism, Rawls, and Comparative Political Philosophy”
Commentators: Stephen C. Angle (Wesleyan University)
Weigang Cheng (University of Macau)
Eric Hutton (University of Utah)
Respondent: Erin Cline (University of Oregon)

GI-B. North American Society for Social Philosophy
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Who’s Left out of Global Justice?
Speakers: Brooke A. Ackerly (Vanderbilt University)
“Feminist Theory, Global Gender Justice, and Evaluation”
Stephen M. Gardiner (University of Washington)
“Rawls and Climate Change”
Ryoa Chung (Université de Montréal)
“What Gets Left Out of Global Justice”

GI-C. Radical Philosophy Association, Session 1
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Women, Power, and Feminism
Chair: Jose Jorge Mendoza (University of Oregon)
Speakers: Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)
“Bella Swan and Sarah Palin: Why Gender Is Not Sufficient for Feminist Politics”
Johanna Luttrell (University of Oregon)
“The Confusion about Women’s Interests: Objections and Replies to a Women’s Political Party”
Emma Jones (University of Oregon)
“Toward a Political Conception of Feminism”

GI-D. Society for Business Ethics
6:00-8:00 p.m.
Topic: Corporate Moral Motivation
Chair: Jessica Ludescher (Seattle University)
Speaker: Chris MacDonald (Saint Mary’s University)
Commentator: Brian Steverson (Gonzaga University)

GI-E. Society for German Idealism, Session 1
6:00-8:00 p.m.
Topic: On the 200th Anniversary of Schelling’s Freedom Essay
Chair: J. M. Fritzman (Lewis and Clark College)
Speaker: Michelle Kosch (Cornell University)
“On the 200th Anniversary of Schelling’s Freedom Essay”
Commentator: Jennifer Dobe (Grinnell College)

GI-F. Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy
6:00-8:00 p.m.
Speakers: James A. Martell (Colorado State University)
“Sexuality Without Sexual Identity: The Ethics of Queer Theory”
D. Rita Alfonso (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
“Urban Nostalgia and Rural Masculinities in Bill Basquin’s Rage”

GI-G. Society for Student Philosophers, Session 1
6:00-8:00 p.m.
Topic: Moral and Social Philosophy
Speakers: David Barnes (University of Colorado–Boulder)
“Jus in Bello and the Sophisticated Utilitarian”
Sarah Mattice (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
“Relationships of Ruling in Plato’s Laws and the Mengzi”
Amber Arnold (University of Colorado–Boulder)
“Morality, Capability, and Fairness”
Mathew A. Foust (University of Oregon)
“From Custom to Conscience: John Dewey, Mob Mentality, and Moral Creativity”
GROUP SESSION GII — 6:00-9:00 P.M.

GII-A. Karl Jaspers Society, Session 1

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Cross-cultural Hermeneutics and World Philosophy

**Chair:** Helmut Wautischer (Sonoma State University)

**Speakers:**
- David Dilworth (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
  - “Examining Jaspers’s Conception of World History”
- Stephen Erickson (Pomona College)
  - “Translation, Interpretation, and Conversation Between Worlds”

**Commentators:**
- Klaus Brinkmann (Boston University)
- Raymond Langley (Manhattanville College)

GII-B. North American Kant Society

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Patrick R. Frierson (Whitman College)

**Speaker:** Diane Williamson (Vanderbilt University)
  - “Being Good and Reasoning Well: Kant’s Occasional—and the Ever-popular—Elision Between Prudential and Moral Reasoning”

**Commentator:** Lori Watson (University of San Diego)

**Speaker:** Matthew Altman (Central Washington University)
  - “The Strategic Use of Anthropocentrism: A Reply to Wood and Korsgaard on Our Duties to Animals”

**Commentator:** Mark Woods (University of San Diego)

GII-C. North American Wittgenstein Society

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Jeff Johnson (College of St. Catherine)

**Speakers:**
- David Egan (Oxford University)
  - “Necessity, the First Person Plural, and the Appeal to Ordinary Language in Wittgenstein’s Later Philosophy”
- Meredith Williams (Johns Hopkins University)
  - “Master and Novice: the Place of Learning in Wittgenstein’s PI”
- Joshua Kortbein (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)
  - “Dialogues on Criteria”
- H. Benjamin Shaeffer (Humboldt State University)
  - “Weitz, Wittgenstein, and the Real Definition of Art”
Commentators:  John Woods (Princeton University)
Merrill Ring (California State University–Fullerton)
David Jones (College of St. Catherine)
Stephen Donaho (Normandale Community College)

GII-D. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 1
6:00-9:00 p.m.
Topic: Memory, Desire, and Karma in Classical Indian and Contemporary Analytic Philosophy
Chair: Arindam Chakrabarti (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
Presenters: Christopher G. Framarin (University of Calgary)
“Two Kinds of Selfishness”
Mark Siderits (Seoul National University)
“Bearerless Responsibility: A Buddhist view of Karma”
Matt Mackenzie (Colorado State University)
“Perception, Action, and Conditioning: A Buddhist Phenomenology of Karma”
Lara Mitias (American University–Cairo)
“Impressions of Smoke and Memories of Fire: On the ‘Logic’ of Self-Conscious Willed Remembrance”
Commentator: Arindam Chakrabarti (University of Hawaii–Manoa)

GII-E. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
6:00-9:00 p.m.
Topic: Images and Ethics: Film and the Other
Speakers: Tom Davis (Whitman College)
“Cavell, Levinas, and Gaslight: Seeing Evil”
Travis Anderson (Brigham Young University)
“Tarantino and Nietzsche on the Backstory Ethics of a Reservoir Dog”
Jim Gough (Red Deer College)
Sue Matheson (University College of the North)
“Allie Fox’s Challenge to Nietzsche’s Overman’s Overcoming Bad Conscience”

GII-F. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
6:00-9:00 p.m.
Topic: Author-Meets-Critics: James Bohman, Democracy Across Borders: From Demos to DemoI
Chair: Brendan Hogan (New York University)
GROUP SESSION GIII — 8:00-10:00 P.M.

GIII-A. Bay Area Continental Philosophy Association

8:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Nature

Chair: Marjolein Oele (University of San Francisco)
Speaker: Egidius Berns (Tilburg University)
“Living On: Philosophy and the Economical Conception of Life”
Commentator: Joshua Kurdys (Pennsylvania State University)
Speaker: Sebastian Rand (Georgia State University)
“Organism, Normativity, Plasticity: Canguilhem, Kant, Malabou”
Commentator: Gerard Kuperus (University of San Francisco)

GIII-B. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 2

8:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Morality and Society in Early Chinese Philosophy

Chair: Daniel Coyle (Birmingham–Southern College and Our Lady of the Lake University)
Speakers: Alexus McLeod (University of Connecticut)
“Rejections of the Community and Non-persons in Analects Book 18”
Misung Jang (University at Buffalo)
“Confucian and Aristotelian Theories of Practical Virtue as the Mean”
Daniel Coyle (Birmingham–Southern College and Our Lady of the Lake University)
“Rethinking the Zonghengjia: Undercurrents in Chinese Philosophy”

THURSDAY EVENING, APRIL 9

GROUP SESSION GIV — 6:00-8:00 P.M.

GIV-A. Ayn Rand Society

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Reason, Choice, and the Creation of One’s Own Character

Welcome: Allan Gotthelf (University of Pittsburgh)
Chair: David Copp (University of Florida)
Speaker: Onkar Ghate (Ayn Rand Institute)
Commentator: Jonathan Jacobs (Colgate University)

GIV-B. Radical Philosophy Association, Session 2
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Latin American Philosophy from a United States Perspective

Chair: Emma Jones (University of Oregon)
Speakers: Jose Jorge Mendoza (University of Oregon)
“Deciphering Illegal Immigration”
José-Antonio Orosco (Oregon State University)
“Interculturalism and American Democracy”
Grant Silva (University of Oregon)
“The Importance of and Need for Latin American Philosophy in the United States”

GIV-C. Society for Empirical Ethics
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Relativism

Chairs: Shaun Nichols (University of Arizona)
        William A. Rottschaefer (Lewis and Clark College)
Speakers: David B. Wong (Duke University)
        “A Functional Conception of Morality and the Issue of Moral Realism”
        Alexandra Plakias (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
        “Empirical Evidence for Moral Relativism”
        John Doris (Washington University in St. Louis)
        Lauren Olin (Washington University in St. Louis)
        “Vicious Minds: Virtue, Cognition, and Skepticism”

GIV-D. Society for the Philosphic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session 1
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Film and Philosophy

Chair: Ben Blumson (University of Sydney)
Speakers: Richard Gilmore (Concordia College–Moorhead)
“The No Country for Old Men: The Coens’ Tragic Western”
Jenn Neilson (University of Texas–Austin)
“Resolving the Paradox of Horror: A Study of Emotion”
Aaron Smuts (Temple University)
“Pleasure, Pain, and Art”

B. Scot Rousse (Northwestern University)
“Merleau-Ponty on Cinematic Absorption”

GIV-E. Society for the Study of Process Philosophy
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Process Thought, Violence, and Nonviolence
Chair: John Quiring (Victor Valley College)
Speakers: Daniel A. Dombrowski (Seattle University)
“Pacifism and Hartshorne’s Dipolar Theism”
Jeanyne Sletton (Center for Process Studies)
“Scars of War: A Whiteheadian Approach to Post-traumatic Stress Disorder”
Adam Scarfe (California State University–Bakersfield)

GIV-F. Society of Christian Philosophers
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Chair: Daniel Speak (Loyola Marymount University)
Speakers: Daniel Howard-Snyder (Western Washington University)
Frances Howard-Snyder (Western Washington University)
“The Puzzle of Petitionary Prayer”
Commentator: Scott A. Davison (Morehead State University)

GROUP SESSION GV — 6:00-9:00 P.M.

GV-A. Hume Society
6:00-9:00 p.m.

Chair: David Owen (University of Arizona)
Speakers: Alison Gopnik (University of California–Berkeley)
“Did David Hume Know about Buddhism? An Historical Link Between Hume and Theravada and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy”
Sean Greenberg (University of California–Irvine)
“Hume and the Norm of Nature: ‘Naturalism,’ ‘Skepticism,’ and Normativity”
GV-B. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Session 1
6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Confucian Rituals, Pro and Con

Chair: Eric Hutton (University of Utah)
Speakers: Howard J. Curzer (Texas Tech University)
  “A Constructive Critique of Confucian Rituals: Remove and Replace”
Deborah Mower (Youngstown State University)
  “A Double-Barreled Defense of Confucian Rituals: Revise and Restore”
James Harold (Mount Holyoke College)
  “Alienation and Ritual”
Mark Berkson (Hamline University)
  “Xunzi’s Reinterpretation of Ritual: A Hermeneutic Defense of the Confucian Way”

GV-C. Karl Jaspers Society, Session 2
6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Cross-cultural Hermeneutics and World Philosophy

Chair: Gregory Walters (Saint Paul University)
Speakers: Malek Khazaee (California State University–Long Beach)
  “The Haunting Ghost of Heidegger on Campus”
Andreas Cesana (Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz)
  “Weltphilosophie and Philosophical Faith”
Commentators: Leonard Ehrlich (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
  Alan M. Olson (Boston University)

GV-D. Society for German Idealism, Session 2
6:00-9:00 p.m.

Chair: Aaron Bunch (Washington State University)
Speaker: Paul Redding (University of Sydney)
  “The Plato of Kant’s Critical Philosophy”
Commentator: Paul Franks (University of Toronto)
Speaker: Daniel Breazeale (University of Kentucky)
  “The Problematic Primacy of the Practical in the Early Wissenschaftslehre”
Commentator: Marina F. Bykova (North Carolina State University)
Speaker: Rolf Ahlers (Sage Colleges)
“The Virtual Athlete: Jacobi’s Leap and Hegel’s Dialectic”

Commentator: Howard Ponzer (Molloy College)

**GV-E. Society for Philosophy and Technology, Session 1**

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** The Good Life, Freedom, and the Web 2.0

Chair: Diane P. Michelfelder (Macalester College)

Speakers: Andrew Feenberg (Simon Fraser University)
“A Democratic Internet?”

John P. Sullins (Sonoma State University)
“Finding a Good Life in Virtual Worlds”

Shannon Vallor (Santa Clara University)
“Social Networking Technology and the Virtues”

**GV-F. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Session 1**

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Is There a Faculty of Philosophical Intuition?

Speakers: Michael Lynch (University of Connecticut)

David Sosa (University of Texas–Austin)

Henry Jackman (York University)

Steven D. Hales (Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania)

**GV-G. Society for the Metaphysics of Science, Session 1**

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** New Waves in Metaphysics I

Chair: Allan Hazlett (Fordham University)

Speakers: Thomas Hofweber (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

“Against Esoteric Metaphysics”

Alyssa Ney (University of Rochester)

“Are There Fundamental Intrinsic Properties?”

Rae Langton (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) and Chris Robichaud (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

“Intrinsicality and Ignorance”

Ross Cameron (University of Leeds)

“Quantification, Naturalness, and Ontology”

Douglas N. Kutach (Brown University)

“Real and Ordinary Language Metaphysics”
Carolina Sartorio (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“The Prince of Wales Problem for Counterfactual Theories of Causation”

GV-H. Society of Indian Philosophy and Religion
6:00-9:00 p.m.
Chair: Chandana Chakrabarti (Davis and Elkins College)
Presenters: Kisor Kumar Chakrabarti (Davis and Elkins College)
“Direct and Indirect Reference”
Richard Liebendorfer (Minnesota State University–Mankato)
“Much Ado about Nothing and Something”
Horace B. Fairlamb (University of Houston)
“Vico’s History and Mental Causation”
Audrey L. Anton (Ohio State University)
“Transparency of Imagination: On Martin’s Refutation of Intentionalism”
Beto Urquidez (Purdue University)
“The Use of ‘Intentional Action’: Knobe, Wittgenstein, and Descriptive Ethics”

GROUP SESSION GVI — 8:00-10:00 P.M.

GVI-A. American Society for Aesthetics
8:00-10:00 p.m.
Chair: Christopher Williams (University of Nevada–Reno)
Speakers: Andrew Chignell (Cornell University)
“Can Kant Reasonably Prefer Milton’s Verse to Ogilby’s Doggerel?”
Rachel Zuckert (Northwestern University)
“Herder on Sculpture”
Commentator: Bradley Murray (University of British Columbia)

GVI-B. Concerned Philosophers for Peace
8:00-10:00 p.m.
Topic: A New Day in Washington? The Prospects for Reason
Speakers: Ron Hirschbein (California State University–Chico)
“The Folly of Political Realism”
Ovadia Ezra (Tel Aviv University)
“Three Neglected Reasons for Just Behavior in War”
FRIDAY EVENING, APRIL 10

GROUP SESSION GVII — 7:00-9:00 P.M.

GVII-A. Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Session 1
7:00-9:00 p.m.

Topic: On-line Courses in Critical Thinking
Chair: Wanda Teays (Mount St. Mary’s College)
Speakers: Darin Dockstader (College of Southern Nevada)
“Teaching Critical Thinking Online: Getting Started”
Mark Rauls (College of Southern Nevada)
“Teaching Critical Thinking Online: The Long View”

GROUP SESSION GVIII — 7:00-10:00 P.M.

GVIII-A. American Association of Philosophy Teachers
7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Teaching Aristotle to Undergraduates
Chair: Christina Hendricks (University of British Columbia)
Speakers: Nils Rauhut (Coastal Carolina University)
“Mission Impossible: Teaching Aristotle in Four Weeks in a Survey Course”
Sylvia Berryman (University of British Columbia)
“Teaching Aristotle as a Natural Philosopher”
Tony Roark (Boise State University)
“Teaching Aristotle on the Maypole Model”
Margaret Scharle (Reed College)
“Teaching Aristotle the Teleologist”

GVIII-B. Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Session 2
7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Confucianism in the Context of Comparative Philosophy
Chair: Chung-Ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
Speaker: Yiu-Ming Fung (Hong Kong University of Science and Technology)
“A Preliminary Study of Wang Yang-ming’s Thesis of Xing ~ Ji Qi (Xing Is Identical with / Inseparable from Qi)”

Andrew Fiala (California State University–Fresno)
“Toward a Cosmopolitan Peace”
Commentator: Yujian Zheng (Lingnan University)
Speaker: Manyul Im (Fairfield University)
“Consequentialism, Moral Value, and Mencius”
Commentator: Dan Robins (Richard Stockton College of New Jersey)
Speaker: Sin Yee Chan (University of Vermont)
“Xunzi, Mozi, and Laozi on Emotions and Desires”
Commentator: Hagop Sarkissian (City University of New York–Baruch College)

GVIII-C. International Hobbes Association, Session 1
7:00-10:00 p.m.
Chair: Martin Bertman (Hobbes Studies)
Speaker: Laurens van Apeldoorn (Oxford University)
Commentator: Jeremy Anderson (DePauw University)
Speaker: John Mizzoni (Neumann College)
“Recent Work on Evolution and Social Contract Ethics”
Commentator: Susanne Sreedhar (Boston University)
Speaker: Douglas Paletta (University of Pennsylvania)
“Two Approaches to Rationality: Hobbes’s Fool vs. Hume’s Knave”

GVIII-D. International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session 1
7:00-10:00 p.m.
Topic: Author-Meets-Respondents: Thomas Heyd,
Encountering Nature: Toward an Environmental Culture
Chair: Christopher Preston (University of Montana)
Author: Thomas Heyd (University of Victoria)
Respondents: Geoffrey Frasz (College of Southern Nevada)
Piers Stephens (University of Georgia)
Mark Woods (University of San Diego)

GVIII-E. North American Nietzsche Society
7:00-10:00 p.m.
Topic: Nietzsche’s Psychology
Chair: Paul S. Loeb (University of Puget Sound)
Speakers: Paul Katsafanas (University of New Mexico)
Mark Migotti (University of Calgary)
Commentator: Scott Jenkins (University of Kansas)
GVIII-F. Philosophy of Time Society  
7:00-10:00 p.m.  
**Topic:** Presentism and Truthmaking  
Chair: Steven Savitt (University of British Columbia)  
Speakers:  
- Thomas M. Crisp (Biola University)  
- Michael Tooley (University of Colorado–Boulder)  
- Alex Baia (University of Texas–Austin)  

“Presentism, Powers, and Truthmaking”  
“Presentism and Truthmakers”  
“Presentism and the Grounding of Truth”

GVIII-G. Society for Analytical Feminism  
7:00-10:00 p.m.  
**Topic:** Author-Meets-Critics: Cynthia Willett, *Irony in the Age of Empire*  
Chair: Derrick Darby (University of Kansas)  
Author: Cynthia Willett (Emory University)  
Critics:  
- Ann Cudd (University of Kansas)  
- Eric Smaw (Rollins College)  
- Anita Superson (University of Kentucky)

GVIII-H. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Session 2  
7:00-10:00 p.m.  
**Topic:** Authors-Meet-Critics: Steven French and Décio Krause, *Identity in Physics: A Historical, Philosophical, and Formal Analysis*  
Authors:  
- Steven French (University of Leeds)  
- Décio Krause (Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina)  
Critics:  
- Bas C. van Fraassen (San Francisco State University)  
- Don Howard (University of Notre Dame)  
- Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)

GVIII-I. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, Graduate Student Section  
7:00-10:00 p.m.  
**Topic:** Engaging Pragmatism Pluralistically: American Philosophy and Other Traditions  
Chair: Mathew A. Foust (University of Oregon)  
Speakers:  
- Lucy Schultz (University of Oregon)  
  “Re-thinking the Problem of the One and the Many in the Metaphysics of James and Hegel”
Matthew J. Brown (University of California–San Diego)
“Evidence in John Dewey’s Theory of Inquiry”
Jazmine Gabriel (University of Oregon)
“Normativity and Nature in Karen Barad and Hans Jonas”

Commentators: Christy Reynolds (University of Oregon)
Aaron Rodriguez (University of Oregon)
Cherilyn Keall (University of Guelph)

GVIII-J. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session 2
7:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Architecture, Design, Portraiture, and Conceptual Art

**Chair:** Julie C. Van Camp (California State University–Long Beach)

**Speakers:**
Carolyn A. Fahey (University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne)
Richard N. Fox (California State University–Long Beach)
“The Locus of Architectural Meaning”
Kostas Terzidis (Harvard University)
“Design Inside the Chinese Room”
Louise Hanson (Oxford University)
“Is Conceptual Art Redundant?”
Rebecca Farinas (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
“The Responsive Shift of Portraiture in the Work of Francis Bacon”
Ben Blumson (University of Sydney)
“Depictive Structure”

GVIII-K. Society for the Philosophy of History
7:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Narrative, History, and Identity

**Chair:** Karsten Stueber (College of the Holy Cross)

**Speakers:**
Noël Carroll (City University of New York–Graduate School)
“Danto, the End of Art, and the Orientational Narrative”
Peter Goldie (University of Manchester)  
“The Narrative Sense of One’s Past”

Paul Roth (University of California–Santa Cruz)  
“Where Are They Now? Analytic and Narrativist Philosophies”

Commentator: Karsten Stueber (College of the Holy Cross)

**GVIII-L. Society for the Study of Philosophy and the Martial Arts**  
7:00-10:00 p.m.

Chair: Joseph Lynch (California Polytechnic State University)

Speakers: Charles W. Wright (College of St. Benedict and St. John’s University)  
“A Moral Paradox of Martial Training”

Mark Faller (Alaska Pacific University)  
“Plato on the Peculiar Beauty of Ugly Wrestlers”

Joseph Lynch (California Polytechnic State University)  
“Plato Squares off with Shaolin Monks on the Self”

Alan Bäck (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)  
“The Beauty of Forms”

Commentator: Jonathan Miller-Lane (Middlebury College)

**SATURDAY EVENING, APRIL 11**

**GROUP SESSION GIX — 6:00-8:00 P.M.**

**GIX-A. Gandhi/King Society**  
6:00-8:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Author-Meets-Critics: José-Antonio Orosco, *Cesar Chavez and the Commonsense of Nonviolence*

**Author:** José-Antonio Orosco (Oregon State University)

**Critics:** Kim Diaz (Texas A&M University)  
Andrew Fiala (California State University–Fresno)  
Greg Moses (Independent Scholar)

**GIX-B. International Association for the Philosophy of Sport**  
6:00-8:00 p.m.

**Chair:** Patrick Kelly (Seattle University)

**Speakers:** John Gleaves (Pennsylvania State University)  
“Reconstituting Rules: From Categorical Explanations to Functional Descriptions of Game Rules”
Daniel A. Dombrowski (Seattle University)
“Sportsmanship as Aristotelian Moderation”

Stephen Finn (Seattle University)

GIX-C. International Society for Chinese Philosophy
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: John Dewey and Confucianism
Chair: Roger T. Ames (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
Speakers: Marjorie Miller (State University of New York–Purchase)

“Who Am I? And Who Are We?: Identity and Social Change in Dewey and Confucius”

Joseph Grange (University of Southern Maine)
“Confucian Values and American Pragmatism”

Commentator: Tongdong Bai (Xavier University)

GIX-D. Josiah Royce Society
6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Royce and His Students
Chair: J. Brent Crouch (University of Oregon)
Speakers: Steven Brence (University of Oregon)

“Royce and Santayana”

John Kaag (University of Massachusetts–Lowell)
“Royce and Cabot”

Commentator: Kimberly Garchar (Kent State University)

GROUP SESSION GX — 6:00-9:00 P.M.

GX-A. International Hobbes Association, Session 2
6:00-9:00 p.m.

Chair: Rosamond Rhodes (Mount Sinai School of Medicine and City University of New York–Graduate Center)

Speakers: Jeffrey Barnouw (University of Texas–Austin)

“Bacon and Hobbes: More Continuity Than Contrast”

Mark Faller (Alaska Pacific University)
“Hobbes on the Objective Grounding of Constructed Knowledge”

Martin Bertman (Hobbes Studies)
“Skinner’s Hobbes”
GX-B.  International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session 2
6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Human-Nature Relationships

- **Chair:** Lori Gruen (Wesleyan University)
- **Speaker:** Stephen M. Gardiner (University of Washington)
  - “Geoengineering for Climate Change: The Ethics of Playing with Fire”
- **Commentator:** Christopher Preston (University of Montana)
- **Speaker:** Jason Sears (Eckerd College)
  - “Aristotle and the Anthropocentric Tradition”
- **Commentator:** Peter Gratton (University of San Diego)
- **Speaker:** Alexander K. Lautensach (University of Northern British Columbia)
  - “Teaching Values Through the Ecological Footprint”
- **Commentator:** Lisa Gerber (University of New Mexico)

GX-C.  Kierkegaard Society
6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Kierkegaard and Autonomy and Freedom

- **Chair:** Shannon Nason (Loyola Marymount University)
- **Speakers:**
  - Paul Carron (Baylor University)
    - “Freedom, Emotions, and Second-Order Desires in Kierkegaard’s Christian Discourses”
  - Erik Hanson (Purdue University)
    - “Is Kierkegaard’s Comparison of Kant to Sancho Panza a Critique of the Law of Autonomy?”
  - Anthony Rudd (St. Olaf College)
    - “Kierkegaard, Frankfurt, and Freedom”
- **Commentator:** Michelle Kosch (Cornell University)

GX-D.  Pacific Society for Women in Philosophy
6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Feminist Politics for Democratic Elections

- **Chair:** Shelley Wilcox (San Francisco State University)
- **Speakers:**
  - Christina M. Bellon (California State University–Sacramento)
    - “Rocking the Boat and Calming the Waters? Feminist Leadership Skills in Troubled Times”
  - Kathryn J. Norlock (St. Mary’s College of Maryland)
    - “Why Bother? Environmental Pessimism, Forgiveness, and Electoral Participation”
Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)
“Why Feminists Need International Political Parties Based on Interests”

GX-E.  Philosophy of Religion Group

6:00-9:00 p.m.
Chair: Daniel Howard-Snyder (Western Washington University)
Speaker: Roger White (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“You Only Believe That Because...”
Commentators: Thomas M. Crisp (Biola University)
Evan Fales (University of Iowa)

GX-F.  Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy

6:00-9:00 p.m.
Chair: Deborah Modrak (University of Rochester)
Speakers: Mason Marshall (Pepperdine University)
“Democracy in Plato’s Republic: How Bad Is It Supposed to Be?”
Aimee L. Koeplin (Loyola Marymount University)
“The Persuasive Force of Preambles in Plato’s Laws”
Ian Flora (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
“Aristotle on (Part of) the Difference Between Belief and Imagination”

GX-G.  Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs

6:00-9:00 p.m.

Topic: War: An Avoidable Tragedy?
Chair: Carol C. Gould (Temple University)
Speakers: Ron Hirschbein (California State University–Chico)
“It’s a World Without Enemies: But Why Are We Killing Our Friends?”
Ovadia Ezra (Tel Aviv University)
“Military Interventions”
James P. Sterba (University of Notre Dame)
“The Rationale of U.S. War-prone Foreign Policy”

GX-H.  Society for Philosophy and Technology, Session 2

6:00-9:00 p.m.

Topic: Heidegger, Marcuse, and the Philosophy of Technology
Chair: Andrew Feenberg (Simon Fraser University)
Speakers: Andrew Feenberg (Simon Fraser University)  
“Heidegger, Marcuse, and the Critique of Technology”

Ian Angus (Simon Fraser University)  
“Labour, Technology, and Time”

Dana Belu (California State University–Dominguez Hills)  
“Removing the Frame: Technology and Agency in Heidegger’s Gestell”

**GX-I. Society for Skeptical Studies**

6:00-9:00 p.m.

Chair: Heather Battaly (California State University–Fullerton)

Speakers:

Richard Greene (Weber State University)  
“Moorean Bootstrapping (Sort Of)”

Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)  
“Williamson’s Rejection of Skepticism”

Joel Buenting (University of Alberta)  
“Two Views of Pyrrhonism in Hume”

Stephen Hetherington (University of New South Wales)  
“Why We Should Not Take the Long Road to Skepticism”

**GX-J. Society for the Metaphysics of Science, Session 2**

6:00-9:00 p.m.

**Topic:** New Waves in Metaphysics II

Chair: Alyssa Ney (University of Rochester)

Speakers:

Joshua Glasgow (Victoria University of Wellington)  
“Another Look at the Reality of Race, By Which I Mean Race(F)”

Neal Judisch (University of Oklahoma)  
“Bringing Things About”

Uriah Kriegel (University of Arizona)  
“Interpretivism: It’s Scope and Limits”

Mari Mikkola (University of Lancaster)  
“Is Everything Relative? Anti-realism, Truth, and Feminism”

Allan Hazlett (Fordham University)  
“Post-essentialism”
Sara Rachel Chant (University of Missouri)
“The Metaphysics of Collective Action”

**GROUP SESSION GXI — 8:00-10:00 P.M.**

**GXI-A. Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Session 2**
8:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic:** The Role of Context in Critical Thinking

Chair: Paul Green (Mount St. Mary’s College)
Speakers: Sharon Bailin (Simon Fraser University)
         Mark Battersby (Capilano University)
         “Critical Inquiry: Considering the Context”
Commentators: Jerry Cederblom (University of Nebraska–Omaha)
              Donald L. Hatcher (Baker University)

**GXI-B. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Session 2**
8:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Classical Philosophy East and West

Chair: Stephen C. Angle (Wesleyan University)
Speakers: May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)
         “Pride or Humility? Aristotle or Confucius?”
         Sean Walsh (University of Minnesota–Duluth)
         “Profit and Virtue: The Relation Between Internal and External Goods in Confucius and Aristotle”
         Tongdong Bai (Xavier University)
         “The Private and the Public in the Republic and in the Analects”
Commentator: Tushar Irani (Wesleyan University)

**GXI-C. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 3**
8:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Ethics and Epistemology in Indian Buddhism

Chair: Ethan Mills (University of New Mexico)
Presenters: Christian Coseru (College of Charleston)
“Naturalism and Intentionality: A Buddhist Epistemological Account”
         Ethan Mills (University of New Mexico)
         “Nagarjuna, Jayarasi and the Distinctive Character of Indian Skepticism”
         Stephen Harris (University of New Mexico)
         “Should Anatman Entail Altruism?”
Commentator: Richard Hayes (University of New Mexico)
GXI-D. Society for Student Philosophers, Session 2
8:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic:** Language, Metaphysics, and Belief

**Chair:** Travis N. Rieder (University of South Carolina–Columbia)

**Speakers:**
- Donovan Wishon (Stanford University)
  
  “A Stretch Semantics for Four-Dimensionalism”

- Travis N. Rieder (University of South Carolina–Columbia)
  
  “The Nature of Reasons and the Question of Doxastic Voluntarism”

- Tyler Paytas (University of Missouri–St. Louis)
  
  “The Evolution of an Illusion: How Darwinism Supports Moral Skepticism”

- Leigh Duffy (University at Buffalo)
  
  “Similarity Accounts of Modal Illusions”
## MAIN, GROUP, AND MINI-CONFERENCE PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

(Group sessions begin with “G.” All others are main sessions.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Date/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ackerly, Brooke A.</td>
<td>Vanderbilt University</td>
<td>GI-B Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, Robert</td>
<td>Oxford University</td>
<td>V-A Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar, Nicholas</td>
<td>Victoria University of Wellington</td>
<td>VIII-F Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahlers, Rolf</td>
<td>Sage Colleges</td>
<td>GV-D Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akins, Kathleen</td>
<td>Simon Fraser University</td>
<td>IV-H Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander, J. McKenzie</td>
<td>London School of Economics</td>
<td>II-C Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfonso, D. Rita</td>
<td>State University of New York–Stony Brook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almassi, Ben</td>
<td>University of Washington</td>
<td>VII-F Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alter, Torin</td>
<td>University of Alabama–Tuscaloosa</td>
<td>II-K Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altman, Matthew</td>
<td>Central Washington University</td>
<td>GII-B Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameriks, Karl</td>
<td>University of Notre Dame</td>
<td>VI-C Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames, Roger T.</td>
<td>University of Hawaii–Manoa</td>
<td>V-F Fri AM, GIX-C Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitani, Yuichi</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>III-N Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angas, Julia</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anton, Audrey L.</td>
<td>Ohio State University</td>
<td>GV-H Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antony, Louise</td>
<td>University of Massachusetts–Amherst</td>
<td>VI-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arenson, Kelly</td>
<td>Emory University</td>
<td>II-J Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong, Joshua</td>
<td>Rutgers University</td>
<td>VI-A Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arneson, Richard</td>
<td>University of California–San Diego</td>
<td>IX-C Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold, Amber</td>
<td>University of Colorado–Boulder</td>
<td>GI-G Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arras, John</td>
<td>University of Virginia</td>
<td>X-B Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arvan, Marcus</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>VI-N Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asch, Adrienne</td>
<td>Yeshiva University</td>
<td>IX-F Sat PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ashford, Elizabeth (University of St. Andrews) ...................... III-G Thu PM
Audi, Paul (University of Nebraska–Omaha) ....................... II-L Thu AM
Audi, Robert (University of Notre Dame) ......................... IV-C Thu PM
Avis, Jamie (University of British Columbia) ..................... I-F Wed PM
Avnur, Yuval (Scripps College) ........................................ IX-M Sat PM
Ayala, Saray (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and University of British Columbia) .................. X-E Sat PM
Aydedefe, Murat (University of British Columbia) ............. VI-K Sat PM

Babar, H. E. (University of San Diego) ...................... I-J Wed PM, III-L Thu PM
Babich, Babette (Fordham University) ......................... IX-I Sat PM
Bach, Kent (San Francisco State University) ..................... VI-A Fri PM
Bader, Ralf (University of St Andrews) .......................... VIII-I Sat AM
Badhwar, Neera (University of Oklahoma) ................. II-B Thu AM
Bai, Tongdong (Xavier University) .................................. X-L Sat PM, GIX-C Sat PM, GXI-B Sat PM
Baia, Alex (University of Texas–Austin) ......................... GVIII-F Fri PM
Bailin, Sharon (Simon Fraser University) .................... GXI-A Sat PM
Balaguer, Mark (California State University–Los Angeles) ... V-G Fri AM
Ballarin, Roberta (University of British Columbia) .......... VIII-J Sat AM
Balog, Katalin (Yale University) .................................... V-E Fri AM
Bar-On, Dorit (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ... IX-A Sat PM
Barnes, David (University of Colorado–Boulder) ............. GI-G Wed PM
Barnes, Elizabeth (University of Leeds) ...................... I-D Wed PM
Barnett, David (University of Colorado–Boulder) .......... III-M Thu PM
Barnouw, Jeffrey (University of Texas–Austin) ................ GX-A Sat PM
Barrett, Jeffrey (University of California–Irvine) ............. II-C Thu AM
Barry, Melissa (Williams College) ................................. VII-A Fri PM
Barry, Peter Brian (Saginaw Valley State University) .... VI-N Fri PM
Bartha, Paul (University of British Columbia) ............... X-H Sat PM
Bartlett, Gary (Central Washington University) .............. II-L Thu AM
Bates, Jared (Hanover College) ..................................... III-K Thu PM
Battaly, Heather (California State University–Fullerton) ........ IX-L Sat PM, GX-I Sat PM
Battersby, Mark (Capilano University) ......................... GXI-A Sat PM
Battin, Margaret (University of Utah) ......................... II-G Thu AM
Batty, Clare (University of Kentucky) ............................. VIII-K Sat AM
Bauer, Nancy (Tufts University) ..................................... IX-I Sat PM
Baxley, Anne Margaret (Washington University in St. Louis) .... V-H Fri AM
Beck, Jacob (Texas Tech University) .............................. VI-M Fri PM
Begby, Endre (Claremont McKenna College) ..................... II-H Thu AM
Beisecker, Dave (University of Nevada–Las Vegas).................... II-H Thu AM  
Beitz, Charles (Princeton University)...............................IX-C Sat PM, X-I Sat PM  
Bell, Kristen (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)...........VI-L Fri PM  
Bellon, Christina M. (California State University–Sacramento)  
..........................................................................................II-N Thu AM, GX-D Sat PM  
Belot, Gordon (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)....................III-C Thu PM  
Belu, Dana (California State University–Domínguez Hills).......GX-H Sat PM  
Bennett, Jonathan (Syracuse University)..............................VIII-E Sat AM  
Benson, Hugh (University of Oklahoma)..............................VI-G Fri AM  
Berger, Jacob (City University of New York Graduate Center)....VIII-I Sat AM  
Berkeson, Mark (Hamline University).................................GV-B Thu PM  
Berman, Scott (St. Louis University).................................V-K Fri AM  
Bernecker, Sven (University of California–Irvine).................VII-B Fri PM  
Berns, Egidius (Tilburg University).................................GIII-A Wed PM  
Bernstein, Sara (University of Arizona)..............................IX-H Sat PM  
Berryman, Sylvia (University of British Columbia)  
..........................................................................................III-B Thu PM, GVIII-A Fri PM  
Bertman, Martin (Hobbes Studies).................................GVIII-C Fri PM, GX-A Sat PM  
Bertolet, Rod (Purdue University).................................IX-L Sat PM  
Besser-Jones, Lorraine L. (Middlebury College)..............VII-H Fri PM  
Birondo, Noell (Pomona College).................................VII-A Fri PM  
Black, Samuel (Simon Fraser University)......................II-B Thu AM  
Blakeley, Donald (University of Hawai–Manoa)..................VIII-N Sat AM  
Blondell, Ruby (University of Washington).....................VIII-B Sat AM  
Blum, Lawrence (University of Massachusetts–Boston)........X-J Sat PM  
Blumson, Ben (University of Sydney)...............................GIV-D Thu PM, GVIII-J Fri PM  
Bobro, Marc (Santa Barbara City College)............................VI-F Fri PM  
Boersema, David (Pacific University)...............................I-K Wed PM, II-K Thu AM  
Bohman, James (St. Louis University)..............................I-I Wed PM, GII-F Wed PM  
Booth, Kelvin (Thompson Rivers University)......................IV-F Thu PM  
Boxill, Bernard (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)....VIII-M Sat AM  
Braith, Matthew (University of California–Riverside)...........III-K Thu PM  
Brakas, Jurgis (Marist College).................................III-O Thu PM  
Brandom, Robert (University of Pittsburgh)......................V-B Fri AM  
Bratman, Michael (Stanford University)............................III-J Thu PM  
Brave, Daniel (University of Kentucky).........................GV-D Thu PM  
Brene, Steven (University of Oregon)..............................GIX-D Sat PM  
Brennan, Jason (Brown University).................................II-B Thu AM  
Brennan, Samantha (University of Western Ontario)...........II-D Thu AM  
Brettschneider, Corey (Brown University).........................V-C Fri AM
Brewer, Talbot (University of Virginia) ........................................ VI-J Wed PM
Brighouse, Carolyn (Occidental College) .................................. IV-J Thu PM
Bright, Josh (University of California–Riverside) ...................... VI-M Fri PM
Brinkmann, Klaus (Boston University) ..................................... GII-A Wed PM
Briscoe, Robert (Ohio University) .......................................... II-K Thu AM
Broessel, Peter (Universität Konstanz) .................................... V-M Fri AM
Broggaard, Berit (University of Missouri–St. Louis) .................... III-K Thu PM
Bromwich, Danielle (National Institutes of Health) ..................... II-I Thu AM
Brooks, Ian (University of British Columbia) ............................ III-O Thu PM
Brown, Deborah J. (University of Queensland) ........................ III-I Thu PM
Brown, Derek (Brandon University) .......................................... II-L Thu AM
Brown, Matthew J. (University of California–San Diego) .............. GVIII-I Fri PM, IX-H Sat PM
Brown, Richard (City University of New York–LaGuardia) ........ IX-K Sat PM
Brown, Stephen (Briar Cliff College) ....................................... VI-N Fri PM
Brownlee, Kimberley (University of Manchester) ....................... VI-L Fri PM
Brueckner, Anthony (University of California–Santa Barbara) .... VIII-A Sat AM
Brunero, John (University of Missouri–St. Louis) ....................... IX-M Sat PM
Bruno, Michael (University of Arizona) .................................... VI-I Fri PM
Bueno, Otávio (University of Miami) ....................................... GVIII-H Fri PM, IX-B Sat PM, GX-I Sat PM
Buenting, Joel (University of Alberta) ...................................... GX-I Sat PM
Butterfield, Nicolas (Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique) ........................................... II-H Thu AM
Bunch, Aaron (Washington State University) ......................... GV-D Thu PM
Burak, Ken (Northampton Community College) ....................... IV-N Thu PM
Burkholder, Leslie (University of British Columbia) .................... VIII-H Sat AM
Butchvarov, Panayot (University of Iowa) ............................... IX-J Sat PM
Butler, James (Berea College) ............................................. II-J Thu AM
Butterfield, Mary (Vanderbilt University) ................................. IX-L Sat PM
Bykova, Marina F. (North Carolina State University) ................. GV-D Thu PM
Byrne, Alex (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ............... IV-D Thu PM
Bäck, Alan (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania) ........................ GVIII-L Fri PM

C

Callaway, Howard G, (Independent Scholar) ............................. VIII-I Sat AM
Callender, Craig (University of California–San Diego) ............... VI-H Fri PM
Cameron, Margaret (University of Victoria) .............................. IV-F Thu PM
Cameron, Ross (University of Leeds) ..................................... GV-G Thu PM, VII-D Fri PM
Campana, Daniel (University of La Verne) ............................. X-G Sat PM
Campbell, Joseph Keim (Washington State University) ........... III-E Thu PM
Cannon, Douglas (University of Puget Sound) ......................... VIII-J Sat AM
Caplan, Ben (Ohio State University) .............................................. IV-E Thu PM
Capone, Jr., Stephen (University of Utah) .................................. IX-J Sat PM
Cariani, Fabrizio (University of California–Berkeley) ............ VII-C Fri PM
Carlson, Allen (University of Alberta) ..................................... IX-B Sat PM
Carroll, Noël (City University of New York–Graduate School) .......................................................... III-A Thu PM, GVIII-K Fri PM
Carron, Paul (Baylor University) .............................................. GX-C Sat PM
Cartwright, Nancy (London School of Economics and University of California–San Diego)........... Presidential Address Fri PM
Casullo, Albert (University of Nebraska–Lincoln) ................. I-A Wed PM
Caudill, David (Villanova University) ....................................... III-F Thu PM
Cederblom, Jerry (University of Nebraska–Omaha) .............. GXI-A Sat PM
Cesana, Andreas (Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz) .... GV-C Thu PM
Chakrabarti, Arindam (University of Hawaii–Manoa) ........ GII-D Wed PM, VI-O Fri PM
Chakrabarti, Chandana (Davis and Elkins College) .............. GV-H Thu PM
Chakrabarti, Kisor Kumar (Davis and Elkins College) .......... GV-H Thu PM
Chalmers, David (Australian National University) ............... V-E Fri AM
Chan, Sin Yee (University of Vermont) .................................... GVIII-B Fri PM
Chandler, John (University of California–Santa Barbara) ...... III-K Thu PM
Chant, Sara Rachel (University of Missouri) ......................... GX-J Sat PM
Chappell, Vere (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) .... IX-G Sat PM
Cheng, Chung-Ying (University of Hawaii–Manoa) .............. GVIII-B Fri PM
Cheng, Weigang (University of Macau) .................................. GI-A Wed PM
Cherubin, Rose (George Mason University) ......................... IV-M Thu PM
Chignell, Andrew (Cornell University) ................................. GVI-A Thu PM
Cho, Eun-su (Seoul National University) ............................... VI-O Fri PM
Cholbi, Michael (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona) ............ II-I Thu AM
Christiano, Thomas (University of Arizona) ......................... V-C Fri AM
Christman, John (Pennsylvania State University) ................... IX-M Sat PM
Chuang, Christina (University of California–Irvine) ............. X-F Sat PM
Chuard, Philippe (Southern Methodist University) ............... II-L Thu AM
Chung, Ryoa (Université de Montréal) ................................. GI-B Wed PM
Church, Jennifer (Vassar College) ........................................ II-L Thu AM
Clarke, Randolph (Florida State University) ......................... II-K Thu AM
Clarke, Roger (University of British Columbia) ..................... V-M Fri AM
Clatterbaugh, Kenneth (University of Washington) ............. VI-F Fri PM
Cliffton, Scott (University of Washington) ......................... VI-I Fri PM
Cline, Erin (University of Oregon) ....................................... GI-A Wed PM, II-D Thu AM
Clough, Sharyn (Oregon State University) ............................ IX-H Sat PM
Coffman, E. J. (University of Tennessee) .......................................VI-L Fri PM
Cohen, Jonathan (University of California–San Diego) .......... X-H Sat PM
Coleman, Anthony D. (Willamette University) ...................... V-I Fri AM
Collins, John (East Carolina University) ................................. V-I Fri AM
Comesaña, Juan (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ............. IX-L Sat PM
Cook Anderson, Sybol (St. Mary’s College of Maryland) ...... VIII-M Sat AM
Copenhaver, Rebecca (Lewis and Clark College) ................... IV-D Thu PM
Copp, David (University of Florida) ................................. GIV-A Thu PM, VI-B Fri PM
Corkum, Philip (University of Alberta) ................................. III-O Thu PM
Corrigan, Richard (University College, Dublin) .................... IX-K Sat PM
Coseru, Christian (College of Charleston) ............................ II-L Thu AM, GXI-C Sat PM
Cowling, Sam (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) .......... VIII-J Sat AM
Cowling, William (Oregon State University) .......................... VI-J Fri PM
Coyle, Daniel (Birmingham–Southern College and Our Lady of the Lake University) ........................................ GIII-B Wed PM
Cranor, Carl (University of California–Riverside) ................. III-F Thu PM
Creese, David (University of British Columbia) ................. I-F Wed PM
Crisp, Thomas M. (Biola University) ................................. GVIII-F Fri PM, GX-E Sat PM
Crouch, J. Brent (University of Oregon) ............................... GIX-D Sat PM
Crouch, Margaret (Eastern Michigan University) ................. I-J Wed PM
Cudd, Ann (University of Kansas) ................................. III-N Thu PM, GVIII-G Fri PM
Cummiskey, David (Bates College) ................................. VIII-L Sat AM
Cunningham, Frank (University of Toronto) ........................ I-K Wed PM
Curd, Martin (Purdue University) ........................................ II-E Thu AM
Curd, Patricia (Purdue University) ...................................... III-B Thu PM
Currie, Gregory (University of Nottingham) ........................ IX-B Sat PM
Curry, Agnes (St. Joseph College) ..................................... IX-I Sat PM
Curry, Tommy J. (Pennsylvania State University) ................. VIII-M Sat AM
Curzer, Howard J. (Texas Tech University) ........................ GV-B Thu PM, IX-O Sat PM

D
Dadlez, Eva (University of Central Oklahoma) ....................... VII-H Fri PM
Dancy, Jonathan (University of Texas–Austin and University of Reading) ................................................................. V-L Fri AM
Darby, Derrick (University of Kansas) ................................. GVIII-G Fri PM
Darwall, Stephen (Yale University) ................................... VIII-C Sat AM
Davidson, Matthew (California State University–San Bernardino) ................................................................. VIII-J Sat AM
Davies, Stephen (University of Auckland) .......................... IX-B Sat PM
Davis, Tom (Whitman College) .......................................... GII-E Wed PM
Davison, Scott A. (Morehead State University) .................... GIV-F Thu PM
de Melo-Martin, Inmaculada (Cornell University) ................ II-G Thu AM
DeCew, Judith Wagner (Clark University) .................... IX-N Sat PM
Decker, Mark (University of Nebraska–Lincoln) ................ VI-M Fri PM
Deery, Oisin (University of British Columbia) ................ IX-M Sat PM
DeMoss, David (Pacific University) ............................... V-K Fri AM
Dever, Josh (University of Texas–Austin) ....................... X-A Sat PM
Dharamsi, Karim (University of Winnipeg) ...................... VI-I Fri PM
Diaz, Kim (Texas A&M University) ............................... GIX-A Sat PM
Dieveney, Patrick S. (California State University–Long Beach) ... II-K Thu AM
Dilworth, David (State University of New York–Stony Brook) .......................... GI-A Wed PM
Dobe, Jennifer (Grinnell College) ................................. GI-E Wed PM
Dockstader, Darin (College of Southern Nevada) ............... GVII-A Fri PM
Dodd, Julian (University of Manchester) ......................... IV-E Thu PM
Dombrowski, Daniel A. (Seattle University) ..................... GIV-E Thu PM, GIX-B Sat PM
Donaho, Stephen (Normandale Community College) ........... GII-C Wed PM
Doris, John (Washington University in St. Louis) ............... GIV-C Thu PM, V-A Fri AM
Driver, Julia (Washington University in St. Louis) ............. II-B Thu AM
Duffy, Leigh (University at Buffalo) ............................. GXI-D Sat PM
Dumsday, Travis (University of Calgary) ......................... VIII-H Sat AM

E

Easwaran, Kenny (University of California–Berkeley) ........ I-B Wed PM
Edwards, Kevan (Syracuse University) ............................ II-H Thu AM
Egan, Andrew (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor and Australian National University) .............................. V-M Fri AM
Egan, David (Oxford University) ................................. GII-C Wed PM
Ehrlich, Leonard (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) .... GV-C Thu PM
Eilam, Naomi (Warwick University) .............................. III-D Thu PM
Eklund, Matti (Cornell University) ............................... II-A Thu AM
Elliott, Katrina (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... VIII-H Sat AM
Engstrom, Stephen (University of Pittsburgh) ................... IX-D Sat PM
Erekuff, Paul (San Francisco State University) .................. V-J Fri AM
Erickson, Stephen (Pomona College) ............................. GII-A Wed PM
Estlund, David (Brown University) ............................... VI-B Fri PM
Evnine, Simon (University of Miami) ............................. IX-A Sat PM
Ezra, Ovadia (Tel Aviv University) ............................... GVI-B Thu PM, GX-G Sat PM

F

Fadness, Soon-Ah K. (University of California–Irvine) ........ V-L Fri AM
Fahey, Carolyn A. (University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne) .... IV-A Thu PM, GVIII-J Fri PM
Fairlamb, Horace B. (University of Houston) ....................... GV-H Thu PM
Fales, Evan (University of Iowa) .......................................... GX-E Sat PM
Faller, Mark (Alaska Pacific University) .................. GVIII-L Fri PM, GX-A Sat PM
Fallis, Don (University of Arizona) ..................................... I-D Wed PM
Falvey, Kevin (University of California–Santa Barbara) .......... IX-M Sat PM
Fantl, Jeremy (University of Calgary) ............................... IX-L Sat PM
Farinas, Rebecca (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) ... GVIII-J Fri PM
Farnum, John (Portland Community College) ........................ IV-G Thu PM
Feenberg, Andrew (Simon Fraser University) .................... GV-E Thu PM, GX-H Sat PM
Fenton, Elizabeth (Harvard University) ............................ X-B Sat PM
Ferrero, Luca (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee) ........... III-D Thu PM
Fiala, Andrew (California State University–Fresno) .............. GVI-B Thu PM, GIX-A Sat PM
Fiengo, Robert (City University of New York–Graduate Center) .. VI-A Fri PM
Filler, Joshua (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ............ III-N Thu PM
Fine, Arthur (University of Washington) ........................... V-G Fri AM
Finlay, Stephen (University of Southern California) ............ II-I Thu AM
Finn, Stephen (Seattle University) ....................................... GIX-B Sat PM
Fitelson, Branden (University of California–Berkeley) ........ V-M Fri AM
Flanagan, Owen (Duke University) ........................................ XI-A Sun AM
Fleming, Patrick (James Madison University) ..................... V-I Fri AM
Flora, Ian (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) .................. GX-F Sat PM
Florka, Roger (Ursinus College) .......................................... V-H Fri AM
Foreman, Elizabeth (St. Louis University) .......................... X-F Sat PM
Forman, David (University of Nevada–Las Vegas) ............. V-H Fri AM
Foust, Mathew A. (University of Oregon) ........................... GI-G Wed PM, GVIII-I Fri PM
Fox, Richard N. (California State University–Long Beach) .... GVIII-J Fri PM
Framarin, Christopher G. (University of Calgary) ........... GII-D Wed PM
Francis, Leslie (University of Utah) .................. I-I Wed PM, III-H Thu PM, VII-K Fri PM
Franks, Paul (University of Toronto) ............................... IV-B Thu PM, GV-D Thu PM
Frasz, Geoffrey (College of Southern Nevada) ................... IV-K Thu PM, IV-N Thu PM, VII-L Fri PM, GVIII-D Fri PM
Freeland, Cynthia (University of Houston) ................. IV-A Thu PM
Freeman, Samuel (University of Pennsylvania) .............. III-G Thu PM
French, Steven (University of Leeds) ................................. GVIII-H Fri PM
Frierson, Patrick R. (Whitman College) ................... GII-B Wed PM, VII-B Fri PM
Fritzman, J. M. (Lewis and Clark College) .................. GI-E Wed PM, V-J Fri AM
Fultner, Barbara (University of Connecticut and Denison University) ............................................. V-J Fri AM
Fung, Yiu-Ming (Hong Kong University of Science and Technology) ............................................ GVIII-B Fri PM
Furrow, Dwight (San Diego Mesa College) ........................................ IX-M Sat PM

Gabbey, Alan (Barnard College) ....................................................... III-I Thu PM
Gabriel, Jazmine (University of Oregon) ....................................... GVIII-I Fri PM
Gallegos, Sergio (City University of New York–Graduate Center) ......................................................... II-A Thu AM
Ganson, Todd (Oberlin College) ....................................................... VI-F Fri PM
Garber, Dan (Princeton University) .................................................. III-I Thu PM
Garchar, Kimberly (Kent State University) .................................... GIX-D Sat PM
Gardiner, Stephen M. (University of Washington) ...................... GI-B Wed PM, GX-B Sat PM
Garrett, Don (New York University) .............................................. III-E Thu PM
Garry, Ann (California State University–Los Angeles) ............... VI-N Fri PM
Gartner, Corinne (Princeton University) ....................................... VIII-C Sat AM
Gaus, Gerald (University of Arizona) ............................................ I-I Wed PM, VI-B Fri PM
Gaut, Berys (University of St. Andrews) ........................................ III-A Thu PM
Geesz, Steven (University of Tampa) ............................................... V-F Fri AM
Gelinas, Luke (University of Toronto) ............................................ IV-I Thu PM
Gendreau, Megs (University of California–Riverside) ............... III-K Thu PM
Genone, James (University of California–Berkeley) .................... VI-I Fri PM
Gerber, Lisa (University of New Mexico) ....................................... GX-B Sat PM
Gerken, Mikkel (University of Copenhagen) ................................ VIII-A Sat AM
Gert, Bernard (Dartmouth College) ................................................. V-N Fri AM
Ghate, Onkar (Ayn Rand Institute) .............................................. GIV-A Thu PM
Giannopoulou, Zina (University of California–Irvine) ............... VI-G Fri PM
Giberman, Daniel (Stanford University) ......................................... IX-J Sat PM
Gillies, Anthony (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) ............... VIII-E Sat AM
Gilmore, Richard (Concordia College–Moorhead) .................... GIV-D Thu PM
Glanzberg, Michael (University of California–Davis) ............... III-J Thu PM
Glasgow, Joshua (Victoria University of Wellington) ............... GX-J Sat PM
Gleaves, John (Pennsylvania State University) ......................... GIX-B Sat PM
Glezakos, Stavroula (Wake Forest University) ......................... IV-H Thu PM
Goering, Sara (University of Washington) .................................... IX-F Sat PM
Goldberg, Nathaniel J. (Washington and Lee University) .......... III-M Thu PM
Goldberg, Sanford (Northwestern University) ......................... VIII-A Sat AM
Goldie, Peter (University of Manchester) .................................. GVIII-K Fri PM
Goldman, Alan (College of William and Mary) ......................... IV-E Thu PM
Goldman, Alvin (Rutgers University) ........................................... II-E Thu AM
Gonzalez, Cecily (San Francisco State University) ................... IX-I Sat PM
Goodin, Bob (Australian National University) ......................... IX-C Sat PM, X-I Sat PM
Goodin, Patrick (Howard University) .......................................... IV-M Thu PM
Gopnik, Alison (University of California–Berkeley)................................GV-A Thu PM
Gordon, Robert (Simon Fraser University)..........................VIII-D Sat AM, X-K Sat PM
Gorham, Geoffrey (St. Olaf College)......................................III-N Thu PM
Goswick, Dana Lynne (University of California–Davis)...........II-K Thu AM
Gotthelf, Allan (University of Pittsburgh).............................GV-A Thu PM
Gough, Jim (Red Deer College)...........................................GII-E Wed PM
Gould, Carol C. (Temple University).................................X-I Sat PM, GX-G Sat PM
Graham, Daniel W. (Brigham Young University)....................III-B Thu PM
Graham, Peter (University of California–Riverside)................VIII-A Sat AM
Grange, Joseph (University of Southern Maine)...................GIX-C Sat PM
Gratton, Peter (University of San Diego)..............................GX-B Sat PM
Green, Paul (Mount St. Mary’s College)..............................III-L Thu PM, GXI-A Sat PM
Greenberg, Sean (University of California–Irvine) ................................GV-A Thu PM, VI-F Fri PM
Greene, Richard (Weber State University)...................III-K Thu PM, GX-I Sat PM
Gressis, Robert (California State University–Northridge)........II-I Thu AM
Grier, Michelle (University of San Diego)..............................X-F Sat PM
Gruen, Lori (Wesleyan University)....................................VI-J Fri PM, GX-B Sat PM
Guerrero, Alexander (New York University).........................VIII-L Sat AM
Guerrero, Laura P. (University of New Mexico)......................I-E Wed PM
Guevara, Daniel (University of California–Santa Cruz)........IX-M Sat PM
Gullion, Todd (San Francisco State University)......................I-E Wed PM
Gupta, Anil (University of Pittsburgh)................................VIII-K Sat AM
Gurley, S. West (John Carroll University).............................V-I Fri AM
Guyer, Paul (University of Pennsylvania)............................VI-C Fri PM

H
Haber, Matt (University of Utah)..........................................I-G Wed PM
Habib, Allen N. (University of Calgary).............................IV-K Thu PM
Hagar, Amit (Indiana University–Bloomington)......................VI-H Fri PM
Haji, Ish (University of Calgary).......................................I-C Wed PM
Hales, Steven D. (Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania).....GV-F Thu PM
Hamilton, Andrew (Arizona State University)........................III-N Thu PM
Hanna, Robert (University of Colorado–Boulder)....................VI-C Fri PM
Hanson, Erik (Purdue University).......................................GX-C Sat PM
Hanson, Louise (Oxford University)..................................GVIII-J Fri PM
Hanson, Phil (Simon Fraser University)................................VII-J Fri PM
Harman, Elizabeth (Princeton University)...........................VIII-L Sat AM
Harold, James (Mount Holyoke College)..............................GV-B Thu PM, V-L Fri AM
Harris, James A. (University of St. Andrews).......................III-E Thu PM
Harris, John (Texas Christian University)............................VII-G Fri PM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Program/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harris, Stephen</td>
<td>University of New Mexico</td>
<td>GXI-C SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harter, Thomas D.</td>
<td>University of Tennessee</td>
<td>IV-G THU PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartmann, Bill</td>
<td>St. Louis Community College</td>
<td>IV-N THU PM, VII-L FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartz, Glenn</td>
<td>Ohio State University–Mansfield</td>
<td>VI-F FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey, George</td>
<td>Indiana University Southeast</td>
<td>II-J THU AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasslanger, Sally</td>
<td>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</td>
<td>IX-E SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hassoun, Nicole</td>
<td>Carnegie Mellon University</td>
<td>II-G THU AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcher, Donald L.</td>
<td>Baker University</td>
<td>GXI-A SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haukioja, Jussi</td>
<td>University of Turku</td>
<td>VIII-J SAT AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkins, Jennifer</td>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>VI-J FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne, James</td>
<td>University of Oklahoma</td>
<td>I-B WED PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haybron, Daniel</td>
<td>St. Louis University</td>
<td>III-L THU PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes, Richard</td>
<td>University of New Mexico</td>
<td>GXI-C SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazlett, Allan</td>
<td>Fordham University</td>
<td>GV-G THU PM, GX-J SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healey, Richard</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>III-C THU PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heath, Michael</td>
<td>Catlin Gable School</td>
<td>I-K WED PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heller, Mark</td>
<td>Syracuse University</td>
<td>IX-L SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellewell, Jamie</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>III-O THU PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendricks, Jamie</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>GVIII-A FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman, Barbara</td>
<td>University of California–Los Angeles</td>
<td>VII-M SAT PM, IX-D SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herr, Ranjoo</td>
<td>Bentley College</td>
<td>II-M THU AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetherington, Stephen</td>
<td>University of New South Wales</td>
<td>GX-I SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyd, Thomas</td>
<td>University of Victoria</td>
<td>VI-J FRI PM, GVIII-D FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higginbotham, James</td>
<td>University of Southern California</td>
<td>V-D FRI AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillert, David</td>
<td>University of Illinois–Chicago</td>
<td>IV-J FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, Christopher</td>
<td>Brown University</td>
<td>VIII-A SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, Thomas</td>
<td>University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill</td>
<td>II-D THU AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiller, Avram</td>
<td>Portland State University</td>
<td>I-D WED PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinchman, Edward</td>
<td>University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee</td>
<td>VII-F FRI PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hine, Kristen A.</td>
<td>Towson University</td>
<td>II-G THU AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirschbein, Ron</td>
<td>California State University–Chico</td>
<td>GVI-B THU PM, GX-G SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho, Anita</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>IX-F SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges, Michael</td>
<td>Vanderbilt University</td>
<td>IV-I THU PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgson, Louis-Philippe</td>
<td>York University</td>
<td>X-G SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman, Paul</td>
<td>University of California–Riverside</td>
<td>IX-G SAT PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hofweber, Thomas</td>
<td>University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill</td>
<td>GV-G THU PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogan, Brendan</td>
<td>New York University</td>
<td>GII-F WED PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogan, Melinda</td>
<td>Kwantlen Polytechnic University</td>
<td>VII-I FRI PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Holder, Cindy (University of Victoria) .............................................V-C Fri AM
Holloran, John (Oregon Episcopal School) ....................................... I-K Wed PM
Holton, Richard (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ..............VI-E Fri PM
Holtzman, Matthew (Johns Hopkins University) ...........................II-J Thu AM
Hood, Pamela (San Francisco State University) .......................... IV-M Thu PM, V-J Fri AM
Horisk, Claire (University of Missouri) .........................................III-M Thu PM
Howard, Don (University of Notre Dame) ...................................... GVIII-H Fri PM
Howard-Snyder, Daniel (Western Washington University) .............. IV-H Thu PM, GIV-F Thu PM, GX-E Sat PM
Howard-Snyder, Frances (Western Washington University) .......... GIV-F Thu PM
Howell, Robert (Southern Methodist University) ..........................IX-K Sat PM
Howell, Robert (State University of New York–Albany) .............. V-G Fri AM
Howes, Moira (Trent University) .................................................. I-G Wed PM
Huang, Yong (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania) ................. GI-A Wed PM, V-F Fri AM, IX-O Sat PM
Hudspeth, Christopher (University of South Florida–Tampa) .... IV-K Thu PM
Hughes, Paul (University of Michigan–Dearborn) ...................... V-I Fri AM
Hughes, Robert (University of California–Los Angeles) ..............VI-L Fri PM
Hugon, Pascale (Austrian Academy of Sciences) ....................... VI-O Fri PM
Humiston, Michael (University of Wisconsin–Madison) .......... III-M Thu PM
Hunter, David (Ryerson University) ........................................ III-M Thu PM
Hurka, Thomas (University of Toronto) ................................ VIII-C Sat AM, IX-O Sat PM
Hurley, Paul (Claremont McKenna College) ................................ III-G Thu PM
Huth, Marcia (Hawbridge School) .............................................. I-K Wed PM
Huttegger, Simon (Konrad Lorenz Institute for Evolution and Cognition Research) .................................. II-C Thu AM
Hutton, Eric (University of Utah) ..............................................GI-A Wed PM, GV-B Thu PM, VIII-N Sat AM
Hymers, Michael (Dalhousie University) ................................... VII-E Fri PM
Hájek, Alan (Australian National University) ............................. I-B Wed PM
Illes, Judy (University of British Columbia) ................................ III-H Thu PM
Im, Manyul (Fairfield University) .............................................GVIII-B Fri PM
Irani, Tushar (Wesleyan University) ..........................................GXI-B Sat PM
Irvine, Andrew (University of British Columbia) ....................... VII-I Fri PM
Ivanhoe, Philip J. (City University of Hong Kong) ....................... XI-A Sun AM
Jackman, Henry (York University) ........................................... GV-F Thu PM
Jacobs, Jonathan (Colgate University) ...................................... GIV-A Thu PM
Jacobson, Anne (University of Houston) .................................. X-E Sat PM
James, Susan (Birkbeck College London) ........................................ VIII-G Sat AM
Jang, Misung (University at Buffalo) ........................................... GIII-B Wed PM
Jaworska, Agnieszka (University of California–Riverside) ...... III-H Thu PM
Jenkins, Michelle (University of Arizona) ................................. V-K Fri AM
Jenkins, Scott (University of Kansas) ........................................... GVIII-E Fri PM
Jennings, Bruce (Yale University) .............................................. III-H Thu PM
Jeshion, Robin (University of California–Riverside) ................. IX-E Sat PM
Jiang, Xinyan (University of Redlands) ...................................... V-F Fri AM
Jie, Tian (University of British Columbia) ................................. VII-G Fri PM
Joffe, Ari (University of Alberta) ............................................... V-N Fri AM
Johansen, Marc (University of Arizona) ..................................... IX-J Sat PM
John, James (University of Toronto) ......................................... VIII-K Sat AM
Johns, Brandon (University of Southern California) ............... I-C Wed PM
Johnson, Jeff (College of St. Catherine) ................................. GII-C Wed PM, V-J Fri AM
Johnson, Jennifer (Simon Fraser University) ............................ II-I Thu AM
Johnston, Joshua (University of British Columbia) ................... III-A Thu PM
Jolley, Kelly (Auburn University) ............................................... V-L Fri AM
Jones, David (College of St. Catherine) ..................................... GII-C Wed PM
Jones, Emma (University of Oregon) .............................. GI-C Wed PM, GIV-B Thu PM
Jones, Russell (University of Oklahoma) .................................. VI-G Fri PM
Jones, Sarah (Northern Michigan University) ........................... VIII-K Sat AM
Joseph, Marc (Mills College) ................................................... VIII-J Sat AM
Joyce, James (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) ................. VII-C Fri PM
Judisch, Neal (University of Oklahoma) ................................... GX-J Sat PM

K
Kaag, John (University of Massachusetts–Lowell) .................. GIX-D Sat PM
Kahn, Charles (University of Pennsylvania) ......................... VIII-B Sat AM
Kahn, Leonard (University of Calgary) ................................. IV-K Thu PM
Kamtekar, Rachana (University of Arizona) .......................... V-A Fri AM, X-L Sat PM
Kane, Christopher (Tulane University of New Orleans) ......... IX-K Sat PM
Kang, Hye-ryoung (University of Nevada–Reno) .................... II-M Thu AM
Kaplan, Jonathan (Oregon State University) ......................... VIII-L Sat AM
Kardosh, John (University of Alberta) ................................... VII-H Fri PM
Katayama, Errol (Ohio Northern University) ......................... V-J Fri AM
Katsafanas, Paul (University of New Mexico) ....................... GVIII-E Fri PM
Katsura, Shoryu (Ryukoku University) ................................. VI-O Fri PM
Katz, Claire (Texas A&M University) ...................................... II-N Thu AM
Kaufman, Dan (University of Colorado–Boulder) ................. V-H Fri AM
Kawall, Jason (Colgate University) ......................................... VI-J Fri PM
Kazmi, Ali (University of Calgary) ......................................... VIII-J Sat AM
Keall, Cherilyn (University of Guelph) ....................................... GVIII-I Fri PM
Kegley, Jacquelyn Ann K. (California State University–Bakersfield) ............................................................ I-G Wed PM
Kelly, Patrick (Seattle University) ............................................ GIX-B Sat PM
Kennedy, Tara (University of New Mexico) ................................ IX-I Sat PM
Khazaee, Malek (California State University–Long Beach) ............................................................ GV-C Thu PM, VI-L Fri PM
Kim, Jaegwon (Brown University) ............................................. VI-D Fri PM
Kim, Youngmin (Seoul National University) ................................ X-L Sat PM
Kind, Amy (Claremont McKenna College) ................................ VI-D Fri PM
King, Jeffrey C. (Rutgers University) ....................................... V-D Fri AM
Kirby, Jeremy (Albion College) ................................................. V-K Fri AM
Kisner, Matthew J. (University of South Carolina) ................... V-H Fri AM
Kleingeld, Pauline (Universiteit Leiden) .................................... IV-B Thu PM
Knobe, Joshua (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ....... X-C Sat PM
Koch, William H. (University of South Florida) ......................... IX-I Sat PM
Koeplin, Aimee L. (Loyola Marymount University) ..................... IV-F Thu PM, GX-F Sat PM
Koolage, John (University of British Columbia) ......................... X-H Sat PM
Korman, Daniel Z. (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) ........................ IX-J Sat PM
Kortbein, Joshua (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities) ......... GI-C Wed PM
Kosch, Michelle (Cornell University) ........................................ GI-E Wed PM, GX-C Sat PM
Kraay, Klaas (Ryerson University) ........................................... IV-I Thu PM
Krakauer, Barak (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) ....... I-D Wed PM
Krasner, Daniel (Metropolitan State College of Denver) ......... VIII-I Sat AM
Krause, Décio (Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina) ......... GVIII-H Fri PM
Kraut, Richard (Northwestern University) .................................. VIII-C Sat AM
Kreines, James (Claremont McKenna College) ......................... V-B Fri AM
Kriegel, Uriah (University of Arizona) ...................................... IV-I Thu PM, GX-J Sat PM
Krizan, Mary Katrina (University of Colorado–Boulder) .......... III-O Thu PM
Kulic, Anthony (University of Toronto) ...................................... VIII-I Sat AM
Kulvicki, John V. (Dartmouth College) ..................................... IV-E Thu PM
Kumar, Rahul (Queen’s University) ........................................... IX-N Sat PM
Kung, Peter (Pomona College) ................................................. III-K Thu PM
Kuperus, Gerard (University of San Francisco) ......................... GIII-A Wed PM, II-J Thu AM
Kurdis, Joshua (Pennsylvania State University) ......................... GIII-A Wed PM
Kurth, Charlie (University of California–San Diego) .......... IV-K Thu PM
Kutach, Douglas N. (Brown University) ................................. GV-G Thu PM
Kvanvig, Jonathan (Baylor University) ..................................... I-A Wed PM
Landesman, Bruce (University of Utah) ...................................... VII-G Fri PM
Langley, Raymond (Manhattanville College) .......................... GII-A Wed PM
Langton, Rae (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .......... GV-G Thu PM, IX-E Sat PM
Latta, Marcy (University of Pennsylvania) ............................. X-F Sat PM
Lautensach, Alexander K. (University of Northern British Columbia) ............................................ GX-B Sat PM
Lawson, Bill E. (University of Memphis) ............................... VIII-M Sat AM
LeBar, Mark (Ohio University) ……………………………………… X-G Sat PM
Lee, Pauline (Washington University in St. Louis) ……………… VIII-N Sat AM
Leite, Adam (Indiana University–Bloomington) ………………… I-A Wed PM
LePore, Ernest (Rutgers University) ………………………………… VI-A Fri PM
Lewis, Stephanie R. (Independent Scholar) ……………………… VIII-I Sat AM
Lewtas, Patrick (American University of Beirut) ………………… VI-F Fri PM
Liao, S. Matthew (Oxford University) ……………………………… II-I Thu AM
Liberto, Hallie Rose (University of Wisconsin–Madison) …… VI-N Fri PM
Liebendorfer, Richard (Minnesota State University–Mankato) ………………… GV-H Thu PM
Lim, Joungbin (University of Virginia) …………………………… IX-K Sat PM
List, Christian (London School of Economics) …………………… II-E Thu AM
Liu, JeeLoo (California State University–Fullerton) ……….. XI-A Sun AM
Livengood, Jonathan (University of Pittsburgh) ………………… VI-K Fri PM
Lizza, John P. (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania) ……… V-N Fri AM
Loeb, Paul S. (University of Puget Sound) ……………………… G VIII-E Fri PM
Loewer, Barry (Rutgers University) ………………………………… VIII-E Sat AM
London, Alex John (Carnegie Mellon University) …………... X-B Sat PM
Look, Brandon (University of Kentucky) ……………………… V-H Fri AM
Love, Alan C. (University of Minnesota) …………………………. III-N Thu PM
Lucas, Michaela (University of British Columbia) …………... III-L Thu PM
Lucey, Kenneth (University of Nevada–Reno) ………………… I-D Wed PM
Ludescher, Jessica (Seattle University) …………………………… GI-D Wed PM
Ludlow, Peter (Northwestern University) ……………………….. III-J Thu PM
Lusk, Gregory (Ohio University) ………………………………….. VI-K Fri PM
Luttrell, Johanna (University of Oregon) ……………………….. GI-C Wed PM
Lycan, William G. (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ………………………………… VIII-D Sat AM
Lynch, Joseph (California Polytechnic State University) ……… G VIII-L Fri PM, VIII-H Sat AM
Lynch, Michael (University of Connecticut) ……………………… GV-F Thu PM
Lyon, Aidan (Australian National University) …………………… I-B Wed PM
Ma, Lin (Renmin University of China) ........................................... II-M Thu AM
Macbeth, Danielle (Haverford College) ...................................... IX-K Sat PM
Macdonald, Chris (Saint Mary’s University) ............................. GI-D Wed PM
Mackenzie, Matt (Colorado State University) ............................. GII-D Wed PM
Macleod, Colin (University of Victoria) ..................................... III-F Thu PM
Mahoney, Timothy (Providence College) .................................. VI-G Fri PM
Manninen, Tuomas (Arizona State University) ......................... IV-H Thu PM
Marcano, Donna-Dale L. (Trinity College Connecticut) ............. IV-M Thu PM
Marquis, Don (University of Kansas) ........................................... V-N Fri AM
Marshall, Mason (Pepperdine University) ................................. GX-F Sat PM
Martell, James A. (Colorado State University) ........................... VI-F Wed PM
Martin, Eric (University of California–San Diego) .................... VIII-H Sat AM
Martin, Norah (University of Portland) ....................................... II-N Thu AM
Martin, Rex (University of Kansas) ........................................... VI-B Fri PM
Martinez, Joel (Lewis and Clark College) ................................. III-N Thu PM
Mascarenhas, Vijay (Metropolitan State College of Denver) .... IV-J Thu PM
Matey, Jennifer (Florida International University) ..................... X-E Sat PM
Matheson, Carl (University of Manitoba) ................................... IV-E Thu PM
Matheson, Jonathan (University of Rochester) ......................... III-K Thu PM
Matheson, Sue (University College of the North) ...................... GII-E Wed PM
Mathiesen, Kay (University of Arizona) .................................... VI-N Fri PM
Matthen, Mohan (University of Toronto) .................................. IV-D Thu PM
Mattice, Sarah (University of Hawaii–Manoa) ......................... GI-G Wed PM
May, Robert (University of California–Davis) ............................ VI-A Fri PM
Mayhew, Robert (Seton Hall University) ................................. VI-G Fri PM
McAleer, Sean (University of Wisconsin–Eau Claire) ............... II-F Thu AM
McBrayer, Justin P. (Fort Lewis College) .................................. V-L Fri AM
McCain, Kevin (University of Rochester) ................................ IX-H Sat PM
McCullagh, Mark (University of Guelph) .................................. II-H Thu AM
McElhoes, Dave (University of Maryland–College Park) .......... VII-I Fri PM
McGivern, Patrick (University of Alberta) ............................... VIII-H Sat AM
McConigal, Andrew (University of Leeds and Cornell University) ................................ III-A Thu PM
McGregor, Joan (Arizona State University) .............................. IX-N Sat PM
McIntosh, Jill (Simon Fraser University) .................................. X-K Sat PM
McKeen, Catherine (Williams College) .................................... II-J Thu AM
McKenna, Michael (Florida State University) ......................... I-C Wed PM
McLeod, Alexus (University of Connecticut) .......................... GIII-B Wed PM
McMullin, Irene (University of Arkansas) ................................. I-E Wed PM
McPartland, Keith (Williams College) ..................................... II-J Thu AM
McPherran, Mark (Simon Fraser University) .......... IX-J Sat PM, X-K Sat PM
McPherson, Tristram (University of Minnesota–Duluth) ............ VI-E Fri PM
Melanson, William J. (University of Nebraska–Omaha) .......... IX-L Sat PM
Melnyk, Andrew (University of Missouri) ......................... VI-D Fri PM
Memetea, Sonia (University of British Columbia) ............... V-M Fri AM
Mendoza, Jose Jorge (University of Oregon) .................. GI-C Wed PM, GIV-B Thu PM
Menon, Tarun (University of California–San Diego) .......... VIII-H Sat AM
Menzel, Paul (Pacific Lutheran University) ................. IV-G Thu PM
Metcalf, Jacob (University of California–Santa Cruz) ....... II-G Thu AM
Meyer, Ulrich (Colgate University) ................ I-D Wed PM
Michelfelder, Diane P. (Macalester College) ............... GV-E Thu PM
Migotti, Mark (University of Calgary) ................. GVIII-E Fri PM, X-K Sat PM
Mikkola, Mari (University of Lancaster) .................... GX-J Sat PM
Milam, Per (University of California–San Diego) .......... VI-M Fri PM
Miller, Christian (Wake Forest University) .............. I-H Wed PM
Miller, Marjorie (State University of New York–Purchase) ....... GIIX-C Sat PM
Miller, Richard (Cornell University) ......................... IX-C Sat PM
Miller-Lane, Jonathan (Middlebury College) ............... GVIII-L Fri PM
Mills, Andrew P. (Otterbein College) .................... X-A Sat PM
Mills, Charles W. (Northwestern University) ............. X-J Sat PM
Mills, Ethan (University of New Mexico) ....................... GXI-C Sat PM
Mirhady, David (Simon Fraser University) ............... I-F Wed PM
Misak, Cheryl (Simon Fraser University) ....................... VII-E Fri PM
Mitias, Lara (American University–Cairo) ............... GII-D Wed PM
Mizzoni, John (Neumann College) ......................... GVIII-C Fri PM
Modrak, Deborah (University of Rochester) ............. GX-F Sat PM
Moffett, Marc (University of Wyoming) ...................... II-H Thu AM
Montmarquet, James (Tennessee State University) ....... X-J Sat PM
Moore, Alan (University of California–Riverside) ........ VIII-J Sat AM
Moore, Dwayne (Wilfrid Laurier University) ............... IX-K Sat PM
Moore, Joseph (Amherst College) ......................... II-L Thu AM
Morris, Courtney (University of California–Riverside) .... III-D Thu PM
Morris, Stephen G. (Missouri Western State University) .... III-L Thu PM
Morrissey, Clair (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... VIII-L Sat AM
Morton, Adam (University of Alberta) ....................... IV-L Thu PM
Moses, Greg (Independent Scholar) ................ GIX-A Sat PM
Moss, Sarah (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .... VII-C Fri PM
Mourelatos, Alexander P. D. (University of Texas–Austin) .... III-B Thu PM
Mower, Deborah (Youngstown State University) .......... II-F Thu AM, GV-B Thu PM
Murday, Brendan (Ithaca College) ........................................ VIII-J Sat AM
Murray, Bradley (University of British Columbia) ...................... GVI-A Thu PM
Murray, Dale (University of Wisconsin–Baraboo/Sauk County and University of Wisconsin–Richland) .................. IV-G Thu PM
Myrvold, Wayne (University of Western Ontario) ................... III-C Thu PM

Nagel, Jennifer (University of Toronto) ................................ IX-L Sat PM
Nails, Debra (Michigan State University) ............................. VII-K Fri PM
Nanay, Bence (Syracuse University and University of British Columbia) ................................................................. VIII-K Sat AM
Nason, Shannon (Loyola Marymount University) .................. GX-C Sat PM
Neilson, Jenn (University of Texas–Austin) ........ IV-E Thu PM, GIV-D Thu PM
Nelkin, Dana (University of California–San Diego) ................ IX-A Sat PM
Nelson, Michael (University of California–Riverside) .......... IV-L Thu PM
Ney, Alyssa (University of Rochester) .................................. GV-G Thu PM, X-D Sat PM, GX-J Sat PM
Nichols, Peter (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ........ IV-H Thu PM
Nichols, Shaun (University of Arizona) .................. GIV-C Thu PM, X-C Sat PM
Nickel, Bernhard (Harvard University) ................................. X-A Sat PM
Nickel, James (Arizona State University) ........................ IV-K Thu PM
Nickel, Philip (University of California–Irvine) .......... VII-F Fri PM
Nivison, David S. (Stanford University) .......................... XI-A Sun AM
Norcross, Alastair (University of Colorado–Boulder) .......... V-C Fri AM
Norlock, Kathryn J. (St. Mary’s College of Maryland) ........ GX-D Sat PM
Normore, Calvin (University of California–Los Angeles) .... III-I Thu PM
North, Jill (Yale University) ........................................ IV-J Thu PM
Norwood, Bryan (Mississippi State University) ............. V-I Fri AM
Nuffer, Gerhard (Reed College) ........................................ VII-I Fri PM

O
O’Brien, Lucy (University College London) ..................... III-D Thu PM
Obdrzalek, Suzanne (Claremont McKenna College) .......... II-J Thu AM
Odenbaugh, Jay (Lewis and Clark College) ...................... VI-K Fri PM
Oele, Marjolein (University of San Francisco) ......................... GIII-A Wed PM, III-O Thu PM
Olin, Lauren (Washington University in St. Louis) ............ GIV-C Thu PM
Olson, Alan M. (Boston University) .................................... GV-C Thu PM
Olson, Kristi A. (Harvard University) ................................ VII-G Fri PM
ONeil, Collin (National Institutes of Health) .................... IX-D Sat PM
Orlandi, Nicoletta (Rice University) ................................. VI-M Fri PM
Orosco, José-Antonio (Oregon State University) .............. GIV-B Thu PM, GIX-A Sat PM
Ostaric, Lara (St. Michael’s College) ........................................... I-E Wed PM
Owen, David (University of Arizona) ...................... GV-A Thu PM, IX-G Sat PM

Padgett Walsh, Kate (Iowa State University) ...................... I-E Wed PM
Paleologou, Maria (California State University–Bakersfield) .... IV-F Thu PM
Paletta, Douglas (University of Pennsylvania) ....................... GVIII-C Fri PM
Panagopoulos, Anastasia (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)
.............................................................................................. II-K Thu AM
Parent, Ted (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ............ IV-H Thu PM
Pariso, Chris (San Francisco State University) ...................... V-L Fri AM
Parsons, Glenn (Ryerson University) ........................................ IX-B Sat PM
Paul, Laurie (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......... VII-D Fri PM
Paul, Robert (Reed College) ..................................................... VII-H Fri PM
Payne, W. Russ (Bellevue College) ....................................... VIII-K Sat AM
Paytas, Tyler (University of Missouri–St. Louis) .................. GXI-D Sat PM
Peck, William (Reed College) .............................................. IX-I Sat PM
Pedersen, Nikolaj Jang (University of California–Los Angeles)
............................................................................................... I-A Wed PM
Pelletier, Francis Jeffry (Simon Fraser University) .............. III-J Thu PM
Peng, Guoxiang (Tsinghua University) ............................... VIII-N Sat AM
Pereboom, Derk (Cornell University) ................................. X-D Sat PM
Peterson, Andrew (San Francisco State University) ........ IX-I Sat PM
Pietroski, Paul (University of Maryland–College Park) ........ V-D Fri AM
Pihlstrom, Sami (University of Jyväskylä) ......................... VII-E Fri PM
Pitt, David (California State University–Los Angeles) .... X-E Sat PM
Plakias, Alexandra (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) .... GIV-C Thu PM
Platt, Andrew R. (St. Cloud State University) ................... V-H Fri AM
Ponzer, Howard (Molloy College) ................................. GV-D Thu PM
Powers, Thomas (University of Delaware) ..................... I-I Wed PM
Preston, Christopher (University of Montana) ....................... GVIII-D Fri PM, GX-B Sat PM
Preston, Ryan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... VII-F Fri PM
Pritchard, Duncan (University of Edinburgh) ............... II-E Thu AM

Quiring, John (Victor Valley College) .................. GIV-E Thu PM

Radzik, Linda (Texas A&M University) ............................ I-H Fri PM
Raibley, Jason (California State University–Long Beach) ...... VI-J Fri PM
Railton, Peter (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) ........... VI-E Fri PM
Ralkowski, Mark (University of New Mexico) ....................... VI-I Fri PM
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Ramberg, Bjørn (Universitetet i Oslo) .......................................... VII-E Fri PM
Ramsey, John (University of California–Riverside) .......................... III-M Thu PM
Ramsey, William M. (University of Nevada–Las Vegas) ............... VI-M Fri PM
Ranasinghe, Nalin (Assumption College) ....................................... V-K Fri AM
Rand, Sebastian (Georgia State University) ...................................... GIII-A Wed PM
Rattan, Gurpreet (University of Toronto) ....................................... III-M Thu PM
Rauhut, Nils (Coastal Carolina University) ..................................... II-K Thu AM, GVIII-A Fri PM, X-K Sat PM
Raulls, Mark (College of Southern Nevada) ................................... GVII-A Fri PM
Reath, Andrews (University of California–Riverside) ..................... IX-D Sat PM
Redding, Paul (University of Sydney) ........................................... GV-D Thu PM, V-B Fri AM
Reeves, Donna (University of Colorado–Boulder) ......................... II-M Thu AM
Reidy, David (University of Tennessee) ......................................... IX-N Sat PM
Rettler, Bradley (University of Notre Dame) .................................. IX-J Sat PM
Reynolds, Christy (University of Oregon) ................................... GVIII-I Fri PM
Rhodes, Rosamond (Mount Sinai School of Medicine and City University of New York–Graduate Center) ....... II-G Thu AM, GX-A Sat PM
Rice, Rebekah L. H. (Seattle Pacific University) .............................. III-K Thu PM
Richard, Mark (Tufts University) .................................................. X-A Sat PM
Richardson, Henry S. (Georgetown University) ............................... VI-B Fri PM
Rieder, Travis N. (University of South Carolina–Columbia) ............... VI-J Fri PM, GXI-D Sat PM
Riggs, Wayne (University of Oklahoma) ...................................... VI-L Fri PM
Ring, Merrill (California State University–Fullerton) ..................... GII-C Wed PM
Rivera-Castro, Faviola (Universidad Autónoma de México) ...... II-D Thu AM
Roark, Tony (Boise State University) ........................................... I-F Wed PM, GVIII-A Fri PM
Robb, David (Davidson College) .................................................. X-D Sat PM
Roberts, Tom (University of Edinburgh) ....................................... X-E Sat PM
Robichaud, Chris (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ... GV-G Thu PM
Robins, Dan (Richard Stockton College of New Jersey) ................. GVIII-B Fri PM
Rodriguez, Aaron (University of Oregon) ..................................... GVIII-I Fri PM
Rodriguez, Tanya (City University of New York–City College) .... I-H Wed PM
Roessler, Johannes (Warwick University) ..................................... III-D Thu PM
Rogerson, Ken (Florida International University) .......................... VII-G Fri PM
Roland, Jeffrey (Louisiana State University) .................................. VII-I Fri PM
Rorty, Amélie (National Humanities Center) ........... II-F Thu AM, X-L Sat PM
Rosales, Alirio (University of British Columbia) ........................... V-G Fri AM
Rosenthal, Michael (University of Washington) ............................. VIII-G Sat AM
Rossberg, Marcus (University of Connecticut) ............................. VII-J Fri PM
Roth, Paul (University of California–Santa Cruz) ......................... GVIII-K Fri PM
Rottschafer, William A. (Lewis and Clark College) ............... GIV-C Thu PM, VI-K Fri PM
Rousse, B. Scot (Northwestern University) ........... GIV-D Thu PM, VI-I Fri PM
Rowe, Christopher (University of Durham) ....................... VIII-B Sat AM
Rudd, Anthony (St. Olaf College) ................................. V-M Fri AM, GX-C Sat PM
Rudebusch, George (Northern Arizona University) ............. VIII-B Sat AM
Russell, Gillian (Washington University in St. Louis) .......... VII-I Fri PM
Russell, Paul (University of British Columbia) ................... III-E Thu PM
Rust, Joshua (Stetson University) .................................... IX-H Sat PM
Rutherford, Donald (University of California–San Diego) ...... VIII-G Sat AM
Ryan, Sharon (West Virginia University) .......................... IX-A Sat PM
Ryder, Dan (University of British Columbia–Okanagan) ........ II-H Thu AM
Rysiew, Patrick W. (University of Victoria) ....................... VII-J Fri PM

S
Sachs, Benjamin A. (National Institutes of Health) ............. VI-J Fri PM
Salerno, Joe R. (St. Louis University) .............................. V-M Fri AM
Salmon, William (University of British Columbia) ............... V-J Fri AM
Sanchez, Robert (University of California–Riverside) ......... VI-L Fri PM
Sanders, Joseph (University of Houston) .......................... III-F Thu PM
Sands Wise, Jonathan (Georgetown College) ...................... III-L Thu PM
Sanford, David (Duke University) ................................. IX-J Sat PM
Sarkissian, Hagop (City University of New York–Baruch College) .................................................. GVIII-B Fri PM, X-C Sat PM
Sartorio, Carolina (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ........ GV-G Thu PM
Sattler, Barbara M. (Yale University) ............................... III-O Thu PM
Saucedo, Raul (Cornell University) ................................. IX-J Sat PM
Saul, Jennifer (University of Sheffield) ........................... IX-E Sat PM
Savitt, Steven (University of British Columbia) .................. IV-J Thu PM, GVIII-F Fri PM
Scalet, Steven (State University of New York–Binghamton) ... VIII-L Sat AM
Scarfe, Adam (California State University–Bakersfield) ...... GIV-E Thu PM
Schabas, Margaret (University of British Columbia) ............ III-E Thu PM
Schaff, Kory (Occidental College) ................................. II-G Thu AM
Schaffer, Jonathan (Australian National University) .......... II-A Thu AM
Schapiro, Tamara (Stanford University) ........................... VII-A Fri PM
Scharle, Margaret (Reed College) ................................. IV-F Thu PM, GVIII-A Fri PM
Schechter, Elizabeth (University of Maryland–College Park) .. VI-l Fri PM
Schechtman, Marya (University of Illinois–Chicago) .......... IV-A Thu PM
Schellekens, Elisabeth (University of Durham) ..................... III-A Thu PM
Schellenberg, Susanna (Australian National University) ...... VI-I Fri PM
Scheuerman, Bill (Indiana University–Bloomington) .......... GII-F Wed PM
Schmaltz, Tad (Duke University) .................................... VI-F Fri PM
Schmitter, Amy (University of Alberta) ............................. III-I Thu PM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schonbein, Whit</td>
<td>College of Charleston</td>
<td>VI-M Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schroeder, Timothy</td>
<td>Ohio State University</td>
<td>VIII-D Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schroer, Robert</td>
<td>Arkansas State University</td>
<td>II-L Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schueler, Fred</td>
<td>University of Delaware</td>
<td>VIII-D Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schuklenk, Udo</td>
<td>Queen's University</td>
<td>IV-G Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultz, Lucy</td>
<td>University of Oregon</td>
<td>GVIII-I Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schulz, Armin</td>
<td>University of Wisconsin–Madison</td>
<td>III-N Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwartz, Daniel</td>
<td>University of California–San Diego</td>
<td>I-F Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwitzgebel, Eric</td>
<td>University of California–Riverside</td>
<td>VIII-N Sat AM, X-C Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoccia, Danny</td>
<td>New Mexico State University</td>
<td>III-L Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, Sarah</td>
<td>City University of New York–John Jay College</td>
<td>VIII-K Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scully, Jackie Leach</td>
<td>University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne</td>
<td>IX-F Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sears, Jason</td>
<td>Eckerd College</td>
<td>GX-B Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick, Sally</td>
<td>University of Illinois–Chicago</td>
<td>IX-D Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeley, William P.</td>
<td>Franklin and Marshall College</td>
<td>X-E Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semczyszyn, Nola</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>III-O Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sennet, Adam</td>
<td>University of California–Davis</td>
<td>VIII-J Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaeffer, H. Benjamin</td>
<td>Humboldt State University</td>
<td>GII-C Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanklin, Robert</td>
<td>University of Southern California</td>
<td>V-I Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapiro, Lisa</td>
<td>Simon Fraser University</td>
<td>VIII-G Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpe, Kevin W.</td>
<td>St. Cloud State University</td>
<td>IX-H Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby, Candice</td>
<td>University of Colorado–Denver</td>
<td>II-N Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell, Susan</td>
<td>Boston College</td>
<td>VI-N Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelley, James</td>
<td>Auburn University</td>
<td>VII-H Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sher, Gila</td>
<td>University California–San Diego</td>
<td>VII-J Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman, James</td>
<td>University of Texas–Austin</td>
<td>IV-F Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shewmon, D. Alan</td>
<td>University of California–Los Angeles</td>
<td>V-N Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi, Xianduan</td>
<td>University of Utah</td>
<td>II-M Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shieber, Joseph</td>
<td>Lafayette College</td>
<td>V-M Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shockey, Matthew</td>
<td>Indiana University–South Bend</td>
<td>IX-I Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoemaker, Sydney</td>
<td>Cornell University</td>
<td>VI-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shun, Kwong-loi</td>
<td>Chinese University of Hong Kong</td>
<td>IX-O Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sider, Theodore</td>
<td>New York University</td>
<td>VII-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderits, Mark</td>
<td>Seoul National University</td>
<td>GII-D Wed PM, VI-O Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sievert, Don</td>
<td>University of Missouri</td>
<td>V-H Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sillari, Giacomo</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>V-J Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Grant</td>
<td>University of Oregon</td>
<td>GIV-B Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvermint, Daniel</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>VIII-L Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvers, Anita</td>
<td>San Francisco State University</td>
<td>III-H Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim, May</td>
<td>College of the Holy Cross</td>
<td>GXI-B Sat PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simchen, Ori (University of British Columbia) ................................ II-H Thu AM
Skare, Sharon (University of California–San Diego) ................. VI-L Fri PM
Sklar, Lawrence (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) .............. III-C Thu PM
Skyrms, Brian (University of California–Irvine) ....................... II-C Thu AM
Slater, Matthew (University of Idaho) ..................................... I-G Wed PM
Slettom, Jeanyne (Center for Process Studies) ......................... GIV-E Thu PM
Slingerland, Edward (University of British Columbia) ............. V-F Fri AM, XI-A Sun AM
Slote, Michael (University of Miami) ...................................... V-F Fri AM, IX-O Sat PM
Skaw, Eric (Rollins College) .................................................. GVI-G Fri PM
Smead, Rory (University of California–Irvine) ......................... II-C Thu AM
Smith, Holly M. (Rutgers University) ...................................... VIII-L Sat AM
Smith, Nathan Robert (University of California–Davis) .......... I-G Wed PM
Smith, Nicholas D. (Lewis and Clark College) ....................... VIII-B Sat AM
Smuts, Aaron (Temple University) ......................................... GIV-D Thu PM
Snow, Nancy E. (Marquette University) .................................. I-H Wed AM
Snyder, Jeremy (Simon Fraser University) ................................ IV-G Thu PM
Sorensen, Kelly (Ursinus College) .......................................... II-G Thu AM
Sosa, David (University of Texas–Austin) .............................. GVF-F Thu PM
Spackman, John (Middlebury College) ................................. IX-K Sat PM
Speak, Daniel (Loyola Marymount University) ...................... I-C Wed PM, GIV-F Thu PM
Spencer, Albert (Portland State University) ......................... V-K Fri AM
Spencer, David Ian (University of California–Davis) ........... VIII-I Sat AM
Sreedhar, Susanne (Boston University) .................................. GVII-C Fri PM
Sreenivasan, Gopal (Duke University) ................................. X-B Sat PM
Stalnaker, Robert (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .... VIII-E Sat AM
Stangl, Rebecca Lynn (University of Virginia) ...................... II-B Thu AM
Star, Daniel (Boston University) .......................................... IX-M Sat PM
Stegenga, Jacob (University of California–San Diego) ........ IX-H Sat PM
Stein, Edward (Yeshiva University) ...................................... VIII-F Sat AM
Stephens, Christopher (University of British Columbia) .... VIII-K Sat AM
Stephens, Piers (University of Georgia) ............................... GVIII-D Fri PM
Sterba, James P. (University of Notre Dame) ....................... GX-G Sat PM
Steup, Matthias (Purdue University) ...................................... VI-M Fri PM
Steverson, Brian (Gonzaga University) ................................ GI-D Wed PM
Stueber, Karsten (College of the Holy Cross) ......................... GVIII-K Fri PM
Suarez, Mauricio (Universidad Complutense de Madrid) .... V-G Fri AM
Sullins, John P. (Sonoma State University) ......................... GV-E Thu PM
Sullivan, Arthur (Memorial University of Newfoundland) .... VI-K Fri PM
Superson, Anita (University of Kentucky) ............................. GVIII-G Fri PM
Sussman, David (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) .... IV-B Thu PM
Sutton, John (Macquarie University) ........................................... IV-D Thu PM
Sveinsdottir, Asta (San Francisco State University) ..................... IX-K Sat PM
Swan, Kyle (National University of Singapore) ............................. II-B Thu AM
Swanton, Christine (University of Auckland) .............................. XI-A Sun AM
Swenson, Adam (California State University–Northridge) .......... II-I Thu AM
Sytsma, Justin M. (University of Pittsburgh) .............................. VI-K Fri PM

T

Talbot, Brian (University of Southern California) ..................... V-I Fri AM
Talbott, William J. (University of Washington) ......................... III-G Thu PM
Tan, Kok-chor (University of Pennsylvania) ............................ IX-C Sat PM
Tannenbaum, Julie (California State University–Northridge) . VII-M Fri PM
Tanona, Scott D. (Kansas State University) ............................... VIII-H Sat AM
Taylor, Jacqueline (University of San Francisco) ..................... VIII-G Sat AM
Taylor, Kenneth (Stanford University) ............................... VI-A Fri PM
Teays, Wanda (Mount St. Mary’s College) ............................... GVII-A Fri PM
Teeman Blase, Tiffany (University of California–Los Angeles) .... II-I Thu AM
Teller, Paul (University of California–Davis) ........................... III-J Thu PM
Ten, Chin Liew (National University of Singapore) .................. V-C Fri AM
Tenenbaum, Sergio (University of Toronto) ............................ IV-L Thu PM
Tennberg, Chris (University of California–Santa Barbara) ....... V-K Fri AM
Terzidis, Kostas (Harvard University) ................................. GVIII-J Fri PM
Thielke, Peter (Pomona College) ............................................. VII-B Fri PM
Thomas, Alan (University of Kent) ............................................ X-G Sat PM
Thomas, Carolyn (University of New Mexico) .......................... V-I Fri AM
Thomasson, Amie L. (University of Miami) ............................ II-A Thu AM
Thompson, Brad (Southern Methodist University) .................... II-L Thu AM
Thompson, Janna (La Trobe University) ............................. X-J Sat PM
Tiboris, Michael (University of California–San Diego) .......... III-L Thu PM
Tiehen, Justin (University of Puget Sound) ........................... IX-H Sat PM
Tien, David (National University of Singapore) ...................... XI-A Sun AM
Tiffany, Evan (Simon Fraser University) ............................... IV-C Thu PM
Tillman, Chris (University of Manitoba) ............................. III-M Thu PM
Timpe, Kevin (University of San Diego) ............................. IV-J Thu PM
Titelbaum, Michael (Australian National University and University of Wisconsin–Madison) ...................... VII-C Fri PM
Tiwald, Justin (San Francisco State University) ...................... IX-O Sat PM
Tognazzini, Neal A. (University of California–Riverside) .......... VI-L Fri PM
Tolliver, Joseph (University of Arizona) .............................. VIII-I Sat AM
Tooley, Michael (University of Colorado–Boulder) ............... GVIII-F Fri PM
Tremain, Shelley (Wilfrid Laurier University) ........................ IX-F Sat PM
Trivigno, Franco V. (Marquette University) ..................................... V-K Fri AM
Tropman, Elizabeth (Colorado State University) ............................. V-L Fri AM
Truog, Robert (Harvard University) ............................................. V-N Fri AM
Tubert, Ariela (University of Puget Sound) .................................... V-L Fri AM
Tupa, Anton R. (Saginaw Valley State University) ......................... II-G Thu AM
Turner, Dale (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona) .... V-L Fri AM
Turner, Derek (Connecticut College) ........................................... III-N Thu PM

U
Udell, I. Larry (West Chester University) ....................................... VII-G Fri PM
Ulatowski, Joe (University of Wyoming) ..................................... IX-L Sat PM
Unruh, William (University of British Columbia) ......................... III-C Thu PM
Urquidez, Beto (Purdue University) ........................................... GV-H Thu PM
Uzquiano, Gabriel (Oxford University) ........................................ VII-D Fri PM

V
Vaidya, Anand (San Jose State University) .................................... V-M Fri AM
Vallor, Shannon (Santa Clara University) ................................. GV-E Thu PM, IX-H Sat PM
van Apeldoorn, Laurens (Oxford University) ............................ GVIII-C Fri PM
Van Camp, Julie C. (California State University–Long Beach) ........ GVIII-J Fri PM
Van Cleve, James (University of Southern California) .............. VI-F Fri PM
van Fraassen, Bas C. (San Francisco State University) ............... GVIII-H Fri PM
Varden, Helga (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) ........ X-F Sat PM
Vayrynen, Pekka (University of Leeds) ....................................... IV-C Thu PM
Veillet, Benedicte (Lafayette College) ........................................ II-K Thu AM
Velasco, Joel (Stanford University) ........................................... I-G Wed PM
Vessel, Jean-Paul (New Mexico State University) ....................... II-I Thu AM
Vineberg, Susan (Wayne State University) ............................... VIII-K Sat AM
Vita-More, Natasha (University of Plymouth) ........................... VIII-F Sat AM
von Platz, Jeppe (University of Pennsylvania) .......................... VIII-L Sat AM, IX-N Sat PM

W
Walker, Matthew (University of Miami) ........................................ II-F Thu AM
Wallace, Meg (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ......... VIII-I Sat AM
Wallace, R. Jay (University of California–Berkeley) .................... VII-A Fri PM
Wallis, Charles (California State University–Long Beach) ........ VIII-H Sat AM
Walsh, Sean (University of Minnesota–Duluth) ......................... GXI-B Sat PM
Walters, Gregory (Saint Paul University) ................................. GV-C Thu PM
Ward, Barry M. (University of Arkansas–Fayetteville) ............ X-H Sat PM
Warren, Mark (University of British Columbia) ....................... GII-F Wed PM
Wasserman, David (University of Maryland–College Park and Yeshiva University) ................ IX-F Sat PM
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Wasserman, Ryan (Western Washington University) ................... V-E Fri AM
Watkins, Eric (University of California–San Diego) .................. VI-C Fri PM
Watkins, Margaret (Baylor University) ................................. VII-H Fri PM
Watkins, Michael (Auburn University) ................................. IV-E Thu PM
Watson, Gary (University of California–Riverside) ............... VIII-C Sat AM, IX-O Sat PM
Watson, Lori (University of San Diego) ................................. I-J Wed PM, GII-B Wed PM, VI-N Fri PM
Wautischer, Helmut (Sonoma State University) ..................... III-M Thu PM
Wedgwood, Ralph (Oxford University) ................................. VI-E Fri PM
Weinstein, Steven (University of Waterloo) ......................... VI-H Fri PM
Werner, Richard (Hamilton College) ................................. II-J Thu AM
Wheeler, Mark (San Diego State University) ......................... IX-M Sat PM
Whitcom, Dennis (Western Washington University) ............... IV-C Thu PM
White, Amy (Ohio University–Zanesville) ............................. I-J Wed PM
White, Roger (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .......... GX-E Sat PM
Wielenberg, Erik J. (DePauw University) ............................... IV-I Thu PM
Wiener, Chad E. (Portland State University) ......................... V-K Fri AM
Wilcox, Shelley (San Francisco State University) ................. II-N Thu AM, III-L Thu PM, GX-D Sat PM
Williams, Meredith (Johns Hopkins University) ................. GII-C Wed PM
Willett, Cynthia (Emory University) ................................. GVIII-G Fri PM
Williams, Christopher (University of Nevada–Reno) .......... GVI-A Thu PM
Williams, Howard (University of Wales–Aberystwyth) ....... X-F Sat PM
Williamson, Diane (Vanderbilt University) ........ GII-B Wed PM, IV-L Thu PM
Williamson, Phillip (University of New Mexico) ............... VI-I Fri PM
Wilson, Jessica (University of Toronto) ................................. X-D Sat PM
Wilson, Robert A. (University of Alberta) ............................... VIII-F Sat AM
Wishon, Donovan (Stanford University) ......................... GXI-D Sat PM
Woien, Sandra (Arizona State University) ................. IX-M Sat PM
Wolbringer, Gregor (University of Calgary) ....................... VIII-F Sat AM
Wong, David B. (Duke University) ................................. II-F Thu AM, GIV-C Thu PM
Wood, Christian (University of New Mexico) ..................... I-E Wed PM
Woodruff, Paul (University of Texas–Austin) ..................... VI-G Fri PM
Woods, John (Princeton University) ................................. GII-C Wed PM
Woods, John (University of British Columbia) ......................... V-G Fri AM
Woods, John (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities) .......... VI-M Fri PM
Woods, Mark (University of San Diego) ..................... GII-B Wed PM, GVIII-D Fri PM
Woodward, James (California Institute of Technology) ....... VI-H Fri PM
Worth, Sarah (Furman University) ................................. IV-A Thu PM
Wright, Charles W. (College of St. Benedict and St. John’s University) .....................................................GVIII-L Fri PM

Wright, Wayne (University of California–Irvine) .......................IV-J Thu PM

X

Xiao, Yang (Kenyon College) ............................................. V-F Fri AM, VIII-N Sat AM

Y

Yablo, Stephen (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ............V-E Fri AM

Yaffe, Gideon (University of Southern California) ....................... IX-G Sat PM

Yalcin, Seth (New York University) ........................................ V-D Fri AM

Yeomans, Christopher (Kenyon College) .................................. V-B Fri AM

Yi, Byeong-Uk (University of Toronto) ........................................ II-H Thu AM

Young, Charles (Claremont Graduate University) .................... III-O Thu PM

Youpa, Andrew (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) ...... V-H Fri AM

Yu, Kam Por (Hong Kong Polytechnic University) ....................... X-L Sat PM

Yuan, Lijun (Texas State University–San Marcos) .................... II-M Thu AM

Z

Zack, Naomi (University of Oregon) ...................... GI-C Wed PM, GX-D Sat PM

Zheng, Yujian (Lingnan University) .......................................... GVIII-B Fri PM

Zimmerman, Michael (University of North Carolina–Greensboro) ................................................................. I-C Wed PM

Zollman, Kevin (Carnegie Mellon University) ......................... II-C Thu AM

Zuckert, Rachel (Northwestern University) ...... GVI-A Thu PM, IX-B Sat PM
GRADUATE STUDENT TRAVEL STIPEND WINNERS

Thomas D. Harter (University of Tennessee)
“In Sickness and in Health: Can the Marriage Between Health Care and the Market Live Ethically Ever After?”
**IV-G Thursday, April 9, 4:00-6:00 p.m.**

Peter Nichols (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Substance Concepts and Personal Identity”
**IV-H Thursday, April 9, 4:00-6:00 p.m.**

Luke Gelinas (University of Toronto)
“The Goodness of Worlds, World-creating Acts, and World-creators”
**IV-I Thursday, April 9, 4:00-6:00 p.m.**

Michelle Jenkins (University of Arizona)
“The Rationality of the Youths of Kallipolis”
**V-K Friday, April 10, 9:00 a.m.-Noon**

Neal A. Tognazzini (University of California–Riverside)
“Authority Incompatibilism”
**VI-L Friday, April 10, 1:00-4:00 p.m.**

Kristen Bell (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Forgiveness as an Alternative Response to Wrongdoing”
**VI-L Friday, April 10, 1:00-4:00 p.m.**

Hallie Rose Liberto (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Normalizing Prostitution vs. Normalizing the Alienability of Sexual Rights”
**VI-N Friday, April 10, 1:00-4:00 p.m.**
B. Scot Rousse (Northwestern University)
“Self-awareness, Self-understanding, and Self-interpretation”
*VI-I* Friday, April 10, 1:00-4:00 p.m.

Jonathan Livengood (University of Pittsburgh) and Justin M. Sytsma (University of Pittsburgh)
“The Case of the Divergent Descriptions: An Experimental Investigation of Semantics, Cross-cultural Style”
*VI-K* Friday, April 10, 1:00-4:00 p.m.

Kristi A. Olson (Harvard University)
“Equality of Resources and the Equally Talented Society”
*VII-G* Friday, April 10, 4:00-6:00 p.m.

Dave McElhoes (University of Maryland–College Park)
“The Mysterious Mu: An Argument for Small-number Nihilism”
*VII-I* Friday, April 10, 4:00-6:00 p.m.

Jeppe von Platz (University of Pennsylvania)
“Facts and Principles of Justice”
*VIII-L* Saturday, April 11, 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Travis Dumsday (University of Calgary)
“Natural Kinds, Laws, and the Problem of Complex Essences”
*VIII-H* Saturday, April 11, 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Ralf Bader (University of St Andrews)
“Supervenience and Structure-specific Indiscernibility”
*VIII-I* Saturday, April 11, 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Clair Morrissey (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Two Arguments from ’Human Rights Violation’ to ’Universal Jurisdiction’”
*VIII-L* Saturday, April 11, 9:00 a.m.-Noon
David Ian Spencer (University of California–Davis)
“Why Do We Need Tense?”
*VIII-I Saturday, April 11, 9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Tara Kennedy (University of New Mexico)
“Ethos as Abode: The Heideggerian Ground of Ethics”
*IX-I Saturday, April 11, 1:00-4:00 p.m.*

Kevin McCain (University of Rochester)
“Scientific Explanation, Understanding, and Knowledge”
*IX-H Saturday, April 11, 1:00-4:00 p.m.*

Saray Ayala (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and University of British Columbia)
“Body-chauvinism or Mind-chauvinism? Questioning the Autonomy of Psychology”
*X-E Saturday, April 11, 4:00-6:00 p.m.*

Marcy Latta (University of Pennsylvania)
“Kant’s Problem Regarding Others”
*X-F Saturday, April 11, 4:00-6:00 p.m.*
GROUP SESSIONS

A
American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.
American Society for Aesthetics, Thursday, April 9, 8:00-10:00 p.m.
Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Session 1, Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.
Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Session 2, Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.
Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Session 1, Friday, April 10, 7:00-9:00 p.m.
Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Session 2, Saturday, April 11, 8:00-10:00 p.m.
Ayn Rand Society, Thursday, April 9, 6:00-8:00 p.m.

B
Bay Area Continental Philosophy Association, Wednesday, April 8, 8:00-10:00 p.m.

C
Concerned Philosophers for Peace, Thursday, April 9, 8:00-10:00 p.m.

G
Gandhi/King Society, Saturday, April 11, 6:00-8:00 p.m.

H
Hume Society, Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.

I
International Association for the Philosophy of Sport, Saturday, April 11, 6:00-8:00 p.m.
International Hobbes Association, Session 1, Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.
International Hobbes Association, Session 2, Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.
International Society for Chinese Philosophy, Saturday, April 11, 6:00-8:00 p.m.
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Session 1, Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Session 2, Saturday, April 11, 8:00-10:00 p.m.
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session 1, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session 2, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

**J**
Josiah Royce Society, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

**K**
Karl Jaspers Society, Session 1, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Karl Jaspers Society, Session 2, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Kierkegaard Society, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

**N**
North American Kant Society, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
North American Nietzsche Society, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
North American Society for Social Philosophy, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*
North American Wittgenstein Society, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

**P**
Pacific Society for Women in Philosophy, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Philosophy of Religion Group, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Philosophy of Time Society, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

**R**
Radical Philosophy Association, Session 1, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*
Radical Philosophy Association, Session 2, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

**S**
Society for Analytical Feminism, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 1, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 2, *Wednesday, April 8, 8:00-10:00 p.m.*
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session 3, *Saturday, April 11, 8:00-10:00 p.m.*
Society for Business Ethics, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*
Society for Empirical Ethics, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*
Society for German Idealism, Session 1, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*
Society for German Idealism, Session 2, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*
Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
Society for Philosophy and Technology, Session 1, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for Philosophy and Technology, Session 2, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Session 1, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Session 2, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for Skeptical Studies, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for Student Philosophers, Session 1, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society for Student Philosophers, Session 2, *Saturday, April 11, 8:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, Graduate Student Section, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, *Wednesday, April 8, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for the Metaphysics of Science, Session 1, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for the Metaphysics of Science, Session 2, *Saturday, April 11, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session 1, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session 2, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for the Philosophy of History, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for the Study of Philosophy and the Martial Arts, *Friday, April 10, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for the Study of Process Philosophy, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society of Christian Philosophers, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society of Indian Philosophy and Religion, *Thursday, April 9, 6:00-9:00 p.m.*
SPECIAL SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Gender and Technology (I-J)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Getting Philosophy into the High School Curriculum (I-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m.

THURSDAY, APRIL 9

Confucianism, Daoism, and Trans-nationalism Confronting Gender Issues (II-M)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
9:00 a.m.-Noon

Mid-career Professional Survival, Success, and Change (II-N)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Women
9:00 a.m.-Noon

Africana Perspectives on Ancient Greek Philosophy (IV-M)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Experiments in Teaching Introduction to Philosophy (IV-N)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy in Two-Year Colleges
4:00-6:00 p.m.
FRIDAY, APRIL 10

Is ‘Brain Death’ Death? (V-N)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine
9:00 a.m.-Noon

Buddhist Nominalism (VI-O)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
1:00-4:00 p.m.

Doing Placement Well: Suggestions for Job Seekers and for Departments (VII-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement
4:00-6:00 p.m.

The Promise and Peril of Online Teaching (VII-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy in Two-Year Colleges
4:00-6:00 p.m.

SATURDAY, APRIL 11

Derrick Bell: Race, the Law, and Hope (VIII-M)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers
9:00 a.m.-Noon

Berger Prize Essay: Jeppe von Platz and David A. Reidy, “The Structural Diversity of Historical Injustices” (IX-N)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
1:00-4:00 p.m.

Peer Review (X-I)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research
4:00-6:00 p.m.

John Arthur, Race, Equality, and the Burdens of History: A Panel Discussion (X-J)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
4:00-6:00 p.m.

Philosophy and Plagiarism (X-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Teaching of Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m.
THE PROBLEM OF PROPRIOCEPTION IN ARISTOTLE (I-F)

ANASTASIA K. ANDERSON, UNIVERSITY OF THE FRASER VALLEY

Aristotle is commonly portrayed as holding that the objects of sense perception must be external to the perceiver’s body. If this is correct, it renders awareness of one’s own body mysterious in Aristotle. One might argue that he simply remained silent on the subject of bodily awareness, but I show that hints of at least three different forms of bodily awareness are found in Aristotle’s writings. In light of Aristotle’s recognition of the existence of proprioception and the questionable textual support for the claim that sense objects must be external to the body, it is suggested that we more actively consider where proprioception fits in when developing interpretations of Aristotle’s theory of perception.

THE PERCEPTION REQUIREMENT IN PLATO’S PHILEBUS (II-J)

KELLY ARENSON, EMORY UNIVERSITY

Early in his evaluation of hedonism in the Philebus, Plato defines pleasure as the restoration of the natural harmony of a living animal. Later, Plato modifies this definition, identifying pleasure only with perceived restorations. This paper discusses the significance of this perception requirement by examining two ways in which Plato employs it in the dialogue. First, I show how Plato’s denial that a neutral state of freedom from pain is pleasant rests on his contention that neither restorations nor disturbances are perceived in the neutral state. Second, I argue that Plato differentiates pure from impure pleasures based on whether the deficiency being restored is perceived or not. Pure pleasures are not mixed with pain, and are therefore superior, because their deficiencies go unperceived. I conclude that ultimately these two applications of the perception requirement enable Plato to exclude practically all pleasures from his conception of the best human life.

INTROSPECTION AND ABSENT QUALIA (II-L)

PAUL AUDI, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA–OMAHA

Shoemaker has argued that absent qualia are impossible because of the special relation between qualia and introspectibility. I distinguish two kinds of introspectibility and show that his argument conflates them and thereby fails. The possibility of absent qualia remains open. I then offer a dualist account of both kinds of introspection. The contingent causal relation between qualia and introspective belief requires a dualist to be an interactionist. The constitutive relation between qualia and justification for
introspective belief requires everyone to recognize a non-causal role that
qualia play with respect to justification. I close by answering the causal
exclusion problem on behalf of the dualist.

**BODY-CHAUVINISM OR MIND-CHAUVINISM? QUESTIONING THE AUTONOMY OF
PSYCHOLOGY (X-E)**

**SARAY AYALA, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA AND UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**

The autonomy of some special sciences, like psychology, seems to be
guaranteed by the multiply realizable nature of its entities, properties,
and events. Research on Embodied Cognition, however, seems to point
towards a limitation of this multiply realizable nature, thereby paving
the way to a reductionist appraisal. According to Embodied Cognition,
mind is essentially embodied. In this paper, I consider two ways in
which embodiment can be understood. According to a reductionist
interpretation, (physical) details of body are critical to mind (Shapiro 2004,
2006). A functionalist interpretation, however, claims that physical details
of the realizer have nothing to do with mind, what matters for mind is the
computational role that body plays (Clark 2006, 2007). I shall argue in favor
of the former reading, and then I shall conclude that Embodied Cognition
directly tells against the autonomy of psychology.

**A NEW PARADOX OF HEDONISM? (III-L)**

**H. E. BABER, UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO**

Hedonists hold that pleasure is good. Jens Timmermann, however, argues
that hedonism, thus understood, is inconsistent with our ordinary non-
moral value judgments about pleasure insofar as, allegedly, sometimes
“we decide that pleasure is bad, or not worth having, not because of any
extrinsic factor...but because one is experiencing enough pleasure to the
point that more would in itself be undesirable.” Intense food, he notes,
being tickled, and sexual stimulation can cause this reaction. Such cases,
he argues, pose insurmountable difficulties for the hedonist: “If human
beings inevitably seek to maximize their own pleasure, why do they on
occasion, when offered more, say that they have enough?” I argue that
no experience, however pleasurable, is essentially pleasurable hence
that while we may reject erstwhile pleasures when they cease to be
pleasurable, we cannot have too much pleasure as such.

**SUPERVENIENCE AND STRUCTURE-SPECIFIC INDISCERNIBILITY (VIII-I)**

**RALF BADER, UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS**

In this paper I argue that there is an important distinction between
individual-specific and structure-specific understandings of indiscernibility.
These two notions pertain to different ways of individuating property
distributions. They give different accounts of the members of the domains
that are mapped when assessing for property-preserving isomorphisms.
While the individual-specific account treats objects as the unit of analysis,
the structure-specific account is concerned with space-time points. An isomorphism is B-preserving on the individual-specific understanding iff for any individual x in D, x has B-property F if and only if the image of x in D* also has F. By contrast, according to the structure-specific account of indiscernibility, an isomorphism is B-preserving iff for any collection of space-time points p in D, B-property F is instantiated in p if and only if F is also instantiated in the image of p in D*.

**NON-ESSENTIAL NECESSARY CONNECTIONS (VIII-J)**

*Robert Ballarin, University of British Columbia*

*Naming and Necessity* is the classical text of contemporary essentialism. Yet it does not present one unified interpretation of necessity. I argue that Kripke’s argument in footnote 56 for the necessity of origin embodies an anti-essentialist, combinatorial interpretation of necessity. The most plausible reconstruction of Kripke’s argument implicitly assumes a Compossibility premise, according to which distinct possibilities must be compossible. I connect the basic idea behind such Principle of Compossibility to two anti-essentialist views: (i) a generalized Humean framework, and (ii) maximal model theoretic constructions. When conflicts arise between the Humean denial of necessary connections between things and the maximalist principle of recombination of distinct possibilities, the preferred combinatorial strategy eliminates possibilities, because Compossibility embodies the spirit of the combinatorial interpretation of necessity at a deeper level than Hume’s recombination of distinct existences, insofar as it defines possibilities themselves, rather than objects, as fundamentally independent from one another.

**SAME-SEX MARRIAGE AND THE CHARGE OF ILLIBERALITY (VI-N)**

*Peter Brian Barry, Saginaw Valley State University*

Precisely how liberalism should be understood is a familiar problem, but certainly liberalism involves a commitment to protect a significant range of liberty. So it would be surprising if legally permitting and recognizing same-sex marriage (henceforth: SSM) turned out to be antithetical to liberalism. But some philosophers and political scientists have argued exactly that—that legal recognition of SSM is positively illiberal. I contend that the charge of illiberality is misguided and depends upon assumptions that liberals eschew and yield conclusions that opponents of SSM find intolerable. I also briefly propose an argument derived from constitutional law that legally prohibiting SSM is illiberal.

**DAMMING THE SWAMPING PROBLEM, RELIABLY (III-K)**

*Jared Bates, Hanover College*

The swamping problem maintains that if reliabilism is right that knowledge just is reliably formed true belief, then nothing could explain why knowledge has more value than mere true belief (Kvanvig 2003 and others). My objective here is to divert this stream of criticism by building
two bulwarks against it. The first follows a strategy of reliabilism’s critics and involves shifting focus away from the level of particular beliefs to explain the value of knowledge, while the second identifies (epistemically) valuable properties of reliably formed beliefs themselves that are not swamped by truth.

FORGIVENESS AS AN ALTERNATIVE RESPONSE TO WRONGDOING (VI-L)

KRISTEN BELL, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA–CHAPEL HILL

Most philosophers have understood forgiveness as forswearing resentment for some morally good reason. This account makes forgiveness look like something one does just in case the alternative course of action would be unjustifiable. Forgiveness begins to look suspiciously perfunctory and obligatory, hardly capturing the common thought that forgiveness can be heroic. I will articulate and defend an alternative account of forgiveness which avoids this problem. On my view, one forgives when one thinks the offender should be held accountable, but one trusts the offender to hold himself to account and one forswears the attempt to hold him to account oneself. The question to consider in deciding whether to resent or forgive is not “should the offender be accountable?” but rather “should I insist on holding the offender to account, or should I trust him to hold himself to account?”

ARMSTRONG AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PARTICULARS AND UNIVERSALS: A REPLY TO MACBRIDE (VIII-I)

JACOB BERGER, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK GRADUATE CENTER

D. M. Armstrong defends a metaphysical system which includes among its ontological constituents particulars and universals. While a difference between particulars and universals is intuitive, there are philosophers, notably Frank Ramsey, who remain skeptical of a distinction. In his Ramsey-inspired paper, “The Particular-Universal Distinction: A Dogma of Metaphysics?” Fraser MacBride surveys several ways to characterize the distinction, including Armstrong’s own formulation, and finds them wanting. I review many attempts to differentiate particulars from universals and conclude that, even if we accept MacBride’s assertion that Armstrong’s own effort to characterize the distinction is unsatisfactory, there are nevertheless ways to explicate the distinction that are compatible with Armstrong’s metaphysical project.

VIRTUE, NOT JEALOUSY: HUME’S VIEW OF SOCIAL DEPENDENCY (VII-H)

LORRAINE L. BESSER-JONES, MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE

Hume believes that the affirmations of others play an essential role in the judgments we make of our own merit: we seek out the approval of others, and fulfill our need for pride or self-esteem only upon obtaining it. While others have argued that this sort of social dependency leads us in
the negative direction characteristic of jealousy, Hume believes it operates as a positive force, functioning, among other things, as a powerful motive to virtue. In this paper, I explore how it is that Hume sustains this view in the face of the very plausible idea that our social dependency leads us to jealousy rather than virtue. In so doing, I hope to reach a greater understanding of Hume’s account of self-esteem (which, for Hume, is a version of pride), and, in particular, the principle of comparison.

THE ALLEGED IMPOTENCE OF REFLECTION: OWENS ON BELIEF AND CONTROL (VI-M)

JOSH BRIGHT, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–RIVERSIDE

Certain uses of normative language regarding belief seem to imply both control over and responsibility for belief. Nevertheless, most current philosophers deny the possibility of direct control over belief. I examine one such argument offered by David Owens. Owens’ argument is distinctive in that, as an intellectualist, he attempts to ground the relevant difference between belief and action not in the activity of the will, but rather in the structure of our rationality. Actions can be guided by reflective judgment, but beliefs cannot. I contend that Owens is mistaken, and that his mistake can be traced to a misunderstanding over the relevant conception of rationality. I employ John Broome’s work on the logic of normativity to diagnose Owens’ error, and to sketch a more satisfactory intellectualist conception of direct control over belief.

DISJUNCTIVISM AND PRIMITIVE KNOWLEDGE (III-K)

BERIT BROGAARD, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI–ST. LOUIS

Inspired by Timothy Williamson’s anti-luminosity argument, I offer a new objection to classical disjunctivism. I then give arguments in favor of a new kind of disjunctivism, which I will call “common-factor disjunctivism,” and show that common-factor disjunctivism avoids the charges pressed against classical disjunctivism.

A PROBLEM FOR MOTIVATIONAL EXTERNALISM (II-I)

DANIELLE BROMWICH, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Motivational internalism is roughly speaking the thesis that, if I judge that ‘It is right that I A’, I will be motivated to A. This thesis—which captures the practical nature of morality—is purportedly in tension with our ordinary moral experience. Proponents of the contrast thesis—motivational externalism—cite everyday examples of amoralism and apathy to demonstrate that it is possible to sincerely judge that ‘It is right that I A’ and yet not be motivated to A. I offer a simple defence of motivational internalism. I argue that the motivational externalist’s purported counterexamples are all predicated on the assumption that reliably determining the extension of a moral concept is sufficient for fully understanding that concept. I argue that, since we have no good reason to accept this assumption, these challenges fail to have force against motivational internalism.
ON A RATIONAL RECONSTRUCTION OF INTENTIONALISM DEBATES (II-L)

DEREK BROWN, BRANDON UNIVERSITY

I provide a framework within which to discuss intentionalism issues. The framework distinguishes between two different intentionalism debates, that between indirect and realist conceptions of perception, and that between qualia realist and antirealist views. Additionally, the framework contains the conceptual space for projectivism and thereby recognizes in experience the possibility of “internal” yet “intentional” aspects of experience, something not countenanced by familiar versions qualia realism or intentionalism. The resulting conception of spectrum inversion is preferred to the one familiar from intentionalism debates, and marks just one of many possible applications of this framework.

THE REVERSE-ZOMBIE ARGUMENT AGAINST DUALISM (IX-K)

RICHARD BROWN, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK–LAGUARDIA

The zombie argument currently advanced by dualists is question begging. It cannot show that physicalism is false because the first premise of the argument assumes that physicalism is false. One cannot even conceive of zombies if physicalism is true. I invoke a type-B-ish Kripkean strategy. The usual type-B defense admits that zombies are conceivable and then denies that they are really possible. I argue, instead, that what Kripke shows is that it may seem to us as though we are conceiving something when we are not. To illustrate this I give two reverse-zombie arguments against dualism. The first invokes zoombies, creatures identical to me in every non-physical way but which lack qualitative consciousness; the second invokes shombies, creatures physically and qualitatively identical to me which are solely physical. These are conceivable and so the dualist is in a dilemma. Either the original-zombie argument was question begging or dualism is false.

REASONS AND EVIDENCE ONE OUGHT (IX-M)

JOHN BRUNERO, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI–ST. LOUIS

Stephen Kearns and Daniel Star have recently argued that we can helpfully explain the concept of a reason in terms of the concept of evidence and the concept of ought. They defend the thesis (R): Necessarily, a fact F is a reason for an agent A to ? if and only if F is evidence that A ought to ? (where ? is either a belief or an action). In this paper, I argue that (R) is false in both directions: some fact could be a reason for A to ? without being evidence that A ought to ?, and some fact could be evidence that A ought to ? without being a reason for A to ?.

MINIMAL ENACTIVISM (VI-I)

MICHAEL BRUNO, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

This essay defends what I call the minimal enactive theory of conscious vision. The minimal enactive theory holds that the phenomenal character of a subject’s conscious visual states constitutively depends on states of
that subjects’ motor system. I defend the view in the following way. I first present four kinds of empirical evidence taken to support some kind of close dependence of conscious vision on motor system activity. After surveying this evidence, I explicate a novel account of constitutive dependence. Constitutive dependence is cashed out as a kind of counterfactual dependence between non-distinct entities. I explain what this amounts to, why it has metaphysical significance, and why the empirical evidence surveyed supports this kind of dependence.

**AGAINST SONICISM (IV-E)**

**Ben Caplan, Ohio State University**

**Carl Matheson, University of Manitoba**

We argue against Dodd’s (2007) sonicism. After presenting Dodd’s sonicism, Levinson’s (1980) objection that sonicism fails to capture the awesomeness of Beethoven’s Hammerklavier Sonata, and Dodd’s reply, we argue that, even if Dodd can satisfactorily reply to that objection, he can’t satisfactorily reply to a related objection. Along the way, we discuss aesthetic properties like being awesome.

**OPPOSING POWERS (II-K)**

**Randolph Clarke, Florida State University**

A disposition mask is something that prevents a disposition from manifesting despite the occurrence of that disposition’s characteristic stimulus, and without eliminating that disposition. Several authors have maintained that masks must be things extrinsic to the objects that have the masked dispositions. Here it is argued that this is not so; masks can be intrinsic to the objects whose dispositions they mask. If that is correct, then a recent attempt to distinguish dispositional properties from so-called categorical properties fails.

**THE “RAVENS PARADOX” IS A MISNOMER (V-M)**

**Roger Clarke, University of British Columbia**

I argue that the standard Bayesian solution to the ravens paradox—generally accepted as the most successful solution to the paradox—is insufficiently general. I give an instance of the paradox which is not solved by the standard Bayesian solution. I defend a new, more general solution, which is compatible with the Bayesian account of confirmation. As a solution to the paradox, I argue that the ravens hypothesis ought not to be held equivalent to its contrapositive; more interestingly, I argue that how we formally represent hypotheses ought to vary with the context of inquiry. This explains why the paradox is compelling, while dealing with standard objections to holding hypotheses inequivalent to their contrapositives.
DOES LUCK EXCLUDE CONTROL? (VI-L)
E. J. COFFMAN, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

Many philosophers think that “luck excludes control”—roughly, that an event is lucky for you only if it lies significantly beyond your control. Jennifer Lackey (2008) has recently argued that there’s no such requirement on luck. In this paper, I show that Lackey’s argument fails. I also consider a new argument against such requirements on luck that differs importantly from—but ultimately fares no better than—Lackey’s argument.

TWO STANDPOINTS ON KNOWLEDGE (V-I)
ANTHONY D. COLEMAN, WILLAMETTE UNIVERSITY

Many philosophers believe that there are two standpoints that we can adopt toward our beliefs and actions: a standpoint of rational deliberation and a standpoint of empirical description. In this paper, I argue that we can adopt these two standpoints toward our knowledge of propositions. I then argue that this fact helps to answer the question as to whether propositional knowledge should be understood along internalist or externalist lines.

EPISTEMIC PRAGMATISM: AN ARGUMENT AGAINST MODERATION (IX-L)
JUAN COMESAÑA, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

According to what I shall call moderate epistemic pragmatism (MEP), how much justification we need in favor of a proposition in order to know that it is true depends on our preferences. According to extreme epistemic pragmatism (EEP), on the other hand, our preferences influence our epistemic position at a more basic level, because they help determine how much justification we actually have in favor of the proposition in question. Simplifying brutally, MEP has it that the more worried we are about a proposition’s being false, the more justification we need in order to know it, whereas EEP has it that the more worried we are about a proposition’s being false, the less justification we have for it. I will argue that, given a very plausible principle of second-order evidence, MEP entails EEP. This is important because although MEP can be motivated by plausible arguments, EEP has extremely implausible consequences.

ARE ARISTOTLE’S UNIVERSALS SORTALS? (III-O)
PHILIP CORKUM, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

Sortals are kinds which provide criteria of identity for individuals falling under that kind. Many contemporary sortal theorists and some Aristotle scholars have looked to Aristotle for a historical precedent, claiming that Aristotle’s secondary substances are sortals. In this paper, I’ll argue that Aristotelian universals do not play any of the roles played by sortals in contemporary metaphysics. The paper comes in three parts. In the first part, I’ll argue that none of the *prima facie* evidence unequivocally supports the interpretation. In the second part of the paper, I’ll give positive reasons for denying that universals are sortals. And in the third and final
ON THREATENING HUMAN DIGNITY BY CREATING NEW TYPES OF BEINGS (II-G)
INMACULADA DE MELO-MARTIN, CORNELL UNIVERSITY

Discussions about whether new biomedical technologies threaten or violate human dignity are now common. Indeed appeals to human dignity have played a central role in national and international debates about whether to allow particular kinds of biomedical investigations. The focus of this paper is on chimera research. I argue here that both, those who claim that particular types of human-nonhuman chimera research threaten human dignity and those who argue that such threat does not exist, fail to make their case. I will first introduce some of the arguments that have been offered supporting the claim that the creation of certain sorts of chimeras threatens or violates human dignity. I will next present opponents’ assessments of such arguments. Finally I critically analyze both the critics’ and the supporters’ claims about whether chimera research threatens human dignity.

THE METAPHYSICIAN’S GAMBIT: APPEALS TO DISCRIMINATORY POWER (II-K)
PATRICK S. DIEVENEY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–LONG BEACH

It is not uncommon for metaphysicians to argue that we ought to accept their theories on the grounds that, unlike their competitors, their theories can distinguish apparently different possible scenarios. To the extent that these scenarios ought to be distinguished, it would appear to be a virtue of a theory that it distinguishes them. In this paper, I consider this virtue, what I call “discriminatory power.” I argue that for discriminatory power claims to carry any weight, they must first satisfy what I call “the independence condition.” This creates problems for metaphysicians who want to appeal to discriminatory power as a reason to accept their theories. In particular, satisfying the independence condition restricts the kinds of arguments that can be offered for discriminatory power claims. But, more importantly, satisfying the independence condition frequently undermines the metaphysician’s original discriminatory power claim.

NATURAL KINDS, LAWS, AND THE PROBLEM OF COMPLEX ESSENCES (VIII-H)
TRAVIS DUMSDAY, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Scientific essentialism faces an important but neglected difficulty. The essences of many, if not all, natural kinds seem to be complex, consisting of multiple essential properties that appear to have no necessary connection between them. Ductility and solubility are both essential to gold, but they have no intrinsic link between them; hence, objects can be ductile without being soluble and vice versa. How then are these diverse properties unified into a single essence? I refer to this as the problem of complex essences, and show that unless it is solved, scientific essentialism’s ontology of laws loses some of its explanatory force over and against the rival Dretske-
Tooley-Armstrong (DTA) account. I then attempt to address it by reference on the one hand to a certain necessity arising from objects’ materiality, and on the other to historical contingency in the origin of kinds.

**KEEPING REFERENCE IN MIND (II-H)**

**KEVAN EDWARDS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY**

The main claim defended in this paper is that familiar motivations for a reference-based approach to semantics can be converted into motivations for a reference-based approach to the content/identity of the basic conceptual constituents of thought. Insofar as reference-based approaches are rarely given serious consideration in philosophy of mind (or cognitive psychology) the argument in this paper suggests the need for a rethinking of some foundational assumptions.

**AGENCY AS THE EXERCISE OF PRACTICAL KNOWLEDGE (IX-M)**

**KEVIN FALVEY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SANTA BARBARA**

A skeptic about agency maintains that everything that happens can be explained in terms of causal sequences of events none of which need to be regarded as the action of an agent. We say I raised my arm, but all that really happened is that my arm rose, which was caused by my muscle contracting, etc. An answer to the skeptic must make clear how the agent is an ineliminable element in the explanation of what occurred, in a way that warrants us in saying he did this, and not merely that it occurred. It is argued that to vindicate agency, we must regard our actions, at least in core cases, as exercises of practical knowledge. This view of agency also vindicates Elizabeth Anscombe’s view that an agent knows without observation what she does intentionally. Donald Davidson’s well-known putative example of an intentional action known to the agent only by observation is criticized and rejected.

**NEWTONIAN FORCES AND EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY: A PROBLEM AND SOLUTION FOR EXTENDING THE FORCE INTERPRETATION (III-N)**

**JOSHUA FILLER, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON**

There has recently been a renewed interest in the “force” interpretation of evolutionary biology. In this paper, I present the general structure of the arguments for the force interpretation and identify a problem in its overly permissive conditions for being a Newtonian force. I then attempt a solution that (1) helps to illuminate the difference between forces and other types of causes and (2) makes room for random genetic drift as a force (contra Brandon (2006)). In particular, I argue that forces are not different in kind from other types of causes but rather forces are situated on a continuum of causes distinguished by their unifying power.
INTUITIONS AS INVITATIONS (V-I)

PATRICK FLEMING, JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY

Many philosophers express intuitions by saying that “we” believe that P. Recently, this claim has come under empirical attack by philosophers showing that the folk often do not believe what some philosophers take to be intuitive. In this paper I outline a different way to understand appeals to intuition. Following a suggestion by Bernard Williams, I argue that many intuitions are invitations to make a judgment. After outlining the proposal I argue for three claims. The first is that if we accept this account of what philosophers mean by saying that P is intuitive, the skeptical argument does not get off the ground. The second is that there are some very good reasons to read appeals to intuition in this way. And finally there appears to be nothing epistemically suspect about such appeals.

APPETIMUS SUB RATIONE BONI: THE LEIBNIZIAN ROOTS OF KANT’S ACCOUNT OF FREE CHOICE (V-H)

DAVID FORMAN, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA–LAS VEGAS

Kant arrives at his account of maxims as the freely chosen subjective principles of our willing through an appropriation and transformation of the Leibnizian view that we are free in choosing the apparent good. Wolff and Baumgarten call such representations of the good “maxims” and take them to be a product of the understanding (such that moral improvement consists in a refinement of the understanding). But Kant claims that if we are to preserve human freedom, then we must consider maxims, paradoxically, to be the product of willing or choice (Willkür) itself. Accounting for this possibility—that we choose the conception of good on which we act rather than simply choosing whatever the understanding represents as good—requires a new metaphysics of freedom. But this innovation occurs within the context of a conception of moral psychology that remains deeply indebted to Leibniz, Wolff, and Baumgarten.

RE-IMAGINING NORMATIVITY: MEANING, RULES, AND THE IMAGINATION (V-J)

BARBARA FULTNER, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT AND DENISON UNIVERSITY

The thesis that meanings are normative, that they function as rules determining correct use, seems to run counter to the view that language is creative and imaginative. Some hold that for subjects to follow a rule exemplified by a set of examples may “reflect a lack of imagination” on their part (Pettit 2002). What makes rule-following unimaginative is that it is ultimately based on a disposition to respond in a certain way. Divergence from norms in dominant accounts or normativity is usually discussed in terms of error rather than innovation. When we think of innovation, we often appeal to the imagination, associated with non-discursive thought and with emotion. In this paper, I argue that the imagination works at multiple levels relative to normativity. It plays a role in rule-following itself as well as in semantic innovation. The tension between imagination and normativity is hence a dialectical one.
REFLECTIVE SELF-APPRAISAL, AUTONOMY, AND THE MOTIVE OF CARE (IX-M)

DWIGHT FURROW, SAN DIEGO MESA COLLEGE
MARK WHEELER, SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

Despite receiving considerable philosophical attention, the concept of autonomy remains contested. In this paper, we diagnose one source of the continuing problem—an excessive emphasis on reflective self-appraisal in the dominant procedural models of autonomy—and suggest a solution. We argue that minimalist conceptions of rational self-appraisal are subject to fatal counterexamples. Yet, attempts to provide a more robust account of rational self-appraisal are too demanding to capture our intuitions about who counts as an autonomous agent. We argue that no procedure of rational reflection will confer autonomy; rather, autonomy is a matter of an agent’s actions flowing from her substantive commitments. Instead of rational self-reflection, autonomous actions are the product of the motive of care, which anchors an agent’s occurent desires to her system of value.

THE GOODNESS OF WORLDS, WORLD-CREATING ACTS, AND WORLD-CREATORS (IV-I)

LUKE GELINAS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

I assess a recent reply to William Rowe’s “No Best World” argument for atheism, due to Michael Almeida, and propose a novel approach. Whereas Almeida’s strategy focuses on the connection between the goodness of world-creating acts and the goodness of world-creators, the defense I propose attempts to undermine the tight connection, implicit in Rowe’s argument, between the goodness of worlds and the goodness of world-creating acts. I argue that attempts to rebut the argument this way are more promising, since (unlike Almeida’s strategy) they allow theists to preserve the intuition that a morally perfect being would not knowingly perform an act less good than others in its power to perform.

AGAINST ZERO-DIMENSIONAL MATERIAL OBJECTS (IX-J)

DANIEL GIBERMAN, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

I present an argument against (spatially) zero-dimensional material objects from the premises (i) that if there are zero-dimensional material objects then they are bare particulars, and (ii) that there are no bare particulars. The argument for the first premise involves the spatiotemporal nature of material objects, the metaphysics of property exemplification, and the claim that zero-dimensional property instances are best understood as non-spatiotemporal items. The argument for the second premise involves the intuitive claim that exemplification of at least one sparse property is a prerequisite for existence. I defend this claim against Ted Sider’s (2006) recent attempt to refute it.
MEANINGFULNESS AS A SECONDARY QUALITY (III-M)

NATHANIEL J. GOLDBERG, WASHINGTON AND LEE UNIVERSITY

“Dog” in English means dog, and “cat” means cat. Though they mean different things, each possesses the property of being meaningful. “La nieve es blanca” in Spanish and “L’herbe est verte” in French possess that property also. But what exactly is that property? What is the nature of meaningfulness? Here I articulate the novel thesis that meaningfulness is a secondary quality analogous to color. I then offer reasons to prefer this understanding of meaningfulness to several rivals.

ALL POLITICAL JUSTIFICATION IS INSTRUMENTAL (VIII-L)

ALEXANDER GUERRERO, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

This paper argues for the following conclusion: all plausible normative justifications for political systems and political actions are, at bottom, instrumental. The basic idea is that all political systems and actions require departures from the normative ideal of autonomous choice and action—departures that are in all cases instrumentally justified. This conclusion has important implications. One implication is that non-instrumental political values (such as the value of egalitarian political processes) cannot be “trumps” that rule out political systems and political actions that do not exemplify these values, regardless of the consequences of ruling them out. A second implication is that we should be open to considering all kinds of departures from the ideal of autonomous action—not just those familiar from representative democracies. In particular, we should be more open to non-democratic procedures if those procedures will better serve the instrumental purposes that, at bottom, justify political systems and political action.

PROMISES, TRUST, AND WARRANT (IV-K)

ALLEN N. HABIB, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

When we promise to do something, we thereby gain a moral obligation to do it. But why is this so? One type of explanation for why we have a duty to keep our promises is that our promisees come to trust that we will keep them, and betrayal of that trust is a moral wrong. This approach suffers from a difficulty in explaining what reasons promisees have to come to trust promisers in the first place. I examine a solution to the problem proposed by T.M. Scanlon, that promisees can trust promisers because promisers are forbidden to attempt to mislead them. I conclude that the solution is inadequate.

MORAL PARTICULARISM AND THE AESTHETICS ANALOGY (V-L)

JAMES HAROLD, MOUNT HOLYOKE COLLEGE

Most accounts of ethics and aesthetics either tend to emphasize the differences between the two kinds of valuing, or, occasionally, to use moral valuing as a model for aesthetics. Moral particularists, however, are more apt to see aesthetics as the template according to which ethics should be
understood. The aim of this paper is to critique the particularists’ analogy. There is a class of aesthetic judgments that has no moral counterpart. The paper is not a critique of moral particularism as such; the failure of the analogy is not a serious problem for the particularists’ view. However, if the analogy fails, then a widely held assumption comes to light for which moral particularism—and indeed any metaethical view—will need an account. Most metaethical approaches assume that aesthetics and ethics can be treated uniformly, but this assumption should be challenged.

**In Sickness and in Health: Can the Marriage Between Health Care and the Market Live Ethically Ever After? (IV-G)**

*Thomas D. Harter, University of Tennessee*

Some argue that health care goods and services should never be treated as market commodities. However, such arguments are seemingly incompatible with the current realities of health care markets in the United States. This paper argues that it is possible to ethically treat some health care goods and services as market commodities when they are regulated in such a way as to uphold three principles seemingly common in both health care and market transactions: honesty, respect for autonomy, and the desire to increase access to goods and services. I begin by discussing the philosophical and ethical, the practical, and the market problems with treating health care goods and services as market commodities. I then address how each of these problems is surmountable. Lastly I discuss how the principles of honesty, respect for autonomy, and the desire to increase access to goods and services help demarcate the ethical limits of health care markets.

**Techne and the Unity of the Good in Plato and Aristotle (II-J)**

*George Harvey, Indiana University Southeast*

In *Nicomachean Ethics* I.6, Aristotle claims that knowledge of the form of the Good would be of ance to experts in various fields of expertise. Specifically, he appeals to the fact that experts produce particular goods without such knowledge, and, further, that it is unclear how it would make anyone a better expert (1096b35-1097a14). My view is that we find evidence in Plato’s dialogues that serves to answer this objection. Drawing from the *Phaedrus* and *Philebus*, I will examine the relationship that Plato establishes between the various forms of expertise constituting the technai and knowledge of the forms through dialectical method. This examination will establish that all expertise in the technai requires theoretical knowledge that is obtainable only through dialectical means, and that knowledge of the Good plays an indirect but indispensible role in the production of particular goods.
RIGIDITY OR ACTUALITY-DEPENDENCE? (VIII-J)

JUSSI HAUKIOJA, UNIVERSITY OF TURKU

It is generally assumed that rigidity plays a key role in explaining the necessary a posteriori status of identity statements, both between proper names and between natural kind terms. However, there is no generally accepted definition of what it is for a kind term to be rigid. In this paper I argue that the most popular view, according to which rigid kind terms are the ones which designate the same kind in all possible worlds, fails to deliver a posteriori necessities. I also present an alternative view, on which the work of explaining a posteriori necessities is not really done by rigidity, but by a related metasemantic notion, which I call actuality-dependence.

THE SUBJECTIVE INTUITION (VI-J)

JENNIFER HAWKINS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Theories of well-being are typically divided into subjective and objective. Subjective theories are those which make facts about a person’s welfare depend on facts about her actual or hypothetical mental states. I am interested in what motivates this approach to the theory of welfare. The contemporary view is that subjectivism is devoted to honoring the evaluative perspective of the individual, but this is both a misleading account of the motivations behind subjectivism, and a vision that dooms subjective theories to failure. I suggest that we need to revisit and reinstate certain features of traditional hedonism, in particular the idea that felt experience plays a role that no theory of welfare can afford to ignore. I then offer a sketch of a theory that is subjective in my preferred sense and avoids the worst sins of hedonism as well as the problems generated by the contemporary constraints of subjective theorists.

TRUTHMAKERS AS DIFFERENCE-MAKERS (I-D)

AVRAM HILLER, PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY

A number of philosophers have defended one form or another of Truthmaker, the view that every truth is necessitated by some existing thing or state of affairs. However, Trenton Merricks’ recent book Truth and Ontology leaves little doubt that Truthmaker is false. Two of Merricks’ strongest arguments show that negative existentials do not have truthmakers, and that Truthmaker does not properly account for necessary truths. Since Merricks believes that a restricted account of Truthmaker which excludes these two classes of truths would involve unprincipled gerrymandering, he believes that nothing like Truthmaker can be true. In this paper, however, I explicate a restricted Truthmaker principle, which I call (with a nod to David Lewis) the Difference-Maker principle, and I show how this principle does not involve unprincipled gerrymandering. In conclusion, I reject Merricks’s claim that because of the failure of Truthmaker, the correspondence theory of truth is false.
WHAT IS THE FOUR PRINCIPLES APPROACH TO BIOMEDICAL ETHICS? (II-G)

KRISTEN A. HINE, TOWSON UNIVERSITY

According to Tom Beauchamp and James Childress, the four principles approach to biomedical ethics is supposed to provide a “framework” for reasoning through moral issues in medicine. But what exactly is this framework meant to provide? In this paper I present and evaluate two possible interpretations of principilism: principilism as a criterion of moral rightness, and principilism as an account of justified moral beliefs. I argue that the view, as it is presented and defended by the authors, is best understood as an account of the conditions under which one’s ethical beliefs are justified. Having defended my favored interpretation, I next take up the objection that principilism cannot be put into practice because the principles lack the requisite determinacy to be action guiding. I contend that this objection is successful only if principilism is characterized (incorrectly) as a criterion of morally right action.

KNOWLEDGE, HARMONY, AND BEAUTY IN THE THEAETETUS (II-J)

MATTHEW HOLTZMAN, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

In the Theaetetus, Plato suggests that the central question of the dialogue, “What is knowledge,” can not be answered without simultaneously investigating the nature of Theaetetus’ soul. This paper proposes a reading of the first half of the dialogue which explains the relationship between these investigations. The influence of Protagoreanism on Theodorus rendered him unable to teach mathematics as a propaideutic to philosophy. In order for Theaetetus to complete the curriculum outlined in the Republic, Socrates, as midwife, must help Theaetetus to understand the nature of mathematical practice, to see the contradictions inherent in the Protagorean account of mathematics and education which he unwittingly imbibed from Theodorus. As a reflective mathematician, the state of Theaetetus’ soul will be such that he will be capable of delivering a definition of knowledge in harmony with his nature; one that is beautiful, self-expressive, and true.

CONVENTIONAL IMPLICATURE AND STRONG SPEAKER ORIENTATION (III-M)

CLAIRE HORISK, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

Christopher Potts has argued that supplemental expressions generate conventional implicatures. A central piece of his evidence is that a supplemental expression is strongly speaker-oriented—that is, only its utterer is heard as endorsing what the expression expresses, unless it is uttered as part of a direct quotation. Strong speaker-orientation becomes apparent where an expression occurs in the complement clauses of propositional attitude or indirect speech reports. In this paper, I argue that supplemental expressions are not strongly speaker-oriented, on the grounds that they exhibit opacity in propositional attitude reports.
DEFENDING TRUST AS A TWO-PART RELATIONSHIP (IV-K)

CHRISTOPHER HUDSPETH, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA—TAMPA

This paper is devoted to showing that trust is a two-part rather than a three-part relation; it involves a subject and an object, A trusts B, but does not require the object of a prepositional clause, A trusts B with C. I argue that the costs and benefits of any act of entrusting are not relevant to trust. Doing so allows us to see that trust relationships are not defined by the set of actions that would constitute a breach of that relationship but rather by the motivations the other has for acting. The economic perspective therefore fails to capture the nature of trust and our relationship to others. A two-part conception of trust, meanwhile, allows us to move beyond an economic account and to engage in truly personal interpersonal relationships.

INDEXICALISM AND DEFAULT SATURATION (III-M)

MICHAEL HUMISTON, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—MADISON

In this paper I propose a revision of indexicalism, of one of the main views on context-dependency, to defend it against the criticisms of contextualists. Indexicalists hold that all context-dependency can be modeled on well-understood notions like the saturation of predicates or the fixing of values for parameters provided by the lexical meanings of terms. Contextualists challenge indexicalism by generating examples of optional contextual effects (effects of context on the meaning of utterances that are not required for the utterance to express a unique proposition). The solution I propose to this problem is to posit that for some of the parameters of a term, the lexical meaning of the term fixes their values in the absence of a context sufficiently rich to do so itself. Some terms, which can be saturated by context, need not be because of “default saturation” already provided by the meaning of the term.

IN DEFENSE OF EXTENDED, EMBODIED COGNITION: SOME LESSONS FOR PHILOSOPHY FROM COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE (X-E)

ANNE JACOBSON, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

The thesis of Extended, Embodied Cognition (EEC), as we will understand it, maintains that cognition ain’t just in the head, to paraphrase Putnam (Putnam 1975). Adhering at least in part to Putnam’s model, it holds that cognition in general supervenes on the environment external to the brain and, indeed, to the body. The defense of EEC presented here has two major parts. This first develops as a response to a well-known argument against defenders of EEC. This part is particularly critical of a methodology increasingly common in philosophy since Davidson, and it develops a new paradigm for giving an account of mental functioning. The second part puts in question a well known dogma in recent philosophy. In each part, the arguments draw heavily on general features of recent cognitive neuroscience.
THE RATIONALITY OF THE YOUTHS OF KALLIPOLIS (V-K)

MICHELLE JENKINS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

In this paper, I address the question of the rationality of the youths of Kallipolis. The moral education as presented in the Republic appears to be arational—youths are not given reasons for why the beliefs they are taught are true and Socrates says that the youths will “welcome the reason when it comes.” Some scholars have taken this to indicate that the youths themselves are prerational. In this paper I reject this interpretation, arguing that we can see good evidence that the youths not only have the capacity for reason but are encouraged to develop that capacity throughout their childhood. This becomes apparent when we reflect on the theoretically sophisticated mathematical education that they are expected to engage in alongside their moral education. The final section of this paper considers how to reconcile the hyper-rational nature of the theoretical education with the arational nature of the moral education.

GUPTA ON THE GIVEN (VIII-K)

JAMES JOHN, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

In his Empiricism and Experience, Anil Gupta argues for a surprising claim: that if the given in experience is propositional—if there is a set of propositions the subject of an experience is justified in believing solely on the basis of undergoing the experience—then the “Cartesian conception of experience”—the sense-datum theory—is true. Gupta’s “Argument from the Propositional Given” comes in two versions. This paper examines both, showing that each fails. It also makes the following claims: that “Reliability,” the claim that experience never justifies any false propositions, is implausible; that experience’s passivity doesn’t argue for Reliability; that what one is justified in believing on the basis of an experience is what one may take into rational consideration upon having the experience, not what one must take into consideration; and that the rational adjustment of one’s view in light of experience is a process that may be non-conceptual.

DAVID CHARLES AND ARISTOTLE’S BUILDERS (V-J)

ERROL KATAYAMA, OHIO NORTHERN UNIVERSITY

In Aristotle on Meaning and Essence and in “Wittgenstein’s Builders and Aristotle’s Craftsmen,” David Charles ascribes to Aristotle the view that master craftsmen’s grasp of the meaning of natural-kind terms takes place without their possessing any scientific theory about them. Such a grasp of the signification of the kind terms will not involve any knowledge of not only their essence but also their existence as well. In this paper, I shall argue that there is at least one kind of master craftsmen—builders—that fail to perform the distinctive epistemological role assigned by Charles. Consequently, I shall raise a serious doubt on the reliability of builder master craftsmen’s ability to latch on to the objective kinds and thereby weaken the overall confidence that Charles has on them to “construct a realist representation of the kinds around him” so as to pave us “the road to realism.”
ETHOS AS ABODE: THE HEIDEGGERIAN GROUND OF ETHICS (IX-I)
TARA KENNEDY, UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

In this paper, I explore what basis might be found for ethics in Heidegger’s writings, specifically in his “Letter on ‘Humanism.’” I do so via the trope of dwelling as it appears in this text and others. Understanding in what way human beings “dwell” allows us insight into how Heidegger conceives of the essence of the human being, in what way language constitutes a home in which these beings dwell, and how and for what those who use language stand as guardians, according to him. Ultimately, I argue that the ground for ethics on Heidegger’s account can only be Being itself and that being ethical therefore involves having the proper comportment in the world. Finally, acknowledging the untraditional nature of such an ethical theory, I investigate what possibilities exist within such a system for bringing about changes in the behavior of those we believe to be acting immorally.

RECONSIDERING SPINOZA’S FREE MAN (V-H)
MATTHEW J. KISNER, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

While Spinoza’s remarks on exemplars or models in the preface of the Ethics are few and brief, they have far-reaching consequences. For he argues that we ought to judge our good and perfection with respect to what he calls a “model of human nature.” While commentators have offered a variety of interpretations of the model, there has been near unanimous agreement that the model is Spinoza’s free man, described from 4p66s to 4p73. Since the free man is a perfectly active being, this reading indicates that Spinoza’s ethics sets exceptionally high goals, aiming to make us purely active beings. This paper argues, against the standard view, that the free man should not be read as describing the model on the basis that such a reading is inconsistent with Spinoza’s philosophy, particularly his claims about the nature of passivity, whether ought implies can and models generally.

THE WORLD THINKING ITSELF: HEIDEGGARIAN THEMES FROM CATEGORIAL INTUITION TO THE ORIGIN OF THE WORK OF ART (IX-I)
WILLIAM H. KOCH, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

This paper is an attempt to present concisely several of the key elements of my successfully defended master’s thesis and my yet to be completed dissertation. It seeks to discuss the early influence of categorial intuition in the work of Martin Heidegger and to briefly trace its transformation in his work to his opus on art The Origin of the Work of Art. In the course of this task the foundational importance of categorial intuition for phenomenological methodology and a new perspective on the centrality of the problem of historical world change for Heidegger’s overall project comes to light.
HOW DIRT WORKS: NATURAL MOTION OF THE ELEMENTS IN ARISTOTLE’S PHYSICS VIII.4 (IV-F)
AIMEE L. KOEPLIN, LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY

The natural motions of the elements pose an apparent problem for Aristotle’s thesis: everything that moves is moved by something. I argue that we can make better sense of Aristotle’s view if we abandon the idea that the goal, or telos, of the elements is to be in a certain place in favor of the idea that the goal, or telos, of the elements is to go in a certain direction.

A NEW SOLUTION TO THE GROUNDING PROBLEM FOR COINCIDENT OBJECTS (IX-J)
DANIEL Z. KORMAN, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS–URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

I attempt to solve the grounding problem for the view that statues and the clay of which they are made differ with respect to their modal properties. Here is the grounding problem: the modal differences between the statue and the clay seem to stand in need of explanation, and yet there seem to be no further differences between the statue and the clay that can explain this modal difference. I propose a novel way of solving the grounding problem, which involves distinguishing between the mereological relation that holds between the statue and its parts and the one that holds between the clay and its parts. I attempt to show that the indicated difference is poised to explain modal and sortal differences between the statue and clay, and I discuss the extent to which the solution generalizes to handle grounding problems involving other kinds of entities.

CONTENT AND COUNTERPOSSIBLES (I-D)
BARAK KRAKAUER, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS–AMHERST

According to Lewis, Stalnaker, and others, counterpossibles, or counterfactuals with necessarily false antecedents, are vacuously true. Such accounts of counterfactuals are unable to provide truth conditions to counterpossibles, even though we use them in everyday speech as well as philosophical discourse. In this paper, I argue that the truth conditions of such counterpossibles can be given in virtue of the truth conditions of some nearby counterfactual with unproblematic truth conditions. These nearby counterfactuals are claims about a structure that might be instantiated by the antecedent. If such an approach can be successfully developed, it will provide us with non-trivial truth conditions for counterpossibles without resorting to impossible worlds or non-classical logic.

THE DILEMMA OF UNDERLYING MATTER IN ARISTOTLE’S DE GENERATIONE ET CORRUPTIONE II.1, 328b31-329a23 (III-O)
MARY KATRINA KRIZAN, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO–BOULDER

Aristotle’s positive account of matter, the contrarieties, and the so-called elements in De Generatione et Corruptione II.1, 329a24-b3 has been of much interest to scholars trying to understand Aristotle’s commitment (or
lack thereof) to prime matter. Commentators have paid significantly less attention to the sections of GC II.1 immediately leading up to Aristotle’s positive account, particularly his criticisms of Anaximander and Plato’s Timaeus. In this paper, I suggest that a new way to frame the prime matter debate can be found by analyzing Aristotle’s criticisms of his predecessors in GC II.1. In particular, various attempts to answer questions regarding the number, separability, and identity of the matter that underlies the perceptible bodies lead to a dilemma for the status of underlying matter. By understanding this dilemma and how it might be avoided, we can better frame our account of why Aristotle may or may not be committed to prime matter.

KANT’S PROBLEM REGARDING OTHERS (X-F)

Marcy Latta, University of Pennsylvania

Kant offers an explicit criterion regarding how we ought to treat humanity (or free beings) in his formulation of the moral law known as the Formula of Humanity, yet seemingly gives us little in the way of an account as to why we are compelled to view others in such a way that qualifies them as members of humanity. Unless Kant can force us to adopt a particular conception of others, he cannot show that we are bound to treat them in any particular manner, and, thus, that we are obligated to respect the moral law in any practically meaningful sense. I draw attention to the asymmetry between Kant’s arguments concerning our knowledge of our own humanity (or freedom) and those concerning our knowledge of the humanity of others, to show that considerations of practical reason alone do not compel us to view others as appropriate objects of moral consideration.

CRISP ON THE BUCK-PASSING ACCOUNT OF VALUE (II-I)

S. Matthew Liao, Oxford University

T. M. Scanlon’s buck-passing account of value (BPA) has been subjected to a barrage of criticisms. Recently, to be helpful to BPA, Roger Crisp has suggested that a number of these criticisms can be met if one makes some revisions to BPA. In this paper, I argue that if advocates of the buck-passing account accepted these revisions, they would effectively be giving up the buck-passing account as it is typically understood, that is, as an account concerned with the explanatory priority of reasons or the right vis-à-vis value or the good.

NORMALIZING PROSTITUTION VS. NORMALIZING THE ALIENABILITY OF SEXUAL RIGHTS (VI-N)

Hallie Rose Liberto, University of Wisconsin–Madison

Recent philosophical assessment of prostitution is divided into two camps. Some liberal philosophers have argued that legalizing prostitution would allow us to clean up the institution, and normalizing it would take away the stigma attached to sex work that accounts for many of its problems.
However, radical feminists claim that prostitution is degrading, is borne of social injustice, and spurs social injustice. Scott Anderson argues (Ethics, 2002) that while the radical feminists’ concerns with prostitution could be considered extrinsic to the institution (as liberals think), there is another problem with prostitution. The legalization and normalization of prostitution, while providing a new legal option to individuals, will actually reduce everyone’s sexual autonomy. In my paper I distinguish between two types of prostitution: sexual rights alienating prostitution and sexual rights preserving prostitution. I argue that Anderson’s concerns only apply to the former.

**TAKING BRAINS SERIOUSLY: A RESPONSE TO ERIC OLSON’S SPARSE ONTOLOGY (IX-K)**

*JOUNGBIN LIM, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA*

According to Eric Olson’s sparse ontology, there is no brain but there are atoms arranged brainwise in my skull. He argues that his ontology can solve “the problem of too many thinkers,” according to which if my brain exists, there must be two thinkers in my region (my brain and I). In this paper, I argue that Olson’s argument is wrong because (1) it generates a new problem of too many thinkers and (2) it is based on a flawed assumption regarding the relation between the brain and the thinker. I conclude that even though my brain exists, there is no problem of too many thinkers.

**THE CASE OF THE DIVERGENT DESCRIPTIONS: AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF SEMANTICS, CROSS-CULTURAL STYLE (VI-K)**

*JONATHAN LIVENGOOD, INDEPENDENT SCHOLAR*

*JUSTIN M. SYTSMA, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH*

In two fascinating articles, Machery, Mallon, Nichols, and Stich use experimental methods to raise doubt about reliance on intuitions in developing theories of reference which are then deployed in philosophical arguments. They ran a cross-cultural survey asking Western and Asian subjects about a famous case from the philosophical literature (Kripke’s Gödel example). They found significant variation in subjects’ intuitions about that case. While there have been a number of theoretical responses to this work, there have not yet been any experimental responses. This paper fills that gap. We noticed an ambiguity in the question Machery et al. posed in their original experiment; we then ran four studies to test the impact of this ambiguity on subjects’ responses. We found that the ambiguity accounts for much of the variation found in their original experiment. We argue that in the light of our data, Machery et al.’s argument is no longer convincing.

**TEMPORAL DIMENSIONS OF REDUCTIONISM IN BIOLOGY (III-N)**

*ALAN C. LOVE, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA*

Although reduction clearly concerns spatial dimensions, such as relations between macroscale and microscale properties, at least three relevant temporal dimensions can be distinguished: historical, iterated
compositional, and emergent process. The first two are prevalent in prior philosophical discussions but the third has not been previously analyzed. This neglected dimension is shown to be more appropriate for reductive explanations in experimental biology.

AN ARGUMENT AGAINST ANIMALISM (IV-H)

TUOMAS MANNINEN, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

In “An Argument for Animalism,” Eric Olson explicates what he means by “animalism,” presents the “thinking-animal argument” for animalism, and argues that those who try to refute the argument face a choice between three awkward alternatives. According to Olson, the opponents would have to deny either that there are any human animals, that animals can think, or that there are thinking beings located where your human animal is. In my paper, I argue that Olson’s formulation of animalism is susceptible to Peter Unger’s “sorites of decomposition” argument, which forces one to accept that there are no animals. I will show how this consequence can be avoided on a constitutionalist model that denies the other two claims. As an upshot, I argue that the alternative is far less awkward than Olson paints it to be.

CONCILIATORY VIEWS OF DISAGREEMENT AND HIGHER-ORDER EVIDENCE (III-K)

JONATHAN MATHESON, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

This paper examines a series of objections made against conciliatory views of disagreement by Thomas Kelly (2005) concerning higher-order evidence. A natural and intuitive view in the epistemology of disagreement is a conciliatory view of disagreement. A conciliatory view of disagreement maintains that discovering that an epistemic peer who shares your evidence disagrees with you, epistemically requires you to doxastically move towards your opponent. Kelly levels three objections against such a view regarding whether higher-order evidence is evidence for the disputed first-order proposition, and whether it being so would support a conciliatory view of disagreement. I argue, contra Kelly, that higher-order evidence is evidence for the disputed first-order proposition and that his counterexamples to conciliatory views all fail in one or more respects.

RACE AS AN INSTITUTIONAL FACT (VI-N)

KAY MATHIESEN, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

According to Ron Mallon (2004), any adequate account of race must meet three constraints: passing, no-traveling, and reality. “Passing” describes the fact that persons who are treated by others as belonging to one race may “actually” belong to a different race. “No traveling” refers to the fact that racial concepts such as “white” may pick out different sets of persons in different cultures. “Reality” refers to the fact that racial designations enter into explanations of how people’s lives go. However, Mallon argues that no account can simultaneously satisfy all three constraints. I argue that an account of race as an institutional fact, based on Searle’s theory
of constitutive rules, can satisfy all three constraints. Furthermore, the institutional account provides an enlightening explanation of these three features of race.

**MORAL PERCEPTION AND THE CAUSAL OBJECTION (V-L)**

*JUSTIN P. MCBRAYER, FORT LEWIS COLLEGE*

One of the primary attractions to moral anti-realism is the current lack of a plausible moral epistemology. Recently, some moral realists have responded with a radical proposal—we know moral facts in much the same way as we know everyday facts about the external world: by perception. According to this proposal, we can know that an action is, say, morally wrong by literally seeing it. The most plausible objection to such moral perception is the causal objection: in order for an agent to perceive X, she must be in appropriate causal contact with X. But we are not in appropriate causal contact with moral properties. Therefore, we cannot perceive moral properties. This objection is a bad one, and I argue that the causal constraint can be met regardless of whether moral properties turn out to be secondary natural properties, non-secondary natural properties, or non-natural properties.

**SCIENTIFIC EXPLANATION, UNDERSTANDING, AND KNOWLEDGE (IX-H)**

*KEVIN MCCAIN, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER*

It is widely held by philosophers of science that an essential feature of scientific explanations is that they provide understanding. It is also widely held among philosophers of science that understanding is a kind of knowledge. Although the former view is quite plausible, the latter view leads to problems for accounts of scientific explanation. In this paper I argue that the recent epistemological literature provides independent reasons for thinking that understanding is not a kind of knowledge. I also argue that by conceiving of understanding not as a kind of knowledge, but instead as a non-factive cognitive state that involves awareness of various relations (coherence, part/whole, relations between parts, etc.) that exist within a body of information one can provide an understanding constraint on explanations that matches our intuitions while avoiding two serious problems facing the understanding constraint construed as requiring knowledge.

**HOW TO USE A CONCEPT YOU REJECT (II-H)**

*MARK MCCULLAGH, UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH*

Some philosophers maintain that possessing a concept requires endorsing certain characteristic inferences. They adduce cases in which people reject some inferences and seem thereby to reject a concept. Against this, Williamson argues that even these people can understand those who use the concept, and to do this is to possess it. I offer a reconciliatory proposal that respects the intuitions on both sides of this debate. The central idea is that you can satisfy the conditions for using a concept indirectly (say in a belief ascription) while failing to satisfy the conditions for using it directly.
THE MYSTERIOUS MU: AN ARGUMENT FOR SMALL-NUMBER NIHILISM (VII-I)

DAVE McELHOES, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND—COLLEGE PARK

Of the existing theories of vagueness, Timothy Williamson’s epistemicism is rather unpopular. In this paper I defend popular opinion. I attack Timothy Williamson’s epistemicism on the grounds that it can be used to derive contradictions. To derive the contradiction I present a sorites-inspired argument that the epistemicist is compelled to accept: its soundness relies mostly on one’s adherence to classical reasoning, the sort of reasoning that is embraced by the epistemicist. However, when combined with Williamson’s central epistemicist principles, the conclusion of this argument can be used to derive contradictions. Ultimately, I suggest that Fregean Nihilism might be our best option given Williamson’s powerful arguments against his competitors.

FUNDAMENTAL LAWS AND COUNTERFACTUAL STABILITY (VIII-H)

PATRICK McGIVERN, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

I examine Mark Lange’s recent account of the distinction between the “fundamental” laws of physics and the “non-fundamental” laws of the special sciences, based on counterfactual stability. Very roughly, a true generalization is counterfactually stable if it would remain true across a range of counterfactual situations. Lange uses this concept not only to describe the distinctive counterfactual behavior of the fundamental laws of physics but also to explain how laws from other sciences can be autonomous from the fundamental laws of physics and of independent explanatory value. I criticize this account of the laws of physics and argue that they are not stable in the sense Lange describes. I then assess the sense of autonomy Lange’s account gives the laws of the special sciences, and argue that it is of dubious explanatory value.

LOVE AND ENTITLEMENT: SARTRE AND BEAUVOIR ON THE NATURE OF JEALOUSY (I-E)

IRENE McMULLIN, UNIVERSITY OFARKANSAS

Contrary to contemporary accounts, this paper argues that a defining feature of jealousy is its sense of entitlement to the beloved’s affirmation. Because jealousy involves locating one’s center of value in being-valued by the other person, the uncertain character of this “outsourcing” of value provokes anxiety and the attempt to get control of the other’s free affirmation. Examining Sartre’s analyses of love and its distortions will allow us to better understand the role of evaluation in this emotion. Turning to Simone de Beauvoir’s account of traditional gender values will clarify how jealousy is profoundly shaped by the sense of entitlement.

THE ROLE AND VALUE OF EPISTEMIC CONFIDENCE (IX-L)

WILLIAM J. MELANSON, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA—OMAHA

Assessments of epistemic confidence tend to play a larger role in our lives than do direct assessments of evidence, justifiedness, or even knowledge. In this paper, I shall examine the role and value of epistemic confidence.
The basic idea is that we continually adjust the level of epistemic confidence in our beliefs as we recognize new evidence either supporting or undermining them. Hence, at every point, our level of epistemic confidence provides an indication of the overall strength of the evidence that we have encountered thus far. In this way, our epistemic confidence provides a guide to the subjective justifiedness of our beliefs. As such, our epistemic confidence provides an immediately accessible estimate of the likely truth of our beliefs. Though fallible, such an estimate is indispensable when determining whether to act upon a belief or whether to take the precaution of seeking additional evidence.

RECONCILING ANOMALOUS MONISM AND SCHEME CONTENT DUALISM (IX-K)

DWAYNE MOORE, WILFRID LAURIER UNIVERSITY

Anomalous monism and the repudiation of scheme-content dualism are two of Donald Davidson’s more important philosophical contributions. It would be surprising to discover these doctrines are in conflict with one other. Manuel De Pinedo (2006) has recently argued that there is in fact some tension. He thinks anomalous monism requires token events to be extensional and hence beyond any conceptual framework, whereas the rejection of scheme-content dualism does not permit any such schemeless events. In this paper I argue that Pinedo’s worries are misplaced. Davidson’s anomalous monism does require extensional token events, but these can be invoked without adopting a dualism of scheme and content. This is done by carefully considering the implications of radical interpretation and triangulation.

IN DEFENSE OF THE HEDONISTIC ACCOUNT OF HAPPINESS (III-L)

STEPHEN G. MORRIS, MISSOURI WESTERN STATE UNIVERSITY

Although the concept of happiness plays a central role in ethics, contemporary philosophers have generally given little attention to providing a robust account of what this concept entails. In a recent paper, Dan Haybron sets out to accomplish two main tasks: the first is to underscore the importance of conducting philosophical inquiry into the concept of happiness; the second is to defend a particular account of happiness—which he calls the “emotional state conception of happiness”—while pointing out weaknesses in the primary competing accounts of happiness, including the hedonistic account. I argue against his claim that the emotional state conception of happiness is superior to the hedonistic conception of happiness. In the course of defending the hedonistic theory of happiness against Haybron’s attacks, I provide my own explanation for why the study of happiness is important to ethics.
TWO ARGUMENTS FROM "HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION" TO "UNIVERSAL JURISDICTION" (VIII-L)

CLAIR MORRISSEY, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA–CHAPEL HILL

Given the importance of the human rights discourse to the international political arena, it is not surprising to find theorists appealing to human rights in other domains of international legal theory. This piece focuses on the way in which appeals to human rights violations have been utilized in arguments concerning the legitimacy of international criminal prosecutions of crimes against humanity. I begin by laying out an example of one such argument, that of Andrew Altman and Christopher Heath Wellman. I then sketch a new Mill-Feinberg inspired argument that also makes use of human rights violations to ground universal jurisdiction. I end with a discussion of three substantive differences between the two approaches: (1) the role of sovereignty in the arguments, (2) which prosecuting bodies they deem legitimate, (3) how they accommodate the emergence of individuals as subjects of international law.

ACTUALITY AND TRIPLY-INDEXED SEMANTICS (VIII-J)

BRENDAN MURDAY, ITHACA COLLEGE

The indexical notion of actuality suggests that a world is only actual in a relativized sense. This does not sit well with the notion of actuality employed by anti-possibilist metaphysicians. I argue that we can appease the actualist while preserving many of the attractive features of the indexical notion by introducing a triply-indexed semantics: in addition to a circumstance of evaluation and a context of utterance, we should add a third index tracking the metaphysically privileged world. By differentiating between the privileged world and the world of utterance, we make room for a world that is actual in an unrelativized sense, while still leaving us with a parameter whose value can shift when we want to consider some other world as actual (as we may in evaluating statements concerning conceptual possibility).

INDIVIDUAL MANDATES AND THE MASSACHUSETTS UNIVERSAL COVERAGE HEALTH PLAN (IV-G)

DALE MURRAY, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–BARABOO/SAUK COUNTY AND UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–RICHLAND

Recently, Massachusetts instituted a universal coverage health plan which requires all citizens to purchase insurance. I argue that while the goal of universal coverage may be desirable, the use of an individual mandate to force citizens to secure insurance is not. While it may be permissible to tax citizens in order to secure a substantive social good, it is a violation of state neutrality (thought of as neutrality of intent) to force citizens to join associations with the primary purpose of securing the normative good of health. Additionally, even though the Massachusetts plan may provide increased access to health care for many, it would likely disproportionately place financial burdens on the working poor, making it even more difficult for them to obtain a range of social goods.
KNOWLEDGE ASCRIPTION AND EPISTEMIC EGOCENTRISM (IX-L)

Jennifer Nagel, University of Toronto

Whether the subject of an epistemological scenario is intuitively seen as having knowledge or mere belief in a proposition depends on a wide array of factors. One tricky factor is the mention of possibilities of error to which the subject might have been prone: it seems we become more stringent when such possibilities are mentioned, even when it is stipulated that they do not obtain in the case at hand. Non-skeptical invariantists have suggested that there might be some psychological explanation of this increase in stringency. After a brief discussion of problems with existing proposals, I present a novel psychological explanation of the phenomenon. A tenacious psychological bias known as epistemic egocentrism—a natural tendency to underestimate the naïveté of other subjects—explains the increases in stringency that occur when possibilities of error are mentioned. More economical than existing alternatives, this explanation also does more to support non-skeptical invariantism.

DO WE PERCEIVE APPLES AS EDIBLE? (VIII-K)

Bence Nanay, Syracuse University and University of British Columbia

Do we (sometimes) perceive apples as edible? One could argue that it is just a manner of speaking to say so: we do not really see an object as edible, we only infer on the basis of its other properties that it is. I argue that whether an object is edible or climbable is indeed represented perceptually: we see objects as edible, and do not just believe that they are. My argument proceeds in two steps. First, I point out that an influential argument in favor of the claim that we represent sortal properties perceptually does not work. Second, I argue that we can fix this argument if we replace the property in question with the property of being edible, climbable, or Q-able in general.

SUBSTANCE CONCEPTS AND PERSONAL IDENTITY (IV-H)

Peter Nichols, University of Wisconsin–Madison

One of Eric Olson’s central claims in arguing for Animalism is that ‘animal’, but not ‘person’, is a Wigginsian substance concept—a concept that provides the most fundamental answer to the question “what are we?” ‘Person’ supposedly fails to be a substance concept because it answers the question “what do we do?” without specifying what we are. That makes ‘person’ a functional kind, and functional kinds, Olson claims, cannot be substances. I argue that the appeal to the Wigginsian substance test does not favor Animalism over the Psychological Approach, because: (1) ‘animal’, is a functional kind, and thus, if being a functional kind prevents persons from being substances, it also prevents animals from being substances, and (2) the “what it is/what it does” distinction is illegitimate on the reading which Olson needs, and thus cannot ground a distinction between substances and non-substances.
THE STRUCTURE OF CLASSICAL MECHANICS (IV-J)

JILL NORTH, YALE UNIVERSITY

How do we learn about the nature of the world from the mathematical formulation of a physical theory? One rule we follow, familiar from spacetime theorizing: posit the least amount of spacetime structure required by the fundamental dynamics. I think we should extend this rule beyond spacetime structure. We should extend the rule to statespace structure. Using this rule, I argue that a classical world has a surprisingly spare amount of structure.

EPISTEMOPOLIS: ANTI-UTOPIA, ANARCHY, AND THE ARCHITECTURE OF POSTMODERN EPISTEMOLOGY (V-I)

BRYAN NORWOOD, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY

Epistemology, while largely an attempt to deal with the incorporeal, has not escaped the grasps of material, architectural terminology and analogy. This paper will explore the city as a model for knowledge, the epistemopolis, by looking at two postmodern, relativistic epistemological options and their accompanying architectures: anti-utopian epistemology and anarchic epistemology. Does the abandonment of absolutes in the epistemopolis necessitate a full blown eclecticism where judgments can no longer be made about the built environment? Is our only choice suburban sprawl and cheap, poorly stylized strip malls? In answering these questions, the conflict between the east and west coasts of America, Los Angeles and New York, emerges at the heart of the debate. These two cities represent the extremes of the epistemopolis, one sprawling and without epistemic garbage and one bound to a small island and full of conflict, complication, and epistemic waste.

EQUALITY OF RESOURCES AND THE EQUALLY TALENTED SOCIETY (VII-G)

KRISTI A. OLSON, HARVARD UNIVERSITY

According to Ronald Dworkin, individuals should be entitled to what is attributable to their choices, but not to what is attributable to differential talents. If we accept these two requirements, it seems as though we would want to distinguish the component of an individual’s income which is attributable to differential talents from the component which is attributable to individual choice. Yet, according to Dworkin, it is impossible—even in theory—to make this distinction. Thus, Dworkin proposes a compromise based on the insurance individuals would purchase against low earning capacities, prior to knowing the economic value of their talents. In this paper, I show that Dworkin’s compromise is unnecessary. Contrary to what Dworkin says, we can, in fact, distinguish what is attributable to differential talents from what is attributable to choice. And, by doing so, we can solve some of the problems which have traditionally plagued egalitarians.
‘STEPPING BACK’ REVISITED: HEGEL ON CRITICAL DISTANCE (I-E)

KATE PADGETT WALSH, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

In this essay I argue that Hegel provides resources for an interesting and distinctive account of how we step back from desires. Hegel argues that any account of how we achieve critical distance must take account of the extent to which we are fundamentally social beings. More specifically, the Hegelian claim is that there is no pre-social identity or self that can be separated out from a socially constructed context of thought and value. Instead, I argue, what is distinctive about a Hegelian approach is the idea that when we reflect on desires we make use of shared self-conceptions that are neither universal nor particular to individuals.

DESCARTES ON THE CAUSES OF MOTION: REEVALUATING THE EVIDENCE FOR AN OCCASIONALIST READING OF DESCARTES’ PHYSICS (V-H)

ANDREW R. PLATT, ST. CLOUD STATE UNIVERSITY

Prominent contemporary scholars, including Janet Broughton, Gary Hatfield, and Daniel Garber, have argued that Descartes holds at least a partial form of Occasionalism. In its most unrestricted form, Occasionalism says that God is the immediate cause of every event, and no created substance (such as a finite mind or body) causes any event. Gary Hatfield and Daniel Garber have argued independently that Descartes’ views about physics entail Occasionalism about natural motion, or the motions not directly caused by human volitions. This paper offers a critical examination of their case for reading Descartes as a partial Occasionalist. I argue that Descartes thinks God causes every motion, but that he does not take this to imply that bodies are not genuine causes of motion. According to Descartes, God concurs with bodies to cause natural motion in such a way that both God and bodies are genuine, efficient causes of motion.

HOW NOT TO BUILD A HYBRID (VI-M)

WILLIAM M. RAMSEY, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA–LAS VEGAS

In discussions about how we explain and predict the behavior of others, two major theoretical positions are the theory-theory and the simulation theory. Recently, some authors, in particular Nichols and Stich, have advocated what they call a “hybrid” position, where elements of both theory and simulation are claimed to underlie our mindreading capacity. In this talk, I claim that the position that they (and others) endorse is not really a hybrid at all. My main claim is that there is a conflation of a simulation process with the normal sort of processing involved in the application of theory. I argue that once this is realized, we can see that there is nothing in their account that shouldn’t be expected and/or embraced by a pure theory-theorist.
SIMPLE PERSISTENCE (IX-J)

BRADLEY RETTLER, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

In this paper, I discuss how theories of persistence are affected by the possibility of persisting mereological simples. My goal is twofold: first, to offer a reason for rejecting perdurantism—its inability to account for persisting simples, and second, to encourage philosophers to investigate the implications of theories of persistence for debates in mereological metaphysics, and vice versa. In §1, I define some terms and then argue that, necessarily, mereological simples lack temporal parts. I then consider popular answers to the Simple Question. Only MaxCon allows for simples to have temporal parts. On most views, if simples persist, they must endure or exdure. In §2, I offer three implications of this conclusion. In 3, I discuss what conclusion(s) ought to be drawn.

THE CASE FOR A NEW CONTRACTUALISM (VI-J)

TRAVIS N. RIEDER, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA—COLUMBIA

T.M. Scanlon, in What We Owe to Each Other, argues that an act is wrong if it would be disallowed by any set of principles which others, similarly motivated, could not reasonably reject. I find this account plausible. However, he also argues that reasons are “primitive” notions, which cannot be non-circularly defined—that is, a reason is merely “that which counts in favor of something.” I find this less plausible. However, in this paper, I argue for neither of these plausibility claims; instead, I assume them, in order to ask whether Scanlon’s unique brand of contractualism can be retained if one is motivated, as I am, to jettison his foundational theory of reasons. I argue that it can, and that the modified theory which results—a contractualism resting on a thoroughly Humean account of practical reasons—does not fall to its most obvious criticism.

PERSPECTIVAL COGNITION IN ARISTOTLE’S DE MEMORIA (I-F)

TONY ROARK, BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY

In the Protagoras, Socrates lauds the “art of measurement” as something that would enable us to distinguish larger from smaller things, no matter how near or remote, and would thereby “save our life.” As he so often does, Aristotle takes his inspiration from Plato and formulates a theoretically-sophisticated account of the phenomenon of common interest—in this case, of perspectival cognition. In this paper, I offer novel interpretation of a challenging passage within the De Memoria in which Aristotle sketches his account.

CONCEPT GROUNDING AND KNOWLEDGE OF SET THEORY: ON JENKINS’S ‘KNOWLEDGE OF ARITHMETIC’ (VII-I)

JEFFREY ROLAND, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

Jenkins proposes an account of arithmetical knowledge designed to be realist, in the sense that what’s the case in arithmetic doesn’t rely
on us being any particular way, and to accommodate the time-honored judgment that arithmetical knowledge is a priori. I’m here concerned with the prospects for extending Jenkins’s account beyond arithmetic. In particular, I’m interested in the possible extension of Jenkins’s account to set theory. After setting out the central elements of Jenkins’s account and entertaining challenges to extending her account to set theory, I conclude that a satisfactory such extension seems unlikely.

ULTRA-SOCIALITY AND LANGUAGE: IS EXTENSIVE HUMAN COOPERATION THE RESULT OF GENE/CULTURE CO-EVOLUTION? (VI-K)

WILLIAM A. ROTTSCHEFER, LEWIS AND CLARK COLLEGE

In a series of papers and books, evolutionary theorists Richard Boyd and Peter J. Richardson argue that the ultra-sociality of humans—their ability to cooperate in groups larger than family, kin groups, and bands—is similar to the capacity of humans to learn and use a language. They maintain that both ultra-sociality and linguistic capacity are culturally acquired adaptations that become genetically based. In this paper, I reconstruct and examine a central argument for this claim. I then propose an alternative hybrid social learning account of ultra-sociality. Though not resolving the issue in favor of one or another approach, I focus on some developmental consequences of each hypothesis that may allow for empirical discriminations between the two.

SELF-AWARENESS, SELF-UNDERSTANDING, AND SELF-INTERPRETATION (VI-I)

B. SCOT ROUSSE, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

In Subjectivity and Selfhood, Dan Zahavi argues that an individual’s narrative self-interpretation (his personal identity) is distinct from and ontologically dependent upon a formal-phenomenological minimal selfhood. The latter is the basic first-personal, pre-reflective givenness of our experiences. I argue that Zahavi’s use of the narrative conception of selfhood to account for an individual’s personal identity is problematic because, by conceiving of personal selfhood strictly from the perspective of reflective self-interpretation, he passes over an important phenomenon, namely, pre-reflective self-understanding. An individual’s personal identity is first of all manifest and operative in the habits and style of his pre-reflective absorption in the world, not in the story he reflectively constructs about himself. Thus Zahavi’s twofold distinction between minimal self-awareness and self-interpretation needs to be amended. Drawing on Heidegger, Merleau-Ponty, and Frankfurt I will argue that the phenomena demand a threefold distinction in our conception of the self: self-awareness, self-understanding, and self-interpretation.

INFORMATIVE REJECTIONS OF WHY QUESTIONS (IX-H)

JOSHUA RUST, STETSON UNIVERSITY

For Bas van Fraassen, causal explanations are answers to why-questions. But if explanations in general (whether causal or otherwise) increase
a questioner’s understanding about the explanandum, I argue that some rejections of a why-question are also explanatory. Moreover, such informative rejections of a why-question have not been adequately distinguished from standard, straight answers to why-questions. In order to both illustrate the distinction, and help motivate possible critical applications of the distinction, I will consider the case of rationalizing action, as found in Davidson’s “Actions, Reasons, and Causes.”

**WHO’S AFRAID OF THE BIG, BAD WOLF? NATURALIZING EMPTY CONCEPTS (II-H)**

_DAN RYDER, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA–OKANAGAN_

Externalist theories of representation (including most naturalistic psychosemantic theories) typically require some relation to obtain between a representation and what it represents. As a result, empty concepts cause problems for such theories. I offer a naturalistic and externalist account of empty concepts that shows how they can be shared across individuals. On this account, the brain is a general-purpose model-building machine, where items in the world serve as templates for model construction. Shareable empty concepts arise when there is a common template for different individuals’ concepts, but where this template is not what the concept denotes.

**DOING WITHOUT MORAL STATUS (VI-J)**

_BENJAMIN A. SACHS, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH_

Nearly everyone who writes on the ethics of marginal cases—the question of how we morally ought to treat living things that are significantly less psychologically sophisticated than normal adult humans—makes use of the term moral status or one of its cognates (moral standing, moral considerability, moral personhood, and membership in the moral community). Here I attempt to bring metaphysical rigor to discussions of moral status. I inquire, first, whether moral status might refer to a property that helps explain the truth about how we ought to treat less sophisticated individuals. I then consider whether moral status might refer to a property without independent explanatory power. Finally, I offer two interpretations of moral status on which it refers to nothing at all, yet ascriptions of it have meaning. In each case, I conclude that ascriptions of moral status convey nothing that cannot be conveyed more clearly in other words.

**A NEW PARADOX OF HAPPINESS (V-M)**

_JOE R. SALERNO, ST. LOUIS UNIVERSITY_

The paper examines the prospects for resolving a broad range of structurally identical paradoxes—including a new paradox of happiness. The structure in question is most familiar in discussions of the knowability paradox (also known as Fitch’s paradox or the Church-Fitch paradox of knowability). For this reason we’ll look to a typical bullet-biting response to the knowability paradox in search of an adequate reply to the happiness paradox. I’ll argue that the bullet is too hard to bite, and conclude that the paradoxes remain.
GIVING EUDAIMONISM ITS DUE (III-L)
JONATHAN SANDS WISE, GEORGETOWN COLLEGE

In his recent *Justice*, Nicholas Wolterstorff denies that an inherent rights theory of justice is compatible with eudaimonism, because it treats external goods as only instrumentally good and denies that a person’s claim-right against me can be a sufficient reason for me to act. But eudaimonism as a justification of a way of life has neither of these implications: external goods may be genuine goods, and it is compatible with eudaimonism that someone’s having a right against me be a sufficient reason for my acting justly. The consideration of the place of practices and virtues in a well-lived human life need not motivate; for eudaimonism it is necessary only that this consideration occur in reflection. What Wolterstorff offers, then, is not a reason why an inherent rights theory of justice cannot be compatible with eudaimonism, but reason to deliberate about the place of justice in eudaimonism.

PLATO’S RECEPTACLE: A NOTION OF SPACE? (III-O)
BARBARA M. SATTLER, YALE UNIVERSITY

Reacting to the discussion whether Plato’s receptacle in the *Timaeus* is indeed a notion of space or rather of matter or a mixture of both, I argue that this dispute can only be decided by first clarifying what we mean by space. I suggest working with a loose version of topology and metric which I expand so as to account also for physical space; and in order to avoid anachronism, I first try to figure out the problem Plato is reacting to before employing these notions. The problem the receptacle solves for Plato can be shown to be the mediation of intelligible structures to the material bodies in the empirical realm. And it shall be demonstrated that while Plato doesn’t develop the receptacle as a full blown notion of space for this mediation, he nevertheless establishes it as a basis for conceptualizing geometrical as well as physical space.

THE DIALECTIC OF PHYSICS II.8 (IV-F)
MARGARET SCHARLE, REED COLLEGE

Almost every interpretation of the dialectic in *Physics* II.8 shows Aristotle to beg the question against his opponent, but I suggest that this is because commentators have not properly appreciated the fact that Aristotle uses the winter rain example—the common ground shared between him and his opponent—as the fulcrum of the argument.

THE PHENOMENAL CONTENTS OF THE GLOBAL WORKSPACE (VI-I)
ELIZABETH SCHECHTER, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND–COLLEGE PARK

Among the many contemporary debates about consciousness, one concerns whether and to what extent phenomenally conscious experience is rich. A second debate concerns the relationship between phenomenal consciousness and access consciousness; some believe that there can be phenomenally conscious experiences that are not access conscious,
while others insist that all phenomenally conscious experiences are also access conscious, and hope that a theory of access consciousness will in fact play an important role in explaining phenomenal consciousness. The outcome of this second, “accessibility/phenomenality,” debate is often taken to determine the outcome of the first, “richness/sparseness,” debate. In particular, those who believe that access consciousness is essential for phenomenal consciousness tend to accept that phenomenal consciousness is sparse. This paper defends the richness of phenomenal consciousness, while maintaining that access consciousness is essential to phenomenal consciousness, thus arguing that the richness/sparseness and the accessibility/phenomenality debates come apart.

COORDINATED BEHAVIOR, EMERGENCE, AND THE EXPLANATORY SALIENCE OF COLLECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS (VI-M)

WHIT SCHONBEIN, COLLEGE OF CHARLESTON

We sometimes attribute intentional states to groups to explain their behavior. For instance, we say that Ford cut jobs because the company expects low earnings. Rupert (2005) argues that such attributions are explanatorily superfluous—they can be replaced with explanations invoking a more conservative ontology of individual mental states and causal interactions. Huebner (2008) objects that Rupert’s argument is too strong: it implies that person-level representations are also superfluous. Furthermore, Huebner offers an example—ship navigation—intended to show that appeals to collective representations are not superfluous. I argue that (i) an alternative interpretation of Rupert’s argument avoids Huebner’s objection, and (ii) that the navigation example is also susceptible to Rupert’s objection so interpreted. Furthermore, the objection holds even when the navigation example is supplemented with a prominent account of emergence designed to address just such cases.

THE FRINGE OF CONSCIOUSNESS AND THE INTROSPECTIBLE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VISION AND THOUGHT (II-L)

ROBERT SCHROER, ARKANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

There is an enormous introspectible difference between visual experience and conscious thought; in particular, visual experience seems to give us access to (and present) objects in a way that conscious thought does not. In this paper, I develop a Representationalist account of this difference. My account features the idea that visual experience and conscious thought are temporally extended, continuous affairs that contain interacting high detail and low detail representations. (The former representations constitute the focal point of consciousness, while the latter constitute its “fringe”). I will argue that differences in how these representations interact with each other explain why visual experience seems to give us access to (and present) objects in a way that conscious thought does not.
GIGERENZER’S EVOLUTIONARY ARGUMENT AGAINST RATIONAL CHOICE THEORY: AN ASSESSMENT (III-N)

ARMIN SCHULZ, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN—MADISON

Despite the fact that Rational Choice Theory (RCT) is one of the most important theories of the social sciences, its plausibility has been vigorously debated over many years. A recent innovation in this debate has been to appeal to evolutionary theory. In particular, Gerd Gigerenzer argues that an evolutionary perspective reveals RCT to be fundamentally mistaken: instead of using an optimizing, domain-general reasoning faculty, he claims it is more likely that we have evolved decision-making mechanisms that are based on “satisficing,” domain-specific simple heuristics. In this paper, I assess how compelling the evolutionary element of Gigerenzer’s argument against RCT is. I conclude rather negatively: evidentially, his reasoning is unconvincing; and heuristically, it is at best highly limited. Overall, I thus hope to make clear that the evolutionary perspective, at least as it has been applied by Gigerenzer, has contributed little to the debate surrounding the plausibility of RCT.

STANDARD COMPATIBILISM AND THE PROBLEM OF CAUSAL EXCLUSION (IX-H)

KEVIN W. SHARPE, ST. CLOUD STATE UNIVERSITY

Recently, a nonreductive physicalist strategy for avoiding the infamous problem of causal exclusion has been gaining popularity. Causal compatibilism denies the principle of causal exclusion: every effect has a single sufficient cause, unless it is overdetermined. Thus, the compatibilist allows that, in some cases, an effect can have multiple sufficient causes without being overdetermined. In this paper, I show how an anti-dualist argument from causal coincidence, originally due to Andrew Melnyk, also threatens nonreductive physicalism. The argument shows that while the nonreductive physicalist can avoid the standard kinds of overdetermination, any allowance of multiple causation generates a new form of causal coincidence that standard compatibilism is powerless to avoid. As far as the standard compatibilist strategy goes, nonreductive physicalism is still saddled with unacceptable coincidences, albeit different ones than those she set out to avoid originally. Accordingly, standard compatibilism fails to distinguish benign multiple causation from overdetermination.

HUME AND THE VALUE OF THE BEAUTIFUL (VII-H)

JAMES SHELLEY, AUBURN UNIVERSITY

Hume is apparently committed to the view that an artwork work is beautiful if and only if it gives pleasure to ideal critics. Jerrold Levinson has urged that such a commitment raises a problem that Hume never addresses—that of explaining why you should care what gives pleasure to ideal critics if you are not critically ideal yourself. I argue that Levinson’s own solution to this problem comes up short, that it does so because his commitment to the biconditional is a commitment to a theory of value, that Hume’s commitment is instead a commitment to a theory of evaluation, and that
this fact explains why the problem that Levinson raises never arises for Hume.

AGAINST THE PARADOXICALITY OF MOORE’S PARADOX (V-M)

JOSEPH SHIEBER, LAFAYETTE COLLEGE

There is overwhelming consensus within the philosophical community that Moore-paradoxical utterances, or indeed the beliefs that such utterances express, are somehow absurd; arguments arise merely over the favored explanation for that absurdity. Against the consensus, however, I argue here that there is no absurdity involved in Moore-paradoxical utterances or beliefs. Indeed, I suggest that ameliorative epistemological projects in fact require us to recognize such utterances and the beliefs that they express as completely legitimate.

WHAT’S FORMAL ABOUT FORMAL INDICATION? (IX-I)

MATTHEW SHOCKEY, INDIANA UNIVERSITY–SOUTH BEND

Against the background of a recent exchange between Cristina Lafont and Hubert Dreyfus, I argue that Heidegger’s method of “formal indication” is at the heart of his attempt to answer “the ontological question of the being of the ‘sum’” (SZ 46). It works reflexively, by picking out certain essential features of one’s first-person singular being at the outset of its investigation, on the basis of which various further a priori, ontological structures that constitute one as the kind of entity one is become accessible. Formal indication is thus formal in two senses: it “officially” designates or signals certain first-person (singular) phenomena as the topic of investigation, and it picks out features which define the ontological “form” of the entity in question.

RULE-FOLLOWING AS COORDINATION (V-J)

GIACOMO SILLARI, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

I argue that the notion of rule-following can be explained through the notion of equilibrium in a coordination game. The communitarian solution to the Kripkean skeptical paradox hinges on the ideas of convention and normativity. Lewis’s account of convention as a solution to recurrent coordination games contains the game-theoretic, strategic element that—I claim—is crucial for the skeptical solution. Moreover, the game-theoretic analysis indicates a possible origin for the normativity of rule-following. Can the reduction proceed any further? Lewis’s account of convention relies on the idea that players coordinate by identifying successful precedent as the salient action. I argue that reliance on precedent is to be understood in terms of Wittgenstein’s notions of bedrock and form of life.

PLUTO AND THE PLATYPUS: TALE OF AN ODD BALL AND AN ODD DUCK (I-G)

MATTHEW SLATER, UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

Many astronomers seem to believe that we have discovered that Pluto is not a planet. But this is not so. Recent discoveries of trans-Neptunian Pluto-
sized objects do not militate for Pluto’s expulsion from the planets unless we have prior reason for not simply counting these newly discovered objects among the planets. I argue that the official IAU-sanctioned definition of “planet”—which provides prima facie reason for excluding these objects—runs afoul of a plausible norm of classification. I conclude with a discussion of this and related norms and their role in the Pluto dispute, drawing upon analogous features of another case of taxonomic perplexity: the platypus.

**WHY DO WE NEED TENSE? (VIII-I)**

*David Ian Spencer, University of California–Davis*

Almost everyone agrees that tensed representation is required for timely action, but there is little in the way of explanation as to why this should be so. Taking an argument of Mellor’s as my starting point, I will develop an answer to this question in terms of the sorts of tracking systems required for creatures like us to act in a reliably successful manner. Along the way, I will give an account of what it is to be tensed in terms of temporal tracking and show that the sorts of tracking systems required for timely action end up vindicating the idea that tense is indeed a requirement for reliable timely action.

**GOOD OBJECTIONS TO DOGMATISM (VIII-K)**

*Christopher Stephens, University of British Columbia*

Pryor (2000, 2004) and Silins (2007) have defended a view about epistemic justification for perceptually based beliefs known as Dogmatism. Roughly, Dogmatism is the view that if it perceptually seems that p then the agent has at least some immediate justification that p. In this paper I provide a new objection to Dogmatism by developing a connection between the debate about Dogmatism and the Hempel-Good debate in philosophy of science about the nature of confirmation. I argue that there are reasons to think that Good was the winner of the debate about confirmation, and that this work provides the resources for an objection to dogmatism about perception.

**THE PURSUIT OF THE NATURAL (VIII-H)**

*Scott D. Tanona, Kansas State University*

Responses to critics of science who charge that science is atheistic have argued that science is committed only to methodological but not ontological naturalism. However, the distinction between the methodological and ontological positions is not always clear, especially when it seems the primary motivation for adopting methodological naturalism may be metaphysical. Furthermore, we still lack an account of the natural sufficient for supporting precisely why science must adopt methodological naturalism. I offer a conception of the natural based on minimal epistemological desiderata of intersubjectivity and predictability (of a range of sorts) and suggest that these alone are sufficient to motivate,
although not internally justify, methodological naturalism. I argue that understanding methodological naturalism in terms of these epistemological criteria shows that the adoption of methodological naturalism does not depend on prior ontological naturalistic assumptions. It is, however, associated with values that may fit some metaphysical views better than others.

**Authority Incompatibilism (VI-L)**

*Neal A. Tognazzini, University of California–Riverside*

In this paper, I introduce and argue for a new brand of incompatibilism, what I call authority incompatibilism. Authority incompatibilists argue for their thesis by employing a principle according to which moral responsibility requires that some member in the agent’s moral community possess authority to hold the wrongdoer responsible. Add to this principle the claim that determinism is incompatible with any agent’s ever possessing the relevant sort of authority, and authority incompatibilism follows. This position represents a new direction for discussions of determinism and moral responsibility because it is focused on an accountability-based worry instead of the more typical attributability-based worries about control.

**Is Good Tragedy Possible? The Argument of Gorgias 502b-503b (V-K)**

*Franco V. Trivigno, Marquette University*

In the *Gorgias*, Socrates articulates an apparently unqualified criticism of tragedy as a kind of flattery (502c3-4). Most have taken his claim there to be equivalent to “all tragedy is flattery” [TF]. In this paper, I contest this view. I first examine the evidence for the standard view. Then I show that Socrates’ analysis of political rhetoric reveals it to have two parts, one that is shameful flattery and another that seeks to improve its audience. Since tragedy is treated as a kind of rhetoric (502c-d), the possibility of good and bad rhetoric implies that “bad tragedy is self-serving flattery and is indifferent to audience improvement” [BT] and “good tragedy strives to say what is best and to improve its audience” [GT]. Finally, I argue that the class opened up by [GT] is nonempty and that Plato’s attitude toward actual tragedy is less severe than has been otherwise thought.

**Intuitionism and the Secondary-Quality Analogy in Ethics (V-L)**

*Elizabeth Troppman, Colorado State University*

Sensibility theorists such as John McDowell have argued that once we appreciate certain similarities between moral values and secondary qualities, a new metaethical position might emerge, one that avoids the alleged difficulties with moral intuitionism and non-cognitivism. The aim of this paper is to examine the metaethical prospects of this secondary-quality analogy. In particular, I shall be concerned with the extent to which McDowell’s comparison of values to secondary qualities supports a viewpoint unique from that of the moral intuitionist. Once we disentangle the various metaphysical and epistemological strands of
McDowell’s analogy, I suggest that McDowell’s position may be closer to moral intuitionism than initially supposed. This discussion will also help clarify the intended meaning of the secondary-quality analogy, as well as its significance for metaethics more generally.

**NOT ‘WHO?’ BUT ‘WHY?’: INFORMATION AND CONSENT IN THE ETHICS OF CONTEMPORARY HEALTH CARE DECISION-MAKING (II-G)**

**ANTON R. TUPA, SAGINAW VALLEY STATE UNIVERSITY**

In this essay, I discuss a way of justifying health care decision-making ideals in many contemporary societies. First, I explore some of the background literature on the ethics of medical decision-making. I argue that much of the literature in this area begins with the wrong question. Second, I sketch a new way of justifying a model of health care decision-making. In this second part, I rely heavily on some works in philosophical ethical theory in developing my new justification. Third, I explain why this new line of justification is superior to previous lines of argument. Much of this article is controversial, but my conclusion—that current health care decision-making ideals in many contemporary societies are justified—is entirely conventional.

**TAKING EMPLOYMENT SERIOUSLY (VII-G)**

**I. LARRY UDELL, WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY**

This paper proposes a full employment conception of justice according to which full employment is a necessary condition of a just society. Although John Rawls articulated this thesis, he did not argue for it, and subsequent discussions of justice that followed up the publication of *A Theory of Justice* have entirely neglected it. Section 1 develops such a response to the alternative metrics of Sen and Nussbaum and shows that a primary social goods metric suitably expanded is for the most part satisfactory, and goes on to show the necessity of adding employment to the list of primary social goods. Section 2 shows that the inclusion of employment as a primary social good in Rawls’s original position results in the adoption of a full employment principle.

**KANT ON PUBLIC REASON AND FREE SPEECH (X-F)**

**HELGA VARDEN, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS–URBANA-CHAMPAIGN**

The ultimate aim behind the system of law as a whole, Kant argues, is not only the establishment of a minimally rightful state, but the continuous reform of the institutional framework so that it becomes a true liberal, representative republic. The aim is to make sure that all public offices are ultimately run by the people who govern themselves through public reason and through enlightened public discussion of the institutions’ operations. The upshot of this is that the state, in terms of public right, can and must provide for freedom of speech and education, since these are the means though which citizens can govern themselves rightfully. By exploring these reasons, I argue that Kant can justify both why the public authority should
posit laws to protect the freedom to critically engage in public reason, outlaw certain forms of speech, regulate the media and provide, regulate, and require universal education.

AGAINST THE PROPERTY DUALIST ARGUMENT (II-K)

BENEDICTE VEILLET, LAFAYETTE COLLEGE

Anti-physicalists have forcefully attacked the phenomenal concept strategy, arguing that if there are phenomenal concepts of the kind the physicalist believes there are, then there must be non-physical properties (Smart 1959, White 2007). The critique of the property dualist argument has for the most part focused on its most notorious premise, the Semantic Premise (see Loar 1997 and Block 2007). My goal, in this paper, is to argue that the property dualist argument is weaker even than most have often supposed. Even if we grant the property dualist the Semantic Premise, her argument will fail. Indeed, the Semantic Premise states merely that if a phenomenal concept and a physical concept co-refer, their referent must have two properties. The property dualist, to make her case against physicalism, must further argue that one of these properties is non-physical. I argue that the property dualist provides no adequate support for this additional claim.

DEVELOPING A PHYLOGENETIC SPECIES CONCEPT (I-G)

JOEL VELASCO, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

This paper starts from the position that we need a phylogenetic species concept which will form groups of organisms that can serve as basic units in a phylogenetic taxonomy. A natural starting place is to extend the concept of monophyly to cover groups of organisms. Some phylogeneticists deny that species even could be monophyletic while others demand they must be. I argue that while species could be monophyletic groups, they should not be. Instead, species must be genealogically exclusive groups where each member is more closely related to everything in the group than to anything outside it. I then carefully spell out how we should understand this and conclude by giving a working definition of exclusivity that forms groups that can function within phylogenetic theory. Exclusivity, but not monophyly, can thus serve as a necessary condition for species.

MOORE’S OPEN QUESTION MANEUVERING (II-I)

JEAN-PAUL VESSEL, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Within §13 of Principia Ethica is contained Moore’s most famous Open Question Arguments (OQAs). Several of Moore’s contemporaries defended metaethical nonnaturalism by appeal to OQAs. Some contemporary cognitivists embrace Moore’s OQAs against metaethical naturalism. And noncognitivists—as well as various “hybrid” theorists—have utilized OQAs to fuel their own emotivist, prescriptivist, and expressivist metaethical programs. Despite Moore’s influence upon contemporary metaethics, his OQAs have been ridiculed in recent years. Their deployment has been
labeled “accident prone,” “simple to dismiss,” and just plain invalid. These recent allegations are completely unfounded. In fact, they seem to stem from failures to provide acceptable interpretations of the Moorean texts. Thus, I commit myself here to criticizing a popular and influential interpretation of Moore’s OQAs before providing what I consider to be the most plausible and charitable version of Moore’s strongest OQA. Then we’ll be in position to provide some evaluative commentary upon Moore’s Open Question Strategies.

FACTS AND PRINCIPLES OF JUSTICE (VIII-L)
JEPPE VON PLATZ, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

In this essay I critically discuss Cohen’s argument for the conclusion that principles of justice must be fact-independent. I find two flaws with Cohen’s argument: that one of its premises is false and that it commits a fallacy of ambiguity. Behind both flaws is Cohen’s inadequate understanding of the complex ways in which conceptions of justice can reflect facts. My critique of Cohen thus has the constructive aim of shedding a bit of light on some hard questions about how theories of justice can and should be informed by facts.

AESTHETIC SUPERVENIENCE AND COINCIDENT OBJECTS (IV-E)
MICHAEL WATKINS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY

The aesthetic supervenes on the non-aesthetic, or at least it is commonly thought. What is not commonly recognized is that two very different motivations lie behind this common thought. I pry apart these two motivations, show that different (though compatible) supervenience theses naturally arise from these different motivations, and argue that only one of these theses is, as it is commonly construed, true. I then develop, following a suggestion of Sydney Shoemaker’s, a different approach for thinking about how aesthetic properties depend upon the non-aesthetic.

SKEPTICAL THEISM AND DIVINE LIES (IV-I)
ERIK J. WIELENBERG, DEPAUW UNIVERSITY

I argue that skeptical theism undermines our confidence that God would never lie. Skeptical theists maintain that the fact that we cannot think of a justification for a given evil does not imply that the evil in question has no justification. I argue that skeptical theists are similarly committed to the claim that the fact that we cannot think of a justification for God lying to us does not imply that no such justification exists. This leaves us with no good basis for ruling out the possibility of divine lies. The argument implies that skeptical theism is at odds with any religious tradition according to which there are certain claims that we can know to be true solely in virtue of the fact that God has told us that they are true.
RECOLLECTION AND THE METHOD OF HYPOTHESIS IN PLATO’S MENO (V-K)

CHAD E. WIENER, PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY

It is often assumed that recollection and the method of hypothesis inquire into different things and the methodology in each case is distinct. I argue that the slave boy’s act of recollection employs the method of hypothesis by showing how this inquiry is parallel to Socrates’ example at 86e-87b. I also argue that the method of hypothesis is appropriate for an of recollection in respect to the belief disposition of such an inquirer, i.e., the person who knows neither the what is of a thing nor what sort it is. I conclude by suggesting how both mathematical and philosophical method can employ the same methodology yet still are distinct in a way.

APPEARANCE MATCHES AND COLOR CONSTANCY (IV-J)

WAYNE WRIGHT, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–IRVINE

Color constancy and its scientific study have received much attention from philosophers. However, insufficient consideration has been given to the foundations of empirical research on color constancy. Of particular concern is the index widely used to characterize the degree of color constancy achieved in particular settings. There are basic difficulties pertaining to both the nature of the target phenomenon and the experimental and analytical methods employed to generate the quantities from which the index is calculated. This paper examines some of these difficulties, with an eye toward tempering philosophers’ appeals to extant theoretical frameworks and experimental results from scientific research on color constancy.
SYMPOSIUM ABSTRACTS

A BETTER BEST SYSTEM ACCOUNT OF LAWHOOD (X-H)

JONATHAN COHEN, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SAN DIEGO

Perhaps the most significant contemporary theory of lawhood is the Best System (MRL) view on which laws are true generalizations that best systematize knowledge. Our question in this paper will be how best to formulate a theory of this kind. We’ll argue that an acceptable MRL should (i) avoid inter-system comparisons of simplicity, strength, and balance, (ii) make lawhood epistemically accessible, and (iii) allow for laws in the special sciences. Attention to these problems will bring into focus a useful menu of novel MRL theories, some of which solve problems the original MRL theory could not. Hence, we conceive of the paper as moving toward a better Better Systems theory of laws.

AGENT-RELATIVITY AND SECOND-PERSONALITY (X-G)

MARK LEBAR, OHIO UNIVERSITY

Second-personal reasons are (if Stephen Darwall is correct) an important and distinctive class of practical reasons. The “second-personal standpoint,” a perspective that “you and I take up when we make and acknowledge claims on one another’s conduct and will,” underwrites our ordinary understanding of moral obligation and our practices of making claims on others and holding them accountable as members of a moral community. However, to do this work, such reasons must also be agent-relative, rather than agent-neutral, and I believe Darwall’s account of them makes their agent-relativity problematic. At the very least, we cannot rely on the contents of agent-relative reasons to explain how they differ from agent-neutral ones. I explain what I take the problem to be and suggest what I take to be promising ways to remedy it.

CHARACTER TRAITS, SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY, AND IMPEDIMENTS TO HELPING BEHAVIOR (I-H)

CHRISTIAN MILLER, WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY

One of the liveliest areas of work in experimental philosophy in the past ten years has examined the implications of empirical results in social psychology for the existence and nature of character traits. In a number of recent papers, I have drawn on the social psychology literature to develop a new theory of character which is conceptually distinct both from traditional Aristotelian accounts as well as from the positive view of local traits outlined by Gilbert Harman and John Doris. On my view, many human beings do have robust traits of character which play an important explanatory and
predictive role, but which are triggered by certain situational variables which preclude them from counting as genuine Aristotelian virtues. The goal of this paper is to develop this positive view in a new direction by examining in detail several important impediments to helping behavior which can inhibit the activation of helping-relevant traits.

RATIONALISM WITHIN REASON (IV-L)

MICHAEL NELSON, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–RIVERSIDE

I discuss Kieran Setiya’s argument for the Virtue Theory of practical reason and against rationalist views. Setiya’s argument targets the doctrine of the guise of the good, according to which there is a constitutive connection between intentional action and evaluative judgments of the good. I agree that there is no such connection and that it is implausible to draw standards of practical reasoning from the concept of intentional action. But I argue that there is a constitutive connection between autonomous agency and evaluative judgments and that the rationalist can appeal to this connection in identifying the standards of practical reason.

IS LOGIC IN THE MIND OR IN THE WORLD? (VII-J)

GILA SHER, UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA–SAN DIEGO

In this paper I present an outline of a unified answer to the following questions: 1. Is logic in the mind or in the world? 2. Does logic need a foundation? What is the main obstacle to a foundation for logic? Can it be overcome? 3. How does logic work? What does logical form represent? Are logical constants referential? 4. Is there a criterion of logicality? 5. What is the relation between logic and mathematics? Due to limitations on space I focus primarily on the first two questions and briefly describe my answers to the last three.
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**APA INTERVIEWING TABLES**

The Placement Service provides numbered tables for interviewing purposes; you will receive your table number assignment when you check in. These table number assignments will also be posted on the Placement Service Bulletin Board. Should additional space for interviewing tables be necessary, this location will be posted as well.

**INSTITUTION FILE FOLDER (MAILBOX)**

There will be a file folder bearing the name of your institution located in the Candidates’ Area in the Bayshore Ballroom Foyer. Requests for interviews from candidates will be placed in this file folder.

**REVIEWING INTERVIEW REQUESTS**

When a candidate requests an interview with your institution, you should receive her/his curriculum vitae attached to a “Request for Interview” form. The bottom of this form is to be completed by you and returned to Placement staff. If an interview is granted, space is provided on this form to list the time, date, and location of the interview. If you are unable to interview a candidate, space for this response is also provided on the form. Any request you receive in an envelope contains confidential material and should be destroyed rather than returned to the candidate. As a matter of professional courtesy, all requests should receive a response. When a candidate receives a “Request” form back without a response, he or she is likely to assume that the material has not been reviewed and might return this to you via our service or contact your institution by mail.
APA Placement Service Information

Each candidate is assigned a numbered file folder to facilitate prompt communication between interviewers and candidates. Materials that you wish to transmit to a registered candidate should be handed in to the Placement Service staff located in the Bayshore Ballroom Foyer, and will be delivered to the candidate by our staff.

APA STATEMENT ON PLACEMENT PRACTICES

The APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession reminds you of its Statement on Placement Practices: The APA discourages the nomination by graduate departments of job seekers for positions in philosophy, and the submission of their dossiers in response to announcements of positions, without their knowledge or interest. This may seriously mislead those who are conducting searches, and may have unfortunate consequences both for them and for genuinely interested applicants. Graduate departments using a nomination procedure or submitting dossiers on behalf of job seekers should either attest explicitly that the candidate wishes to be considered for the positions in question, or (preferably) ensure that the job seekers themselves submit personal letters of application for these positions. Departments conducting searches are encouraged to recommend or require explicitly (in their position announcements) that each candidate, to be assessed of full consideration, should submit a personal letter of application for and interest in the announced position.

A Placement Ombudsperson will be available at the meeting. Please see the Placement Service Staff if you would like to contact the Ombudsperson.
Placement Service Registration for Interviewing Departments
APA 2009 Pacific Division Meeting
Westin Bayshore - Vancouver, British Columbia, April 8-12, 2009

Only one form is required for each interviewing department. Once completed, this can be faxed to (302) 831-8690.
Institution: ___________________________ Department: ______________________________
Contact: _______________________________ Phone: _________________________________
City, State: ___________________________ Email: _________________________________
All persons conducting interviews should be registered** for the Pacific Division Meeting as well as the department being registered with the Placement Service.
**Registered members of the department who will be conducting interviews:
_____________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________________
Our department will:
_____ need interviewing table(s) Quantity of Tables_____
–or–
_____conduct interviews in a hotel suite (you must contact Linda Smallbrook to reserve a suite)
Our department will:
_____ provide suite # and list of prearranged candidates to whom suite information may be given
–or–
_____ bring suite # and allow service to give to anyone who requests it
Our department will:
_____ conduct prearranged interviews only
–or–
_____ accept interview requests on-site
Please list the JFP issue(s) (if any) in which this job was advertised: ________________________
Registration Fees (Please Check One): ______ Pre-Registration, By March 6: $50.00
________ *Regular Registration, After March 6: $75.00
Credit Card Type: (Circle One) VISA / MASTERCARD
Credit Card #: _______ Exp. Date: __/___
Last 3 Digits on back of card: □□□
Name on Card: ___________________________ Phone #: ___________________
Signature: _____________________________ Email: _____________________
***Check #: _____________ Check Date: _____________ Check Amount_____
***Payable to: The American Philosophical Association. The APA only accepts checks drawn on U.S. banks in U.S. funds, or Int’l. Money Orders in U.S. funds. There is a $30 charge for all returned checks. **If PAYMENT is not received in our office by February 6, 2009 you MUST pay the on-site fee! Please mail form and payment to: Attn: Evonda Acevedo, Placement, The American Philosophical Association, 31 Amstel Avenue, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.
PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Online Paper Submissions: Papers may be submitted either electronically or in hard copy by mail. For electronic submissions, follow the instructions on the APA web site. For hard copy submissions, mail to: Evonda Acevedo, ATTN: [Eastern, Pacific, or Central] Division Papers, The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716, (302) 831-2012. Papers in any area are welcome. All papers are blind reviewed. Author’s name, institution, or references pertaining to the identity of the author should be omitted from the paper, abstract, notes, and bibliography. Submitted papers are not returned to authors. Papers not accepted by one Division may be re-submitted for consideration to another Division. In submitting papers, authors warrant that those papers are entirely their own work or the joint work of the authors identified in the cover letter, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends and Awards: Papers to be considered for Graduate Student Travel Stipends/Awards must meet the criteria specified by each Division. For more information, visit the following address: www.apaonline.org/divisions/stipends/travelstipendsandprizes index.aspx.

GUIDELINES FOR ALL THREE DIVISIONS

(*Guidelines 6, 7, 9, and 10 only apply to authors who are submitting papers in hard copy.)

1. Authors must be members in good standing of the APA.
2. 1 copy of the paper.
3. 1 copy of the abstract.
4. Colloquium papers are limited to 3,000 words.
5. Abstracts for colloquium papers are limited to 150 words.
6. Title or cover page, which must include: a) title; b) word counts for all papers and abstracts; c) author’s contact information; d) the Division the paper is to be submitted for.
7. Papers must be typed on one side of page, double spaced, 1-inch margins on all sides, all pages numbered, 10-12 pt. font.
8. No more than one submission by the same author for the same Division will be considered.
9. Indicate name of Division on outside lower left side of the envelope containing the paper.
10. Include a self-addressed stamped envelope to the National Office if you wish the receipt of your paper to be acknowledged.

11. Any paper which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper is expected to be published.

12. Papers are not accepted by e-mail.

13. Authors are advised to provide accurate email addresses as part of their contact information because they may be contacted by email, sometimes under urgent circumstances. Authors who expect to relocate between the date of submission and the date of the meeting should arrange for email forwarding, or else provide both current and new email addresses with their contact information.

**EASTERN DIVISION:**

Meeting is held December 27-30.

Selections are announced in May or June.

Papers accepted by either the Central Division or the Pacific Division may not be presented at any subsequent meeting of the Eastern Division.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

Any paper submitted without an abstract will not be considered. Any paper whose abstract is deemed unacceptable by the Program Committee will not be accepted. No revised abstract submitted after the paper’s acceptance will be published in the *Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association* without the approval of the Program Committee.

**PACIFIC DIVISION:**

Meeting is usually held at the end of March, but starting in 2009 will move to April.

Selections are announced in January.

A paper that has been on the Main Program at a past APA meeting (any division), or will be on the Main Program at a future Central or Eastern Division meeting, cannot be presented as a Main Program colloquium/symposium paper at the Pacific Division meeting.
Submissions for consideration as colloquium papers must not exceed 3,000 words.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. (This will be considered a single submission.)

**CENTRAL DIVISION:**

Meeting has usually been held at the end of April, but starting in 2009 will be held in February.

Selections are announced in January, or before when possible.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

The Central Division will not include a paper on its meeting program if that paper has already been presented or is scheduled for presentation on the Main Program of another APA Divisional meeting.
MINUTES OF THE 2008 PACIFIC DIVISION
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

MCCORMICK AND SCHMICK RESTAURANT AND SANTA MONICA ROOM, HILTON
HOTEL, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 19, 2008

Present: Joan MacGregor (Chair), Nancy Cartwright, Stewart Cohen, Janet Levin, Calvin Normore, Anita Silvers, Nick Smith, Mark Wrathall

Guests: Paul Hurley, Dominic McIver Lopes

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order at 7:15 p.m.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA: The agenda was adopted.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES. The minutes of the meeting of March 4, 2007, were approved as printed in the January 2008 Proceedings.

REPORT OF SECRETARY-TREASURER: The Secretary-Treasurer distributed the APA auditor’s report of the financial state of the three APA divisions. This report will be published with the Minutes of the 2007 APA Board of Officers Meeting in the May 2008 Proceedings. Silvers, Normore, Smith, and Lopes reported on the discussion of this report that had occurred at the Board Meeting. None of the divisional Secretary-Treasurers, nor the APA Treasurer, can trace all the figures given in the auditor’s report. For example, both the Central and Pacific Divisions are reported as having identical office expenses of $350 each. However, the Pacific Division spent nothing on office expenses in FY 2006-07. The APA Treasurer is continuing to work on obtaining a more informative auditor’s report.

Secretary-Treasurer Silvers gave the Executive Committee a brief history of the Division’s finances during the time she held this office. When she became Secretary-Treasurer, the Division was operating in the red, and its Executive Committee had to appeal to the APA Board of Officers to subvene the annual meeting. During her term, however, the Division had never needed a subvention and now held a cash reserve that would cover two years of operating expenses as recommended for nonprofit organizations. She described some of the actions, efforts, and circumstances she believed contributed to the Division’s financial health but pointed out that the impending transition of the Secretary-Treasurer’s office might unavoidably create some financial strain.

REGISTRATION AND ADVERTISING FEES: The Eastern Division Executive Committee has acted to raise convention registration fees and informed the other divisions so they may consider whether to do so as well. The three APA divisions usually decide in concert whether to raise convention
fees. The Pacific Division Executive Committee adopted a motion to join the Eastern Division in raising convention registration fees from $50 to $60 for members and from $60 to $90 for non-members.

The Eastern Division will waive registration fees for invited speakers from other fields and for invited speakers from outside North America. The Pacific Division already waives fees for attendees (not just for invited speakers) who are not based in North America and who face problems with exchange rates or currency exchanges. The Executive Committee agreed to continue the needs-based practice, leaving the waiving of registration fees in the hands of the Secretary-Treasurer.

The Executive Committee also decided in principle to support the recommendations regarding raising advertising rates that will be developed by the Eastern Division’s ad hoc ad committee.

PROGRAM COMMITTEE: A list of candidates for appointment to three-year terms on the Program Committee was approved. A list of candidates for appointment to one-year terms as chair of the Program Committee was also approved. Branden Fitelson’s term on the Committee was extended by one year.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE: Nick Smith, Alice Sowall, and Mark Wrathall were appointed to the 2008-09 Nominating Committee, with Smith, who will be the immediate past president of the Division, to chair the Committee.

BYLAW CHANGES. A petition to amend the Divisional bylaws under Article 5a was discussed. The Secretary-Treasurer reported advice of a consensus of parliamentarians that, because Article 5b does not state that proposals to revise the Bylaws go to the Business Meeting for discussion only, voters at the Business Meeting are in order in moving to amend before such a proposal goes to mail ballot. Of course, no amendment that is so antithetical to the sense of a proposal as to defeat it is legitimate. The Secretary-Treasurer added further advice she had received from the parliamentarians she consulted: if there is a procedural question, the chair can rule and the body can decide whether or not to uphold the ruling.

REPORT OF THE PROGRAM CHAIR: A report of the 2008 Program Committee Chair Mark Wrathall was received. (See attached.)

The Executive Committee thanked the Chair of the Program Committee for arranging an outstanding meeting and also thanked Andrew Askland, Kelly Becker, Joseph Keim Campbell, Patricia Hanna, Bruce Hunter, Philip Nickel, and Fred Schueler for their service on the Committee.

FUTURE MEETING SITES: The 2009 meeting is to be held at the Westin Bayshore Hotel in Vancouver and the Division will return to San Francisco for the 2010 meeting. The Executive Committee directed the Secretary-Treasurer to investigate hotels in San Diego and Arizona for 2011.

BUSINESS MEETING AGENDA: An agenda for the Business Meeting was approved.

MINI-CONFERENCE PROPOSALS: Three proposals for mini-conferences, all previously circulated to Executive Committee members, were
discussed. Two were not approved. A proposal for a Mini-Conference on Teaching Philosophy for Children was approved for 2011.

**RESEARCH REQUEST:** A request from an APA member for access to the registration records for Pacific Division meetings for a research project was discussed, with both potential benefits and possible problems examined. On the basis of the existing information about the project, the latter appeared to outweigh the former and the request was not granted.

**ADJOURNMENT:** The meeting was adjourned at 11:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Anita Silvers
Secretary-Treasurer

(With thanks to incoming Secretary-Treasurer Dominic Lopes for keeping the record during this meeting.)
MINUTES OF THE 2008 PACIFIC DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING

THURSDAY, MARCH 20, 2008, NOON
CALIFORNIA BALLROOM, HILTON HOTEL, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

CALL TO ORDER: President Nicholas Smith called the meeting to order at 12:04 p.m.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA: The agenda was approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: The minutes of the meeting of April 5, 2007, were approved with one correction: the printed month of the meeting was corrected from March to April.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: Names of APA members who had passed away since the last meeting were read. A moment of silence was observed.

REPORT OF THE SECRETARY-TREASURER: The Secretary-Treasurer distributed the APA audit report, which includes details of the three divisions’ financial activity, and stated that the report also would be published in the May 2008 Proceedings. She explained that in the years prior to her first term as Secretary-Treasurer the Pacific Division had operated in the red, and had been kept afloat by subventions from the APA Board of Officers, although Board decisions to do so had been contentious.

From the beginning of her first term, the Pacific Division usually had operated in the black. Operating expenditures had been pared down, and assets focused on support of members’ philosophical activity. The Division ceased to use a commercial service to find meeting sites; the Secretary-Treasurer identified, visited, and reported to the Executive Committee on meeting sites that would be attractive to APA members. Exhibitors’ needs were addressed, and the number of tables grew steadily from thirteen to fifty-five or more.

Several years ago, the Executive Committee had decided that the Division’s reserve was too large, and had instituted the very successful mini-conference program to use some of the assets to stimulate philosophical scholarship. Consequently, in the past year, the Division had spent down the amount needed to put on the two 2007 mini-conferences.

The Secretary-Treasurer added that with the transition of the Divisional office to a new site, expenses could be expected to rise.

REPORT OF THE PACIFIC DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE: Executive Committee Chair Joan MacGregor reported on the recent meeting of the Executive Committee (see Executive Committee Minutes). In 2007, a mini-conference on Chinese philosophy was approved for the 2009 meeting in
Vancouver. The Executive Committee reviewed three new mini-conference proposals at its 2008 meeting and approved one in principle.

The Executive Committee endorsed a proposal from the Eastern Division Executive Committee to raise meeting registration fees by $10 per person and another proposal to raise advertising rates. Part of the registration fee income goes to the Division and part to the APA National Office.

Paul Hurley will chair the 2009 Program Committee, and invitations have been made to prospective members of the 2009 Program Committee and to prospective 2010 and 2011 program chairs.

To form a Nominating Committee for the 2009 elections, the Executive Committee appointed Nick Smith, Mark Wrathall, and Alice Sowaal. In particular, the appointment of Smith is responsive to the request of some Pacific Division members to follow the other APA divisions in asking the immediate past president to chair the Nominating Committee.

REPORT FROM THE APA BOARD OF OFFICERS: APA Board Chair Ernie Sosa reported on the excellent work of the APA Executive Director. He also described the National Office project underway to replace the existing database, redesign the website, and make other improvements. Sosa announced that his term as Board Chair was ending and that Anthony Appiah would succeed him in that office.

Executive Director David Schrader reported on the flood that had damaged part of the National Office. He also described actions of the APA Board of Officers to expand the size of some national committees, and he invited Pacific Division members to stand for appointment to such committees.

Secretary-Treasurer Silvers mentioned that the Board minutes would be available in the forthcoming May 2008 Proceedings. She invited everyone to a reception later that evening to celebrate former APA Board Chair Karen Hanson, sponsored by the APA Board of Officers.

REPORT OF THE PACIFIC DIVISION NOMINATING COMMITTEE: Nominating Committee Chair and immediate past president Calvin Normore reported on behalf of the other members, James van Cleve and Robert Pasnau. The Committee nominated Michael Bratman, Terry Parsons, and Michael Tooley as candidates for Vice President, Andrews Reath and Heather Battaly as candidates for Representative to the APA Board of Officers, and Brad Armendt and Ned Markosian as candidates for Member at Large of the Executive Committee. These nominations had been published in the January 2008 Proceedings and a mail ballot would be sent to the Division’s eligible voting affiliates.

PACIFIC DIVISION BY-LAW REVISIONS: Revisions to the Pacific Division bylaws have been proposed by a group of members affiliated with the Pacific Division. In compliance with the procedures governing amendments to the bylaws, the proposal had been published in the January 2008 Proceedings.

Non-substantive amendments were proposed to bring the proposal for amending the bylaws into compliance with parliamentary standards for
bylaws. The objective was to eliminate redundancies in the text of the bylaws. The amendments were as follows: strike the second sentence of Article 1a; strike the fourth sentence of Article 1a; supplement Article 3 by adding “f. The methods specified herein shall be the sole methods of nomination;” strike Articles 4a, 4b, and 4c; and renumber accordingly.

A procedural discussion about the propriety of amending proposed bylaw revisions at the Business Meeting ensued. The Secretary-Treasurer reported on advice she had sought and received from various experts in parliamentary procedure. She suggested that the Chair make a ruling one way or the other, so that the body could then vote on the procedural issue.

The Chair ruled that amending the proposal was in order at the Business Meeting. A member challenged the Chair’s ruling. The body voted to uphold the Chair. The body accepted the amendments by consent.

The Secretary-Treasurer invited members who wished to publish ballot arguments regarding the proposed bylaw revisions to get these to her for distribution with the mail ballot on the bylaw revision.

**NEW BUSINESS:** Outgoing Secretary-Treasurer Silvers moved resolutions of appreciation to Mark Wrathall and the 2008 Program Committee, and to Mrs. Linda Smallbrook and her staff for their unparalleled excellent work in support of the 2008 Pacific Division meeting. Both resolutions were adopted. Incoming Secretary-Treasurer Dominic McIver Lopes moved a resolution thanking Silvers for her dedicated service as Secretary-Treasurer. The resolution was adopted.

**ADJOURNMENT:** The meeting adjourned at 1:02 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Anita Silvers, Secretary-Treasurer
A total of 374 papers were submitted for the 2008 program. That is eighteen percent fewer papers than were submitted to the 2007 program in San Francisco, but only three percent fewer than were submitted for the 2006 meetings in Portland (386). We actually had only a slight drop compared to 2007 in colloquium submissions—333 this year verses 355 in 2007 (a six percent decrease). And there were slightly more colloquium submissions this year than two years ago (a five percent increase). There was, however, a significant drop this year in symposium and dual submissions—only about one third the number of symposium papers and about forty percent as many dual submissions as last year. The colloquium acceptance rate went up this year—forty-one percent of all submissions were accepted, compared to about thirty-three percent the last two years. But the acceptance rate for symposium submissions was almost identical to last year (twelve versus eleven percent), and substantially lower than the 2006 program in Portland (twenty percent). All this suggests to me that some strong papers which might in the past have been submitted for symposia are now being submitted as colloquium papers. There were fewer invited sessions this year—fifty-four versus sixty-nine in each of the last two years.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Wrathall
REPORT OF THE 2008-2009 NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Nick Smith (Chair), Alice Sowall, Mark Wrathall, and Dominic McIver Lopes (ex officio) served as the 2008-9 Nominating Committee. They have nominated the following APA Pacific Division members for terms beginning July 1, 2009: for Vice President: Michael Tooley; for Secretary-Treasurer: Dominic McIver Lopes; for Executive Committee Member: Julie Van Camp.
CALL FOR PROPOSALS FOR MINI-CONFERENCES

The Pacific Division invites proposals for mini-conferences to be held in conjunction with its annual meetings in 2010 and 2011. The Division will provide a venue and can also provide support of up to $5,000 to defray direct expenses of the mini-conference.

The purpose of this program is to provide opportunities for collective exploration of important philosophical topics in more depth than is offered by the usual meeting schedule. Past mini-conferences are listed on the Division’s web site, www.apa-pacific.org.

Proposals should include (1) a list of the organizing committee’s members, (2) a description of the topic of the mini-conference that explains its timeliness and the significance of its contribution, (3) a list of potential and committed speakers, and (4) a brief budget indicating what contribution the Pacific Division is asked to make. Mini-conference organizing committees are expected to include at least one member of the Pacific Division.

Information about the Pacific Division’s annual meeting, including future meeting sites and dates, is available on the Division’s web site. For more information about the mini-conference program, please contact the Secretary-Treasurer, Dominic McIver Lopes, at dom.lopes@ubc.ca. Proposals should be submitted by email to the Secretary-Treasurer no later than March 14, 2009.
LIST OF ADVERTISERS AND BOOK EXHIBITORS

AYN RAND INSTITUTE
BROADVIEW PRESS
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
DUKE UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-447)
HACKETT PUBLISHING COMPANY
HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-451)
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-448-449)
PARAGON HOUSE (A-456)
PARMENIDES PUBLISHING (A-450)
PENN STATE PRESS (A-457)
PHILOSOPHER’S INFORMATION CENTER
PHILOSOPHY DOCUMENTATION CENTER (A-OUTSIDE BACK COVER)
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-458)
ROUTLEDGE PUBLISHERS (A-452-454)
SPENCER FOUNDATION (A-INSIDE BACK COVER)
SUNY PRESS (A-INSIDE FRONT COVER)
THE MIT PRESS (A-455)
THE SCHOLAR’S CHOICE
WILEY-BLACKWELL (A-444-446)
FORMS

ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM

HOTEL RESERVATION FORM
PACIFIC 2009

ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM
APRIL 8-11, THE WESTIN BAYSHORE, VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Fax this form with your credit card payment to Linda Smallbrook (302-831-3372). No cover sheet is needed. Or, if you prefer, mail this form with your payment to Linda Smallbrook, APA, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716. Payment must accompany your registration form.

The American Philosophical Association complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Registrants requesting reasonable accommodation to participate in the Pacific Division meeting should contact the Secretary-Treasurer with a request as early as possible, and no later than 60 days before the meeting.

Please print legibly. This information is used for your name badge.

Name: ______________________________________________________________

Affiliation: ____________________________________________________________

Email: __________________________________________________________________

Advance Registration Fees (Received by March 17, 2009 at the National Office)

______ $10.00 APA Student Members

______ $50.00 APA Regular, International & Emeritus Members

______ $60.00 Nonmembers

We cannot process any advanced registrations at the National Office past the March 17th deadline. After that date you will need to register in Vancouver.

Placement Registration
Interviewers should also complete the Placement Registration Form. Candidates should check the space below if planning to use the service. Candidates must be a member of the APA in order to use the Placement Service. Placement is a free service for all current APA members.

______ I will be a job candidate.

1) Check* (Payable to APA)
   *The APA can only accept checks drawn on a U.S. bank, in U.S. Dollars.

2) Visa □ MasterCard □ Exp. Date ______

   Last 3 digits on back of card ____________

   Name as it appears on Card: ____________________________________________

   Signature of Authorization: __________________________________________________________________________

   Email: ____________________________________________ Phone #: __________________________
The American Philosophical Association
Pacific Division Meeting, April 8 – 11, 2009
The Westin Bayshore Hotel, 1601 Bayshore Drive, Vancouver, British Columbia
Phone 604 682 3377; Fax 604 691 6980

DO NOT FAX THIS FORM TO THE APA NATIONAL OFFICE

Name:__________________________________________________________
Address:________________________________________________________
City:_________________________________State:_______Zip:____________
Home Phone:___________________Office Phone:  _____________________
E-mail Address: _________________________________________________
Arrival:_________________________Departure:________________________
Sharing Room With:_______________________________________________
Handicapped Accessible Room: _____________________________________
Please check one:  Single ($164) __________ Double ($194)______________
Bed Preference:  King Bed______________Double Beds_________________

Rooms are subject to 16% tax per night. Check-in time is 4:00 p.m.; check-out
time is 12:00 noon. Deadline to cancel a guaranteed reservation without
penalty is 72 hours prior to arrival. Include first night’s deposit to confirm your
reservation, or guarantee room with a credit card indicated below. Checks
should be made payable to the Westin Bayshore, Vancouver and mailed to the
address above. Please confirm your dates! If you fail to arrive on your
confirmed check-in date, your entire reservation will be cancelled and you
will be charged one night room & tax. If you are paying by credit card, please
complete the information below.

Visa□ MasterCard□ Amex □ Diner’s Club □ Discover □ Exp. Date □

_______________-_______________-_______________-_______________-____________

Name as it appears on Card: ________________________________________________

Signature of Authorization: ________________________________________________

Email: _____________________________________ Phone #: __________________________

Rooms are available on a first-come, first-served basis and subject to availability.
Reservations must be made by March 9, 2009 to be assured of the APA rate.
APA REGISTRATION POLICY

It is the policy of the APA that all persons attending sessions, including those who are participating as speakers, commentators, or session chairs, are required to register for each meeting they attend. In addition, we have always recommended that all participants wear their APA badges during session hours to identify themselves as registered participants. In an effort better to enforce this policy we have begun a system of checking meeting attendees, randomly and anyone whom we suspect might not be registered, for proof of registration. If you are asked to provide proof of registration and are unable to do so, you will be asked to register immediately in order to enable you to attend sessions. Registration fees help subsidize every divisional meeting. Without that income we will be unable to ensure the quality and excellence of our meetings which you have come to expect. We thank you for your continued cooperation.
Thanks to Alexander Thistlewood and the UBC Philosophy Students Association for compiling this list.

THE WEST END

The West End is west of Burrard Street, with commerical concentrations along Robson Street, Denman Street, and Davie Street.

Acacia ($$) 1103 Denman St (604-633-3884) Eastern European.

Aki Japanese Restaurant ($$) 745 Thurlow St (604-682-4032) Japanese, Sushi.

Akira Sushi ($$) 1069 Denman St (604-806-6321) Japanese, Sushi.

Alisa Sushi ($$) 1339 Robson St (604-633-9118) Japanese, Sushi.

All India Sweets & Restaurant ($$) 1188 Davie St (604-602-1558) Indian/Pakistani.

Ambrozia ($$$) 911 #200 Denman St (604-685-8840) Continental/Euro.

Andales Mexican Restaurant ($$) 1175 Davie St (604-682-8820) Mexican, Spanish.

Anna’s Cake House ($) 5510 Cambie St (604-325-8214) Bakery, Dessert/Ice Cream.


Aqua ($$$) 1046 W Hastings St (604-683-5843) Chinese, Fusion, Southwestern.

Asahi-Ya ($) 1230 Robson St (604-688-8777) Japanese, Sushi.

Azia ($$) 990 Smithe St (604-682-8622) Chinese, Japanese, Thai.

Baguette Time ($) 1231 Davie St (604-689-7782) Bakery, Deli/Sandwiches, Vegetarian.

Bamboo Terrace ($) 1725 Davie St (604-681-0227) Chinese.

Banana Leaf ($$$) 1096 Denman St (604-683-3333) Malaysian.

Barefoot Kitchen ($) 1725 Davie St (604-681-9722) Asian, Fusion, Japanese.

Beard Papa’s ($$) 1184 #104 Denman St (604-681-3163) Bakery, Dessert/Ice Cream.

Benkei Ramen ($) 1741 Robson St (604-688-6980) Japanese.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restaurant &amp; Lounge</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beyond Restaurant &amp; Lounge</td>
<td>1015 Burrard St</td>
<td>604-684-3474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bliss Asian Bistro</td>
<td>550 #100 Denman St</td>
<td>604-662-3044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Edge Organic Café</td>
<td>510 Nicola St</td>
<td>604-687-5758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberry Frozen Yogurt Shop</td>
<td>1703 Robson St</td>
<td>604-288-2523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Boathouse Restaurant</td>
<td>1795 Beach Ave</td>
<td>604-669-2225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BoJangles Cafe</td>
<td>785 Denman St</td>
<td>604-687-3622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bon Crepe</td>
<td>1238 Robson St</td>
<td>604-682-3634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Kyung Ban Jeoum</td>
<td>1638 Robson St</td>
<td>604-689-3898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasserie Bistro</td>
<td>1763 Comox St</td>
<td>604-688-7711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravo Bistro</td>
<td>550 Denman St</td>
<td>604-688-3714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubble World</td>
<td>1325 Robson St</td>
<td>604-689-8987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubblemania</td>
<td>1610 #102 Robson St</td>
<td>604-685-9695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cactus Club Cafe</td>
<td>588 Burrard St</td>
<td>604-682-0933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cactus Club Cafe</td>
<td>1136 Robson St</td>
<td>604-687-3278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Café Crepe</td>
<td>1032 Robson St</td>
<td>604-488-0045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Café De Paris</td>
<td>751 Denman St</td>
<td>604-687-1418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Café Il Nido</td>
<td>780 Thurlow St</td>
<td>604-685-6436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Café Luxy</td>
<td>1235 Davie St</td>
<td>604-669-5899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cafelucia</td>
<td>740 Denman St</td>
<td>604-915-9503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffé Artigiano</td>
<td>1101 W Pender St</td>
<td>604-685-5333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Calling Public House</td>
<td>1780 Davie St</td>
<td>604-801-6681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capers Community Market</td>
<td>1675 Robson St</td>
<td>604-687-5288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capstone Tea &amp; Fondue</td>
<td>1429 Robson St</td>
<td>604-608-2866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardero’s</td>
<td>1583 Coal Harbour Quay</td>
<td>604-669-7666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cardero Bottega ($) 1016 Cardero St (604-689-0450) Deli/Sandwiches, Italian.

Casablanca ($$$) 1102 Davie St (604-633-9950) Mexican.

Central Bistro & Lounge ($$$) 1072 Denman St (604-689-4527) Contemporary, Continental/Euro, Fine Dining.

Characters Taverna ($$) 1103 Davie St (604-685-9607) Continental/Euro, Greek, Indian/Pakistani.

Chau Kitchen & Bar ($$$) 1500 Robson St (604-682-8020) Fusion, Tapas, Vietnamese.

The Chef & the Carpenter ($$$) 1745 Robson St (604-687-2700) French.

Chicago’s Deep Dish Pizza ($$) 721 Denman St (604-915-7777) Pizza.

Chili Club Thai Bistro ($$$) 1018 Beach Ave (604-685-8989) Thai.

Christine’s Café ($) 1130 #105 W Pender St (604-681-6413) Café.

Ciao Bella Restaurante Italiano ($$$) 703 Denman (604-688-5771) Italian.

Cinch Café ($) 1517 Robson St (604-684-5400) Café, Deli/Sandwiches, Soup.

CinCin Ristorante ($$$) 1154 Robson St (604-688-7338) Cotemporary, Fine Dining, Italian.

Citrus Café ($) 644 Bute St (604-682-2068) Café, Canadian.

Cloud Nine ($$$) 1400 Robson St (604-687-0511) Fine Dining, Pacific Northwest, Seafood.

Coal Harbour Café ($) 480 Broughton St (604-648-2251) Bakery, Café, Canadian.

Coco Rico Café ($$$) 1290 Robson St (604-687-0424) Café, Fusion, Tapas.

Cozy Corner Café ($) 1308 Alberni St (604-685-4617) Pizza, Persian.

Cupcakes ($$) 1168 Denman St (604-974-1300) Bakery.

Da Pasta Bar Restaurant ($$) 1234 Robson St (604-688-1288) International, Italian.

Dae Bak Bon Ga ($$) 1323 #201 Robson St (604-683-9298) Korean.

Daikichi Sushi ($) 1346 Burrard St (604-688-2033) Japanese, Steaks.

Dairy Queen ($) 821 Denman St (604-688-5522) Burgers, Dessert/Ice Cream, Fast Food.

Dan Sung Sa ($$) 1221 Thurlow St (604-609-7095) Asian, Korean.

Davie Mongolian BBQ ($) 1161 Davie St (604-669-4545) Mongolian.

Davie Village Café ($$$) 1141 #201 Davie St (604-228-1429) Breakfast/Brunch, Café, Eclectic.

De Dutch Pannekoek House ($$) 1725 #1 Robson St (604-687-7065) Breakfast/Brunch, Dutch.
Death by Chocolate ($) 818 Burrard St (604-688-8234) Café, Dessert/Ice Cream.

Delaney’s Coffee House ($) 1105 Denman St (604-662-3344) Café, Juice.

Delilah’s ($$$) 1789 Comox St (604-687-3424) Canadian, Contemporary, Steaks.

Denny’s ($) 1098 Davie St (604-689-0509) American, Breakfast/Brunch, Family.

Deserts the Falafel Oasis ($) 1183 Davie St (604-684-4670) Fast Food, Mediterranean, Vegetarian.

Desi Lounge & Restaurant ($$) 911 #200 Denman St (604-647-0911) Indian/Pakistani.

Don Francesco Ristorante ($$$$) 860 Burrard St (604-685-7770) Italian.


E-Hwa ($$) 1578 Robson St (604-688-1322) Japanese, Korean.

Earl’s ($$$) 1185 Robson St (604-669-0020) American, Canadian.

Ebisu On Robson ($$) 827 Bute St (604-689-8266) Fusion, Japanese, Tapas.

El Taco ($) 738 Davie St (604-806-0300) Mexican.

Elephant & Castle ($$) 385 Burrard St (604-696-6730) British, Canadian, Pub/Brewery.

European Delicatessen ($) 1220 Davie St (604-688-3442) Deli/Sandwiches, Indian/Pakistani, Middle Eastern.

Ezogiku Noodle Cafe ($) 1329 Robson St (604-685-8606) Japanese, Soup.

Falafel King ($) 1105 Davie St (604-688-5536) Fast Food, Middle Eastern.

Falafel King ($) 1110 Denman St (604-669-7278) Fast Food, Middle Eastern.

Fatburger ($) 1101 Denman St (604-689-8858) Burgers.

Fiddlehead Joe’s Eatery ($$$) 1012 Beach Ave (604-688-1969) Breakfast/Brunch, Contemporary, Tapas.

The Fish House in Stanley Park ($$$) 8901 Stanley Park Dr (604-681-7275) Seafood.

Fleuri ($$$$) 845 Burrard St (604-642-2900) Asian, French, Fusion.

Flying Wedge Pizza Co ($$) 505 #107 Burrard St (604-684-5411) Fast Food, Pizza.

Flying Wedge Pizza Co ($$) 1059 Denman St (604-689-9700) Fast Food, Pizza.

Flying Wedge Pizza Co ($$) 1055 #244 W Georgia St (604-681-1233) Fast Food, Pizza.
Fogg 'N' Suds ($$) 1323 #202 Robson St (604-683-2337) Burgers, International, Pub/Brewery.
The Fountainhead Pub ($$) 1025 Davie St (604-687-2222) Pub/Brewery.
Fujiy ({$}) 1050 #112 W Pender St (604-608-1050) Japanese, Sushi.
Godiva Chocolatier ($$) 1165 Robson St (604-688-7552) Dessert/Ice Cream.
Goodfellas Café ($$) 1078 W Pender St (604-331-0046) Italian, Mediterranean.
Grove Inn Restaurant ($$) 1047 Denman St (604-687-0557) Breakfast/Brunch, Burgers, Café.
Guu ($$) 1698 Robson St (604-685-8678) Japanese, Tapas.
Guu ($$) 838 Thurlow St (604-685-8817) Japanese, Tapas.
Gyoza King ($$) 1508 Robson St (604-669-8278) Japanese.
Hälsö Konditori Swedish Bakery Café ($$$) 2668 Arbutus St (604-736-3335) Bakery, Café.
Hamburger Mary’s ($$$) 1202 Davie St (604-687-1293) Burgers, Diner.
Hanabi ($$) 1050 #200 Alberni St (604-488-0184) Japanese, Sushi.
Hapa Izakaya ($$$) 1479 Robson St (604-689-4272) Fusion, Japanese, Tapas.
Hermitage ($$$) 1025 #115 Robson St (604-689-3237) French.
Hilary’s Café ($$) 1184 #102 Denman St (604-608-6808) Breakfast/Brunch.
Ho Ho Kitchen ($) 1224 Davie St (604-688-9896) Chinese.
Hon’s Wun Tun House ($) 1339 Robson St (604-685-0871) Chinese, Soup.
Il Nido ($$$) 780 Thurlow St (604-685-8436) Italian.
Imperial Chinese Seafood Restaurant ($$$) 355 #189 Burrard St (604-688-8191) Chinese, Dim Sum, Seafood.
India Bistro ($$) 1157 Davie St (604-684-6342) Indian/Pakistani.
Indian Flavours ($$) 1256 Robson St (604-688-2101) Indian/Pakistani.
Indigo ($$$) 1088 Burrard St (604-893-7150) Contemporary, Pacific Northwest.
Inlets Bistro & Lounge ($$) 1225 Robson St (604-688-1411) Contemporary, Regional.
Irashai Grill ($$$) 1368 W Pender St (604-688-8697) Fusion, Japanese.
Italian Kitchen ($$$) 1037 Alberni St (604-687-2858) Contemporary, Fine Dining, Italian.

Janchee ($) 775 Denman St (604-909 9958) Asian, Korean.

Jang Mo Jib ($$) 1719 Robson St (604-642-0712) Korean, Soup.

Joe Fortes Seafood & Chop House ($$$) 777 Thurlow St (604-669-1940) Fine Dining, Seafood.

Joe’s Grill ($) 1031 Davie St (604-682-3683) Breakfast/Brunch, Burgers, Diner.

Joe’s Grill ($) 948 Denman St (604-642-6588) Breakfast/Brunch, Burgers, Diner.


Jugo Juice ($) 1055 #214 W Georgia St (604-669-4568) Juice.

Jun Sushi ($) 3007 Cambie St (604-676-8690) Japanese.

Jupiter Café ($$) 1216 Bute St (604-609-6665) Burgers, Café, Pizza.


Kalypso Ouzeria ($) 1025 Robson St, (604-689-5550) Greek.

Kam’s Place ($) 1043 Davie St (604-669-3389) Asian, Malaysian.

Kamei Royale ($) 1030 #211 W Georgia St (604-687-8588) Japanese, Sushi.

Kaplan’s Star Deli ($$) 1059 Alberni St (604-806-0333) Bagels, Deli/Sandwiches, Hot Dogs.

Kasas Coffee Lounge ($$) 310 Bute St (778-330-7372) Café, Deli/Sandwiches.

The Keg Steakhouse & Bar ($$$) 742 Thurlow St (604-685-4388) Seafood, Steaks.

Khunnai Chang Thai Cuisine ($$) 835 Denman St (604-801-6093) Thai.

King Fortune ($$$) 755 #217 Burrard (604-656-1198) Chinese, Dim Sum.

Kingo ($) 871 Denman St (604-608-1677) Japanese, Seafood, Tapas.

Kintaro Ramen ($) 788 Denman St (604-682-7568) Japanese, Soup.

Kirin Mandarin Restaurant ($$$) 1166 #102 Alberni St (604-682-8833) Chinese, Dim Sum.

Kisha Poppo Sushi ($) 1143 Davie St (604-681-0488) Japanese, Sushi.

Knight & Day Neighbourhood Grill ($) 1061 Denman St (604-609-0775) American, Breakfast/Brunch, Family.

Kolachy Co ($) 595 #303 Burrard St (604-688-0299) Bakery, Café, Polish.
La Brasserie ($$$) 1091 Davie St (604-688-0459) French, German.
La Bretagne Creperie ($) 795 Jervis St (604-688-5989) Café, French.
Le Crocodile ($$$$, $$) 909 Burrard St (604-669-4298) Fine Dining, French.
Le Gavroche Restaurant ($$$$, $$) 1616 Alberni St (604-685-3924) French.
Legendary Noodle House ($) 1074 Denman St (604-669-8551) Asian, Chinese.
Legends of the Moon ($) 1501 Stanley Park Dr (604-408-7915) Café.
Lemony ($$) 1055 #230 Georgia St (604-682-3464) Greek, Mediterranean.
Lickerish ($$$) 903 Davie St (604-696-0725) Fusion, Pacific Northwest.
Lolita’s South Of The Border Cantina ($$$) 1326 Davie St (604-696-9996) International, Mexican, Tapas.
Lupo Caffé ($$) 1016 W Georgia St (604-685-1131) Breakfast/Brunch, Deli/Sandwiches.
Lupo Caffé Bar ($$) 1014 W Georgia St (604-630-4945) Café, Deli/Sandwiches, Tapas.
Main Dining Room ($) 833 Denman St (604-899-8894) Chinese.
The Majestic Restaurant & Lounge ($$$) 1138 Davie St (604-669-2013) Eclectic.
Maple Leaf Bakery ($) 1216 Davie St (604-683-2911) Bakery.
Marble Slab ($) 1184 #103 Denman St (604-682-4998) Dessert/Ice Cream.
Maria’s Taverna ($$) 1037 Denman St (604-681 8500) Greek.
Marmalade Kitchen & Bar ($$) 1010 #3 Beach Avenue (604-689-7800) Breakfast/Brunch, British, Mediterranean.
Maxine’s Hideaway ($$$) 1215 Bidwell St (604-689-8822) Pacific Northwest, Seafood, Steaks.
Mazuya Sushi ($) 2355 Burrard St (604-733-3838) Japanese, Sushi.
Mediterranean Grill ($) 1152 Denman St (604-689-1112) Greek, Middle Eastern, Persian.
Metro Restaurant ($$$$) 200 Burrard St (604-662-3463) Canadian, Pacific Northwest, Steaks.
Milestone’s Grill & Bar ($$$) 1210 Denman St (604-662-3431) American, Canadian.
Milestone’s Grill & Bar ($$$) 1145 Robson St (604-682-4477) American, Canadian.
The Mill Marine Bistro ($$) 1199 W Cordova St (604-687-6455) Pacific Northwest, Pizza, Seafood.

Mondo Gelato ($) 1094 Denman St (604-647-6638) Dessert/Ice Cream.

Mondo Gelato ($) 1222 Robson St (604-694-0108) Dessert/Ice Cream.

Monk McQueens ($$$) 601 Stamps Landing (604-877-1351) Fine Dining, Pacific Northwest, Seafood.

Moonpennies ($) 1102 Pender St (604-669-6092) Café.

Mosaic Bar & Grill ($$$) 655 Burrard St (604-683-1234) International.

Motomachi Shokudo ($) 740 Denman St (604-609-0310) Japanese.

Moxie’s Classic Grill ($$) 808 Bute St (604-696-9986) Burgers, Canadian, Pizza.

Moxie’s Classic Grill ($$) 1160 Davie St (604-678-8043) Burgers, Canadian, Pizza.

Mr Pickwick’s Fish & Chips ($$) 1007 Denman St (604-681-0631) British, Seafood.

Musashi ($) 780 Denman St (604-687-0634) Japanese.

My Sushi World Bento Express ($) 1258 Robson St (604-681-1150) Japanese, Sushi.

Nat’s New York Pizzeria ($) 1080 Denman St (604-642-0777) Italian, Pizza.


Noodle Express ($) 747 Thurlow St (604-669-1234) Chinese.

Nor Boo Korean Restaurant ($) 1536 Robson St (604-806-0369) Korean.

O’Doul’s Restaurant & Bar ($$$) 1300 Robson St (604-661-1400) Pacific Northwest.

Oasis ($$) 1210 Thurlow St (604-682-7285) American.

Olympia Pizza & Pasta ($) 998 Denman St (604-688-8332) Greek, Italian, Pizza.

Original Flavours of India ($) 1232 Robson St (604-682-4511) Indian/Pakistani.


Oysi Oysi Restaurant ($) 1136 Alberni St (604-682-0011) Japanese, Sushi.

Pacific Crab Co Oyster Bar & Grill ($$$) 1184 Denman St (604-633-2722) Seafood.

Parkside ($$$$$) 1906 Haro St (604-683-6912) Fine Dining, French, Mediterranean.
**Patina** ($$) 1133 W Hastings St (604-689-9211) Breakfast/Brunch, Pacific Northwest.

**Patough Café** ($$$) 841 Bidwell St (604-696-0082) Middle Eastern, Persian.

**Pho Number One** ($) 1120 Denman St (604-662-8303) Vietnamese, Soup.

**Pizzo Pizza** ($) 861 Denman St (604-688-3333) Pizza.

**Ponchos** ($$) 827 Denman St (604-683-7236) Mexican.

**Primo Gelato** ($) 1311 Robson St (604-688-8886) Café, Dessert/Ice Cream.

**Prospect Point Cafe In Stanley Park** ($$) 2099 Beach Ave (604-669-2737) Canadian, Contemporary, Seafood.

**Raincity Grill** ($$$$) 1193 Denman St (604-685-7337) Fine Dining, Pacific Northwest.

**Rare** ($$$$) 1355 Hornby St (604-669-1256) Continental/Euro, Fine Dining, Steaks.

**Real** ($$) 1795 Pendrell St (604-676-3056) Chinese.

**Rice ‘N Spice Restaurant** ($) 1055 #218 W Georgia St (604-683 3143) Fast Food, Indian/Pakistani.

**Robson Sushi Japanese Restaurant** ($) 1542 Robson St (604-688-4789) Japanese, Sushi.

**Royal Thai Restaurant** ($) 770 Bute St (604-602-0603) Thai.

**Saigon Venture** ($) 1310 Burrard St (604-605-8908) Vietnamese.

**Saiwashi Japanese Restaurant** ($) 1710 Davie St (604-633-2638) Japanese, Sushi.

**Sakari Sushi** ($) 1184 #202 Denman St (604-408-7557) Sushi.

**Sala Thai** ($$$) 888 #102 Burrard St (604-683-7999) Thai.

**Salam Bombay** ($$$$) 755 #217 Burrard St (604-681-6300) Indian/Pakistani.

**Saltlik** ($$$) 1032 Alberni St (604-689-9749) American, Canadian, Steaks.

**Samba Brazilian Steak House** ($$$) 1122 Alberni St (604-696-9888) Brazilian, Barbecue, International.

**Sammy’s Hot Wings** ($) 1773 Robson St (604-683-3622) Burgers, Greek, Mediterranean.

**Samurai Japanese Restaurant** ($) 1108 Davie St (604-609-0078) Japanese, Sushi.

**Saveur** ($$$) 850 Thurlow St (604-688-1633) Contemporary, French.

**Savory Coast** ($$$$) 1133 Robson St (604-642-6278) Italian, Pacific Northwest, Seafood.

**Sciue** ($) 800 #110 W Pender St (604-602-7263) Bakery, Pizza.
Scoozis ($$) 445 Howe St (604) 684-1009) Italian, Mediterranean.

Score on Davie ($$$) 1262 Davie St (604-632-1646) Breakfast/Brunch, Canadian, Pub/Brewery.

Seawall Bar & Grill ($$$) 1601 Bayshore Dr (604-682-3377) Pub/Brewery.

Second Kitchen ($) 1610 #105 Robson St (604-683-7983) Chinese.

Sequoia Grill ($$$) Ferguson Point, Stanley Park Dr (604-669-3281) Contemporary.


Shanghai 1949 ($$$) 1215 Davie St (604-669-8878) Asian, Chinese.

Shanghai Chinese Bistro ($) 1124 Alberni St (604-683-8222) Chinese.

Shima Sushi ($) 1072 Denman St (604-683-0218) Sushi.


Shota Lounge ($) 755 #217 Burrard St (604-568-0622) Asian, Tapas.


Simba’s Grill ($$) 825 Denman St (604-974-0649) African, Barbecue.

Soho Bar & Grill ($) 1762 Davie St (604-642-2400) American, Pub/Brewery.

Spice Alley ($$) 1333 Robson St (604-685-4468) Korean.

Spotted Prawn Bistro, The ($$$) 1055 W Hastings St (604-646-0667) Seafood, Tapas.

Stanley’s Park Bar & Grill ($$) 610 Pipeline Rd (604-602-3088) Burgers, Canadian, Pacific Northwest, Pub/Brewery.

Steamrollers ($) 1195 Robson St (604-893-8607) Fast Food, Mexican, Pacific Northwest.

Stepho’s Greek Taverna ($) 1124 Davie St (604-683-2555) Greek, Mediterranean.

Sura Korean Cuisine ($$) 1588 Robson St (604-687-7872) Asian, Korean.

Sushi Robo ($) 1709 Robson St (604-684-3353) Japanese, Sushi.

Sushi Sky ($) 1226 Bute St (604-609-2286) Asian, Japanese, Sushi.

Swagat Tandoori Restaurant ($$$) 1726 Davie St (604-688-9400) Indian/Pakistani.

Sylvia’s Restaurant, Bistro & Bar ($$$) 1154 Gilford St (604-681-9321) Breakfast/Brunch, Pacific Northwest.

Taco Con Chile ($) 1308 Burrard St (778-371-3508) Mexican.

Takis Taverna ($$$) 1106 Davie St (604-682-1336) Greek, Mediterranean.

Tanpopo ($) 1122 Denman St (604-681-7777) Japanese.
Restaurant List

Tapastree ($$$) 1829 Robson St (604-606-4680) International, Tapas.
Taste of India ($$$) 1282 Robson St (604-682-3894) Indian/Pakistani.
Teresa’s Café ($) 1005 Denman St (604-683-7321) Breakfast/Brunch, Burgers, Café.
Thai Away Home ($$) 1206 Davie St (604-682-8424) Asian, Thai.
Thai Basil ($) 1215 Thurlow St (604-685-6754) Thai.
Thai Coconut ($$) 835 Denman St (604-642-0042) Thai.
Thai House Restaurant ($$) 1116 Robson St (604-683-3383) Thai.
Thidathai ($$) 1193 Davie St (604-669-3588) Thai.
Toratatsu ($$) 735 Denman St (604-685-9399) Japanese, Tapas.
Transylvanian Traditions ($) 1111 Davie St (604-682-0096) Bakery, Dessert/Ice Cream, Eastern European.
Triple O ($) 805 Thurlow St (604-609-7000) Burgers.
Tropika ($$) 1128 Robson St (604-737-6002) Asian, Malaysian, Thai.
True Confections ($) 866 Denman St (604-682-1292) Dessert/Ice Cream.
Tsunami Sushi ($$) 1025 #238 Robson St (604-687-8744) Japanese, Sushi.
Twelve Fifteen ($$) 1215 Davie St (604-633-1215) Fusion, Japanese.
Ukrainian Village Restaurant ($$) 815 Denman St (604-687-7440) Family, Russian/Ukrainian.
Urban Fare ($$) 305 Bute St (604-669 5831) Café, Eclectic, Sandwiches, Pacific Northwest.
Vera’s Burger Shack ($) 1030 Davie St (604-893-8372) Burgers, Fast Food.
Vera’s Burger Shack ($) 1181 Denman St (604-681-5450) Burgers, Fast Food.
Victoria Chinese ($$) 1088 Melville St (604-669-8383) Asian, Chinese, Dim Sum.
Vina Vietnamese Cuisine ($$) 851 Denman St (604-689-8462) Asian, Vietnamese.
Vinada Vietnamese Restaurant ($$) 1260 Robson St (604-568-1008) Asian, Vietnamese.
Vistas ($$$$$) 1133 W Hastings St (604-689-9211) Fine Dining, Pacific Northwest.
Westender Korean Café ($$$) 854 Denman St (604-693-2322) Korean.
The White Spot ($$) 1616 W Georgia St (604-681-8034) Breakfast/Brunch, Canadian, Family.
White Tower Restaurant ($$) 1359 Robson St (604-688-5491) Greek, Italian, Mediterranean.
Wild Garlic ($$) 792 Denman St (604-687-1663) Pacific Northwest, Tapas.
Won More ($$) 1184 Denman St (604-688-8856) Chinese.

Yoshi’s On Denman ( $$$) 689 Denman St (604-738-8226) Japanese, Sushi.

Youshoku-Ya ($$) 774 Denman St (604-687-4970) Asian, Fusion, Japanese.

Zagros ($$) 1091 Davie St (604-688-0405) Persian.

As Time Goes By ( $$$) 801 W Georgia St (604-682-5566) Contemporary.

Bacchus ($$$$) 845 Hornby St (604-689-7777) Fine Dining.

Bellagio Café ($$) 773 Hornby St (604-408-1281) Italian.


C & C Stew ( $$) 530 Hornby St (604-628-6531) Filipino, Fast Food.

C Restaurant ($$$$$) 1600 #2 Howe St (604-681-1164) Contemporary, Fine Dining, Seafood.

Café Pacifica ($$$$) 999 #300 Canada Place (604-662-8111) Canadian.

Café Presto Panini ($) 859 Hornby St (604-684-4445) Café, Italian.

Caffé Artigiano ($) 763 Hornby St (604-694-7737) Café, Deli/Sandwiches, Italian.

Caffé Prego ($) 1625 #101 Hornby St (604-605-3888) Breakfast/Brunch, Café, Deli/Sandwiches.

Canadian Maple Delights Gourmet Bistro & Shoppe ( $$) 769 Hornby St (604-682-6175) Café, Canadian, Dessert/Ice Cream.

Choice Fast Food ($) 1117 Hornby St (604-682-5252) Indian/Pakistani.

Copper Chimney Restaurant & Bar ( $$$) 567 Hornby St (604-689-8862) Contemporary, Fusion, Indian/Pakistani.

Creekside Restaurant ( $$) 1335 Howe St (604-682-0229) American.

Diva At The Met ($$$$$) 645 Howe St (604-687-1122) Fine Dining, Pacific Northwest.
Earl’s ($$$) 905 Hornby St (604-682-6700) American, Canadian.

East Passion Bistro & Lounge ($$$) 630 Hornby St (604-688-4008) Asian, Dim Sum, Fusion.

Five Sails Restaurant ($$$) 999 #300 Canada Place (604-662-8111) Fine Dining, French, International.


Gallery Cafe & Catering ($) 750 Hornby St (604-688-2233) Café, Deli/Sandwiches.

Gin Kaku Sushi ($) 1348 Hornby St (604-685-8381) Japanese, Sushi.

Griffins ($$$) 900 W Georgia St (604-684-3131) Breakfast/Brunch, Pacific Northwest.


Hy’s Encore ($$$) 637 Hornby St (604-683-7671) Fine Dining, Steaks.

Il Giardino ($$$) 1382 Hornby St (604-669-2422) Fine Dining, Italian.

India Abroad ($) 518 Hornby St (604-684-6047) Indian/Pakistani.

Infuze Tea House ($) 870 W Cordova St (604-688-3170) Café, Tea House.

The Jolly Taxpayer ($) 828 W Hastings St (604-681-3550) Pub/Brewery.

Joyeaux Cafe & Restaurant ($) 551 Howe St (604-681-9168) Vietnamese.

Keg Caesars ($$$) 595 Hornby St (604-687-4044) Pub/Brewery, Seafood, Steaks.

A Kettle of Fish ($$$) 900 Pacific St (604-682-6661) Pacific Northwest, Seafood.

Kimono Japanese Restaurant ($) 869 Hornby St (604-688-7788) Japanese, Sushi.

Koji ($) 630 Hornby St (604-685-7355) Japanese, Seafood, Sushi.

La Bodega Restaurant & Tapas Bar ($) 1277 Howe St (604-684-8815) Mediterranean, Spanish, Tapas.

Medley’s ($) 1110 Howe St (604-623-6856) Burgers, Contemporary, Steaks.

La Vallee ($$$) 1379 Howe St (604-696-6980) Contemporary, Fine Dining, Pacific Northwest.

Law Courts Restaurant ($) 800 #206 Smythe (604-684-8818) Pacific Northwest, Pacific Rim.

Lombardo’s Ristorante & Pizzeria ($) 970 Smithe St (604-408-0808) Italian, Pizza.

Mink Chocolates Inc ($) 863 West Hastings St (604-633-2451) Café, Dessert/Ice Cream.

Mona’s Fine Lebanese Cuisine ($$$) 1328 Hornby St (604-689-4050) Lebanese.

Moose’s Down Under Restaurant ($$) 830 W Pender St (604-683-3300) Pub/Brewery.

Muzi Tea Bar ($) 870 W Cordova St (604-689-3188) Café, Tea House.

Nishiki Sushi Bar ($) 819 Pacific St (778-371-4877) Sushi.


One Saigon ($) 979 Hornby St (604-6696764) Asian, Vietnamese.


Smiley O’Neals ($$) 911 W Pender (604-662-8866) Pub/Brewery.

Steamrollers ($) 586 Hornby St (604-682-8607) Fast Food, Mexican, Pacific Northwest.

Subeez Café ($$) 891 Homer St (604-687-6107) Eclectic, Organic/Healthy.

Tivoli’s ($$$) 1379 Howe St (604-688-7678) Pacific Northwest, Seafood, Steaks.

UnWine’d Restaurant on Howe ($$$) 1180 Howe St (604-682 5225) Contemporary, Canadian, Italian, Tapas.

HOTEL DIAGRAMS