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NEW ONLINE-ONLY JFP TO BE LAUNCHED IN OCTOBER

The American Philosophical Association is pleased to announce the upcoming launch of the new Jobs for Philosophers on October 1, 2012. In 1971, Jobs for Philosophers was created to provide APA members with a centralized listing of all employment opportunities within the profession of philosophy. As such, it has been a consistently sought-after publication in print and, more recently, online. As part of the effort to minimize environmental impact, the print version of JFP has been discontinued. And, as of October 1, the existing online-only system will be replaced by the new online JFP.

Free from the limitations of print publishing, hiring institutions can now determine the publishing schedule of their job ads. The new JFP will allow employers to electronically submit and pay for job ads, which will appear on the website immediately upon APA approval. These ads will be posted for 30, 60, or 90 days, as determined by the hiring institution. Ads can be purchased in packs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Pack of 1 ad</th>
<th>Pack of 3 ads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For an additional $20, employers can feature their ad, making it appear at the top of the general listing and search results. Employers may post one position per ad, unless the positions are strictly identical. For example, a single ad may specify the opportunity to apply for five fellowships, or two lecturer positions with identical job descriptions; however, a single ad may not contain a listing for one non-tenure track associate professor in applied ethics and one tenure track professor in modern philosophy. This will make it easier for job seekers to find positions relevant to their capabilities.

The following services will be available to job seekers on the JFP website:

- Post their resume/CV. Employers with active listings will have the ability to browse resumes and contact job seekers directly.
- Search by keyword or filter by rank, AOS/AOC, location, and duration.
- Save active job listings and return to them later.
- Choose to receive an email notification when new jobs are posted.
Users of the new *JFP* will also be able to take advantage of the APA's new partnership with Interfolio. Members of the APA who are job seekers will enjoy a free subscription to Interfolio's Dossier service (a $19.95 annual value), which provides a centralized, easy way to send one’s placement file, including CV, confidential letters of recommendation, and other materials, to prospective employers. Interfolio is also offering APA members and *JFP* advertisers the opportunity to sign up their departments for a free, one-year subscription to their ByCommittee service for up to five hiring searches. ByCommittee from Interfolio provides efficient, standardized, and streamlined management of the candidate search process. Learn more about Interfolio by visiting [http://www.interfolio.com](http://www.interfolio.com).
IMPORTANT NOTICES FOR MEETING ATTENDEES

SESSION LOCATIONS

PLEASE NOTE: THE LOCATIONS OF INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS, IN BOTH THE MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAMS, WILL BE GIVEN IN A SEPARATE BROCHURE THAT WILL BE HANDED TO YOU WHEN YOU PICK UP YOUR REGISTRATION MATERIALS AT THE MEETING.

IN ADDITION, LOCATIONS FOR SESSIONS ON THE FIRST EVENING (DECEMBER 27) WILL BE POSTED IN THE REGISTRATION AREA.

PROCEEDINGS NO LONGER DISTRIBUTED TO MEMBERS AT REGISTRATION

APA members no longer receive a duplicate copy of this issue of the Proceedings at the conference registration desk. All registrants will receive a copy of the brochure mentioned above, giving the locations of main program and group program sessions. Only non-members will receive a copy of the Proceedings at registration.

If you plan to attend the meeting and wish to consult the full program, please bring a copy of the Proceedings to the meeting or use the online program at [www.apaonline.org](http://www.apaonline.org).

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT REGISTRATION

PLEASE NOTE: IT COSTS LESS TO REGISTER IN ADVANCE THAN TO REGISTER AT THE MEETING. PLEASE SEE THE FIRST PAGE OF THE LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER FOR THE EXACT FIGURES. Because of the increasing costs of putting on meetings, it has been necessary to make a set of modest increases over last year’s rates. (However, rates remain significantly lower than those of our peer associations in other academic fields.)

Online registration will be available at [www.apaonline.org](http://www.apaonline.org). Alternatively, you can send in the registration form at the back of this issue of the Proceedings (also available at [www.apaonline.org](http://www.apaonline.org)).
Letter from the Secretary-Treasurer

To All Members of the Association:

The one hundred and ninth Annual Meeting of the Eastern Division will be held from Tuesday, December 27, through Friday, December 30, 2012, at the Marriott Atlanta Marquis. All meeting functions will take place at this hotel. Hotel accommodations at convention rates will be available at the Marriott. I suggest that you make reservations at your earliest convenience. (Please Note: suite reservations for interviewers are handled through Linda Smallbrook at the National Office; see the Hotel Suite Information page at the end of the program, along with information about regular hotel reservations and the registration forms.)

This issue of the Proceedings contains the following items:

(1) The complete program, including the program for group meetings.

(2) An advance registration form for individuals.

(3) An advance registration form for departments planning to interview candidates at the meeting and wishing to use the APA Placement Service.

(4) Information about how to make hotel reservations at regular and student convention rates.

(5) A table reservation form for the receptions.

Please Note: it is expected that by early fall, meeting registration and Placement Service registration for departments (in other words, the operations corresponding to items (2) and (3) above) will also be available online on the APA website. Please check at [http://www.apaonline.org](http://www.apaonline.org).

1. Registration

Please Note: there has been a change in registration policy. It now costs less to register in advance than at the meeting. There is now a supplement for registering in person at the meeting (introduced in all three Divisions two years ago). The purpose of this change is to encourage as many attendees as possible to pre-register, thereby reducing the long lines at the registration desk at the start of the meeting.
Rates for registration are as follows:

APA Members: $80 for advance registration, $100 for in-person registration
Non-members: $120 for advance registration, $150 for in-person registration
Student members: $25 for advance registration, $35 for in-person registration

A portion of the Division’s registration income is returned to the National Office to cover the salaries of staff members essential to the functioning of the Division. The remaining amount goes to offset meeting expenses directly. All those participating in the meeting are expected to register, and to wear their name badges to signify that they have done so. The staff conducts random checks at the meeting, and those who have not registered are asked to do so immediately.

Persons who are not now members may take advantage of the lower registration fee for members by joining the APA. Regular and student membership application forms are available from the APA National Office, on the APA’s website (http://www.apaonline.org), and will be available during the meeting at the APA registration desk. The APA registration desk will be open on:

- Thursday, December 27: 3:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.
- Friday, December 28: 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.
- Saturday, December 29: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- Sunday, December 30: 8:30 a.m. to noon

Only registrants are entitled to attend the reception on December 28 at no additional charge. Non-registrants, such as spouses, partners, or family members, who wish to attend this reception must purchase a $10 ticket; tickets will be available at the door to the reception. For those who register, name badges will serve as proof of registration.

2. INFORMATION ON SESSION LOCATIONS: DISCONTINUATION OF DUPLICATE PROGRAMS

Following the procedure in all three Divisions in the last several years, the program does not include the rooms in which individual meeting sessions are to be held. Instead, this information will be made available at the meeting itself, in a separate brochure to be handed out at the registration desk. The locations of the registration desk, book exhibits, Placement Service, interview tables, Business Meeting, Presidential Address, and evening receptions are, however, included in the program. In addition, the locations of sessions scheduled on the first evening (December 27) will be posted in the registration area.

Following the lead of the Pacific Division at its 2011 meeting, the Eastern Division no longer provides duplicate copies of the program to members at the registration desk. Members who wish to consult the full program at the meeting should bring a copy with them or look at the online version at www.apaonline.org.
3. Placement Service

The APA Placement Service functions mainly as a channel of communication between interviewers and job applicants. It can be particularly valuable when other arrangements fail to function properly. For this reason, interviewers and candidates, even those who plan to use the Placement Center for no other purpose, should inform the Placement Center about how they may be contacted in case of an emergency. The Placement process will operate most smoothly when appointing officers and applicants make preparations for their part in advance of the Annual Meeting and when interviews are arranged prior to the meeting on the basis of listings in Jobs for Philosophers. Detailed information about the APA Placement Service can be found elsewhere in this issue of the Proceedings.

A Placement Ombudsperson, either the Chair or a member of the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement, will be available for anyone encountering problems with the interviewing process.

4. Book Exhibits and Book Signings

The publishers’ book exhibit area is in Imperial Ballroom B (Marquis Level). It is open at the following times:

- Friday, December 28: 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
- Saturday, December 29: 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
- Sunday, December 30: 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

It is expected that there will also be a number of book signings in the exhibit area, arranged by the APA Committee on Public Philosophy. Details of this will be made available prior to and at the meeting.

5. Presidential Address

The Presidential Address will be delivered by Linda Martín Alcoff at 4:45 p.m., December 29, in the Marquis Ballroom B (Marquis Level). The title of the address is “Philosophy’s Civil Wars.”

6. John Dewey Lecture

At its 2004 meeting, the Eastern Division Executive Committee accepted a generous offer from the John Dewey Foundation to fund a John Dewey Lecture at each annual meeting. The Dewey Lecture is given by a prominent and senior (typically retired) American philosopher who is invited to reflect, broadly and in an autobiographical spirit, on philosophy in America. The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that its seventh John Dewey Lecture will be given by Judith Thomson at 1:30 p.m. on December 29 (session V-J). The lecture will be immediately followed by a reception.

Nominations are invited for future Eastern Division Dewey Lecturers. The 2013 Dewey Lecturer will be Amelie Rorty. Previous Eastern Division Dewey Lecturers are Richard Bernstein, Hilary Putnam, J.B. Schneewind,
Ruth Marcus, Harry Frankfurt, and Lucius Outlaw. At its annual meeting on December 27, the Executive Committee will establish a short list of candidates for Dewey Lecturer in 2014, and will take into account nominations received from members. Please send all nominations by November 15 to the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21218-2686, or by email to rbett1@jhu.edu.

7. RECEPTIONS AND RECEPTION TABLES

There will be receptions on the nights of December 28, from 8:00 p.m. to midnight, and December 29, from 9:00 p.m. to midnight. Both receptions will take place in the Marquis Ballroom B, C, and D (Marquis Level).

The large round tables at the receptions will be numbered. A chart will be distributed at registration giving the table locations along with an index showing, for each table, who has reserved it.

Departments and societies are invited to reserve tables for a fee of $50 per table for both nights. If you wish to reserve a table, please fill out and mail the form included in the back pages of this issue of the Proceedings or call or email Ms. Linda Smallbrook at the National Office (Phone: 302-831-1113; Fax: 302-831-3372; email: lindas@udel.edu). The deadline for making table reservations is December 12.

8. BUSINESS MEETING AND VOTING MEMBERSHIP

The annual Business Meeting will be held on December 29 at 11:15 a.m. in the Marquis Ballroom B (Marquis Level). There will be reports from Divisional and National Officers and Committees.

According to the Association’s By-Laws, regular membership is given by an act of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board of Officers. Each year the list of regular members that appears in the November issue of the Proceedings is used as the list of eligible voters for the three subsequent Divisional meetings. Certification of persons as voting affiliates of the Eastern Division will take place at the entrance to the Business Meeting itself, for those wishing to attend and to vote.

9. RESOLUTIONS

(a) All resolutions to be presented for inclusion on the agenda of the Business Meeting as separate items must be submitted in writing to the Secretary-Treasurer at least nine weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by October 25, 2012) in order to be properly announced to the membership.

(b) No question of a substantive nature other than routine business shall be in order under “New Business” unless it has been received by the Secretary-Treasurer three weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by December 6, 2012) for transmission to the Executive Committee, except if three-fourths of the Eastern Division affiliates in attendance at the Business Meeting vote that such a question should be taken up at that meeting.
(c) According to section 5.5.D of the Bylaws of the APA, “Resolutions which purport to represent the sense of a division on matters of public policy may be voted on only by mail ballot authorized by the annual Business Meeting of that division. Such mail ballots will include relevant minutes of the meeting and a summary of the arguments presented.”

(d) The Eastern Division Executive Committee has the prerogative to order a mail or secure electronic ballot on any question of a substantive nature other than routine business that may come before the Business Meeting.

(e) There is a Resolutions Subcommittee of the Executive Committee consisting of the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, each of whom is available to consult with members before and during the Annual Meeting, on the preparation of resolutions.

10. **The 2013 Program Committee**

The 2013 Program Committee invites contributions for the 2013 Annual Meeting that will be held in Baltimore on December 27-30. The 2013 Program Committee is composed of the following members:

- Mary Kate McGowan (Chair)
- Richard Bett (*ex officio*)
- Omar Dahbour
- Matti Eklund
- David Estlund
- Ann Murphy
- Stephen Neale
- Alan Nelson
- Joseph Rouse
- Harvey Siegel
- Paul Taylor
- Christine Thomas
- Jennifer Uleman

*The deadline for submitted papers for the 2013 meeting is February 15, 2013.* For further details on how and where to submit papers for consideration, see the section on Paper Submission Guidelines elsewhere in this issue.

The 2013 Program Committee welcomes volunteers interested in serving as commentators and session chairs. In selecting commentators and chairs, the Program Committee tends to prefer, other things being equal, persons who have not made frequent appearances on the Eastern Division Program in the past few years. Following last year’s practice, a website for this purpose will be set up and announced in the spring of 2013; to maximize your chances of being selected, you should enter your name by the end of April 2013.
11. Graduate Student Travel Stipends and the William James Prize

The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that there are two sources of financial support for junior members of the Association who wish to submit papers for consideration for the Eastern Division program. One source is the Graduate Student Travel Stipends, the other is the William James Prize. The guidelines for both are given below.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends. The Eastern Division will award a $300 travel stipend for any paper written by a graduate student that is accepted by the Program Committee in its normal, blind-review process. Eligibility is restricted to APA members or student associate members who are graduate students in good standing in an M.A. or Ph.D. program in philosophy. A graduate student may submit a paper either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the Proceedings or on the APA website at http://www.apaonline.org), with two modifications. First, the author must indicate that the paper is being submitted for consideration for a graduate student travel stipend. If the paper is submitted electronically, this is to be done by checking the relevant box on the online submission form; if it is submitted by mail, the paper must be accompanied by a cover letter indicating that the paper is in competition for a Graduate Student stipend. (This information is not to be included in the paper itself.) Second, whichever method of submission is used, the author must also supply, at the time of submission, documentation from the author’s institution showing that the author is a graduate student in good standing.

William James Prize. The Eastern Division will award the William James Prize to the best paper in the area of American Philosophy that is both (a) written by a philosopher who received the Ph.D. after January 1, 2008, or is a graduate student, and (b) accepted for inclusion in the Eastern Division program by the Program Committee through the normal process of blind-reviewing. Thanks to a generous gift from Professor and Mrs. John Lachs, the James Prize carries with it an honorarium of $300. For purposes of competition for the James Prize, American Philosophy is to be construed broadly as including not only studies of the work of significant thinkers in the history of American Philosophy but also creative extensions or applications of the ideas, methods, or results of traditional American Philosophy to philosophical issues of current interest or lasting importance.

A paper may be submitted for the competition for the James Prize either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. Authors must
comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the Proceedings or on the APA website at http://www.apaonline.org). In addition, each submission for the competition for the James Prize must be accompanied by a letter from its author both (a) stating either the date on which the author’s Ph.D. was granted if the author has already received the Ph.D., or the fact that the author is a graduate student if that is the case, and (b) expressing the author’s wish to have the paper considered for the James Prize.

The competition for the William James Prize in 2013 will be judged by a subcommittee of the 2013 Program Committee. Its members are Mary Kate McGowan (Chair of the Program Committee, serving ex officio), Richard Bett (Secretary-Treasurer of the Eastern Division, serving ex officio), and two other committee members.

In the event that no entrant marked specifically for the William James Prize competition is accepted by the Program Committee, the Program Committee will survey the Graduate Student Travel Stipend recipients to see whether any of those papers qualifies by topic for the James Prize. If one (or more) does so qualify, it (or the best one) will receive the William James Prize instead of a Graduate Student Travel Stipend. No paper may be awarded both the William James Prize and a Graduate Student Travel Stipend.

Each recipient of an award will be acknowledged in the printed program for the annual Eastern Division Meeting.

12. APA Prize Reception

There will be a special reception for the presentation of awards, at which all meeting registrants are welcome. This reception will take place in the Skyline Reception Area (Floor 10) at 5:00-6:00 p.m. on December 28. The awards to be presented include the Graduate Student Travel Stipend and William James Prize, as well as a number of national APA prizes; the specifics are listed with the announcement of the reception in the main program.

13. The 2014 Program Committee

The Eastern Division’s Committee on Committees, whose ex officio members are the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, invites nominations, including self-nominations, for membership on the 2014 Program Committee. Nominees should be voting affiliates of the Eastern Division. Each nomination must be accompanied by the nominee’s curriculum vitae and must be received by December 1, 2012, at the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218-2686; electronic nominations are preferable – send to rbett1@jhu.edu.
On behalf of the Executive and Program Committees, I extend to every member of The American Philosophical Association a warm invitation to take part in our one hundred and ninth Annual Meeting.

Cordially yours,
Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer
EASTERN DIVISION COMMITTEES, 2012-2013

EASTERN DIVISION OFFICERS
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Tommie Shelby
Linda Martín Alcoff (ex officio)
Richard Bett (ex officio)
Catherine Elgin (ex officio)
Paul Guyer (ex officio)
Sally Haslanger (ex officio)

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Paul Guyer, Chair
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**ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE EASTERN DIVISION PROGRAM COMMITTEE**

The function of the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee is to advise the Program Committee about the invited portion of the Eastern Division program. Members of the Advisory Committee are appointed to fill particular areas of specialization for three-year staggered terms by the Eastern Division’s Executive Committee, with the advice of the Committee on Committees. The present members of the Advisory Committee (2012), with their areas of specialization and their terms of office, are given below.

**HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancient</th>
<th>Medieval and Renaissance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verity Harte (12-15)</td>
<td>Kara Richardson (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allan Silverman (11-14)</td>
<td>Robert Pasnau (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Kraut (10-13)</td>
<td>Bonnie Kent (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Atherton (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tad Schmaltz (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christia Mercer (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20th Century</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Steinbock (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Baldwin (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith Williams (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Divisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metaphysics</th>
<th>Epistemology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asta Sveinsdottir (12-15)</td>
<td>Adam Leite (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Hinchliff (11-14)</td>
<td>Jonathan Vogel (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartry Field (10-13)</td>
<td>Ram Neta (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethics</th>
<th>Aesthetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japa Pallikkathayil (12-15)</td>
<td>Elisabeth Camp (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Little (11-14)</td>
<td>Noel Carroll (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcia Baron (10-13)</td>
<td>Jerry Levinson (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Weinstein (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anil Gupta (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Koellner (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SPECIAL FIELDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Language</th>
<th>Philosophy of Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark Richard (12-15)</td>
<td>Jonathan Jacobs (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josef Stern (11-14)</td>
<td>Jules Coleman (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Byrne (10-13)</td>
<td>John Mikhail (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isabel Peschard (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Bedau (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Roberts (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Mind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Susanna Siegel (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Chen (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Margolis (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social and Political Philosophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mathias Risse (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Richardson (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahul Kumar (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isabel Peschard (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Bedau (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Roberts (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Gibson (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Naas (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Category being phased out)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Western Philosophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Murungi (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwa-Yol Jung (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Wong (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Mind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gail Hamner (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith DeRose (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Taliaferro (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feminism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kristie Dotson (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Witt (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Willett (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Mind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Held (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Moreno (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Beauchamp (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>American Philosophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Schwartz (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell Goodman (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Welchman (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Mind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karen Detlefsen (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Brighouse (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Costa (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenomenology, Existentialism, and Hermeneutics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shaun Gallagher (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristin Gjesdal (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Gordon (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Mind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timothy O’Leary (12-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espen Hammer (11-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzo Simpson (10-13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin American Philosophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mario Saenz (12-15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Philosophy of Mind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Category being phased in)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THURSDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 27

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
1:00-6:00 p.m.

REGISTRATION
3:00-10:00 p.m., Registration Desks (Marquis Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
Interviewers: 3:00-10:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level) subdivision
Candidates: 3:00-10:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)
Interview Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)

THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

SESSION I – 6:30-9:30 P.M.
I-A. Symposium: Early Modern Theories of the Passions
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Chair: Cathay Liu (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Speaker: Amy Schmitter (University of Alberta)
Commentator: Raffaella De Rosa (Rutgers University–Newark)
Speaker: Eugene Marshall (Wellesley College)
Commentator: Colin Marshall (University of Melbourne–Australia)
I-B. Symposium: Speech Acts and the Law
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Chair: Nicolas Cornell (Harvard University)
Speakers: Monica Cowart (Merrimack College)  
          Sarah Sorial (University of Wollongong-Australia)
Commentator: Ishani Maitra (University of Michigan)

I-C. Symposium: Confucianism and Contemporary Political Philosophy
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Chair: Mathew Foust (Lander University)
Speakers: Stephen Angle (Wesleyan University)  
          Erin Cline (Georgetown University)  
          Sor-hoon Tan (National University of Singapore)

I-D. Colloquium: Borders, Resistance, and Global Political Justice
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Chair: Samuel Butler (Elon University)
6:30-7:30 p.m.
Speaker: Kevin W. Gray (American University of Sharjah–United Arab Emirates)
Commentator: Jeffrey Epstein (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
7:30-8:30 p.m.
Speaker: Christopher Freiman (College of William and Mary)
“An Argument for Open Borders”
Commentator: Grant Silva (Marquette University)
8:30-9:30 p.m.
Speaker: Candice Delmas (Clemson University)
“Political Resistance as a Samaritan Duty”
Commentator: Melissa Yates (Rutgers University–Camden)

I-E. Colloquium: Causality and Mechanism
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Chair: Andrew W. Winters (University of South Florida)
6:30-7:30 p.m.
Speakers: Prasanta S. Bandyopadhyay and Mark Greenwood (Montana State University)
“The Simpson’s Paradox and Causality”
Commentator: James Mattingly (Georgetown University)

7:30-8:30 p.m.
Speaker: Christian Loew (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Causation: Forward and Backward”
Commentator: Marilena Di Bucchianico (San Francisco State University)

8:30-9:30 p.m.
Speaker: Kari L. Theurer (Indiana University)
“When Is a Mechanism More Than the Sum of Its Parts?”
Commentator: Elanor Taylor (Iowa State University)

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:30-9:30 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Personalist Discussion Group
Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion
Society for Realist/Anti-Realist Discussion

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., Registration Desks (Marquis Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
Interviewers: 8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)
subdivision
Candidates: 8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)
Interview Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)

BOOK EXHIBITS
10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom B (Marquis Level)

SESSION II – 9 A.M.-NOON
II-A. Symposium: Understanding Climate Change
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Eric Winsberg (University of South Florida)
Speakers: Elisabeth Lloyd (Indiana University)
          Wendy Parker (Ohio University)
Commentator: Kevin Elliott (University of South Carolina)
II-B. Symposium: Natural Kinds/Properties
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Samuel Elgin (Yale University)
Speakers: Bernhard Nickel (Harvard University)
          Jessica Wilson (University of Toronto)
Commentator: Ásta Sveinsdóttir (San Francisco State University)

II-C. Symposium: Gettier at 50
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Robert K. Shope (University of Massachusetts–Boston)
Speakers: Alvin Goldman (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)
          Sherri Roush (University of California–Berkeley)
          Ernest Sosa (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)

II-D. Symposium: Dynamic Semantics
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Justin Khoo (Yale University)
Speakers: David Beaver (University of Texas–Austin)
          Thony Gillies (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)
          Robert Stalnaker (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

II-E. Author Meets Critics: Rainer Forst, The Right to Justification: Elements of a Constructivist Theory of Justice
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Miles Hentrup (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
Critics: Seyla Benhabib (Yale University)
         Jeffrey Flynn (Fordham University)
         Matthias Fritsch (Concordia University-Montreal)
Author: Rainer Forst (Goethe University-Germany)

II-F. Colloquium: Ancient Greek Philosophy
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Vanessa de Harven (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Pavle Stojanovic (Johns Hopkins University)
         "Skepticism and Externalism in Ancient Philosophy: The Academics vs. the Stoics"
Commentator: Baron Reed (Northwestern University)
Main Program

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Gary J. Hartenburg (St. Katherine College)
“Seeing, Knowing, and Explaining in Plato’s Republic”
Commentator: Travis Butler (Iowa State University)

11:00 a.m.-noon
Speaker: Mason Marshall (Pepperdine University)
“Is Plato’s Republic Aristocratic?”
Commentator: Thornton Lockwood (Quinnipiac University)

II-G. Colloquium: Social Attitudes

9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Celina Bragagnolo (Elon University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Joshua Mugg (York University-Ontario)
“What are the Cognitive Costs of Racism? A Reply to Gendler”
Commentator: Luvell Anderson (University of Memphis)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Alexander Madva (Columbia University)
“The Structure of Implicit Social Attitudes”
Commentator: Alejandro Arango (Vanderbilt University)

11:00 a.m.-noon
Speaker: Yasha Rohwer (University of Missouri–Columbia)
“Thinking About Coalitions: The Third Man”
Commentator: Lori Gallegos de Castillo (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

II-H. APA Committee Session: Cognitive Diversity, Inference, and Language

Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness and the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Omar Mirza (St. Cloud State University)
Speakers: Ho Mun Chan and Hektor K. T. Yan (City University of Hong Kong)
Title TBA
Edouard Machery (University of Pittsburgh)
“What if Kripke and Gettier were Asian?”
Susana Nuccetelli (St. Cloud State University)
“Some Doubts about the Evidence of Cognitive Diversity”
Hagop Sarkissian (Baruch College–City University of New York)
“Culture, Cognition, and Philosophical Ethics”

II-I. APA Committee Session: Justice and Defining the Requirements for Health Care in a Decent Society

Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine

9:00 a.m.-noon

Chair: Leonard Kahn (U.S. Air Force Academy)

Speakers: Marcus Arvan (University of Tampa)
Leonard Fleck (Michigan State University)
Lily Frank (Queens College–City University of New York)
J. Paul Kelleher (University of Wisconsin)
Govind Persad (Stanford University)
Amanda Favia (Macaulay Honors College)

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society
Association for Philosophy of Education
Association for the Philosophy of Judaism
Foucault Circle
John Dewey Society
North American Nietzsche Society
Philosophy of Time Society
Society for Analytical Feminism
Society for Applied Philosophy
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy
International Society for Buddhist Philosophy
International Society for Neo-Platonic Studies
Society for Realist/Anti-Realist Discussion
**Friday Afternoon, December 28**

**Session III – 2:00-5:00 p.m.**

**III-A. Symposium: Ethics of Food**

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Joel MacClellan (Washington State University)

Speakers: Tyler Doggett (University of Vermont)
Andy Egan (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)
Elizabeth Harman (Princeton University)

**III-B. Symposium: Memorial Session for Ruth Marcus**

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Carrie Figdor (University of Iowa)

Speakers: Genoveva Martí (University of Barcelona-Spain)
Mark Richard (Harvard University)
Stephen Yablo (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

**III-C. Symposium: Heidegger and Analytic Philosophy**

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: John Protevi (Louisiana State University)

Speakers: Abraham Stone (University of California–Santa Cruz)
Mark Lance and Andrew Blitzer (Georgetown University)

Commentator: Mark Okrent (Bates College)

**III-D. Symposium: Race in Population Genetics**

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Quayshawn Spencer (University of San Francisco)

Speakers: Michael Hardimon (University of California–San Diego)
Koffi Maglo (University of Cincinnati)

Commentator: Matthew Kopec (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

**III-E. Symposium: Laws in the Life Sciences**

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Adam Goldstein (Iona College)

Speakers: Marc Lange (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Sandra Mitchell (University of Pittsburgh)

Commentator: Anya Plutynski (University of Utah)
III-F. Information Session: The Two-Body Problem
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: George Rainbolt (Georgia State University)
Speakers: Holly Smith (Rutgers University)
George Rainbolt (Georgia State University)
Susan Brison (Darmouth College)
(This session may end before 5 p.m.)

III-G. Colloquium: Contemporary Political Issues
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Marcus Arvan (University of Tampa)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Jennifer Szende (Queen’s University-Ontario)
“Is Global Justice Bad for Women?”
Commentator: Jan Narveson (University of Waterloo-Ontario)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: Mark T. Schranz (University of Toronto)
“Why Republicans Need a Radical Conception of Power”
Commentator: John Thrasher (University of Arizona)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: Allen Habib (University of Calgary-Alberta)
“Sharing Nature, by Parts and by Turns: Sustainability and the Currency of Intergenerational Environmental Justice”
Commentator: Daniel Koltonski (Amherst College)

III-H. Colloquium: Anti-Realism, Evolution, and Genetic Determinism
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Michael Pendlebury (North Carolina State University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Michael J. Deem (University of Notre Dame)
“Dehorning the Darwinian Dilemma for Realist Theories of Value”
Commentator: Matthew Braddock (University of Tennessee–Martin)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: Melinda Hall (Vanderbilt University)
“Untangling Genetic Determinism: The Case of Genetic Abortion”
Commentator: Daniel Moseley (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: Daniel Demetriou (University of Minnesota–Morris)
“Fundamental Moral Disagreement, Antirealism, and Honor”
Commentator: Errol Lord (Princeton University)

III-I. Colloquium: Early Modern Philosophy

2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Elizabeth Goodnick (University of Notre Dame)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Allison Kuklok (Harvard University)
“‘Strings, Physies, and Hogs Bristles’: Objective Kinds in Locke”
Commentator: Aaron Wilson (University of Miami)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: Sarah Meier (Emory University)
“Hobbes on Gratitude and the Free Gift of Sovereignty”
Commentator: Jamie Lindsay (Graduate Center–City University of New York)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: Colin Marshall (University of Melbourne-Australia)
“The Mind as an Idea in Spinoza’s Short Treatise”
Commentator: Christina Rawls (Duquesne University)

III-J. Colloquium: Varieties of Belief

2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Gary Seay (Medgar Evers College–City University of New York)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Matthew Carlson (Indiana University)
“Naturalism and the Structure of the Web of Belief: Quine on the Epistemology of Logic”
Commentator: Susana Nuccetelli (St. Cloud State University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: Derek McAllister (Biola University)
“Did Gettier Give Us Justified True Belief? What Does Smith Really Believe?”
Commentator: John Symons (University of Kansas)
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: Daniel J. McKaughan (Boston College)
“Faith and Doubt”
Commentator: Tim Mosteller (California Baptist University)

GROUP MEETING 2:00-5:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association of Chinese Philosophers in America
International Association for Philosophy of Sport
Molinari Society
Radical Philosophy Association
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy

FRIDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

APA PRIZE RECEPTION (OPEN TO ALL: WINE AND CHEESE SERVED)
5:00-6:00 p.m., Skyline Level Reception Area (Floor 10)

APA NATIONAL PRIZES

Article Prize 2012
Winner: Anna Sara Malmgren (Stanford University)
Title: “Rationalism and the Content of Intuitive Judgements”
Honorable Mention: Catherine Kendig (Missouri Western State University)
Title: “Race as a Physiosocial Phenomenon”
Honorable Mention: Istvan Aranyosi (Bilkent University)
Title: “A New Argument for the Mind-Brain Identity”

Dewey Lecture, Eastern Division 2012
Winner: Judith Thomson (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Gittler Award 2012
Winner: Daniel Hausman (University of Wisconsin)
Title: Preference, Value, Choice, and Welfare

Kavka/UC Irvine Prize 2013
Winner: Thomas Pogge (Yale University)
Title: “Are We Violating the Human Rights of the World’s Poor?”
Quinn Prize 2012
   Winner: TBA

Rockefeller Prize 2012
   Winner: David Schwarz (Independent Scholar)
   Title: “Using Ordinary Proper Names”
   Honorable Mention: H.G. Callaway (Independent Scholar)
   Title: “Semantic Contextualism and Scientific Pluralism”

Romanell Lecture 2013
   Winner: John Perry (Stanford University)

Sharp Memorial Prize 2013
   Winner: Michael Skerker (U.S. Naval Academy)
   Title: “Gunsoul: The Moral Status of Combatants”

Eastern Division Prizes and Stipends

William James Prize
   Winner: David Miguel Gray (Vanderbilt University)
   Title: “Racial Norms: A Reinterpretation of Du Bois’ ‘The Conservation of Races’” (VI-E)

Graduate Student Travel Stipends
   Winner: Joseph M. Anderson (University of South Florida)
   Title: “The Metaphysics behind Leibniz’s Change of Mind on Privation” (V-H)
   Winner: Jeffrey M. Behrends (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
   Title: “Parfit’s All or None Argument and the Development of Meta-normative Hybridism” (VIII-G)
   Winner: Julia von Bodelschwingh (Yale University)
   Title: “Leibniz on Spontaneity and Teleology: Some Interesting Connections” (V-H)
   Winner: Mihnea D. I. Capraru (Syracuse University)
   Title: “Stained Glass as a Model for Consciousness” (VIII-F)
   Winner: Matthew Carlson (Indiana University)
   Title: “Naturalism and the Structure of the Web of Belief: Quine on the Epistemology of Logic” (III-J)
   Winner: Thomas S. Dixon, Jr. (University of California–Davis)
   Title: “Upward Grounding” (VI-I)
   Winner: Logan Fletcher (University of Maryland–College Park)
   Title: “Dual Systems Reasoning and the Extended Mind Debate” (VII-D)
Winner: Derek McAllister (Biola University)
Title: “Did Gettier Give Us Justified True Belief? What Does Smith Really Believe?” (III-J)

Winner: Dave McElhoes (University of Maryland–College Park)
Title: Counterpart Theory and Modal Discourse: A Reply to Meyer” (V-F)

Winner: Colin McLear (Cornell University)
Title: “Intellectualism and the Transcendental Deduction” (VI-H)

Winner: Per Milam (University of California–San Diego)
Title: “Self-Forgiveness and Quality of Will” (VIII-H)

Winner: Joshua Mugg (York University-Ontario)
Title: “What are the Cognitive Costs of Racism? A Reply to Gendler” (II-G)

Winner: Charles H. Pence (University of Notre Dame)
Title: “It’s Okay to Call Genetic Drift a ‘Force’” (IV-E)

Winner: Mark T. Schranz (University of Toronto)
Title: “Why Republicans Need a Radical Conception of Power” (III-G)

Winner: Pavle Stojanovic (Johns Hopkins University)
Title: “Skepticism and Externalism in Ancient Philosophy: The Academics vs. the Stoics” (II-F)

GROUP MEETINGS, 5:15-7:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association of Chinese Philosophers in America
Charles S. Peirce Society
Concerned Philosophers for Peace
International Association for Environmental Philosophy
International Berkeley Society
International Society for Buddhist Philosophy
National Philosophical Counseling Association
North American Kant Society
Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
Society for Skeptical Studies
Society for Women in Philosophy
Wilfrid Sellars Society
GROUP MEETINGS, 7:30-10:30 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Heidegger Circle
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy
International Society for Environmental Ethics
Karl Jaspers Society of North America
North American Spinoza Society
Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy
Society of Humanist Philosophers
Society of Philosophers in America
Søren Kierkegaard Society
American Association of Philosophy Teachers

RECEPTION
8:00 p.m.-midnight, Marquis Ballroom B, C, and D (Marquis Level)

SATURDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Registration Desks (Marquis Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
Interviewers: 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level) subdivision
Candidates: 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)
Interview Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)

BOOK EXHIBITS
10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom B (Marquis Level)

SESSION IV – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

IV-A. Invited Papers: Colloquy on Reading Kant’s Geography
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Sebastian Rand (Georgia State University)
Speakers: Robert Bernasconi (Pennsylvania State University)
Stuart Elden (Durham University-United Kingdom)
Robert Louden (University of Southern Maine)
IV-B. **Invited Paper: The Particularity of Perceptual Experience**

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Jonathan Cohen (University of California–San Diego)

Speakers: Susanna Schellenberg (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)

Commentator: Adam Pautz (University of Texas–Austin)

IV-C. **Author Meets Critics: Gerald Gaus, *The Order of Public Reason: A Theory of Freedom and Morality in a Diverse and Bounded World***

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Geoffrey Sayre-McCord (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

Critics: Richard Arneson (University of California–San Diego)

Jonathan Quong (University of Manchester-United Kingdom)

Author: Gerald Gaus (University of Arizona)

IV-D. **Author Meets Critics: Derrick Darby, *Rights, Race, and Recognition***

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Tina Botts (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

Critics: David Lyons (Boston University)

Charles Mills (Northwestern University)

Author: Derrick Darby (University of Kansas)

IV-E. **Submitted Symposium: Genetic Drift**

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Tyler Curtain (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

Speaker: Charles H. Pence (University of Notre Dame)

“It’s Okay to Call Genetic Drift a ‘Force’”

Commentators: Lindley Darden (University of Maryland–College Park)

Lindsay Craig (University of Idaho)

IV-F. **Submitted Symposium: When to Revise Beliefs**

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: A.J. Kreider (Miami Dade College)

Speaker: Ekaterina Vavova (Amherst College)

“Irrelevant Influences”

Commentators: Catherine Elgin (Harvard University)

Michael Veber (East Carolina University)
IV-G. Colloquium: Topics in Ethics
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Elizabeth Victor (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Howard L. M. Nye (University of Alberta)
“The Value of Neutral Experience”
Commentator: Oded Na’aman (Harvard University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Rachel Lu (Cornell University)
“Is Love Blind? Reflections on Partiality and Good Epistemic Practice”
Commentator: Anthony Carreras (Lone Star College–Kingwood)

IV-H. Colloquium: Deception
9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Kaija Mortensen (St. Norbert College)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: David Livingstone Smith (University of New England)
“Self-deception and Self-misleading”
Commentator: Carolyn Richardson (College of the Holy Cross)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Don Fallis (University of Arizona)
“Davidson was Almost Right about Lying”
Commentator: Martin Montminy (University of Oklahoma)

IV-I. Colloquium: Epistemic Problems
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Noel Alphonse (University of Miami)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Jonathan D. Matheson (University of North Florida)
“Is There a Well-Founded Solution to the Generality Problem?”
Commentator: Jeremy Morris (Ohio University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Benjamin W. Jarvis (Queen’s University Belfast–United Kingdom)
“Swamping and the Primary Value Problem”
Commentator: Brian Mondy (University of Minnesota–Rochester)
IV-J. Colloquium: Time and Persistence

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Daniel Korman (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: David Taylor (Independent Scholar)
“McTaggart’s Ontology of Persistence through Time”
Commentator: Yuri Balashov (University of Georgia)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Joungbin Lim (Elizabeth City State University)
“Are We Essentially Animals?”
Commentator: Catherin Sutton (Virginia Commonwealth University)

IV-K. APA Committee Session: Women and Power in Academia

Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chairs: Carrie Figdor (University of Iowa) and Yolonda Wilson (Duke University)
Speakers: Karen Hanson (University of Minnesota)
          Marjorie Hass (Austin College)
          Amy Kind (Claremont McKenna College)

IV-L. APA Committee Session: Asian/Comparative Views of the Embodied and Enactive Mind

Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: David Kim (University of San Francisco)
Speakers: Joel Krueger (University of Copenhagen-Denmark)
“Seeing Others: Buddhism and Cognitive Science on the Visibility of the Mental”
Matthew MacKenzie (Colorado State University)
“Self-Making and World-Making: Indian Buddhism and Enactive Philosophy of Mind”
Bradley Park (St. Mary’s College–Maryland)
GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Society for Value Inquiry
George Santayana Society
North American Society for Social Philosophy
Society for Applied Philosophy

BUSINESS MEETING
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Marquis Ballroom B (Marquis Level)

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society
Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry
International Association for Environmental Philosophy
International Institute for Field-Being and Polanyi Society
International Society for Chinese Philosophy
Josiah Royce Society
Leibniz Society of North America
Society for Business Ethics
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts
Society for the Philosophy of History
Society for Women in Philosophy

SATURDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 29

SESSION V – 1:30-4:30 P.M.
V-A. Symposium: Science and Metaphysics
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Shamik Dasgupta (Princeton University)
Speakers: Steven French (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)
James Ladyman (University of Bristol-United Kingdom)
Jonathan Schaffer (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)
Saturday Afternoon, V-B to V-H

V-B. **Symposium: The Legacy of John Rawls**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Marcia Baron (Indiana University–Bloomington)

Speakers:
- Samuel Freeman (University of Pennsylvania)
- Erin Kelly (Tufts University)
- Christine Korsgaard (Harvard University)

V-C. **Symposium: On Making the Profession Better for Women**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Lauren Ashwell (Bates College)

Speakers:
- Peggy DesAutels (University of Dayton)
- Mark Lance (Georgetown University)
- Lynne Tirrell (University of Massachusetts–Boston)

V-D. **Symposium: Neuroaesthetics**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: William Seeley (Bates College)

Speakers:
- Margaret Moore (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)
- Barbara Gail Montero (College of Staten Island–City University of New York)

Commentator: Anne Eaton (University of Illinois–Chicago)

V-E. **Author Meets Critics: Frances Kamm, *Ethics for Enemies: Terror, Torture, & War***

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Fernando Tesón (Florida State University)

Critics:
- Thomas Hurka (University of Toronto)
- Jeff McMahan (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)
- Caspar Hare (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Author: Frances Kamm (Harvard University)

V-F. **Colloquium: Hard Cases in Semantics**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Catherine Wearing (Wellesley College)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: James W. Garson and Joshua D. Brown (University of Houston)

“The Natural Semantics of Vagueness”

Commentator: David Etlin (University of Groeningen-Netherlands)
2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Dave McElhoes (University of Maryland–College Park)
“Counterpart Theory and Modal Discourse: A Reply to Meyer”
Commentator: Jennifer Wang (Rutgers University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Paolo Bonardi (University of Geneva/Columbia University)
“Semantic Relationism, Belief Reports, and Contradiction”
Commentator: Bruno Whittle (Yale University)

V-G. Colloquium: Kant’s Ethics
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Lara Denis (Agnes Scott College)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Melissa Seymour Fahmy (University of Georgia)
“Promoting the Happiness of Others: Kantian Beneficence and Positive Psychology”
Commentator: Daniel Murphy (Saint Peter’s College)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Kelin A. Emmett (University of Toronto)
“A Problem with the Wide Scope View of the Hypothetical Imperative”
Commentator: Jordan MacKenzie (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Samuel Kahn (Stanford University)
“Can Positive Duties be Derived from the Formula of Universal Law?”
Commentator: Terry Godlove (Hofstra University)

V-H. Colloquium: Leibniz
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Joshua Horn (University of Kentucky)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Joseph M. Anderson (University of South Florida)
“The Metaphysics behind Leibniz’s Change of Mind on Privation”
Commentator: Edward Glowienka (Emory University)
2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Julia von Bodelschwingh (Yale University)
“Leibniz on Spontaneity and Teleology: Some Interesting Connections”
Commentator: Kristin Primus (Princeton University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Sydney F. Penner (Oxford University)
“‘An Accident that is Simultaneously in Two Subjects’: Leibniz and Some Predecessors on the Possibility of Two-Subject Accidents”
Commentator: Matt Shockey (Indiana University South Bend)

V-I. APA Committee Session: Contemporary Philosophy in Scandinavia
Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: TBA
Speakers: TBA

V-J. Dewey Lecture
1:30-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Barbara Herman (University of California–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Judith Thomson (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
(Note: this session will end around 3 p.m., and will be followed by a reception)

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Concerned Philosophers for Peace
International Society for Environmental Ethics
Sartre Circle
Societe Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy
Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts
Society for the Philosophy of Creativity and Kristeva Circle
**Presidential Address**

*4:45 p.m., Marquis Ballroom B (Marquis Level)*

**Introduction:** Sally Haslanger (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

**Speaker:** Linda Martin Alcoff (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)

“Philosophy’s Civil Wars”

**Saturday Evening, December 29**

**Group Meetings, 7:00-10:00 P.M.**

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Conference on Philosophic Societies

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

Karl Jaspers Society of North America

North American Spinoza Society

Philosophers in Jesuit Education

Radical Philosophy Association

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

Society for Neo-Kantianism

Society for the History of Political Philosophy

Society for the Study of Women Philosophers

**Reception**

*9:00 p.m.-midnight, Marquis Ballroom B, C and D (Marquis Level)*

**Sunday Morning, December 30**

**Registration**

*8:30 a.m.-noon, Registration Desks (Marquis Level)*

**Placement Information**

Interviewers: *8:30 a.m.-noon, Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)*

subdivision

Candidates: *8:30 a.m.-noon, Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)*

Interview Tables: *Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)*

**Book Exhibits**

*9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom B (Marquis Level)*
SESSION VI – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

VI-A. Invited Paper: The Accessibility of Reasoning

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Steven Hales (Bloomsburg University)
Speaker: Jennifer Nagel (University of Toronto)
Commentator: Barry Lam (Vassar College)

VI-B. Author Meets Critics: John Hawthorne and David Manley, The Reference Book

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Erin Eaker (University of Maryland–College Park)
Critics: Robin Jeshion (University of Southern California)
Mark Sainsbury (University of Texas–Austin)
Authors: John Hawthorne (Oxford University)
David Manley (University of Michigan)

VI-C. Author Meets Critics: Paul Weithman, Why Political Liberalism? On John Rawls’s Political Turn

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Marilyn Friedman (Vanderbilt University)
Critics: Henry Richardson (Georgetown University)
Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)
Author: Paul Weithman (University of Notre Dame)

VI-D. Author Meets Critics: Jonathan Beere, Doing and Being: An Interpretation of Aristotle’s Metaphysics IX

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Marta Jimenez (Emory University)
Critics: Keith McPartland (Williams College)
Charlotte Witt (University of New Hampshire)
Author: Jonathan Beere (Humboldt University-Berlin)

VI-E. Submitted Symposium: William James Prize

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Mark Fagiano (Emory University)
Speaker: David Miguel Gray (Vanderbilt University)
Commentators: Cavin Robinson (LeMoyne College)
Celena Simpson (University of Oregon)

VI-F. Submitted Symposium: Promising

9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Grant Rozeboom (Stanford University)
Speaker: Berislav Marusic (Brandeis University)
“What Can We Responsibly Promise?”

Commentators: Bradford Cokelet (University of Miami)
Margaret Bowman (University of Utah)

VI-G. Colloquium: Eastern Philosophy and Western Analogues
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Amy Donahue (Kennesaw University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Daniel Coyle (Birmingham-Southern College)
“Early Chinese Cosmology and the Greek Tendency towards Metaphysics”
Commentator: Stephen C. Walker (University of Chicago)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Asher Walden (University of Massachusetts–Dartmouth)
“Madhyamaka Meta-Ethics: Some Ramifications of Principled Skepticism”
Commentator: Bronwyn Finnigan (Marquette University)

VI-H. Colloquium: Kant’s Metaphysics
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Tatiana Patrone (Ithaca College)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Colin McLear (Cornell University)
“Intellectualism and the Transcendental Deduction”
Commentator: Huaping Lu-Adler (Georgetown University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Katherine Gasdaglis (Columbia University)
“The Refutation of Idealism and the Perception of Time”
Commentator: Michael Rohlf (Catholic University)

VI-I. Colloquium: Grounding
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Charles Urban (University of Arkansas)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Jon Erling Litland (University of Oslo)
“Expressing Grounding”
Commentator: Dana Goswick (University of Melbourne-Australia)
Sunday Morning, VI-J to VII-C

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Thomas S. Dixon Jr. (University of California–Davis)
“Upward Grounding”
Commentator: Michael Bertrand (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

VI-J. APA Committee Session: Can Moral and Political Philosophy Really Provide a Foundation for Public Policy or is it Question-Begging All Around? A Panel on Jim Sterba’s Work
Arranged by the APA Committee on Public Philosophy
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Eric Thomas Weber (University of Mississippi)
Speakers:
David Cummiskey (Bates College)
John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)
James Sterba (University of Notre Dame)

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Society for Value Inquiry
Hume Society

SESSION VII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

VII-A. Author Meets Critics: Uriah Kriegel, The Sources of Intentionality
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: Amie Thomasson (University of Miami)
Critics: Elijah Chudnoff (University of Miami)
Charles Siewert (Rice University)
Author: Uriah Kriegel (University of Arizona)

VII-B. Author Meets Critics: Elliott Sober, Did Darwin Write the Origin Backwards?
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: Robert Robinson (University of Georgia)
Critics: Robert Richards (University of Chicago)
Denis Walsh (University of Toronto)
Author: Elliott Sober (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

VII-C. Author Meets Critics: David Enoch, Taking Morality Seriously: A Defense of Robust Realism
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: Stephanie Beardman (Columbia University)
Critics:  David Plunkett (Dartmouth College)
          David Sobel (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)
Author:  David Enoch (Hebrew University of Jerusalem)

**VII-D. Submitted Symposium: The Extended Mind Thesis**

*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair:  Joseph Baltimore (West Virginia University)

Speaker: Logan Fletcher (University of Maryland–College Park)
          “Dual Systems Reasoning and the Extended Mind Debate”

Commentators: Willem DeVries (University of New Hampshire)
               Timothy Fuller (Ohio State University)

**VII-E. Submitted Symposium: The Problem of Counterfactual Philosophers**

*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair:  Jeff Sebo (New York University)

Speaker: Nathan Ballantyne (Fordham University)
          “Counterfactual Philosophers”

Commentator: Adam Rosenfeld (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

**VII-F. Colloquium: Foucault**

*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair:  Erin Tarver (James Madison University)

*11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.*

Speaker: Rebecca Longtin Hansen (Emory University)
          “Visualizing The Order of Things: Foucault's Archaeology and the Classical Quadrilateral”

Commentator: Samuel Talcott (University of the Sciences)

*12:15-1:15 p.m.*

Speaker: Pol Vandeveld (Marquette University)
          “Interpretation as Experience: Michel Foucault’s Reformulation of Hermeneutics in the Last Lectures at the Collège de France”

Commentator: Joshua Kurdys (Pennsylvania State University)

**VII-G. Colloquium: Fundamentality**

*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair:  Bryan Pickel (University of Barcelona-Spain)

*11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.*

Speaker: Jeff Engelhardt (Siena Heights University)
          “The Problem of Second Effects”

Commentator: Brad Weslake (University of Rochester)
12:15-1:15 p.m.
Speaker: Barak Krakauer (University of California–Santa Cruz)
“Fundamentality and Counterfactuals”
Commentator: Sungil Han (Yale University)

VII-H. Colloquium: Phenomenology and Emergence
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: Smaranda Aldea (Dartmouth College)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.
Speaker: Andres Colapinto (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
“Repairing Husserl’s Phenomenology of Facts”
Commentator: Meghant Sudan (Boise State University)

12:15-1:15 p.m.
Speaker: Hayden Thornburg (University of Cincinnati)
“New Work for a Theory of Emergence”
Commentator: Adam Gingera (University of Manitoba)

VII-I. APA Committee Session: Global Justice/Immigration
Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: TBA
Speakers: TBA

GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
International Institute for Field-Being and Polanyi Society
National Philosophical Counseling Association
Society for the Study of Process Philosophies

SUNDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30

SESSION VIII – 1:30-4:30 P.M.

VIII-A. Symposium: Franz Fanon Today
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Nathifa Greene (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
Speakers: George Ciccariello-Maher (Drexel University)
Jane Gordon (Temple University)
Lewis Gordon (Temple University)
Nelson Maldonado-Torres (Rutgers University)
VIII-B. Symposium: Justice in International Relations
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Jon Mahoney (Kansas State University)
Speakers: Helena de Bres (Wellesley College)
Aaron James (University of California–Irvine)
Commentator: Thomas Christiano (University of Arizona)

VIII-C. Symposium: Early Modern Theories of Modality
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Lewis Powell (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
Speaker: Dan Kaufman (University of Colorado–Boulder)
Commentator: Samuel Newlands (University of Notre Dame)
Speaker: Nick Stang (University of Miami)
Commentator: Robert Hanna (University of Colorado–Boulder)

VIII-D. Symposium: Natural Philosophy and Ethics in Aristotle
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Corinne Gartner (Wellesley College)
Speakers: Mariska Leunissen (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Karen Nielsen (University of Western Ontario)
Commentator: Daniel Devereux (University of Virginia)

VIII-E. Invited Paper: Vagueness
1:30-3:30 p.m.
Chair: Agustin Rayo (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
Speaker: Susanne Bobzien (Yale University)
Commentator: Diana Raffman (University of Toronto)
(This session will end at 3:30 p.m.)

VIII-F. Colloquium: Philosophy of Mind
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Ana Diaz (Montana State University–Billings)
1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Anthony F. Peressini (Marquette University)
“Against the Reduction of Phenomenological Consciousness to Qualia”
Commentator: Neil Mehta (University of Michigan)
2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Mihnea D. I. Capraru (Syracuse University)
“Stained Glass as a Model for Consciousness”
Commentator: Amy Kind (Claremont McKenna College)
Sunday Afternoon, VIII-F to VIII-H

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Carolyn Dicey Jennings (Boston University)
“Attention: It’s Under Control”
Commentator: Steven James (University of Texas–Austin)

VIII-G. Colloquium: On Agency
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Luis Cheng-Guardajo (Stanford University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Michael S. Brownstein (New Jersey Institute of Technology)
“Automatic Agency”
Commentator: Michael Brent (Columbia University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Jeffrey M. Behrends (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Parfit’s All or None Argument and the Development of Meta-normative Hybridism”
Commentator: Joseph Ulatowski (University of Wyoming)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Iskra N. Fileva (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“The Sources of Passivity: Why, if at all, is Character not up to Us?”
Commentator: Adam Pelser (Wake Forest University)

VIII-H. Colloquium: What Can We Will?
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Vanessa Wills (St. Joseph’s University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Benjamin J. Bayer (Loyola University–New Orleans)
“Believing at Will and the Will to Believe the Truth”
Commentator: Flora Lee (Cornell University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Colin Koopman (University of Oregon)
“Naturalizing the Will and the Will to Believe: William James’ Moral Psychology of Freedom”
Commentator: Daniel Brunson (Morgan State University)
3:30 4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Per Milam (University of California–San Diego)
“Self-Forgiveness and Quality of Will”
Commentator: Jeffrey Tlumak (Vanderbilt University)

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
International Society for Chinese Philosophy
GROUP PROGRAM

THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

GROUP SESSION I – 6:30-9:30 P.M.

GI-1. Personalist Discussion Group
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Topic: American Interpretations of Hegel
Chair: John Kaag (University of Massachusetts–Lowell)
Speakers: Matt Jacobs (Pennsylvania State University)
“Roycean Order and Ethical Life: Reading Royce’s Practical Philosophy in Its Properly Hegelian Context”
Kipton Jensen (Emory University)
“Hegel in the Land of the Future”

GI-2. Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion
6:30-9:30 p.m.
Topic: Ethical Theories: East and West
Chair: Audrey Anton (Western Kentucky University)
Speakers: Lilith C. Dornhuber de Bellesiles (Oxford University)
“Virgin Wife or Liberated Householder: the Best Life in Two Philosophies”
David Slakter (University of Liverpool-United Kingdom)
“A Political Solution to the Problem of Competing Dharmas in the Bhagavad Gita”
Song-Chong Lee (University of Findlay)
“Cyberspace as the 7th Realm for Buddhist Ethics”
David Dillard Wright (University of South Carolina–Aiken)
“Animal Consciousness in the Chandi Path: Moving Beyond Anthropocentrism”
Ilana Maymind (Ohio State University)
“Human Agency and Human Destiny: Through the Eyes of Shinran and Maimonides”
Gordon Davis (Carleton University-Ontario)
“Anticipations of Kantian Universalism in Classical Indian Mahāyāna Ethics”
GI-3. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion
6:30-9:30 p.m.

**Topic: Structural Realism**

Chair: TBA

Speakers:
- James Ladyman (University of Bristol-United Kingdom)
  “Structural Realism About What?”
- Steven French (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)
  “The Might of Modal Structuralism”
- Anjan Chakravartty (University of Notre Dame)
  “Causal Structure”
- Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)
  “Structural Realism: Models and Modality”

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION II – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GII-1. American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society
9:00-11:00 a.m.

**Topic: Virtue and Economics**

Chair: Shawn E. Klein (Rockford College)

Speakers:
- Jennifer Baker (College of Charleston)
  “Stoic Economics”
- Adam Cureton (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)
  “Kant and Commerce”
- Mark White (College of Staten Island)
  “Kantian Economics”

GII-2. Association for Philosophy of Education
9:00-11:00 a.m.

**Topic: Justice and Education**

Chair: Harry Brighouse (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

Speakers:
- Jaime Ahlberg (University of Florida–Gainesville)
  “Justice and Special Education”
- Gina Schouten (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
  “Fair Educational Opportunity and the Distribution of Natural Ability: Toward a Prioritarian Principle of Educational Justice”

Commentator: Michael Tibor (University of California–San Diego)
GII-3. Association for the Philosophy of Judaism
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: TBA
Speaker: Silvia Jonas (Van Leer Jerusalem Institute)
   “Ineffability Assertions in Jewish Law”
Commentator: Jed Lewinsohn (New York University)
Speaker: David Shatz (Yeshiva University)
   “Should Theists Eschew Theodicies?”
Commentator: Tyron Goldschmidt (University of Notre Dame)
Speaker: Howard Wettstein (University of California–Riverside)
   “Ineffability, Mystery, and Philosophical Theology”
Commentator: Yonatan Brafman (Columbia University)
(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GII-4. Foucault Circle
9:00 a.m.-noon
Chair: Samuel Talcott (University of the Sciences)
Speakers: Mary Beth Mader (University of Memphis)
   Title TBA
   Dianna Taylor (John Carroll University)
   “Norms, Normalization, and Feminist Resistance”
   Sokthan Yeng (Adelphi University)
   “The Biopolitics of Religion: From Cultural Norms to Scientific Truth”
(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GII-5. John Dewey Society
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Topic: The State of the Study of American Philosophy in U.S. Colleges and Universities
Chair: Deron Boyles (Georgia State University)
Speakers: Gertrude James Gonzalez de Allen (Spelman College)
   John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)
   Gregory Pappas (Texas A&M University)
   Kenneth Stikkers (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
   John Stuhr (Emory University)
GII-6. North American Nietzsche Society  
9:00 a.m.-noon  
**Topic: Richard Schacht’s *Nietzsche*: A 30th Anniversary Reappraisal**  
Chair: R. Lanier Anderson (Stanford University)  
Speakers: Maudemarie Clark (University of California–Riverside)  
Helmut Heit (Technische Universität Berlin/Institute for Advanced Study–Princeton)  
John Richardson (New York University)  
Richard Schacht (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)  
(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GII-7. Philosophy of Time Society  
9:00 a.m.-noon  
Chair: Yuri Balashov (University of Georgia)  
Speaker: Michał Klincewicz (Graduate Center–City University of New York)  
“Temporal Mental Qualities and the Illusion of Passage of Time”  
Commentator: Anthony Shiver (University of Georgia)  
Speaker: Lisa Leininger (University of Maryland)  
“Presentism and the Myth of Passage”  
Commentator: Gregory Scott Moss (University of Georgia)  
Speaker: Adam Bowen (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)  
“Perceptual Atomism and the Charge of Tensed Content”  
Commentator: Andrei Marasoiu (Georgia State University)  
(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GII-8. Society for Analytical Feminism  
9:00 a.m.-noon  
**Topic: Philosophy and Human Trafficking**  
Chair: Shay Williams (Spelman College)  
Speakers: Roksana Alavi (University of Oklahoma)  
“Society’s Response to Sex Trafficking”  
Melissa Seymour Fahmy (University of Georgia)  
“Human Trafficking and Public Shame”  
Hallie Liberto (University of Connecticut)  
“Oppression, Exploitation, and Sex Trafficking”  
(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)
GII-9. Society for Applied Philosophy  
9:00-11:00 a.m.  
**Topic: Criminal Law**  
Chair: William Edmundson (Georgia State University)  
Speakers: Chris Bennett (University of Sheffield-United Kingdom)  
“Reconsidering Penal Disenfranchisement”  
Mary Sigler (Arizona State University)  
“Felon Disenfranchisement”  
Commentator: William Edmundson (Georgia State University)

GII-10. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy  
9:00 a.m.-noon  
**Topic: Mind and World in Classical Chinese Philosophy**  
Chair: David Chai (University of Toronto)  
Speakers: Susan Blake (Indiana University)  
“A Problem of the Senses in Chinese Thought”  
Alexus McLeod (University of Dayton)  
“The Real Problem of Identity in the Zhuangzi”  
Stephen Walker (University of Chicago)  
“What Kind of Knowledge does a Monist Have?”  
Commentator: Jane Geaney (University of Richmond)  
(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

**GROUP SESSION III – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**

GIII-1. APA Committee Session: Creating a National High School Ethics Bowl  
Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.  
Chair: Renée Smith (Coastal Carolina University)  
Speakers: Geoffrey Sayre-McCord (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)  
“The Point and Value of the High School Ethics Bowl”  
Matt Deaton (Squire Family Foundation)  
“Demagogue’s Nightmare: The Oncoming Tsunami of High School Ethics Bowls”  
David Goff (Austin-East High School-Knoxville) and two students  
“What High School Ethics Bowls Matter”
GIII-2. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic: What is ‘Buddhist Philosophy’? Perspectives on a Discipline**

**Chair:** Christian Coseru (College of Charleston)

**Speakers:**
- Marie Friquegnon (William Paterson University)
  - “Buddhist Philosophy as Envisioned by Santaraksita”
- Soraj Hongladarom (Chulalongkorn University-Thailand)
  - “How Buddhist Philosophy is Unique: Content, Orientation, and Paradox”
- Jongmyung Kim (The Academy of Korean Studies-Korea)
  - “The Buddhist Soteriology of Wŏnhyo: A Critical Analysis”

**Commentator:** Mark Siderits (Seoul National University-Korea)

GIII-3. International Society for Neoplatonic Studies
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic: Proclus on Causation**

**Chair:** John Phillips (University of Tennessee-Chattanooga)

**Speakers:**
- Sara Ahbel-Rappe (University of Michigan)
  - “Damascius’ Critiques of Proclus’ Theory of Causation”
- David Butorac (Fatih University-Istanbul)
  - “The Problem with Knowing Causes: The Soul’s Epistrophe and Its Perspectives in Proclus”
- John Phillips (University of Tennessee-Chattanooga)
  - “Proclus on Demiurgic Causation”
- Jonathan Shaheen (University of Michigan)
  - “On the Foundation of the Proclean Theory of Causation”

GIII-4. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic: Author Meets Critics: Douglas Patterson, Alfred Tarski: Philosophy of Language and Logic**

**Chair:** Michael Lynch (University of Connecticut)

**Critics:**
- Joseph Ulatowski (University of Wyoming)
  - “Patterson and Tarski on Truth”
- Greg Ray (University of Florida)
  - “On Tarski’s Philosophy of Language and Logic”

**Author:** Douglas Patterson (University of Pittsburgh)
FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION IV – 2:00-5:00 P.M.

GIV-1. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America
2:00-5:00 p.m.

Topic: Early Chinese Philosophy and Contemporary Western Philosophy

Chair: David Chai (University of Toronto)
Speaker: Bongrae Seok (Alvernia University)
“Supervenience and Confucian Virtue: Correlative Cosmology and Embodied Moral Psychology”

Commentator: Huaiyu Wang (Georgia College)
Speaker: Mercedes Valmisa (Princeton University)
“Strategies for Freedom: Expansion of the field of phenomenality in the Zhuangzi 莊子”

Commentator: Galia Patt-Shamir (Tel Aviv University)
Speaker: John Ramsey (University of California–Riverside)
“Mengzi’s Solution to the Role Dilemma”

Commentator: Timothy Connolly (East Stroudsburg University)
Speaker: Sara Vantournhout (Princeton University)
“Rhetorics of ‘Not-Forgetting’ (bu wang 不忘) in the Lüshi chunqiu 呂氏春秋”

Commentator: Benoit Vermander (Fudan University)

GIV-2. International Association for Philosophy of Sport
2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Joan Grassbaugh Forry (Linfield College)
Speakers: Michael Brady (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
“Crossfit: A Pragmatic Philosophy of Sport”
Samantha Brennan (University of Western Ontario)
“Can Women Be Cyclists? Some Thoughts on Women’s Bodies, Bicycles, and Feminism”
Michael Brownstein (New Jersey Institute of Technology)
“A Determination Bordering on Possession: Nondeliberative Agency and Expert Athletics”
Aaron Harper (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
“Playing with Nietzsche: Play, Nihilism, and Value Creation”
GIV-3. Molinari Society
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: TBA
Speakers: Matthew Quest (Independent Scholar)
“Emma Goldman’s Anarchism and the Self-Governing Will”
Roderick T. Long (Auburn University)
“Transformation or Abolition: Marriage and the Family in the Individualist Anarchist Tradition”
Commentators: Nina Brewer-Davis (Auburn University)
Charles Johnson (Molinari Institute)

GIV-4. Radical Philosophy Association
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Topic: Revolutionary Praxis and the Future of Humanity
Chair: J. Everet Green (Mercy College)
Speakers: Tommy Curry (Texas A&M University)
“Anti-Ethics as the Propaeduetic of Anti-Colonialty: Eliminating the Universalistic Ethos of White Supremacy”
Anika Mann (Morgan State University)
“Love as Revolutionary Praxis: The Abolition of the Marriage Contract”
John H. McClendon III (Michigan State University)
“Reflections on C. L. R. James’ Dialectical Materialism and the Fate of Humanity”

GIV-5. Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: TBA
Speakers: Carlos A. Cortissoz Mora (Binghamton University–State University of New York)
“Souls Within a Soul. The City-Soul Analogy Revisited (Plato’s Republic)”
J. Noel Hubler (Lebanon Valley College)
“Aristotle on Truth: Identity in Difference”
Catherine McKeen (Skidmore College)
“Sharing Pleasures and Pains in Plato’s Republic”

GIV-6. Society for Philosophy of the Contemporary World
2:00-5:00 p.m.
Topic: Human Enterprise and Corporate Personhood, Part 1
Chair: R. Dillon Emerick (Palomar College)
Speakers: Marcus Hedahl (Georgetown University)

Ian B. Lee (University of Toronto)
“The Relationship Between Corporate Criminal Liability and Corporate Constitutional Rights”

Luke Price (University College London)
“From ‘Doing’ to ‘Being’: The Responsibility of Corporate Architecture”

Bekka Williams (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Corporate Obligation and Non-Attributability”

GIV-7. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
2:00-5:00 p.m.

Topic: Pragmatist Approaches to Culture and Justice

Chair: Eric Thomas Weber (University of Mississippi)
Speakers: Susan Dieleman (Ryerson University)
“Epistemic Justice as a Larger Loyalty”

Katherine Logan (University of Oregon)
“Joan Williams, Feminist Pragmatism, and Work-Family Conflict”

Gregory Pappas (Texas A & M University)
“Towards a Pragmatist’s Inquiry about Injustice”

Eric Thomas Weber (University of Mississippi)
“A Culture of Justice: On Rawls, Dewey, and Rorty”

GIV-8. Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy
2:00-5:00 p.m.

Topic: Buddhist Ideas on Conventional Truth and their Presentation in Moonshadows

Chair: Douglas Duckworth (East Tennessee State University)
Speakers: Ben Abelson (Graduate Center–City University of New York)
“Nietzsche, Madhyamaka, and Skepticism”

Paul Bernier (Université de Moncton–New Brunswick)
“What Is the Truth about the (Two) Truths?”

Kenneth Faber (Vanderbilt University)
“Reflections on the Kuntuzangpo Monlam: Conventional and Ultimate Truth”
Marie Friquegnon (William Paterson University)
“Conventional Truth as Envisioned by Santaraksita”
Sara McClintock (Emory University)
“Madhyamaka Ethics and the Limits of Sincerity”
Commentators: Douglas Duckworth (East Tennessee State University)
Bronwyn Finnigan (Marquette University)
Mark Siderits (Seoul National University-Korea)
Kojo Tanaka (University of Auckland-New Zealand)

FRIDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION V – 5:15-7:15 P.M.

GV-1. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Topic: Metaphor and Meaning in Early China—2011 Dao Annual Best Essay Award
Chair: Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)
Speakers: Kim-Chong Chong (Hong Kong University of Science and Technology)
Erin Cline (Georgetown University)
Steve Geisz (University of Tampa)
Commentator: Edward Slingerland (University of British Columbia)

GV-2. Charles S. Peirce Society
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Topic: Peirce and Value Theory
Chair: Robert Lane (University of West Georgia)
Speakers: Diana Heney (University of Toronto)
“The Peircean Strand in Pragmatist Ethics”
Rosa Mayorga (Miami-Dade College)
“Peirce and Value Theory”
Aaron Massecar (University of Guelph-Ontario)
“Peirce, Moral Cognitivism, and the Development of Character”

GV-3. Concerned Philosophers for Peace
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Chair: Renee Bricker (North Georgia College and State University)
Speakers: Danielle Poe (University of Dayton)
“Catholic Relief Services and the Practice of Peace Philosophy”
Gail Presbey (University of Detroit–Mercy)
“Dorothy Day’s Pursuit of Public Peace Through Word and Action”

Jordy Rocheleau (Austin Peay State University)
“The Future of the Legitimate Authority Criterion for Just Recourse to War”

**GV-4. International Association for Environmental Philosophy**

*5:15-7:15 p.m.*

**Topic: Environment, Culture, and Power**

Chair: Shane Epting (University of North Texas)
Speakers: Samuel Talcott (University of the Sciences)
“Thinking of the Natural Environment in Georges Canguilhem and Michel Foucault”
Lauren Guilmette (Emory University)
“The Affective Power of Green: Hype, Hope, and Hubris in 21st Century Biotechnologies”
David Pena-Guzman (Emory University)
“Meaning-Making and Mediating: Unfolding Uexküllian Umwelten”
Paul Ott (Loyola University–Chicago)
“Habit, Culture, and Love: Dewey, Arendt, and a Worldly Basis for Environmental Values”

**GV-5. International Berkeley Society**

*5:15-7:15 p.m.*

**Topic: Berkeley’s Master Argument**

Chair: Stephen H. Daniel (Texas A&M University)
Speakers: Keota Fields (University of Massachusetts–Dartmouth)
“Berkeley’s Master Argument Revisited”
John Grey (Boston University)
“Representation and Intentionality in Berkeley’s Master Argument”

**GV-6. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy**

*5:15-7:15 p.m.*

**Topic: Buddhist Philosophy of Mind**

Chair: Christian Coseru (College of Charleston)
Speakers: Douglas Duckworth (East Tennessee State University)
“Making Sense of Tibetan Yogācāra”
Laura Guerrero (University of New Mexico)
“Conventional Truth and Intentionality in the Work of Dharmakīrti”

Philipp Koralus (Washington University in St. Louis)

GV-7. National Philosophical Counseling Association
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Chair: TBA
Speakers: Kevin Aho (Florida Gulf Coast University)
“Depression and Embodiment: Phenomenological Reflections on Motility, Affectivity, and Transcendence”
Dona Warren (University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point)
“Civility and Philosophy”
Samuel Zinaich (Purdue University–Calumet)
“Using Logic-Based Therapy in a Detention Center: The Case Study of ‘S’”

GV-8. North American Kant Society
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Topic: Author Meets Critics: Eckart Förster, The Twenty-Five Years of Philosophy: A Systematic Reconstruction
Chair: Robert B. Louden (University of Southern Maine)
Critics: Robert Hanna (University of Colorado–Boulder)
Michelle Kosch (Cornell University)
Author: Eckart Förster (Johns Hopkins University)

GV-9. Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Chair: Thomas Flynn (Emory University)
Speaker: Debra Bergoffen (George Mason University)
“Antigone after Auschwitz”
Commentator: Tina Chanter (DePaul University)
(Reception to follow)

GV-10. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
5:15-7:15 p.m.
Topic: Human Enterprise and Corporate Personhood, Part 2
Chair: R. Dillon Emerick (Palomar College)
Speakers: Chong Un Choe (Georgetown University)
“Just International Normative Structure for Multinational Corporations"
Jon Garthoff (University of Tennessee)
“Decomposing Legal Personhood”
Elizabeth Victor (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville)
“Who Speaks When Corporations Speak?”

**GV-11. Society for Skeptical Studies**

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Chair: Peter S. Fosl (Transylvania University)
Speakers: Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)
“Skepticism, Naturalism, and Second Philosophy”

**GV-12. Society for Women in Philosophy**

5:15-7:15 p.m.

**Topic: Distinguished Woman Philosopher of 2012: Diana Tietjens Meyers**

Chair: Lori Gruen (Wesleyan University)
Speakers: Asha Bhandary (University of Iowa)
Susan Brison (Dartmouth College)
Claudia Card (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
John Christman (Pennsylvania State University)
Diana T. Meyers (Loyola University–Chicago)

(Reception to follow)

**GV-13. Wilfrid Sellars Society**

5:15-8:15 p.m.


Chair: Willem deVries (University of New Hampshire)
Speakers: Aude Bandini (Université de Montréal)
“The Virtues of Wilfrid Sellars’ Epistemology”
Peter Olen (Shandong University)
“Between American Philosophy and Logical Empiricism: Assessing Sellars’s Place in the History of Analytic Philosophy”
Carl B. Sachs (University of Alabama–Birmingham)
“Phenomenology and the Myth of the Given: Sellars, Merleau-Ponty, and Some Myths about the Given”
Aaron Schiller (Santa Clara University)
“Claims in Experience: On the Sellarsian Intersection of the Metaphysics of Epistemology and Perception”

Papers will be available at: [http://wss.categorymistake.com/wp](http://wss.categorymistake.com/wp)

(This session will continue past 7:15 p.m.)

**GROUP SESSION VI – 7:30-10:30 P.M.**

**GVI-1. Heidegger Circle**

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Chair: Andrew Mitchell (Emory University)

Speakers: Rebecca Longtin Hansen (Emory University)
“Poetically We Dwell: On the Relation between Facticity and Poetry in Dilthey and Heidegger”

Nikolay Tugushev (New School for Social Research)
“Gathering Heraclitus and Heidegger Under the Guidance of Their Common Logos”

Pol Vandevelde (Marquette University)
“Heidegger’s Understanding of the Event: A New Versus Another Beginning”

**GVI-2. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy**

7:30-10:30 p.m.

**Topic: Virtue as Knowledge: Dialogues Cross Traditions**

Chair: Sor-hoon Tan (National University of Singapore)

Speakers: Bradford Cokelet (University of Miami)
“Virtue as Unprincipled Knowledge of Ethical Goodness”

Bronwyn Finnigan (Marquette University)
“The McDowell-Dreyfus Debate: Disputing the Nature and Function of Phronēsis”

Yong Huang (Kutztown University)
“Virtuous Knowledge in Neo-Confucianism and the Problem of Moral Responsibility”

Matthew D. Walker (Yale–NUS College)
“Confucian Criticisms of Aristotle’s Intellectualism”

**GVI-3. International Society for Environmental Ethics**

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Chair: Jason Simus (Texas A&M University–Commerce)

Speaker: Alain Ducharme (University of Western Ontario)
“Is Aristotle’s Teleology Ecocentric?”
Commentator:  Victoria Davion (University of Georgia)
Speaker:  Don Nilson (Akita International University-Japan)
“Toward a Deeper Ecology: the Road Taken by Arne Naess”
Commentator:  John Nolt (University of Tennessee)
Speaker:  John Nolt (University of Tennessee)
“Some Biocentric Value Aggregation Principles”
Commentator:  Daniel Crescenzo (University of Georgia)

GVI-4. Karl Jaspers Society of North America
7:30-10:30 p.m.
Topic: Author Meets Critics: Krzysztof Michalski, *The Flame of Eternity*
Chair:  Alan M. Olson (Boston University)
Critics:  Babette Babich (Fordham University)
          James Dodd (New School for Social Research)
          Sigridur Thorgeirsdottir (University of Iceland)
          Tom Rockmore (Duquesne University)
Author:  Krzysztof Michalski (Boston University/University of Warsaw)

GVI-5. North American Spinoza Society
7:30-10:30 p.m.
Topic: Spinoza and Free Will and Responsibility
Chair:  Ursula Goldenbaum (Emory University)
Speaker:  Jacob Adler (University of Arkansas)
          “Crescas, Delmedigo, and Spinoza on Free Will and Responsibility”
Commentator:  Christopher Ryszard Kluz (Emory University)
Speaker:  Tom Cook (Rollins College)
          “Is an Adequate Notion of Responsibility Available to Spinoza?”
Commentator:  Ursula Goldenbaum (Emory University)
Speaker:  Matt Kisner (University of South Carolina)
          “Why Spinoza Doesn’t Need to Do Away with Responsibility”
Commentator:  Ericka Tucker (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)

GVI-6. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy
7:30-10:30 p.m.
Topic: Founders’ Prize Talk
Chair:  Eileen Sweeney (Boston College)
Speaker: Gloria R. Frost (University of St. Thomas)
“Aquinas and Scotus on the Causal Origin of Contingent Effects”

**Topic: In Memoriam James P. Reilly (1921-2012)**
Chair: R. James Long (Fairfield University)
Speakers: Idit Dobbs-Weinstein (Vanderbilt University)
Timothy Noone (Catholic University of America)
And Colleagues

**GVI-7. Society of Humanist Philosophers**
7:30-9:30 p.m.

**Topic: Humanism and African American Philosophy**
Chair: TBA
Speakers: TBA
(This session will end at 9:30 p.m.)

**GVI-8. Society of Philosophers in America**
7:30-10:30 p.m.

**Topic: Philosophy’s Guidance for Civility: Four Cases Needing Intellectual Leadership**
Chair: Kenneth Stikkers (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
Speakers: Jacquelyn Kegley (California State University–Bakersfield)
“Pink Smoke Over the Vatican: Philosophers, Civility, Religion, and Public Policy Issues”
Andrew Light (George Mason University)
“Is Climate Change a Wedge Issue?”
Bertha Manninen (Arizona State University)
“What America Would Look Like If It Were Abortion-Free: Civility and Abortion Debates”
Erin McKenna (Pacific Lutheran University)
“What Vegetarians and Cattle Ranchers, PETA and the AKC: Can they be Allies?”

**GVI-9. Søren Kierkegaard Society**
7:30-10:30 p.m.

**Topic: Kierkegaard on the Love of Self and Others**
Chair: Michael Strawser (University of Central Florida)
Speakers: Ulrika Carlsson (Yale University)
“An Ethical Model of Love in ‘Silhouettes’ and a Challenge to the Knight of Infinite Resignation”
Elodie Gontier (University of Paris–Sorbonne)
“The Problem of True Love and Self-Love in Kierkegaard’s Works of Love”

Heather C. Ohaneson (Columbia University)
“Loved into Life: The Tacit Resolution of Despair in Kierkegaard’s Sickness unto Death”

Commentator: Michael Strawser (University of Central Florida)

GVI-10. American Association of Philosophy Teachers
7:30-9:30 p.m.
Chair: David W. Concepción (Ball State University)
Speakers: Susanne Foster (Marquette University)
“Improving Graduate Student Teaching Performance: An Assessment of Marquette’s Philosophy Teacher Training Program”
Daniel Murphy (Saint Peter’s College)
“Doing the Work in the Workshop: The Case Against the Traditional Classroom and the ‘Inverted’ Classroom”
David M. Pena-Guzman (Emory University)
“Un-Disciplining Philosophy in a Disciplined World: Gearing Philosophy into the 21st Century”

(This session will end at 9:30 p.m.)

SATURDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29

GROUP SESSION VII – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GVII-1.American Society for Value Inquiry
9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Re-evaluating the Value of Education
Chair: G. John M. Abbarno (D’Youville College)
Speakers: Asha Bhandary (University of Iowa)
“The Multicultural Conflict with De-gendering Care-Giving Skills”
Robert Churchill (George Washington University)
“The Apology Re-visited? A Debate Between Philosophy and Congress”

GVII-2.George Santayana Society
9:00 a.m.-noon

Topic: Philosophical Expression and Literary Form
Chair: Glen Tiller (Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi)
Speakers: Vincent Colapietro (Pennsylvania State University)
“Literary Forms, Heuristic Functions, and Philosophical Fixations: Santayana’s Emancipatory Example”

John J. Stuhr (Emory University)
“Philosophy, Literature, and Dogma: Santayana and the View from Somewhere”

Jessica Wahman (Dickinson College)
“Literary Psychology and Philosophical Method”

(This session will continue until noon)

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Teaching Deliberative Democratic Theory and Practice
Chair: Andrew F. Smith (Drexel University)
Speakers:
Joshua Houston (Vanderbilt University)
“Instruction in Democratic Citizenship and Writing”

Danielle Lake (Grand Valley State University)
“Teaching Effective Deliberation”

Mark Sanders (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
“Does Deliberation Work? Putting Theory into Practice”

Eric T. Weber (University of Mississippi)
“Engineering Culture Democratically for Deliberation”

GVII-4. Society for Applied Philosophy
9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Author Meets Critics: Kimberley Brownlee, Conscience and Conviction: The Case for Civil Disobedience
Chair: Hugh LaFollette (University of South Florida–St. Petersburg)
Critics:
Thomas E. Hill, Jr. (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Conscience and Making Conscientious Decisions”

David Lefkowicz (University of Richmond)
“Conscience and the Criminal Law”

Author: Kimberley Brownlee (University of Warwick–United Kingdom)
GROUP SESSION VIII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

GVIII-1. APA Committee Session: Immigration: Justice and Identity

Arranged by the APA Committee on Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: Ronald R. Sundstrom (University of San Francisco)
Speakers: Hye-Ryoung Kang (University of Nevada–Reno)  
   “Rethinking Immigration Justice in an Era of Globalization from a Transnational Perspective”
Emily S. Lee (California State University–Fullerton)  
   “Between Authenticity and Exclusion: Adaptation and Immigrant Identities”
Mickaella Perina (University of Massachusetts–Boston)  
   “On Ethics of Exclusion: International Mobility, Border Control, and Contemporary Political Membership”

GVIII-2. APA Committee Session: Problems in International Criminal Law

Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Chair: Matthew Lister (University of Denver)
Speakers: Andrew Altman (Georgia State University)  
   Larry May (Vanderbilt University)  
   Zachary Hoskins (University of Minnesota)

GVIII-3. American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Topic: Virtue and Economics
Chair: Shawn E. Klein (Rockford College)
Speakers: Christian Becker (Pennsylvania State University)  
   “Aristotelian Virtue Ethics and Economic Rationality”
Susan Sterrett (Carnegie Mellon University)  
   “Moral Perception and Moral Modeling: Geoengineering Schemes for Fixing Climate Change”
Jessica Flanagan (Princeton University)  
   “Manipulation and Marketing”
GVIII-4. Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Mental Models

Chair: John Lysaker (Emory University)
Speakers: Serife Tekin (University of Pittsburgh)
“Natural Kinds, Mental Disorders, and Selves”
Marcus Tye (Dowling College)
“Sexual and Gender Disorders: 150 Years of Misunderstanding Sexuality from a Biomedical Model and the Need for a New Biopsychosocial-cultural Approach”
Commentator: Ryan Born (Georgia State University–Atlanta)

GVIII-5. International Association for Environmental Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Ecophenomenologies of Aesthetics and Place

Chair: David Wood (Vanderbilt University)
Speakers: Wesley Mattingly (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
“Passagemarks: Merleau-Ponty and the Traces of Intentional Organization”
Lucy Schultz (University of Oregon)
“Creative Climate: Living Media in the Aesthetics of Watsuji Tetsurō and Maurice Merleau-Ponty”
Xavier Bonnaud (Ecole Nationale Superieure D’Architecture de Paris La Villette)
“About Some Contemporary Architectural Works and the Emergence of a More Sensory-oriented Culture of Environment”
Benjamin Effa (McGill University)
“In-Crusted Light”

GVIII-6. International Institute for Field-Being & Polanyi Society
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Polanyi, Asian Philosophy. and Philosophy of Creativity

Chair: Laura Weed (The College of Saint Rose)
Speakers: Martin Moleski, SJ. (Canisius College)
“Attending to Mysteries: Instilling Polanyi’s Epistemology with the Wisdom of Wu Wei”
David Long (California State University–Sacramento)
“Creativity in Asian Philosophy”

Jere Moorman (Polanyi Society)
“Polanyi on Creativity”

David Stone (Northern Illinois University)
“Polanyi and Heidegger”

GVIII-7. International Society for Chinese Philosophy
11:15 a.m.–1:15 p.m.

Topic: Zhuangzi and Daoist Practice
Chair: Jinmei Yuan (Creighton University)
Speakers: David Chai (University of Toronto)
“Ziqi and Yan Hui on Forgetting: A Meontological Reading”
Ori Tavor (University of Pennsylvania)
“The Lived Body: Ritualization as Body Technique in Chinese Philosophy”
Jiyuan Yu (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
“Ethical Naturalism in Daoism and Stoicism”

Commentator: Jinmei Yuan (Creighton University)

GVIII-8. Josiah Royce Society
11:15 a.m.–1:15 p.m.

Topic: New Directions in Royce Scholarship
Chair: Mathew A. Foust (Lander University)
Speakers: Kara Barnette (Gustavus Adolphus College)
“Between Revenge and Forgiveness: Royce’s Concept of Atonement and Feminist Legal Theory”
Matthew Jacobs (Pennsylvania State University)
“Purpose, Discursivity, and Normativity in Royce and Brandom”

Commentator: Mathew A. Foust (Lander University)

GVIII-9. Leibniz Society of North America
11:15 a.m.–1:15 p.m.

Topic: TBA
Chair: TBA
Speaker: Shane Duarte (Stanford University)
Title: “Leibniz and Prime Matter”
GVIII-10. Society for Business Ethics
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic:** TBA

Chair: TBA
Speakers: TBA

GVIII-11. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic:** Visual Arts

Chair: Basil Smith (Saddleback College)
Speakers: Jill L. Frank and Daniel Weiskopf (Georgia State University)

“Post-Presence Photography”
Jason Miller (Rice University)

“The Shifting Politics of Art: Plato, Schiller, and Ai Weiwei”
Michael R. Smith, Jr. (Institute for Doctoral Studies in the Visual Arts)

“The End of Philosophy and the Beginning of Art: Some Problems with Joseph Kosuth’s ‘Art After Philosophy’”

GVIII-12. Society for the Philosophy of History
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic:** The Virtues and Limits of Political Compromise: Historicist and Value Pluralist Perspectives

Chair: James Bourke (Fordham University)
Speakers: Jonathan Allen (Northern Michigan University)

“Four Paths of Response to Value-Conflict”
James Bourke (Fordham University)

“Two Concepts of Compromise”
Joshua Cherniss (Harvard University)

“How to Compromise, When, and with Whom? Lessons on the Ethics and Ethos of Compromise from Anti-anti-liberalism”
Naomi Choi (University of Alabama)

“The Ethical Burdens of Ontological Argument”
Commentator: Alexander Kaufman (University of Georgia)
GVIII-13. Society for Women in Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic: Intersectionality, Implicit Bias, and Epistemic Injustice**

**Chair:** Lisa Yount (Savannah State University)

**Speakers:**
- Nancy Daukas (Guilford College)
  - “Epistemic Injustice and Intersectionality”
- Marie Draz (DePaul University)
  - “Gender, Race, and the Timing of the Real”
- Yolonda Wilson (Duke University)
  - “Prostitutes, Race, and Feminist Art: Responses to ‘Lovely Six Foota’”

**Saturday Afternoon, December 29**

**Group Session IX – 1:30-4:30 p.m.**

GIX-1. Concerned Philosophers for Peace

1:30-4:30 p.m.

**Topic: Assessing the Philosopher King: Reflections on themes from the book The Liberatory Thought of Martin Luther King, Jr.**

**Chair:** Gail Presbey (University of Detroit–Mercy)

**Speakers:**
- Robert E. Birt (Bowie State University)
  - “The Liberatory Thought of Martin Luther King, Jr.: Editing the Critical Essays”
- Kathryn Gines (Pennsylvania State University)
  - “Challenges to the Relevance of King’s Philosophy”
- Greg Moses (Austin Community College)
  - “Approaching King through the Value Theory of Alain Locke”

GIX-2. International Society for Environmental Ethics

1:30-4:30 p.m.

**Chair:** Don Nilson (Akita International University–Japan)

**Speakers:**
- Nicolae Morar, Ted Toadvine, and Brendan Bohannan (University of Oregon)
  - “From Science to Environmental Value: An Argument for a Critical Understanding of the Normative Role of Biodiversity”
- Chelsea Snelgrove (Oglethorpe University)
  - “A Dangerous Path to Nowhere: the Uses and Abuses of Ecological Utopias”

**Commentator:**
- David Storey (Fordham University)
- Jason Simus (Texas A&M University–Commerce)
GIX-3. Sartre Circle
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: Ronald E. Santoni (Denison University)
Speakers: Damon Boria (Indiana University Northwest)
“The Oppressive Monotony of Boredom: A Sartrean Case for Humane Treatment of Animals”
Osman Nemli (Emory University)
“Sartre, Genet, and the Question of Collective Responsibility”
Joshua Tepley (University of Notre Dame)
“Understanding Sartre’s Claim that ‘Existence Precedes Essence’ “

GIX-4. Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Topic: Medieval Metaphysics
Chair: Richard Cross (University of Notre Dame)
Speakers: Andrew Arlig (Brooklyn College–City University of New York)
“Entia successiva”
Peter King (University of Toronto)
“Medieval Materialism”
J.T. Paasch (Georgetown University)
“Medieval Theories of Causal Powers”

GIX-5. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Topic: Language, Law, and Spirituality in Early China
Chair: Jinmei Yuan (Creighton University)
Speakers: Thomas D. Carroll (Xing Wei College-Shanghai)
“Confucius and Wittgenstein on the Ethics of Clarification”
David Chai (University of Toronto)
“Ziqi and Yan Hui on Forgetting”
Eirik Harris (Yonsei University-Korea)
“Xunzi’s Virtue Jurisprudence”
Huaiyu Wang (Georgia College and State University)
“Virtue, Soul Force, and Cosmology: Recovering the True Meanings of Early Confucian Moral Personality”
GIX-6. Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Chair: David Dillard Wright (University of South Carolina–Aiken)
Speakers:
Audrey L. Anton (Western Kentucky University)
“Ancient Justice and Mercy: East and West”
Minerva Ahumada (LaGuardia Community College)
“Reader Performativity: Border Hopping as a Tool to Enrich One’s Moral Life”
Clayton G. Bohnet (Fordham University)
“The Immanent Perfection of Selfless Duty: Kant and the Bhagavad Gita”
Chong Un Choe (Georgetown University)
Michael B. Funke (University of South Florida) and James W. Slater (Independent Scholar)
“Making Justice Personal: Personal and Impersonal Elements in South Asian Civil Law”
Carissa Véliz (Graduate Center–City University of New York/Universidad de Salamanca-Spain)
“Buddhist Ethics: A Challenge to Strong Situationism”

GIX-7. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m.
Topic: Mead, Royce, and Dewey: Early Encounters with Evolution
Chair: Mike Brady (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
Speakers:
William Baird (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
“The Apple Falls Far from the Tree: Josiah Royce’s Divergence from Joseph LeConte’s Evolutionary Totalism”
Mike Brady (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
“John Dewey and Eugenics”
Trevor Pearce (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“George Herbert Mead’s Debt to Biology: Evolution and Philosophy in the 1880s”
GIX-8. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts

1:30-4:30 p.m.

**Topic: Film and Philosophy**

Chair: Christopher Grau (Clemson University)

Speakers:
- Erin Bradfield (Sewanee–The University of the South)
  - “Mature Almodovar: Broken Embraces’ Formal Facility and Reflexivity”
- Mark Keitges (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
  - “Movement of the Mind: Sublime Presentation and Life and Nothing More”
- Julia Sushyska (University of Redlands)
  - “Tarkovsky’s Nostalghia: A Journey to the Home that Never Was”
- Elizabeth Ann Robinson (Boston University)
  - “Take Shelter: Anxiety and Confusion”
- Morgan Rempel (University of Southern Mississippi)
  - “The Big Lebowski as Epicurean Parable”

GIX-9. Society for the Philosophy of Creativity & Kristeva Circle

1:30-4:30 p.m.

**Topic: Julia Kristeva Today**

Chair: Sarah Hansen (Drexel University)

Speakers:
- Pleshette DeArmitt (University of Memphis)
  - “Kristeva’s Radical Revaluation of (Woman’s) Work”
- Noëlle McAfee (Emory University)
  - “The Interiorization of Mortality: the Unconscious and Kristeva’s Death Drive”
- Rebecca Tuvel (Vanderbilt University)
  - “The Oedible Kristeva: Finding Resources for An Animal Ethics in Colette”

**Saturday Evening, December 29**

**Group Session X – 7:00-10:00 p.m.**

**GX-1. Conference on Philosophical Societies**

7:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic: Ways of Philosophizing: Global Challenges**

Chair: G. John M. Abbarno (D’Youville College)
GX-2. **International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy**

7:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic: Virtue, Social Role, and the Self: Comparative Perspectives**

- **Chair:** Yang Xiao (Kenyon College)
- **Speakers:**
  - Sean Drysdale Walsh (University of Minnesota–Duluth)
  - Mathew A. Foust (Lander University)
  - Eirik Harris (Yonsei University-Korea)
  - Shirong Luo (Simmons College)

- "Mencius, Plato, and Aristotle’s Social Role Ethics"
- "Confucius and Emerson on the Virtue of Self-Reliance"
- "Xunzi’s Reformation Model of Moral Cultivation and the Worry of Fanaticism"
- "Why Are Confucius’s and Aristotle’s Lists of Virtues So Far Apart?"

GX-3. **Karl Jaspers Society of North America**

7:00-10:00 p.m.

**Topic: Jaspers and Hannah Arendt: Relevance of Their Ways of Life and Thinking – a Round Table Discussion**

- **Chair:** Lydia Voronina (Independent Scholar)
- **Speakers:**
  - Lydia Voronina (Independent Scholar)
  - Elena Bezzubova (University of California–Irvine)
  - Sigridur Thorgeirsdottir (University of Iceland)
  - Alina Feld (Hofstra University)

- "Jaspers/Arendt Correspondence: Shifting Identities and Allegiance to Transcendence"
- "Jaspers/Arendt/Heidegger: Existenz-Love-Dasein, Dialectics of the Philosophical and the Personal"
- "Hannah Arendt Today: the Meaning of the Political in the Post-Political Society"
GX-4. **North American Spinoza Society**

*7:00-10:00 p.m.*

**Topic: Spinoza and Free Will and Responsibility**

Chair: Ursula Goldenbaum (Emory University)
Speaker: Matt Homan (Emory University)

“Spinoza on a Supposed Right to Lie”

Commentator: Tom Cook (Rollins College)
Speaker: Christopher Ryszard Kluz (Emory University)


Commentator: Jacob Adler (University of Arkansas)
Speaker: Ericka Tucker (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)


Commentator: Matt Kisner (University of South Carolina)

GX-5. **Philosophers in Jesuit Education**

*7:00-9:00 p.m.*

Chair: John Greco (St. Louis University)
Speaker: David Gandolfo (Furman University)

“Economic Ethics: Inserting Reasoned Discourse into the National Dialogue: A Discussion”

(http://www.sju.edu/pje)

(This session will end at 9:00 p.m.)

GX-6. **Radical Philosophy Association**

*7:00-10:00 p.m.*

**Topic: Revolutionary Praxis and the Future of Humanity**

Chair: Brandon Absher (Indiana University Southeast)
Speakers: Brandon Absher (Indiana University Southeast)

“Protest, Dissent, and Occupation: Some Reflections on Revolutionary Strategy”

Juri Armanda (Independent Scholar) and Natalie Nenadic (University of Kentucky)

“Re-Branding Evil: The Breivik Massacre in Norway and the Bosnian Genocide on Trial in Europe”

Joan Braune (University of Kentucky)

“Erich Fromm’s Prophetic Messianism and the Future of Occupy”
GX-7. **Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy**  
*7:00-10:00 p.m.*  
**Topic:** Roundtable on Translating the Arabic Tradition  
Chair: Julie R. Klein (Villanova University)  
Speakers: Charles Butterworth (University of Maryland–College Park)  
Jon McGinnis (University of Missouri–St. Louis)  
Richard Taylor (Marquette University)  
(Preceded by Business Meeting)

GX-8. **Society for Neo-Kantianism**  
*7:00-10:00 p.m.*  
**Topic:** Continuity, Motion and Modality  
Chair: TBA  
Speakers: Catherine Diehl (Humboldt Universität zu Berlin)  
“The Law of Continuity in Hermann Cohen”  
Nicholas Stang (University of Miami)  
“Modality and Motion in Kant, Trendelenburg and Cohen”  
Abraham Stone (University of California–Santa Cruz)  
“Continuity, Direction, and the Possibility of Mathematics”

GX-9. **Society for the History of Political Philosophy**  
*7:00-10:00 p.m.*  
**Topic:** Philosophy and Nature  
Chair: Charlotte Thomas (Mercer University)  
Speakers: Alex Green (University of Toronto)  
“Parables of Natural Justice in Aristotle’s Ethics V”  
Claire Griffin (Pennsylvania State University)  
“Political Bodies and the Natural Cosmos in Plato’s Timaeus”  
Yehuda Halper (Tulane University)  
“Averroes on Knowing the Natural World”  
Sam Stoner (Tulane University)  
“The Nature of Genius in Kant’s Third Critique”

GX-10. **Society for the Study of Women Philosophers**  
*7:00-10:00 p.m.*  
**Topic:** Why Women Philosophers? 25 Years of SSWP  
Chair: TBA
Speakers: Cecile Tougas (North Carolina School of Science and Mathematics)
“The Time Had Come: The Founding of SSWP”

Elizabeth Minnich (Association of American Colleges and Universities)
“From Oxymoron to Sub-specialty: Why We Still Need SSWP”

Mary Ellen Waithe (Cleveland State University)
“From Cannon-fodder to Canon-formation: How do we get there from here?”

(Business meeting to follow)

**SUNDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30**

**GROUP SESSION XI – 9:00-11:00 A.M.**

**GXI-1. American Society for Value Inquiry**

9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.

**Topic: Presidential Address**

Chair: Thomas Magnell (Drew University)
Speakers: Robert Audi (Notre Dame University)
“Ethical Pluralism and the Problem of Incommensurability”

**GXII-2. Hume Society**

9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Miriam McCormick (University of Richmond)
Speakers: Colin Heydt (University of South Florida)
“Hume and His Contemporaries on the Moral Significance of Self”
Margaret Watkins (St. Vincent College)
“Private Virtue, Public Spirit: Hume’s Hopes for ‘Wise Laws and Institutions’”

**GROUP SESSION XII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**

**GXII-1. International Institute for Field-Being & Polanyi Society**

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

**Topic:**

Chair: Martin Moleski, SJ. (Canisius College)
Speakers: Charles Lowney (Washington and Lee University)
“Emergentist Ethics”
David Rutledge (Furman University)
“Merleau-Ponty on Tacit Knowing”

James Clement van Pelt (Yale University)
“Silent Echoes at the Gateless Gate: Ineffable Consciousness and Tacit Knowing at the Dimensionless Font of Experience”

Laura Weed (The College of Saint Rose)
“Information as Tacit and as Explicit”

**GXII-2. National Philosophical Counseling Association**

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Elliot Cohen (Indian River State College)
“Exercising Willpower in Logic-Based Therapy: A Kantian Approach”

Amy White (Ohio University–Zanesville)
“Philosophy as a Therapy for Combating ADHD and Information Overload in Generation Google”

**GXII-3. Society for the Study of Process Philosophies**

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: TBA

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

**SUNDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30**

**GROUP SESSION XIII – 1:30-4:30 P.M.**

**GXIII-1. International Society for Chinese Philosophy**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Neo-Confucianism and Confucian Humanism

Chair: Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawai’i–Manoa)

Speakers: Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawai’i–Manoa)
“Mind, Emotion and Nature in Zhu Xi’s New Doctrine of Zhong-He”

Han Demin (Beijing Language and Culture University)
“Naturalism in Confucian Humanism”

Ann A. Pang-White (The University of Scranton)
“Father Power, Mother Power, Zhu Xi on Family and Women: Challenges and Potentials”
Tzu-Ying Su (National Central University-Taiwan)
“The Wise (zhi 知) Enjoy the Water; Those Benevolent (ren 仁) Enjoy the Mountains”

Commentator: Daniel Meyer (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

(Group Sessions are those beginning with the letter G; all others are main sessions)

A
ABBARNO, G. John M. (D’Youville College)......GVII-1 Sat AM, GX-1 Sat PM
ABELSON, Ben (Graduate Center–City University of New York)... GIV-8 Fri PM
ABSER, Brandon (Indiana University Southeast)............... GX-6 Sat PM
ADLER, Jacob (University of Arkansas)..........GVI-5 Fri PM, GX-4 Sat PM
AHBEL-RAPPE, Sara (University of Michigan)............... GIII-3 Fri AM
AHLBERG, Jaime (University of Florida–Gainesville)...........GII-2 Fri AM
AHO, Kevin (Florida Gulf Coast University).......................GV-7 Fri PM
AHUMADA, Minerva (LaGuardia Community College)........... GIX-6 Sat PM
ALAVI, Roksana (University of Oklahoma)............................GII-8 Fri AM
ALCOFF, Linda Martín (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)............................Presidential Address Sat PM
ALDEA, Smaranda (Dartmouth College).........................VII-H Sun AM
ALLEN, Jonathan (Northern Michigan University).............GVIII-12 Sat AM
ALPHONSE, Noel (University of Miami).....................IV-I Sat AM
ALTMAN, Andrew (Georgia State University).....................GVIII-2 Sat AM
ANDERSON, Joseph M. (University of South Florida).........V-H Sat PM
ANDERSON, Luvell (University of Memphis)......................II-G Fri AM
ANDERSON, R. Lanier (Stanford University)..................GII-6 Fri AM
ANGLE, Stephen (Wesleyan University).....................I-C Thur PM
ANTON, Audrey (Western Kentucky University)....GI-2 Thur PM, GIX-6 Sat PM
ARANGO, Alejandro (Vanderbilt University).............II-G Fri AM
ARLIG, Andrew (Brooklyn College–City University of New York)
..................................................................................GIX-4 Sat PM
ARMANDA, Juri (Independent Scholar)..................GX-6 Sat PM
ARNESON, Richard (University of California–San Diego)........IV-C Sat AM
ARYAN, Marcus (University of Tampa).....................II-I Fri AM, III-G Fri PM
ASHWELL, Lauren (Bates College)......................V-C Sat PM
AUDI, Robert (Notre Dame University).....................GXI-1 Sun AM

B
BABICH, Babette (Fordham University)..................GVI-4 Fri PM
BAIRD, William (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) ... GIX-7 Sat PM
BAKER, Jennifer (College of Charleston).......................... GII-1 Fri AM
BALASHOV, Yuri (University of Georgia) .............. GII-7 Fri AM, IV-J Sat AM
BALTIMORE, Joseph (West Virginia University) .............. VII-D Sun AM
BALLANTYNE, Nathan (Fordham University) .............. VII-E Sun AM
BANDINI, Aude (Université de Montréal)............... GV-13 Fri PM
BANDYOPADHYAY, Prasanta S. (Montana State University) .... I-E Thur PM
BARNETTE, Kara (Gustavus Adolphus College) ............. GVIII-8 Sat AM
BARON, Marcia (Indiana University–Bloomington) ........... V-B Sat PM
BAYER, Benjamin J. (Loyola University–New Orleans) ....... VIII-H Sun PM
BEARDMAN, Stephanie (Columbia University) ............... VII-C Sun AM
BEAVER, David (University of Texas–Austin) ............. II-D Fri AM
BECKER, Christian (Pennsylvania State University) ....... GVIII-3 Sat AM
BEERE, Jonathan (Humboldt University-Berlin) ............. VI-D Sun AM
BEHRENS, Jeffrey M. (University of Wisconsin–Madison) .... VIII-G Sun PM
BENHABIB, Seyla (Yale University) ......................... II-E Fri AM
BENNETT, Chris (University of Sheffield-United Kingdom) .... GII-9 Fri AM
BERGOFFEN, Debra (George Mason University) ............ GV-9 Fri PM
BERNASCONI, Robert (Pennsylvania State University) ...... IV-A Sat AM
BERNIER, Paul (Université de Moncton-New Brunswick) ...... GIV-8 Fri PM
BERTRAND, Michael (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... VI-I Sun AM
BEZZUBOVA, Elena (University of California–Irvine) ......... GX-3 Sat PM
BHANDARY, Asha (University of Iowa) ....... GV-12 Fri PM, GVII-1 Sat AM
BIRT, Robert E. (Bowie State University) ...................... GIX-1 Sat PM
BLAKE, Susan (Indiana University) ...................... II-G Fri AM
BLITZER, Andrew (Georgetown University) .............. III-C Fri PM
BOBZIEN, Susanne (Yale University) ....................... VIII-E Sun PM
BOHANNAN, Brendan (University of Oregon) ............... GIX-2 Sat PM
BOHNET, Clayton G. (Fordham University) ............... GIX-6 Sat PM
BONARDI, Paolo (University of Geneva/Columbia University) .... V-F Sat PM
BONNAUD, Xavier (Ecole Nationale Superieure D'Architecture de Paris La Villette) ............... GVIII-5 Sat AM
BORIA, Damon (Indiana University Northwest) ............. GIX-3 Sat PM
BORN, Ryan (Georgia State University–Atlanta) ............. GIV-4 Sat AM
BOTTES, Tina (University of North Carolina–Charlotte) ...... IV-D Sat AM
BOURKE, James (Fordham University) .................. GVIII-12 Sat AM
BOWEN, Adam (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) .... GII-7 Fri AM
BOWMAN, Margaret (University of Utah) ..................... VI-F Sun AM
BOYLES, Deron (Georgia State University) ................... GII-5 Fri AM
BRADDOCK, Matthew (University of Tennessee–Martin) ....... III-H Fri PM
BRADFIELD, Erin (Sewanee-The University of the South) ...... GIX-8 Sat PM
BRADY, Michael (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
........................................................................................................... GIV-2 Fri PM, GIX-7 Sat PM
BRAFMAN, Yonatan (Columbia University)......................... GII-3 Fri AM
BragagnoLO, Celina (Elon University) .................. II-G Fri AM
BRAUNE, Joan (University of Kentucky) .................. GX-6 Sat PM
BRENNAN, Samantha (University of Western Ontario) .... GIV-2 Fri PM
BRENT, Michael (Columbia University) .................. VIII-G Sun PM
BREWER-DAVIS, Nina (Auburn University) .................. GIV-3 Fri PM
BRISON, Susan (Darmouth College) .................. III-F Fri PM, GV-12 Fri PM
BROWN, Joshua D. (University of Houston) .......... V-F Sat PM
BROWNLEE, Kimberley (University of Warwick-United Kingdom)
........................................................................................................... GVII-4 Sat AM
BROWNSTEIN, Michael S. (New Jersey Institute of Technology)
........................................................................................................... GIV-2 Fri PM, VIII-G Sun PM
BRUNSON, Daniel (Morgan State University) ............ VIII-H Sun PM
BUENO, Otávio (University of Miami) .............. GI-3 Thur PM, GV-11 Fri PM
BUTLER, Samuel (Elon University) .............. I-D Thur PM
BUTLER, Travis (Iowa State University) .............. II-F Fri AM
BUTORAC, David (Fatih University-Istanbul)........ GIII-3 Fri AM
BUTTERWORTH, Charles (University of Maryland–College Park)
........................................................................................................... GX-7 Sat AM

C

CAPRARU, Mihaea D. I. (Syracuse University) ............... VIII-F Sun PM
CARD, Claudia (University of Wisconsin–Madison) .......... GV-12 Fri PM
CARLSON, Matthew (Indiana University) ............... III-J Fri PM
CARLSSON, Ulrika (Yale University) .............. GV-9 Fri PM
CARRERAS, Anthony (Lone Star College–Kingwood) .... IV-G Sat AM
CARROLL, Thomas D. (Xing Wei College-Shanghai) .......... GIX-5 Sat PM
CHAI, David (University of Toronto)
........................................................................... GII-10 Fri AM, GIV-1 Fri PM, GVIII-7 Sat AM, GIX-5 Sat PM
CHAKRAVARTTY, Anjan (University of Notre Dame) ....... GI-3 Thur PM
CHAN, Ho Mun (City University of Hong Kong) .......... II-H Fri AM
CHANTER, Tina (DePaul University) ......................... GV-9 Fri PM
CHENG-GUARDAJO, Luis (Stanford University) ............ VIII-G Sun PM
CHENG, Chung-ying (University of Hawai‘i–Manoa) .... G XIII-1 Sun PM
CHERNISS, Joshua (Harvard University) ................. GVIII-12 Sat AM
CHOE, Chong Un (Georgetown University) .... GV-10 Fri PM, GIX-6 Sat PM
CHOI, Naomi (University of Alabama) ................. GVIII-12 Sat AM
CHONG, Kim-Chong (Hong Kong University of Science and Technology) ................................................................. GV-1 Fri PM
CHRISTIANO, Thomas (University of Arizona) ......................... VIII-B Sun PM
CHRISTMAN, John (Pennsylvania State University) .................. GV-12 Fri PM
CHUDNOFF, Elijah (University of Miami) ................................ VII-A Sun AM
CHURCHILL, Robert (George Washington University) ........... GVII-1 Sat AM
CICCARIELLO-MAHER, George (Drexel University) ............... VIII-A Sun PM
CLARK, Maudemarie (University of California–Riverside) ....... GII-6 Fri AM
CLINE, Erin (Georgetown University) .................................. I-C Thur PM, GV-1 Fri PM
COHEN, Elliot (Indian River State College) ........................... GXII-2 Sun AM
COHEN, Jonathan (University of California–San Diego) .......... IV-B Sat AM
COKELET, Bradford (University of Miami) ........................ GVI-2 Fri PM, VI-F Sun AM
COLAPIETRO, Vincent (Pennsylvania State University) ........... GVII-2 Sat AM
COLAPINTO, Andres (Stony Brook University-State University of New York) ......................................................... VII-H Sun AM
CONCEPCIÓN, David W. (Ball State University) ...................... GVI-10 Fri PM
CONNOLLY, Timothy (East Stroudsburg University) ............... GIV-1 Fri PM
COOK, Tom (Rollins College) ............................................. GVI-5 Fri PM, GX-4 Sat PM
CORNELL, Nicolas (Harvard University) ............................... I-B Thur PM
CORTISSOZ MORA, Carlos A. (Binghamton University–State University of New York) ........................................... GIV-5 Fri PM
COSERU, Christian (College of Charleston) ......................... GIII-2 Fri AM, GV-6 Fri PM
COWART, Monica (Merrimack College) ................................. I-B Thur PM
COYLE, Daniel (Birmingham-Southern College) ..................... VI-G Sun AM
CRAIG, Lindsay (University of Idaho) .................................. IV-E Sat AM
CRESCENZO, Daniel (University of Georgia) ........................ GVI-3 Fri PM
CROSS, Richard (University of Notre Dame) .......................... GIX-4 Sat PM
CUMMISKEY, David (Bates College) ................................... VI-J Sun AM
CURETON, Adam (University of Tennessee–Knoxville) .......... GII-1 Fri AM
CURRY, Tommy (Texas A&M University) ............................... GIV-4 Fri PM
CURTAIN, Tyler (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... IV-E Sat AM

D
DANIEL, Stephen H. (Texas A&M University) ............................ GV-5 Fri PM
DARBY, Derrick (University of Kansas) .................................. IV-D Sat AM
DARDEN, Lindley (University of Maryland–College Park) ....... IV-E Sat AM
DASGUPTA, Shamik (Princeton University) ............................ V-A Sat PM
DAUKAS, Nancy (Guilford College) ..................................... GVIII-13 Sat AM
DAVION, Victoria (University of Georgia) .............................. GVI-3 Fri PM
DAVIS, Gordon (Carleton University-Ontario) ....................... GI-2 Thur PM
DeARMITT, Pleshette (University of Memphis) ...................... GIX-9 Sat PM
de BRES, Helena (Wellesley College) .................................. VIII-B Sun PM
de HARVEN, Vanessa (University of Massachusetts–Amherst).... II-F Fri AM
DE ROSA, Raffaella (Rutgers University–Newark)........................ I-A Thur PM
DEATON, Matt (Squire Family Foundation)............................ III-I Fri AM
DEEM, Michael J. (University of Notre Dame).......................... III-H Fri PM
DELMAS, Candice (Clemson University)............................... I-D Thur PM
DEMETRIOU, Daniel (University of Minnesota–Morris).............. III-H Fri PM
DEMUN, Han (Beijing Language and Culture University)........... GXIII-1 Sun PM
DENIS, Lara (Agnes Scott College)........................................ V-G Sat PM
DESAUTELS, Peggy (University of Dayton).............................. V-C Sat PM
DEVREUX, Daniel (University of Virginia)............................. VIII-D Sun PM
deVRIES, Willem (University of New Hampshire)......................... GV-13 Fri PM, VII-D Sun AM
DI BUCCHIANICO, Marilena (San Francisco State University).... I-E Thur PM
DIAZ, Ana (Montana State University–Billings)......................... VIII-F Sun PM
DIEHL, Catherine (Humboldt Universität zu Berlin).................... GX-8 Sat PM
DIELEMAN, Susan (Ryerson University).................................. GIV-7 Fri PM
DIXON Jr., Thomas S. (University of California–Davis)............. VI-I Sun AM
DOBBS-WEINSTEIN, Idit (Vanderbilt University)...................... GVI-6 Fri PM
DODD, James (New School for Social Research)....................... GVI-4 Fri PM
DOGGETT, Tyler (University of Vermont)................................. III-A Fri PM
DONAHUE, Amy (Kennesaw University).................................... VI-G Sun AM
DORNBURGER de BELLESILES, Lilith C. (Oxford University)...GI-2 Sun AM
DRAZ, Marie (DePaul University)........................................... GVIII-13 Sat AM
DUARTE, Shane (Stanford University)..................................... GVIII-9 Sat AM
DUCHARMEL, Alain (University of Western Ontario).................. GVI-3 Fri PM
DUCKWORTH, Douglas (East Tennessee State University).............. GIV-8 Fri PM, GV-6 Fri PM

E

EAKE, Erin (University of Maryland–College Park)..................... VI-B Sun AM
EATON, Anne (University of Illinois–Chicago)......................... V-D Sat PM
EDMUNDSON, William (Georgia State University)...................... GII-9 Fri AM
EFFA, Benjamine (McGill University)..................................... GVIII-5 Sat AM
EGAN, Andy (Rutgers University–New Brunswick)..................... III-A Fri PM
ELDEN, Stuart (Durham University–United Kingdom).................. IV-A Sat AM
ELGIN, Catherine (Harvard University)................................. IV-F Sat AM
ELGIN, Samuel (Yale University).......................................... II-B Fri AM
ELLIOTT, Kevin (University of South Carolina)....................... II-A Fri AM
EMERICK, R. Dillon (Palomar College)................................. GIV-6 Fri PM, GV-10 Fri PM
EMMETT, Kelin A. (University of Toronto)............................... V-G Sat PM
ENGELHARDT, Jeff (Siena Heights University)......................... VII-G Sun AM
ENOCH, David (Hebrew University of Jerusalem)....................... VII-C Sun AM
EPSTEIN, Jeffrey (Stony Brook University-State University of New York) ................................................................. I-D Thur PM
EPTING, Shane (University of North Texas) ......................... GV-4 Fri PM
ETLIN, David (University of Groeningen-Netherlands) .......... V-F Sat PM

F

FABER, Kenneth (Vanderbilt University) ......................................... GIV-8 Fri PM
FAGIANO, Mark (Emory University) ........................................... VI-E Fri PM
FAHMY, Melissa Seymour (University of Georgia)............. GII-8 Fri AM, V-G Sat PM
FALLIS, Don (University of Arizona) ....................................... IV-H Sat AM
FAVIA, Amanda (Macaulay Honors College) ......................... II-I Fri AM
FELD, Alina (Hofstra University) ........................................... GX-3 Sat PM
FIELDS, Keota (University of Massachusetts–Dartmouth) ..... GV-5 Fri PM
FIGDOR, Carrie (University of Iowa) ................................. III-B Fri PM, IV-K Sat AM
FILEVA, Iskra N. (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ....... VIII-G Sun PM
FINNIGAN, Bronwyn (Marquette University) ......................... GIV-8 Fri PM, GVI-2 Fri PM, VI-G Sun AM
FLANAGAN, Jessica (Princeton University) ......................... GVIII-3 Sat AM
FLECK, Leonard (Michigan State University) ......................... II-I Fri AM
FLETCHER, Logan (University of Maryland–College Park) ..... VII-D Sun AM
FLYNN, Jeffrey (Fordham University) .................................. II-E Fri AM
FLYNN, Thomas (Emory University) ..................................... GV-9 Fri PM
FORRY, Joan Grassbaugh (Linfield College) ......................... GIV-2 Fri PM
FORST, Rainer (Goethe University-Germany) ...................... II-E Fri AM
FÖRSTER, Eckart (Johns Hopkins University) ....................... GV-8 Fri PM
FOSL, Peter S. (Transylvania University) .............................. GV-11 Fri PM
FOSTER, Susanne (Marquette University) ............................. GVI-10 Fri PM
FOUST, Mathew (Lander University) ...................................... I-C Thur PM, GVIII-8 Sat AM, GX-2 Sat PM
FRANK, Jill L. (Georgia State University) ......................... GVIII-11 Sat AM
FRANK, Lily (Queens College–City University of New York) .... II-I Fri AM
FREEMAN, Samuel (University of Pennsylvania) .................. V-B Sat PM
FREIMAN, Christopher (College of William and Mary) ....... I-D Thur PM
FRENCH, Steven (University of Leeds-United Kingdom) ........ GI-3 Thur PM, V-A Sat PM
FRIEDMAN, Marilyn (Vanderbilt University) ......................... VI-C Sun AM
FRIQUEGNON, Marie (William Paterson University) ................. GIII-2 Fri AM, GIV-8 Fri PM
FRITSCH, Matthias (Concordia University-Montreal) .......... II-E Fri AM
FROST, Gloria R. (University of St. Thomas) ................. GVI-6 Fri PM
FULLER, Timothy (Ohio State University) ......................... VII-D Sun AM
FUNKE, Michael B. (University of South Florida) ................. GIX-6 Sat PM
GALLEGOS de CASTILLO, Lori (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) .................................................................II-G Fri AM
GANDOLFO, David (Furman University) ................................. GX-5 Sat PM
GARSON, James W. (University of Houston) ......................... V-F Sat PM
GARTHOFF, Jon (University of Tennessee) ......................... GV-10 Fri PM
GARTNER, Corinne (Wellesley College) ........................... VIII-D Sun PM
GASDAGLIS, Katherine (Columbia University) ......................... VI-H Sun AM
GAUS, Gerald (University of Arizona) ................................. IV-C Sat AM
GEANEY, Jane (University of Richmond) .............................. GII-10 Fri AM
GEISZ, Steve (University of Tampa) ................................. GV-1 Fri PM
GILLIES, Thony (Rutgers University–New Brunswick) ...............II-D Fri AM
GINES, Kathryn (Pennsylvania State University) .................... GIX-1 Sat PM
GINGERA, Adam (University of Manitoba) ......................... VII-H Sun AM
GLOWIENKA, Edward (Emory University) ......................... V-H Sat PM
GODLOVE, Terry (Hofstra University) ................................. V-G Sat PM
GOFF, David (Austin-East High School-Knoxville) ............... GIII-1 Fri AM
GOLDENBAUM, Ursula (Emory University) ..................... GVI-5 Fri PM, GX-4 Sat PM
GOLDMAN, Alvin (Rutgers University–New Brunswick) .......... II-C Fri AM
GOLDSCHMIDT, Tyron (University of Notre Dame) ............... GII-3 Fri AM
GOLDSTEIN, Adam (Iona College) ................................. III-E Fri PM
GONTIER, Elodie (University of Paris–Sorbonne) ............... GVI-9 Fri PM
GONZALEZ de ALLEN, Gertrude James (Spelman College) ......... GII-5 Fri AM
GOODNICK, Elizabeth (University of Notre Dame) ............. III-I Fri PM
GORDON, Jane (Temple University) ............................... VIII-A Sun PM
GORDON, Lewis (Temple University) ........................... VIII-A Sun PM
GOSWICK, Dana (University of Melbourne-Australia) ............... VI-I Sun AM
GRAU, Christopher (Clemson University) .......................... GIX-8 Sat PM
GRAY, David Miguel (Vanderbilt University) ................. VI-E Sun AM
GRAY, Kevin W. (American University of Sharjah-United Arab Emirates) .......... I-D Thur PM
GRECO, John (St. Louis University) ................................. GX-5 Sat PM
GREEN, Alex (University of Toronto) ................................. GX-9 Sat PM
GREEN, J. Everet (Mercy College) ................................. GIV-4 Fri PM
GREENE, Nathifa (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) ............... VIII-A Sun PM
GREENWOOD, Mark (Montana State University) ................. I-E Thur PM
GREY, John (Boston University) ................................. GV-5 Fri PM
GRIFFIN, Claire (Pennsylvania State University) ................. GX-9 Sat PM
GRUEN, Lori (Wesleyan University) ............................... GV-12 Fri PM
GUERRERO, Laura (University of New Mexico) .......................... GV-6 Fri PM
GUILMETTE, Lauren (Emory University) .................................. GV-4 Fri PM

H

HABIB, Allen (University of Calgary-Alberta) .................................. III-G Fri PM
HALES, Steven (Bloomsburg University) .................................. VI-A Sun AM
HALL, Melinda (Vanderbilt University) .................................. III-H Fri PM
HALPER, Yehuda (Tulane University) .................................. GX-9 Sat PM
HAN, Sungil (Yale University) ........................................ VII-G Sun AM
HANNA, Robert (University of Colorado–Boulder) .............................................. GV-8 Fri PM, VIII-C Sun PM
HANSEN, Sarah (Drexel University) ........................................ GIX-9 Sat PM
HANSON, Karen (University of Minnesota) ................................ IV-K Sat AM
HARDIMON, Michael (University of California–San Diego) ........ III-D Fri PM
HARE, Caspar (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ........ V-E Sat PM
HARMAN, Elizabeth (Princeton University) .......................... III-A Fri PM
HARPER, Aaron (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) .. GIV-2 Fri PM
HARRIS, Eirik (Yonsei University–Korea) ................ GIX-5 Sat PM, GX-2 Sat PM
HARTENBURG, Gary J. (St. Katherine College) ................ II-F Fri AM
HASLANGER, Sally (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .............................. Introduction, Presidential Address Sat PM

HASS, Marjorie (Austin College) ........................................ IV-K Sat AM
HAWTHORNE, John (Oxford University) ................................ VI-B Sun AM
HEDAHL, Marcus (Georgetown University) ............................... GIV-6 Fri PM
HEIT, Helmut (Technische Universität Berlin/Institute for Advanced Study–Princeton) .................................................. GII-6 Fri AM
HENEY, Diana (University of Toronto) ........................................ GV-2 Fri PM
HENTRUP, Miles (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) .......................... II-E Fri AM
HERMAN, Barbara (University of California–Los Angeles) ........... V-J Sat PM
HEYDT, Colin (University of South Florida) ............................... GXI-2 Sun AM
HILL, Jr., Thomas E. (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......................................... GVII-4 Sat AM

HOMAN, Matt (Emory University) ........................................ GX-4 Sat PM
HONGLADAROM, Soraj (Chulalongkorn University-Thailand) .... GIII-2 Fri AM
HORN, Joshua (University of Kentucky) ................................... V-H Sat PM
HOSKINS, Zachary (University of Minnesota) ........................... GVIII-2 Sat AM
HOUSTON, Joshua (Vanderbilt University) .............................. GVII-3 Sat AM
HUANG, Yong (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania) .................. GV-1 Fri PM, GVI-2 Fri PM
HUBLER, J. Noel (Lebanon Valley College) .............................. GIV-5 Fri PM
HURKA, Thomas (University of Toronto) .............................. V-E Sat AM
J

JACOBS, Matthew (Pennsylvania State University) .......................................................... GI-1 Thur PM, GVIII-8 Sat AM
JAMES, Aaron (University of California–Irvine) ......................................................... VIII-B Sun PM
JAMES, Steven (University of Texas–Austin) ............................................................... VIII-F Sun PM
JARVIS, Benjamin W. (Queen’s University Belfast–United Kingdom) ......................... IV-I Sat AM
JENNINGS, Carolyn Dicey (Boston University) ......................................................... VIII-F Sun PM
JENSEN, Kipton (Emory University) ........................................................................... GI-1 Thur PM
JESHION, Robin (University of Southern California) ........................................... VI-B Sun AM
JIMENEZ, Marta (Emory University) ........................................................................... VI-D Sun AM
JOHNSON, Charles (Molinari Institute) ...................................................................... GIV-3 Fri PM
JONAS, Silvia (Van Leer Jerusalem Institute) ......................................................... GII-3 Fri AM

K

KAAG, John (University of Massachusetts–Lowell) ........................................... GI-1 Thur PM
KAHN, Leonard (U.S. Air Force Academy) ................................................................. II-I Fri AM
KAHN, Samuel (Stanford University) .......................................................................... V-G Sat PM
KAMM, Frances (Harvard University) ......................................................................... V-E Sat AM
KANG, Hye-Ryoung (University of Nevada–Reno) ........................................... GVIII-1 Sat AM
KAUFMAN, Alexander (University of Georgia) ...................................................... GVIII-12 Sat AM
KAUFMAN, Dan (University of Colorado–Boulder) ........................................ VIII-C Sun PM
KEGLEY, Jacquelyn (California State University–Bakersfield) ................................ GVI-8 Fri PM
KEITGES, Mark (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) .................................. GI-8 Sat PM
KELLEHER, J. Paul (University of Wisconsin) .......................................................... II-I Fri AM
KELLY, Erin (Tufts University) .................................................................................. V-B Sat PM
KHOO, Justin (Yale University) ................................................................................. II-D Fri AM
KIM, David (University of San Francisco) .................................................................... IV-L Sat AM
KIM, Jongmyung (The Academy of Korean Studies-Korea) ................................... GIII-2 Fri AM
KIND, Amy (Claremont McKenna College) .............................................................. IV-K Sat AM, VIII-F Sun PM
KING, Peter (University of Toronto) ........................................................................... GI-X-4 Sat PM
KISNER, Matt (University of South Carolina) ......................................................... GVI-5 Fri PM, GX-4 Sat PM
KLEIN, Julie (Villanova University) ............................................................................ GX-7 Sat PM
KLEIN, Shawn E. (Rockford College) ................................................................. GII-1 Fri AM, GVIII-3 Sat AM
KLINCEWICZ, Michal (Graduate Center–City University of New York) ................. GII-7 Fri AM

KLUZ, Christopher Ryszard (Emory University) ........................................... GVI-5 Fri PM, GX-4 Sat PM
KOLTONSKI, Daniel (Amherst College) ..................................................................... III-G Fri PM
KOOPMAN, Colin (University of Oregon) .............................................................. VIII-H Sun PM
KOPEC, Matthew (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ..................................... III-D Fri PM
KORALUS, Philipp (Washington University–St. Louis) .................................. GV-6 Fri PM
KORMAN, Daniel (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) ........................... IV-J Sat AM
KORSGAARD, Christine (Harvard University) ........................................ V-B Sat PM
KOSCH, Michelle (Cornell University) ............................................... GV-8 Fri PM
KRAKAUER, Barak (University of California–Santa Cruz) ............ VII-G Sun AM
KREIDER, A.J. (Miami Dade College) ............................................ IV-F Sat AM
KRIEGEL, Uriah (University of Arizona) ..................................... VII-A Sun AM
KRUEGER, Joel (University of Copenhagen-Denmark) ............... IV-L Sat AM
KUKLOK, Allison (Harvard University) ........................................... III-I Fri PM
KURDYS, Joshua (Pennsylvania State University) ....................... VII-F Sun AM

L

LACK, John (Vanderbilt University) ........................................... GII-5 Fri AM, VI-J Sun AM
LADYMAN, James (University of Bristol-United Kingdom) ............ GI-3 Thur PM, V-A Sat PM
LaFOLLETTE, Hugh (University of South Florida–St. Petersburg) .................. GVII-4 Sat AM
LAKE, Danielle (Grand Valley State University) ......................... GVII-3 Sat AM
LAM, Barry (Vassar College) .................................................... VI-A Sun AM
LANCE, Mark (Georgetown University) ..................................... III-C Fri PM, V-C Sat PM
LANE, Robert (University of West Georgia) ................................ GV-2 Fri PM
LANGE, Marc (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......... III-E Fri PM
LEE, Emily S. (California State University–Fullerton) .............. GVIII-1 Sat AM
LEE, Flora (Cornell University) .................................................... VIII-H Sun PM
LEE, Ian B. (University of Toronto) ............................................. GIV-6 Fri PM
LEE, Song-Chong (University of Findlay) ................................ GI-2 Thur PM
LEFKOWICZ, David (University of Richmond) .......................... GVII-4 Sat AM
LEININGER, Lisa (University of Maryland) ............................... GII-7 Fri AM
LEUNISSEN, Mariska (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .............. VIII-D Sun PM
LEWINSOHN, Jed (New York University) ................................. GII-3 Fri AM
LIBERTO, Hallie (University of Connecticut) ............................. GII-8 Fri AM
LIGHT, Andrew (George Mason University) ............................. GVI-8 Fri PM
LIM, Joungbin (Elizabeth City State University) ....................... IV-J Sat AM
LINDSAY, Jamie (Graduate Center–City University of New York) ... III-I Fri PM
LISTER, Matthew (University of Denver) .................................. GVII-2 Sat AM
LITLAND, Jon Erling (University of Oslo) ................................. VI-I Sun AM
LIU, Cathay (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......... I-A Thur PM
LLOYD, Elisabeth (Indiana University) ........................................ II-A Fri AM
LOCKWOOD, Thornton (Quinnipiac University) ......................... II-F Fri AM
LOEW, Christian (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ....... I-E Thur PM
LOGAN, Katherine (University of Oregon) ............................... GIV-7 Fri PM
LONG, David (California State University–Sacramento) ............... GVIII-6 Sat AM
LONG, R. James (Fairfield University) ........................................ GVI-6 Fri PM
LONG, Roderick T. (Auburn University) ..................................... GIV-3 Fri PM
LONGTIN HANSEN, Rebecca (Emory University) ............................................. GVI-1 Fri PM, VII-F Sun AM
LORD, Errol (Princeton University) .......................................................... III-H Fri PM
LOUDEN, Robert B. (University of Southern Maine) ............................................. GV-8 Fri PM, IV-A Sat AM
LOWNEY, Charles (Washington and Lee University) ........................................... GXII-1 Sun AM
LU, Rachel (Cornell University) ....................................................................... IV-G Sat AM
LU-ADLER, Huaping (Georgetown University) ........................................... VI-H Sun AM
LUO, Shirong (Simmons College) ....................................................................... GX-2 Sat PM
LYNCH, Michael (University of Connecticut) ........................................... GIII-4 Fri AM
LYONS, David (Boston University) ..................................................................... IV-D Sat AM
LYSAKER, John (Emory University) .......................................................... GVIII-4 Sat AM

MACCLELLAN, Joel (Washington State University) ........................................ III-A Fri PM
MACHERY, Edouard (University of Pittsburgh) ................................................. II-H Fri AM
MACKENZIE, Jordan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......... V-G Sat PM
MACKENZIE, Matthew (Colorado State University) .................................. IV-L Sat AM
MADER, Mary Beth (University of Memphis) ............................................. GII-4 Fri AM
MADVA, Alexander (Columbia University) ........................................ III-G Fri AM
MAGLO, Koffi (University of Cincinnati) .................................................. III-D Fri PM
MAGNELL, Thomas (Drew University) .................................................. GXI-1 Sun AM
MAHONEY, Jon (Kansas State University) ........................................... VIII-B Sun PM
MAITRA, Ishani (University of Michigan) ............................................................ I-B Thur PM
MALDONADO-TORRES, Nelson (Rutgers University) ................................... VIII-A Sun PM
MANLEY, David (University of Michigan) ........................................................ VI-B Sun AM
MANN, Anika (Morgan State University) ................................................ GIV-4 Fri PM
MANNINEN, Bertha (Arizona State University) ........................................ GVI-8 Fri PM
MARASOIU, Andrei (Georgia State University) ....................................... GII-7 Fri AM
MARSHALL, Colin (University of Melbourne-Australia) .............................. I-A Thur PM, III-I Fri PM
MARSHALL, Eugene (Wellesley College) .................................................... I-A Thur PM
MARSHALL, Mason (Pepperdine University) ............................................. II-F Fri AM
MARTÍ, Genoveva (University of Barcelona-Spain) .................................... III-B Fri PM
MARUSIC, Berislav (Brandeis University) ................................................... VI-F Sun AM
MASSECAR, Aaron (University of Guelph-Ontario) .................................. GV-2 Fri PM
MATHESON, Jonathan D. (University of North Florida) ................................ IV-I Sat AM
MATTINGLY, James (Georgetown University) ........................................... I-E Thur PM
MATTINGLY, Wesley (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) ........................................... GVIII-5 Sat AM
MAY, Larry (Vanderbilt University) .......................................................... GVIII-2 Sat AM

M
MAYMIND, Ilana (Ohio State University) ...........................................GI-2 Thur PM
MAYORGA, Rosa (Miami-Dade College) ......................................... GV-2 Fri PM
McAFEE, Noëlle (Emory University) ......................................... GIX-9 Sat PM
McALLISTER, Derek (Biola University) ........................................ III-J Fri PM
McBRIDE, William (Purdue University/FISP President) ............... GX-1 Sat PM
McCLENDON III, John H. (Michigan State University) ................... GIV-4 Fri PM
McCLINTOCK, Sara (Emory University) ...................................... GIV-8 Fri PM
McCORMICK, Miriam (University of Richmond) ....................... GXI-2 Sun AM
McELHOES, Dave (University of Maryland–College Park) ............ V-F Sat PM
McGIBBON, Jon (University of Missouri–St. Louis) ....................... GX-7 Sat PM
McKAUGHAN, Daniel J. (Boston College) ...................................... III-J Fri PM
McKEEN, Catherine (Skidmore College) ..................................... GIV-5 Fri PM
McKENNA, Erin (Pacific Lutheran University) ............................. GVI-8 Fri PM
McLEAR, Colin (Cornell University) ............................................ VI-H Sun AM
McLEOD, Alexus (University of Dayton) ...................................... GII-10 Fri AM
McMAHAN, Jeff (Rutgers University–New Brunswick) ............... V-E Sat PM
McPARTLAND, Keith (Williams College) ..................................... VI-D Sun AM
MEHTA, Neil (University of Michigan) ........................................... VIII-F Sun PM
MEIER, Sarah (Emory University) ................................................ III-I Fri PM
MEYER, Daniel (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) ....... GXIII-1 Sun PM
MEYERS, Diana T. (Loyola University–Chicago) ......................... GV-12 Fri PM
MICHALSKI, Krzysztof (Boston University/University of Warsaw) ................................................................................ GVI-4 Fri PM
MILAM, Per (University of California–San Diego) ....................... VIII-H Sun PM
MILLER, Jason (Rice University) ................................................. GVIII-11 Sat AM
MILLS, Charles (Northwestern University) .................................... IV-D Sat AM
MINNICH, Elizabeth (Association of American Colleges and Universities) .................................................................................. GVI-10 Fri PM
MIRZA, Omar (St. Cloud State University) ................................. II-H Fri AM
MITCHELL, Andrew (Emory University) ....................................... GVI-1 Fri PM
MITCHELL, Sandra (University of Pittsburgh) ............................. III-E Fri PM
MOLENSKI, SJ., Martin (Canisius College) ............................... GVII-6 Sat AM, GXII-1 Sun AM
MONDY, Brian (University of Minnesota–Rochester) ................... IV-I Sat AM
MONTANO, Barbara Gail (College of Staten Island–City University of New York) .......................................................... V-D Sat PM
MONTMINY, Martin (University of Oklahoma) ............................ IV-H Sat AM
MOORE, Margaret (University of Leeds–United Kingdom) .......... GII-4 Sat PM
MOORMAN, Jere (Polanyi Society) .............................................. GVIII-6 Sat AM
MORAR, Nicolae (University of Oregon) ..................................... GIX-2 Sat PM
MORRIS, Jeremy (Ohio University) .............................................. IV-I Sat AM
MORTENSEN, Kaija (St. Norbert College) ................................... IV-H Sat AM
MOSELEY, Daniel (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill).....III-H Fri PM
MOSES, Greg (Austin Community College) ............................. GIX-1 Sat PM
MOSS, Gregory Scott (University of Georgia) .......................... GII-7 Fri AM
MOSTELLER, Tim (California Baptist University) ....................... III-J Fri PM
MUGG, Joshua (York University-Ontario) ............................... II-G Fri AM
MURPHY, Daniel (Saint Peter’s College) .................. GVI-10 Fri PM, V-G Sat PM

NA’AMAN, Oded (Harvard University) .............................. IV-G Sat AM
NAGEL, Jennifer (University of Toronto) ............................. VI-A Sun AM
NARVESON, Jan (University of Waterloo-Ontario) ................... III-G Fri PM
NEMLI, Osman (Emory University) ............................... GIX-3 Sat PM
NIELSON, Don (Akita International University-Japan) .......................... GVI-3 Fri PM, GIX-2 Sat PM

NOLT, John (University of Tennessee) .............................. GVI-3 Fri PM
NOONE, Timothy (Catholic University of America) ............ GVI-6 Fri PM
NUCCETELLI, Susana (St. Cloud State University) ........ II-H Fri AM, III-J Fri PM
NYE, Howard L. M. (University of Alberta) ..................... IV-G Sat AM

OHANESON, Heather C. (Columbia University) ............. GVI-9 Fri PM
OKRENT, Mark (Bates College) ............................... III-C Fri PM
OLEN, Peter (Shandong University) ................................. GV-13 Fri PM
OLSON, Alan M. (Boston University) ............................. GVI-4 Fri PM
OTT, Paul (Loyola University–Chicago) ............................. GV-4 Fri PM

PAASCH, J.T. (Georgetown University) ............................ GIX-4 Sat PM
PANG-WHITE, Ann A. (The University of Scranton) ..........GXIII-1 Sun PM
PAPPAS, Gregory (Texas A&M University) .................. GII-5 Fri AM, GIV-7 Fri PM
PARK, Bradley (St. Mary’s College–Maryland) ............. IV-L Sat AM
PATTERSON, Douglas (University of Pittsburgh) .............. GIII-4 Fri AM
PAUTZ, Adam (University of Texas–Austin) .................... IV-B Sat AM
PEARCE, Trevor (University of Wisconsin–Madison) .......................... GIX-7 Sat PM
PELSER, Adam (Wake Forest University) .......................... VIII-G Sun PM
PENA-GUZMAN, David M. (Emory University)...... GV-4 Fri PM, GVI-10 Fri PM
PENCE, Charles H. (University of Notre Dame).............................IV-E Sat AM
PENDLEBURY, Michael (North Carolina State University).......III-H Fri PM
PENNER, Sydney F. (Oxford University)........................................V-H Sat PM
PERESSINI, Anthony F. (Marquette University)............... VIII-F Sun PM
PERINA, Mickaella (University of Massachusetts–Boston).... GVIII-1 Sat AM
PERSAD, Govind (Stanford University).............................................II-I Fri AM
PHILLIPS, John (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)....... GIII-3 Fri AM
PICKEL, Bryan (University of Barcelona-Spain).........................VII-G Sun AM
PLUNKETT, David (Dartmouth College)........................................VII-C Sun AM
PLUTYNISKI, Anya (University of Utah)...............................III-E Fri PM
POE, Danielle (University of Dayton)..............................GV-3 Fri PM
POWELL, Lewis (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)..................VIII-C Sun PM
PRESBEY, Gail (University of Detroit–Mercy)..................GV-3 Fri PM, GIX-1 Sat PM, GX-1 Sat PM
PRICE, Luke (University College London).................................GIV-6 Fri PM
PRIMUS, Kristin (Princeton University).....................................V-H Sat PM
PROTEVI, John (Louisiana State University)..........................III-C Fri PM

Q

QUEST, Matthew (Independent Scholar).............................GIV-3 Fri PM
QUONG, Jonathan (University of Manchester–United Kingdom)......... IV-C Sat AM

R

RAFFMAN, Diana (University of Toronto)............................... VIII-E Sun PM
RAINBOLT, George (Georgia State University)..............................III-F Fri PM
RAMSEY, John (University of California–Riverside)................ GIV-1 Fri PM
RAND, Sebastian (Georgia State University)...........................IV-A Sat AM
RAWLS, Christina (Duquesne University)....................................III-I Fri PM
RAY, Greg (University of Florida)........................................GIII-4 Fri AM
RAYO, Agustin (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)........ VIII-E Sun PM
REED, Baron (Northwestern University)......................................II-F Fri AM
REMPLE, Morgan (University of Southern Mississippi)...........GIX-8 Sat PM
RICHARD, Mark (Harvard University).................................III-B Fri PM
RICHARDS, Robert (University of Chicago)..........................VII-B Sun AM
RICHARDSON, Carolyn (College of the Holy Cross)................IV-H Sat AM
RICHARDSON, Henry (Georgetown University)..................VI-C Sun AM
RICHARDSON, John (New York University)............................GII-6 Fri AM
ROBINSON, Cavin (LeMoyne College).................................VI-E Sun AM
ROBINSON, Elizabeth Ann (Boston University)...................GIX-8 Sat PM
ROBINSON, Robert (University of Georgia) ...................................... VII-B Sun AM
ROCHELEAU, Jordy (Austin Peay State University) ........................ GV-3 Fri PM
ROCKMORE, Tom (Duquesne University) ............................. GVI-4 Fri PM
ROHLF, Michael (Catholic University) ................................. VI-H Sun AM
ROHWER, Yasha (University of Missouri–Columbia) ............. II-G Fri AM
ROSENFELD, Adam (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) .......................................................... VII-E Sun AM
ROUSH, Sherri (University of California–Berkeley) .............. II-C Fri AM
ROZEBOOM, Grant (Stanford University) ............................ VI-F Sun AM
RUTLEDGE, David (Furman University) ............................... GXII-1 Sun AM

S
SACHS, Carl B. (University of Alabama–Birmingham) ....... GV-13 Fri PM
SAINSBURY, Mark (University of Texas–Austin) ............... VI-B Sun AM
SANDERS, Mark (University of North Carolina–Charlotte) ..... GVII-3 Sat AM
SANTONI, Ronald E. (Denison University) .......................... GIX-3 Sat PM
SARKISSIAN, Hagop (Baruch College City University of New York) .......................................................... II-H Fri AM
SAYRE-McCORD, Geoffrey (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......................................................... GIII-1 Fri AM, IV-C Sat AM
SCHACHT, Richard (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) . GII-6 Fri AM
SCHaffer, Jonathan (Rutgers University–New Brunswick) .... V-A Sat PM
SCHELLENBERG, Susanna (Rutgers University–New Brunswick) .......................................................... IV-B Sat AM
SCHILLER, Aaron (Santa Clara University) .......................... GV-13 Fri PM
SCHMITTER, Amy (University of Alberta) .......................... I-A Thur PM
SCHOUTEN, Gina (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ........ GII-2 Fri AM
SCHRANZ, Mark T. (University of Toronto) ........................... III-G Fri PM
SCHULTZ, Lucy (University of Oregon) .............................. GVIII-5 Sat AM
SEAY, Gary (Medgar Evers College–City University of New York) .... III-J Fri PM
SEBO, Jeff (New York University) ........................................ VII-E Sun AM
SEELEY, William (Bates College) ........................................ V-D Sat PM
SEOK, Bongrae (Alvernia University) .............................. GIV-1 Fri PM
SHAHEEN, Jonathan (University of Michigan) ................. GIII-3 Fri AM
SHATZ, David (Yeshiva University) ................................. GII-3 Fri AM
SHIVER, Anthony (University of Georgia) ........................ GII-7 Fri AM
SHOCKEY, Matt (Indiana University South Bend) ............... V-H Sat PM
SHOPE, Robert K. (University of Massachusetts–Boston) .... II-C Fri AM
SIDERITS, Mark (Seoul National University–Korea) .......... GIII-2 Fri AM, GIV-8 Fri PM
SIEWERT, Charles (Rice University) ....................................... VII-A Sun AM
SIGLER, Mary (Arizona State University) ............................ GII-9 Fri AM
SILVA, Grant (Marquette University) .................................................. I-D Thur PM
SIMPSON, Celena (University of Oregon) ........................................ VI-E Sun AM
SIMUS, Jason (Texas A & M University–Commerce) .................................................. GVI-3 Fri PM, GIX-2 Sat PM
SLAKTER, David (University of Liverpool-United Kingdom) ....... GVI-6 Sat PM
SLATER, James W. (Independent Scholar)............................... GIX-6 Sun AM
SLINGERLAND, Edward (University of British Columbia)........ GV-1 Fri PM
SMITH, Andrew F. (Drexel University) ......................................... DVII-3 Sat AM
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THE METAPHYSICS BEHIND LEIBNIZ’S CHANGE OF MIND ON PRIVATION (V-H)

JOSEPH M. ANDERSON, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

Leibniz changed his mind about the usefulness of appeals to privations for removing God’s agency for human sins. Leibniz’s early rejection of the usefulness of privations provides insight into his metaphysics at the time. The crude account of the causation of privations found in Leibniz’s early career (an account very different than that offered by Aquinas, for instance) tells of his early, reductionistic metaphysics. In rejecting the usefulness of privations, Leibniz employs accounts of sensation and appetite that echo those of Hobbes. Later, Leibniz responded to a contemporary who employed essentially the same argument against the theodical usefulness of privations as Leibniz had in the mid-1670s. Leibniz’s criticisms of this contemporary illustrates how completely Leibniz’s position had reversed and provides insight into Leibniz’s revised metaphysical positions which allow him to reclaim the theodical usefulness of appeals to privations.

SIMPSON’S PARADOX AND CAUSALITY (I-E)

PRAKANTA BANDYOPADHYAY AND MARK GREENWOOD, MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

There are three types of questions associated with Simpson’s Paradox (SP): (i) Why is SP paradoxical? (ii) What are the conditions for its emergence? and (iii) What should be done about SP? Pertaining to the first two questions, we argue that SP has nothing to do with causality. However, causality plays a role in addressing the third question. Our research shows that one needs to divorce the question of the paradox itself and the reason it seems paradoxical from the question of what to do about it. By providing a logic-based account for the paradox, we critique and produce a counterexample to Spirtes, Glymour, and Scheines’ causal account of SP. We compare their approach to ours by means of two sets of experiments that show SP is not causal.

BELIEVING AT WILL AND THE WILL TO BELIEVE THE TRUTH (VIII-H)

BENJAMIN J. BAYER, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY–NEW ORLEANS

I defend of a form of doxastic voluntarism, by criticizing an argument advanced recently by Pamela Hieronymi against the possibility of believing at will. Conceiving of believing at will as believing immediately in response to practical reasons, Hieronymi claims that no form of control we exercise over our beliefs measures up to this standard. While there is a form of control Hieronymi thinks we exercise over our beliefs, “evaluative control,”
she claims it does not give us the power to believe at will because it consists in the consideration of reasons “constitutive” of believing that are not, at the same time, practical reasons. I argue that evaluative control does amount to the ability to believe at will because there is a practical reason whose consideration also constitutes our believing: the value of believing the truth. The form of voluntarism I defend is consistent with a robust evidentialism.

**Parfit’s All or None Argument and the Development of Meta-normative Hybridism (VIII-G)**

**Jeffrey M. Behrends, University of Wisconsin–Madison**

Derek Parfit (2011) has recently argued that desires cannot generate reasons for actions on the grounds that, if they did, it would be possible for an agent to have decisive reason to do something extremely disvaluable for its own sake. I argue that, once we attend to an often-overlooked possible meta-normative position, it is clear that that conditional is false. I call the position meta-normative Hybridism. In the remainder of the paper, I argue that Hybridism holds a significant *prima facie* advantage over its two competitors, and so is deserving of more serious philosophical attention.

**Semantic Relationalism, Belief Reports, and Contradiction (V-F)**

**Paolo Bonardi, University of Geneva/Columbia University**

In his book Semantic Relationalism, Kit Fine propounds an original and sophisticated semantic theory called “semantic relationalism” or “relational semantics,” whose peculiarity is the enrichment of Kaplan’s, Salmon’s, and Soames’ Russellian semantics—more specifically the Russellian content of simple sentences and the truth-conditions of belief reports—with coordination, “the very strongest relation of synonymy or being semantically the same.” The goal of this paper is to shed light on an undesired result of semantic relationalism: a report like “Tom believes that Cicero is bald and Tom does not believe that Tully is bald” is correct according to the provided truth-conditions of belief reports, but its semantic content is (very likely) a contradiction. As I shall argue in the paper, even the resort to the notion of token proposition, introduced by Fine in his recent article “Comments on Scott Soames’ ‘Coordination Problems’,” does not suffice to eliminate the contradiction; moreover, it raises new difficulties.

**Automatic Agency (VIII-G)**

**Michael S. Brownstein, New Jersey Institute of Technology**

Both David Velleman (2008) and Peter Railton (2009) have recently argued that “overlearned” actions—those skilled, automatic actions exemplified by expert athletes, master craftsmen, experienced automobile drivers, etc.—can be full-fledged examples of agency, despite the fact that individuals in these contexts do not explicitly choose or endorse or identify with their
reasons for acting. I agree with Velleman and Railton that overlearned actions are agential, but disagree that this is because automatic actors can, if asked, report what they are doing, as both Velleman and Railton suggest. I propose an alternative way of understanding the kind of agency involved in skilled automatic action.

**STAINED GLASS AS A MODEL FOR CONSCIOUSNESS (VIII-F)**

*Mihnea D. I. Capraru, Syracuse University*

Contemporary phenomenal externalists are motivated to a large extent by the transparency of experience and by the related doctrine of representationalism. On their own, however, transparency and representationalism do not suffice to establish externalism. Given this and given how little we know about consciousness, we should hesitate to dismiss phenomenal internalism, a view that has been shared by many generations of competent philosophers. Rather, we should keep both our options open, internalism and externalism. It is hard, however, to see how to keep open the internalist option, for although transparency and representationalism have not yet established externalism, they have indeed made it quite intuitive. Internalism, by comparison, comes across as an antiquated doctrine, ridden with difficulties and fraught with skeptical consequences. To address this problem I propose not a theory but a model, a vivid way of thinking about phenomenal consciousness; I propose the Stained Glass model of consciousness not only to make internalism intuitive in the age of transparency, but also to show how to resist the many recent anti-internalist arguments. In particular, I argue that phenomenal internalism needn’t be epistemically worrisome, that it is compatible at once with transparency, representationalism, and content externalism, and that although it requires an error theory, this is largely harmless.

**NATURALISM AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE WEB OF BELIEF: QUINE ON THE EPISTEMOLOGY OF LOGIC (III-J)**

*Matthew Carlson, Indiana University*

This paper is an examination of Quine’s views on the epistemology of logic. According to Quine’s influential holistic account, logic is central in the “web of belief” that comprises our overall theory of the world. Because of this, revisions to logic would have devastating systematic consequences, and this explains why we are loath to make such revisions. According to Quine’s mature thoroughly naturalized view, however, logic is simply obvious, and this explains why we do not typically consider revising it. While Quine presents this naturalized view as a way to make good on his earlier metaphor of centrality in a web of belief, he does seem to recognize that it does not capture the full force of the metaphor. In light of this, I try to explain how Quine can allow that our overall scientific theory has systematic explanatory structure in a way that is consistent with his naturalistic strictures.
REPAIRING HUSSELR’S PHENOMENOLOGY OF FACTS (VII-H)

ANDRES COLAPINTO, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY–STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

In the *Logical Investigations*, Husserl considers the following linguistic puzzle. In sentence (2), what is the reference of the pronoun “this”? (1) Rain has at last set in. (2) This will delight the farmers. Husserl answers his question through an analysis of “nominalization,” wherein the synthetic accomplishment of a judgment—characterized by a “many-rayed” intentionality—is intended in the “single ray” of a nominal act. The outcome is a “state of affairs” (Sachverhalt), which for Husserl is synonymous with “fact” (Tatsache). In this paper, I question the implication that the constitution of “facts” as intentional objects is adequately described by Husserl’s model. This model does not account for the intentionality peculiar to facts, wherein they are not just intended objects, but are intended as “irreal” intentional objects. I argue that a more thorough phenomenology of facts requires an account of an intentional transition from “real” to “irreal” objectivities.

EARLY CHINESE COSMOLOGY AND THE GREEK TENDENCY TOWARDS METAPHYSICS (VI-G)

DANIEL COYLE, BIRMINGHAM-SOUTHERN COLLEGE

This paper excavates the basic ideas of early Chinese cosmology while exploring Greek philosophical assumptions and sensibilities about the nature of “opposites” in order to distinguish naturalistic yinyang processes from Western metaphysical dichotomies and abstractions. Both early Chinese and Greek cosmologists begin their speculation on opposites as fundamental expressions of diurnal and seasonal procession, yet Chinese philosophy does not exhibit the Indo-European tendency towards dualistic ontology. Only after the encounter with Buddhist metaphysics does Chinese thought seem to slowly move away from its practical sensibility. One of the most obvious examples of applied yinyang cosmology occurs in the Chinese strategic thought of the late Warring States period. I use both well-known and relatively unknown recently excavated texts of strategic philosophy to argue that yin and yang are all-inclusive, mutually entailing cosmological processes constitutive of a continuum, but not metaphysical principles or essences in the strict sense. Atavistic Indo-European conceptions of opposition perpetuate what Nietzsche would call the metaphysicians’ prejudice against correlativity (see, for example, the seminal Pythagorean Table of Opposites). The tendency towards metaphysics robs yin and yang of their processual nature. Despite the macro-cosmological status of the enduring terms in the Chinese tradition, yin and yang remain radically praxiological in their application.

DEHORNING THE DARWINIAN DILEMMA FOR REALIST THEORIES OF VALUE (III-H)

MICHAEL J. DEEM, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

My aim in this paper is to critically examine Sharon Street’s “Darwinian dilemma” for normative realism (DD). I challenge Street’s claim that
the normative realist cannot adequately accommodate the hypothesis that evolutionary forces have had a tremendous effect on our evaluative experience. The goal is to provide the realist with a strategy for dehorning DD. I begin by pointing out a number of scientifically implausible commitments DD presupposes and proposing plausible alternatives that avoid the problems that beset Street’s own adaptive link account (ALA). I argue that Street’s claim that evaluative judgments served as functionally adaptive mechanisms for our ancestors is implausible on scientific grounds, and that she fails to adequately distinguish behavioral and evaluative tendencies in humans and other complex animals from human evaluative judgments. Since ALA entails these claims, ALA should be revised or rejected. I propose a revised form of ALA that is more plausible and can be adopted by the realist to account for widespread evaluative tendencies in humans. I then turn to the positive task of constructing an outline for an evolutionary-behavioral theory that the realist can utilize to explain the connection between evolutionary forces and the independent normative facts he or she posits. I also argue that Street’s objection that the realist’s account may fail to meet a parsimony criterion is a red herring. The upshot of my paper is that it shows that DD does not pose a threat to normative realism and that evolutionary-behavioral theory underdetermines the choice between realism and antirealism in metaethics.

POLITICAL RESISTANCE AS A SAMARITAN DUTY (I-D)

CANDICE DELMAS, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY

In this paper I argue for a moral duty to disobey unjust law and resist injustice. To do so, I use a normative principle that has recently been put forth as a ground for the moral duty to obey the law: the Samaritan duty, which requires us to aid persons in peril or dire need when we can do so at reasonable cost to ourselves. I am concerned with the implications of the Samaritan duty where injustice imperils people. I construct an argument for a duty to partake in the effort to resist severe injustice as one’s fair share of the communal Samaritan chore of rescuing others from the perils of life in the state.

FUNDAMENTAL MORAL DISAGREEMENT, ANTIREALISM, AND HONOR (III-H)

DANIEL DEMETRIOU, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA–MORRIS

John Doris and Alexandra Plakias (2008) use the Nisbett-Cohen (1996) account of honor to suggest that honor-based norms fundamentally disagree with Western liberal ones. The fundamental divide between Western liberals and Southern honor-adherents is an important piece of evidence in their empirical case for moral antirealism. In this essay, I point out some empirical inadequacies with the Nisbett-Cohen account. These are noteworthy points in themselves, considering the Nisbett-Cohen account’s influence on empirically informed philosophers. Furthermore, I suggest another account of honor that actually strengthens Doris and Plakias’ case for fundamental moral disagreement. Nonetheless, I argue that the persistent appeal of the honor ethos isn’t best explained by
antirealism, but pluralism—a “diffusing explanation” on behalf of realism that itself has considerable empirical support.

**UPWARD GROUNDING (VI-I)**

*Thomas S. Dixon, Jr., University of California–Davis*

Realists about universals face a question about grounding. Are things the way they are because they exemplify the universals they do? Or do they exemplify those universals because they are the way they are? Take Randy Quaid. You can say that Quaid is in debt because Quaid exemplifies indebtedness, or you can say that Quaid exemplifies indebtedness because Quaid is in debt. In what follows, I argue that there is reason to prefer the latter over the former. Specifically, I adapt an argument originally put forward by Benjamin Schnieder to the effect that particularized properties are grounded in the way things are rather than vice versa.

**A PROBLEM WITH THE WIDE-SCOPE VIEW OF THE HYPOTHETICAL IMPERATIVE (V-G)**

*Kelin A. Emmett, University of Toronto*

In this paper I argue that the wide-scope interpretation of Kant’s Hypothetical Imperative is unable to account for differences of practical normativity between hypothetical and categorical imperatives. According to the wide-scope view the Hypothetical Imperative is an unconditional rational requirement requiring an agent to either take the means to an end that she wills or to give up that end. Accordingly, it does not allow us to detach practical conclusions based solely on information about an agent’s ends. This is supposed to avoid the possibility of detaching requirements to take immoral means. I argue that this interpretation collapses to a distinction between unconditional and conditional practical necessity and loses hold of what distinguishes hypothetical imperatives from categorical ones. An important implication is that we are left unable to account for differences of moral culpability in rational failing.

**THE PROBLEM OF SECOND EFFECTS (VII-G)**

*Jeff Engelhardt, Siena Heights University*

This paper raises “The Problem of Second Effects” for the growing literature on “metaphysical grounding.” Just as independent causal chains that converge on a common effect overdetermine that effect, independent chains of determination—be they determination causal or non-causal—that converge on a common effect should also be overdeterminative. If so, then just as a theory is implausible if it posits systematic causal overdetermination, a theory is implausible if it posits systematic causal/non-causal overdetermination. Theories that posit systematic causal/non-causal overdetermination, however, are legion: all “higher-level” causal effects result from both causal and non-causal chains of determination.
PROMOTING THE HAPPINESS OF OTHERS: KANTIAN BENEFICENCE AND POSITIVE PSYCHOLOGY (V-G)

MELISSA SEYMOUR FAHMY, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

The Kantian duty of beneficence is a duty to promote others’ happiness. Kant qualifies this duty by stipulating that agents are to promote the happiness of others in accordance with their concept of happiness, not one’s own. In this paper I will argue that this qualification is without adequate justification and actually impedes the promotion of the obligatory end. The latter claim is supported by the recent research findings of positive psychologists. This research suggests that achieving our ends (getting what we want) rarely results in a substantial boost in subjective well-being (happiness). If we can jettison the restriction to promote others’ happiness only as they understand it, then we open the door to promoting others’ happiness in ways that reflect our most informed understanding of human psychology.

DAVIDSON WAS ALMOST RIGHT ABOUT LYING (IV-H)

DON FALLIS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

Donald Davidson once suggested that a liar “must intend to represent himself as believing what he does not.” In this paper, I argue that Davidson was mistaken about lying in two important respects. However, I claim that Davidson’s main insight yields a very attractive definition of lying. Namely, you lie if you say something that you do not believe and you intend to represent yourself as believing that thing. Moreover, I show that this Davidsonian definition handles correctly the counter-examples that undercut three prominent definitions of lying: viz., the traditional intend-to-deceive definition, Thomas Carson’s definition, and the Author’s definition.

THE SOURCES OF PASSIVITY: WHY, IF AT ALL, IS CHARACTER NOT UP TO US? (VIII-G)

ISKRA N. FILEVA, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA—CHAPEL HILL

Character, albeit in one sense our own, is not—or not entirely—up to us. We cannot simply choose what characters to have. But why? What is the source of this passivity? And can we be responsible for having the characters we have if we cannot choose those characters? Bernard Williams traces the ground of passivity to psychological facts. Sophia Moreau counters that if character is conceived of in this way, we won’t be able explain why it seems intuitive that we are responsible for our characters. She proceeds to give an alternative account of the ground of passivity: passivity as based on normative reasons. I will argue that Moreau’s alternative fails. Yet, her objection is one which must be taken seriously. Williams’s account does not offer adequate resource to explain why we are responsible for our characters. I will suggest a response to Moreau’s concern.
AN ARGUMENT FOR OPEN BORDERS (I-D)
CHRISTOPHER FREIMAN, COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY

Immigration restriction and deportation are *prima facie* wrongs for at least some of the same reasons: both entail liberty violations and coercive harms. Consequently, there is a general presumption against both. I argue that the principles most often invoked to defeat this presumption and thus to justify immigration restriction also justify the deportation of at least some citizens and nationals. Given that deporting these citizens and nationals on the basis of the proposed principles is intuitively impermissible, we ought to reject these principles and, in turn, immigration restriction.

THE NATURAL SEMANTICS OF VAGUENESS (V-F)
JAMES W. GARSON AND JOSHUA D. BROWN, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Timothy Williamson advances a number of powerful arguments against supervaluationist treatments of vagueness. This paper presents a new semantics for vagueness (called natural semantics) that faces none of the problems put forward by Williamson. Natural semantics (NS) resembles the possible worlds semantics for intuitionistic logic. It validates Excluded Middle and all other classical principles. It solves the sorites problem along the lines of supervaluations, but it makes no distinction between truth and supertruth. Therefore, Williamson’s complaint that supertruth is not disquotational is irrelevant in NS. In NS, precisification is partial, so one is not forced to accept the counter-intuitive claim (affirmed within supervaluation semantics) that there is a number of hairs $n$ such that $n$ of them qualifies one as bald, but having one more qualifies one as not bald. NS is also well equipped to solve problems of second-order vagueness.

THE REFUTATION OF IDEALISM AND THE PERCEPTION OF TIME (VI-H)
KATHERINE GASDAGLIS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Here I argue for a new interpretation of Kant’s Refutation of “problematic idealism,” or Cartesian skepticism about the external world. On my reading, the success of the Refutation turns on Kant’s views about the perception of time. Roughly, a subject’s representation of herself through time (an ability assumed by Descartes) involves the representation of time itself. Time, however, cannot be directly perceived. So, for Kant, the perception of time constitutively depends on the successful integration of various temporal universals, which represent temporal properties of objects (e.g., the temporal property of persistence). The insight of the Refutation is the recognition that the correct perception of time depends on the correct employment of these perceptual universals, which in turn entails the existence of objects in part represented by them. Therefore, the correct representation of oneself through time entails the existence of external objects.
THE SCOPE OF THE GLOBAL INSTITUTIONAL ORDER: CAN POGGE SURVIVE COHEN’S CRITIQUE OF RAWLS? (I-D)

KEVIN W. GRAY, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH-UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

In this paper, I combine G.A. Cohen’s critique of John Rawls, found in its fullest form in Rescuing Justice and Equality, with Thomas Pogge’s attempt, in Realizing Rawls, to expand the scope of the original position. Cohen argues that the boundary Rawls wishes to impose between the public and private cannot be coherently maintained in the application of the difference principle. I argue that if this claim is true, than Pogge’s attempt to expand the scope of Rawls’ Theory of Justice to the international arena is actually much more radical than Pogge intended. Not only do we need to worry about the justice of institutions on the international law, but we now need to worry about the justice of individual actions inside a system of global justice.

SHARING NATURE, BY PARTS AND BY TURNS: SUSTAINABILITY AND THE CURRENCY OF INTERGENERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE (III-G)

ALLEN HABIB, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY-ALBERTA

The idea of distributive justice has its purchase in the notion of fair sharing. In the words of David Miller, it is the “fair sharing of the benefits and burdens of society.” But this notion of sharing has gone largely unexamined. We speak of sharing all things as if they were simple monotonic goods. But goods aren’t like that, and a theory of sharing IS needed to explain how it is we share, fairly or otherwise, more complex goods. I can’t here outline an entire theory, but I would like to introduce sufficient apparatus to make clear a distinction that I think has some real impact on our thinking about matters of fair distribution, the distinction between sharing something by parts and sharing it by turns. I apply the distinction to the discussion of the currency of intergenerational environmental justice at the heart of the sustainability debate.

SEEING, KNOWING, AND EXPLAINING IN PLATO’S REPUBLIC (II-F)

GARY J. HARTENBURG, ST. KATHERINE COLLEGE

This paper explains what Plato’s account of knowledge in the Republic is and why it does not amount to saying that knowledge is akin to a kind of mental gaze or intuition. Given the extensive parallels that Plato draws between sight and knowledge, this misconception is understandable, but saying that knowledge is a kind of direct mental perception does not capture the basis of Plato’s analogy between knowledge and sense perception. The paper shows that Plato thinks sense perception is deficient because it does not enable a person to explain what it is he or she perceives. Knowledge, on the other hand, does not suffer from this deficiency because it enables those who know to explain what it is they know. The true similarity between sight and knowledge is that they are both “powers” (dynamεισ) that are set over a particular kind of object and involve different means of producing their distinct states.
SWAMPING AND THE PRIMARY VALUE PROBLEM (IV-I)

BENJAMIN W. JARVIS, QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY BELFAST-UNITED KINGDOM

In this paper, we argue that the so-called “Primary” Value Problem for knowledge is more easily solved than is widely appreciated. We contend that paying careful attention to the fact that beliefs are ongoing states reveals that there is no difficulty in apprehending how knowledge might ordinarily have more epistemic value than mere true belief; any account of knowledge that entails that knowledge is a species of justified true belief is able to overcome this challenge.

ATTENTION: IT’S UNDER CONTROL (VIII-F)

CAROLYN DICEY JENNINGS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

The absence of a common understanding of attention plagues current research on the topic. This paper presents an understanding of attention that fits its normal use as well as its many associated phenomena: attention is a process of mental selection that is within the control of the subject. The paper attempts to demarcate processes that are currently within the subject’s control from those that are not within the subject’s control through phenomenal and neural evidence. Although each of these individual areas of inquiry has problems, they can be combined through the method of regression to make the markers of attention more precise.

CAN POSITIVE DUTIES BE DERIVED FROM THE FORMULA OF UNIVERSAL LAW? (V-G)

SAMUEL KAHN, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

According to the standard reading of Kant’s Formula of Universal Law (FUL), positive duties can be derived from FUL. In this paper, I argue that the standard reading does not work. In the first section, I articulate FUL and what I mean by a positive duty. In the second section, I set out an intuitive version of the standard reading of FUL and argue that it does not work. In the third section, I set out a more rigorous version of the standard reading of FUL and argue that even this more rigorous version does not work.

NATURALIZING THE WILL AND THE WILL TO BELIEVE: WILLIAM JAMES’S MORAL PSYCHOLOGY OF FREEDOM (VIII-H)

COLIN KOOPMAN, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

William James’s ethics of the will to believe rests on his psychological conception of the will, but few commentators have read James’s normative moral philosophy alongside his naturalized moral psychology. Many of the most searing criticisms of James’s will to believe doctrine have neglected the ways in which his naturalistic psychology of will directly informs his doxastic voluntarism. Following a brief introduction (§I), I offer a reading of James’s discussion of the will from The Principles of Psychology that foregrounds his idea of willfulness as functional and non-entitative self-transformation (§II). I then show how James’s naturalistic psychology should inform a better understanding of his defense of the will to believe.
as an effort in the transformative improvement of the habitual self (§III).
The result is a naturalized conception of the will and the will to believe,
a conception that foregrounds James’s understanding of freedom as a
natural process of self-transformation.

FUNDAMENTALITY AND COUNTERFACTUALS (VII-G)

BARAK KRAKAUER, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SANTA CRUZ

In this paper, I sketch an analysis of fundamentality. After a brief discussion
of two other proposals (one of which treats dependence as a primitive
notion, and the other treats it as a supervenience relation), I suggest that
for one proposition to be more fundamental than another is for those
propositions to stand in a kind of counterfactual dependence relation.
Specifically, to say that the truth of some proposition (or collection of facts)
A is more fundamental than the truth of some other proposition B is to say
that if either A or B were false, then it would be B that would be false. This
approach could ultimately be developed in such a way as to provide an
analysis of the relation of metaphysical dependence.

‘STRINGS, PHYSIES, AND HOGS BRISTLES’: OBJECTIVE KINDS IN LOCKE (III-I)

ALLISON KUKLOK, HARVARD UNIVERSITY

According to many, Locke argues that there is no nonarbitrary sorting of
substances on the basis of their hidden, internal properties, in which case
there is no room for objective kinds in Locke’s metaphysics. However,
the passage marshaled in favor of this reading has been misunderstood.
Sorting is arbitrary only if there is no standard in light of which a nonarbitrary
sorting of substances can be determined. As I argue, the passage in
question is in fact silent on whether there are any such standards. In fact,
when we sort artifacts, Locke claims we defer to the “artificer’s” idea as
such a standard. I argue that this line of thought reveals how Locke thinks
about the case of natural substances. The standards in light of which there
is a nonarbitrary sorting of natural substances are divine ideas in terms of
which God, the “all-wise Architect,” designs “natural things,” which Locke
suggestively describes as His “Workmanship.”

ARE WE ESSENTIALLY ANIMALS? (IV-J)

JOUNGBIN LIM, ELIZABETH CITY STATE UNIVERSITY

The claim that we are animals essentially has been most forcefully
defended by Eric Olson. There are two ways Olson argues that we are
animals essentially. One is that psychological continuity is irrelevant to our
persistence. The other one is that our being members of a biological kind
(e.g., animal) implies our having entirely biological persistence conditions.
This paper critically examines these two ways. After reconstructing
Olson’s view of personal identity, I argue that his reasoning for the first
way actually undermines the claim that we are animals essentially. I then
argue that the kind-relative view of persistence conditions is not a viable
option for Olson.
EXPRESSING GROUNDING (VI-I)

**Jon Erling Litland, University of Oslo**

Grounding has recently become a central topic in metaphysics. There are two opposing views on how grounding-claims should be expressed. Some treat grounding as a sentential operator; others treat grounding as relation between facts. I develop a third way of expressing grounding-claims and I argue that the central notion for a logic of ground is that of a metaphysically explanatory argument. I show how to introduce grounding-operators equipped with both introduction and elimination rules and derive an answer to the question: “If Φ is grounded in ψ, what grounds that Φ is grounded in ψ?”

SELF-DECEPTION AND SELF-MISLEADING (IV-H)

**David Livingstone Smith, University of New England**

This paper sets out a novel analysis of self-deception. Intentionalists about self-deception model it on interpersonal deception, arguing that it has the purpose of inducing misbelief. Standard versions of non-intentionalism deny that it aims at misbelief, and describe self-deception as an accidental consequence of certain psychological biases. However, non-intentionalism cannot convincingly account for the success-aptness of self-deception, and is unable to distinguish self-deception from other forms of self-induced, motivated misbelief that I call “self-misleading.” I argue that one can use the notion of subintentional purposiveness, as analyzed in Millikan’s theory of proper functions, to give an account of self-deception as purposive but non-intentional. This account avoids the paradoxes of irrationality that menace reflexive versions of intentionalism, is empirically plausible, and can account for the success-aptness of self-deception and thereby distinguish self-deception from self-misleading.

CAUSATION: FORWARD AND BACKWARD (I-E)

**Christian Loew, University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill**

We can control the future in a way in which we cannot control the past. For example, there is a lot I can do if I change my mind about my next vacation. I can book a new hotel, change plane reservations, etc. But I am helpless if I want to now change some detail about the hotel in Switzerland I stayed in five years ago. So the conventional view is that causation is time-asymmetric. Causes always or typically precede their effects. Yet, this time-asymmetric view of causation is incompatible with fundamental physics. Causes intuitively make their effects happen. If a cause were different, its effects would (typically) be different too. However, the fundamental laws of physics are time-symmetric, i.e., they treat what we call the future and the past exactly alike. The present state of the universe and the laws governing it determine the past just as much as they determine the future. A different present would entail not only a different future but would also entail a different past. In this paper, I defend an account of causation that fits with fundamental physics. I argue that causation is bi-directional.
Causation widely occurs both in the forward and the backward direction of time. I show how this view of causation follows from the time-symmetry of the fundamental laws and describe its character and how it relates to our situation as agents.

**Visualizing The Order of Things: Foucault’s Archaeology and the Classical Quadrilateral (VII-F)**

*Rebecca Longtin Hansen, Emory University*

My paper illustrates the function of visual language in Foucault’s archaeological method by providing a detailed analysis of the Classical Quadrilateral in *The Order of Things*. In doing so, I argue that Foucault enacts a new visual language that can overcome the dangers of the visual practices that he critiques, despite the concerns of scholars like Martin Jay.

**Is Love Blind? Reflections on Partiality and Good Epistemic Practice (IV-G)**

*Rachel Lu, Cornell University*

Is it possible to be properly disposed towards our friends without violating good epistemic norms? Sarah Stroud has recently argued that it may not be possible, since friendship seems to require that we sympathize with our friends and, if at all possible, think well of them. While accepting significant portions of Stroud’s analysis, I argue that a more nuanced epistemology, coupled with a more complete understanding of friendship, can allow us to preserve both friendship and sound epistemic practice.

**The Structure of Implicit Social Attitudes (II-G)**

*Alexander Madva, Columbia University*

Prevailing views of implicit attitudes understand them on the model of belief. I argue that implicit attitudes are members of a different psychological kind altogether because they are insensitive to the logical form of an agent’s thoughts and perceptions. A state is sensitive to logical form only if it is sensitive to the logical constituents of the content of other states (e.g., operators like negation and conditional). I explain sensitivity to logical form and argue that it is a necessary condition for belief. Then I appeal to two areas of research to show that implicit attitudes fail spectacularly to satisfy this condition. I offer an alternative account of how implicit attitudes respond to other mental states. Specifically, I propose that implicit attitudes are sensitive to relations of mere spatiotemporal contiguity in thought and perception, i.e., the spatial and temporal orders in which people think, see, or hear things.

**The Mind as an Idea in Spinoza’s Short Treatise (III-I)**

*Colin Marshall, University of Melbourne-Australia*

In the *Short Treatise*, as in the *Ethics*, Spinoza claims that the mind is the idea of the body, but does not claim, as he does in the *Ethics*, that the mind and body are “one and the same thing.” My topic is Spinoza’s argument for the former claim in the *ST*. I contend that this argument is so obviously
invalid that it does not show us why Spinoza accepts the radically counter-intuitive claim. This presents the interpretive challenge of explaining why Spinoza does accept the claim. I propose that Spinoza comes to accept that the mind is an idea on the basis of independent arguments against the commonsense distinction between minds and ideas. I conclude by sketching implications of these conclusions for how we should understand Spinoza’s mature views.

Is Plato’s Republic Aristocratic? (II-F)

MASON MARSHALL, PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY

On one of the most common readings of the Republic, Plato means for us to agree with Socrates and his interlocutors that their aristocratic city is the just polis. For convenience, I call this the political reading. It is no wonder, of course, that this interpretation is as common as it is, since it might be one of the most natural interpretations of the Republic. I argue, though, that it faces a serious problem: Socrates and his interlocutors’ argument for the justice of the aristocratic city has certain deficits, and—more important—there is considerable evidence that Plato was aware of at least one of these deficits and that he thought it was obvious enough for his readers to see.

Is There a Well-Founded Solution to the Generality Problem? (IV-I)

JONATHAN D. MATHESON, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH FLORIDA

The generality problem is a notorious problem for reliabilism about epistemic justification. Juan Comesana has recently proposed a novel solution to the generality problem. Comesana claims that any solution to the basing problem, any account of doxastic justification, will provide the resources to solve the generality problem. In particular, Comesana claims that Conee and Feldman’s principle of well-foundedness provides the reliabilists with the resources to solve the generality problem. In what follows I will explain Comesana’s proposed solution and show how it fails to provide the reliabilist with a viable solution to the generality problem.

Did Gettier Give Us Justified True Belief?: What Does Smith Really Believe? (III-J)

DEREK MCALLISTER, BIOLA UNIVERSITY

This paper focuses on the referential, propositional content of a belief, namely, Smith’s in Case 1 of Gettier’s seminal paper, and offers a challenge to Gettier’s counterexample against justified true belief as being sufficient for knowledge. I first distinguish between particular beliefs and general beliefs and argue that Smith’s final belief—“the man who will get the job has ten coins in his pocket”—is a particular belief having a particular referent, based upon the way in which beliefs originate and develop. Next, I assess whether Smith’s final belief contains a definite description or a rigid designator, arguing for the latter on the basis of general consistency in Smith’s belief process. After addressing another counterexample of the
same type, I conclude that, irrespective of whether the referent is Jones or Smith himself, Smith’s final belief fails to be a candidate for justified true belief. While this paper may not dethrone the Gettier problem in spirit, given its long tenure and its various descendents, it may in the least introduce doubt about its force.

COUNTERPART THEORY AND MODAL DISCOURSE: A REPLY TO MEYER (V-F)

DAVE McELHOES, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND–COLLEGE PARK

Fara and Williamson (2005) argue that counterpart theory cannot give an adequate treatment of the actuality operator. Ulrich Meyer (forthcoming in Mind) argues that it can—just not a uniform treatment. In this paper, I intervene on behalf of Fara and Williamson. Meyer’s defense of counterpart theory falls short in one important respect: its regimentation of modal claims is not true to their meaning.

FAITH AND DOUBT (III-J)

DANIEL J. McKAUGHAN, BOSTON COLLEGE

One challenge to the rationality of religious commitment sees faith as unreasonable because it involves believing on insufficient evidence. However, this challenge and influential attempts to reply depend on assumptions about faith that are open to question. I distinguish belief-based, trust-based, and hope-based conceptions of faith, each of which has plausible grounding in the Judeo-Christian tradition. Questions about the rationality religious commitment and the extent of compatibility with doubt look different on accounts of faith in which trust or hope, rather than belief, are the primary basis for commitment. On such accounts, while faith has a stake in the truth of the content (e.g., that God exists), practical as well as epistemic considerations can legitimately figure into normative appraisals. Trust and hope can be appropriate in situations of recognized risk, need not involve self-deception, and are compatible with the idea that epistemic opinions should be responsive only to evidence.

INTELLECTUALISM AND THE TRANSCENDENTAL DEDUCTION (VI-H)

COLIN MCLear, CORNELL UNIVERSITY

A basic tenet of Kant’s critical philosophy is the strict separation of the cognitive role of sensibility from that of the understanding. However, a prominent line of Kant interpretation threatens this separation by making intuition dependent on processes carried out by the understanding. A major support for this “intellectualist” line of interpretation is thought to lie in the argument of the Transcendental Deduction. Against this I argue that there is a coherent reading of the Deduction that allows intuition the independence required by Kant’s fundamental distinction between sensibility and understanding.
HOBSES ON GRATITUDE AND THE FREE GIFT OF SOVEREIGNTY (III-I)

SARAH MEIER, EMORY UNIVERSITY

Critiques of Hobbes’ absolute sovereign do not take into account the fact that sovereignty is a free gift. Despite the fact that the sovereign is not party to contract and cannot be bound by the “artificial chains” of law to abide by the terms of justice, a free gift does not come without strings attached. Where justice dictates the keeping of contracts, gratitude enjoins recipients to forbear from doing anything that would cause the giver to regret her goodwill. To this end, as Hobbes sees it, the sovereign does have “duties” to meet the expectation or hoped for “good to self” that prompts subjects to submit to government. The end of the institution of sovereignty is protection, without which obedience may not be expected. Moreover, protection must be broadly construed to include the maintenance of proper contracting practices, such that individuals are assured claim rights in commonwealth, and the active promotion of individual welfare.

SELF-FORGIVENESS AND QUALITY OF WILL (VIII-H)

PER MILAM, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SAN DIEGO

An adequate account of self-forgiveness must be broad enough to cover the different forms of self-forgiveness—for harming others and for harming oneself. However, it must also be narrow enough to distinguish self-forgiving from distinct processes that yield similar outcomes—processes like excusing, condoning, and letting go. While many philosophical accounts have made important contributions to understanding self-forgiveness, none has met both of the above challenges. In this paper, I suggest that popular attempts to understand self-forgiveness in terms of outcomes like self-reconciliation are misguided. However, while self-forgiveness does not yield a unique outcome, it can be distinguished by the reasons that motivate it. I offer an account of self-forgiveness that treats the offender’s quality of will as central. I claim that self-forgiveness occurs if and only if a moral agent, in response to an offense she has committed, meets each of the following conditions. First, she must believe herself both to be responsible and to have acted with ill will or objectionable indifference. Second, having recognized her offense, she must experience a negative self-directed emotion like guilt, shame, or regret. Third, having recognized that she no longer possesses the objectionable quality of will that was behind her initial offense, she must foreswear this negative emotion. I then draw out some of the consequences of and respond to potential objections to my quality of will account. I suggest that the conditions on self-forgiveness apply, with minor modification, to interpersonal and third party forgiveness. I argue that to forgive or not to forgive oneself is a free choice insofar as the forgiver is responsive to the fact that her change in quality of will gives her reason to forgive herself. Finally, I argue that, while overcoming survivor guilt appears to involve self-forgiveness, it is better understood as a form of condoning.
WHAT ARE THE COGNITIVE COSTS OF RACISM? A REPLY TO GENDLER (II-G)

JOSHUA MUGG, YORK UNIVERSITY-ONTARIO

Tamar Gendler argues that it is impossible to be fully rational when living in a racially structured society: one will either fail to encode relevant information containing race, or suffer epistemic costs by being implicitly racist. Gendler offers three supporting arguments for the second horn of her dilemma. First, implicit racists expend cognitive energy repressing their biases. I reply, citing Ellen Bialystok, that constant use of executive functioning is beneficial. Second, Gendler argues that awareness of a negative stereotype of one’s own race with regard to a given task negatively affects one’s performance of that task. However, this demonstrates that racism is cognitively costly to those stigmatized rather than those stigmatizing. Finally, Gendler cites cross-race facial deficit as a cognitive cost. I argue that in-group/out-group categorization rather than racism is the cognitive cost. I conclude that, unfortunately, Gendler has failed to adequately support her dilemma.

THE VALUE OF NEUTRAL EXPERIENCE (IV-G)

HOWARD L. M. NYE, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

Philosophers often assume that hedonically neutral experiences are of no intrinsic value. In this paper I argue that the neutral experiences that constitute everyday life are a central part of what is intrinsically good for us. The idea is directly plausible, and it vindicates our confidence that life usually worth living. Typically, the preservation of life is more important than the absence of suffering. But the presence of goods like pleasure and achievement is less important than the absence of suffering. The enormous value of conscious life itself is, I argue, the best explanation of these simultaneous asymmetries in value. While neutral experiences might not seem attractive, I argue that their attractiveness is often masked by their ubiquity and the enjoyment involved in appreciating them. I conclude by considering the implications of the value of neutral experience for end-of-life decisions and our treatment of disabled infants and non-human animals.

‘AN ACCIDENT THAT IS SIMULTANEOUSLY IN TWO SUBJECTS’: LEIBNIZ AND SOME PREDECESSORS ON THE POSSIBILITY OF TWO-SUBJECT ACCIDENTS (V-H)

SYDNEY F. PENNER, OXFORD UNIVERSITY

Leibniz famously declares that accidents, including relations, cannot have two subjects simultaneously. In making this claim, Leibniz agrees with the position nearly universally held by medieval philosophers, most of whom feel no more pressure to defend the claim than Leibniz does. A few hints can be found, however, for why someone might accept the claim. I explore those hints in this paper. I argue that an argument from epistemological considerations and an argument from accidents’ dependence on their subjects for their existence do not do the needed work. An argument
from accidents’ dependence on subjects for their individuation is more promising, but only, I suggest, on one way of developing an Aristotelian account of accidents. This way of seeing accidents, however, is widely rejected by later scholastics such as Francisco Suárez and by Leibniz, and so the claim that accidents cannot have two subjects simultaneously appears to be retained despite losing its grounding.

**Against the Reduction of Phenomenological Consciousness to Qualia (VIII-F)**

*Anthony F. Peressini, Marquette University*

The Nagel inspired “something-it-is-like” conception of consciousness remains the predominant approach in philosophy. In this paper I argue for a distinction between “something-it-is-like” for a state and “something-it-is-like” for a subject, showing how conflating them amounts to an unwarranted reductionism. After arguing this mistaken conflation effectively reduces “something-it-is-like” consciousness to a collection of qualitative states, I consider how avoiding this reductive mistake offers new possibilities for understanding empirical progress on the question of consciousness.”

**Thinking About Coalitions: The Third Man (II-G)**

*Yasha Rohwer, University of Missouri–Columbia*

Although philosophers and game-theorists studying the evolution of prosocial behavior are mainly concerned with general moral categories such as altruism or the social contract, they nevertheless call for a better understanding of a specific prosocial phenomenon: coalitions (Binmore 2005; Ernst 2001; Kitcher 1999; Skyrms 1994). I therefore propose that any potential model of coalitions must 1) explain both coalition formation and maintenance and 2) accord with what is known about chimpanzee coalitions. Under these criteria, the Prisoner’s Dilemma and Stag Hunt—traditional workhorses of prosocial modeling—are not adequate models of coalitions. A better model is the three-person Odd Man Out game, which satisfies both criteria for explaining coalitions. Thus, not all prosocial behaviors can be boiled down to general moral categories that are nicely modeled by two-person games.

**Why Republicans Need a Radical Conception of Power (II-G)**

*Mark T. Schranz, University of Toronto*

Herein I make a case for a rethinking of the central concept in republican political philosophy, namely the concept of “power.” More specifically, I argue that Philip Pettit ought to expand or “radicalize” his republican conception of power so that it includes not just conscious instances of power but also unconscious instances of power. I first argue that Pettit’s current republican notion of power requires modification because it can’t properly account for unconscious oppression. I then show that such a modified conception of power need not undermine the republican state’s ability to thwart arbitrary intentional interference. The resultant “radical” republican conception of
power (and “radical” republican political philosophy) is broader than but is also certainly in keeping with the spirit of Pettit’s view.

UNTANGLING GENETIC DETERMINISM: THE CASE OF GENETIC ABORTION (III-H)

MELINDA HALL, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY

Bioethicists and moral theorists have claimed that the procreative decision to continue a pregnancy that will result in an individual with a disability or medical anomaly is morally wrong. To salient issues stemming from these arguments and those opposing them I would like to add genetic determinism, the belief in an over-inflated impact of genes upon individual human lives. Jackie Leach Scully and Elliott Sober, among many others, have noted the pernicious aspects of this ideology. In this paper, I would like to draw together the stigma to which Adrienne Asch and David Wasserman refer when they object to genetic abortion with adherence to belief in genetic determinism. I do so in order to highlight the clash between what has been called “wrongful disability” (and the accompanying moral endorsement of genetic abortion) and societal goals of pursuing justice and equality, especially (but not only) for those in the disability community.

SKEPTICISM AND EXTERNALISM IN ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY: THE ACADEMICS VS. THE STOICS (II-F)

PAVLE STOJANOVIC, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

The standard interpretation of the Academic strategy against Stoic epistemology is internalist. According to it, in their main argument, the Indistinguishability (aparallaxia) argument, the Academics intended to show that in order to achieve the Stoic apprehension (katalepsis) and knowledge (episteme), one must be able to recognize which among his impressions are apprehensive and which are not. In this paper, I will argue that the standard interpretation of Academic skepticism is wrong (or at best seriously incomplete) because the Academic strategy did not primarily rely on internalist assumptions. More precisely, I will argue that the Academics used two aparallaxia arguments, that one of them represented a serious objection to the externalist aspects of Stoic epistemology, and that this objection was, in fact, the central element of the Academics’ skeptical strategy. This second aparallaxia argument focused on the mutual incompatibility of the three Stoic requirements for apprehension: (1) that external objects have to be ontologically unique, (2) that they have to be self-identical, and (3) that whatever makes external objects ontologically unique and self-identical has to be perceivable in a way that captures their uniqueness and self-identity. The Academic strategy was to argue that, given the Stoic distinction between the object’s peculiar quality and its corporeal substance, the three requirements for apprehension cannot all hold at the same time. If I am correct, then the main Academic argument against the Stoics was entirely dialectical, i.e., based on the mutual incompatibility of the Stoic metaphysics and epistemology, and nothing in it relied on internalist requirements for apprehension and knowledge.
IS GLOBAL JUSTICE BAD FOR WOMEN? (III-G)

JENNIFER SZENDE, QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY-ONTARIO

This paper draws on Okin’s insight into why liberalism is bad for women, and argues that a state-centered liberal theory of global justice is similarly bad for women. Within a liberal domestic theory of justice, the public/private distinction has been shown to be bad for women because it creates a domain for injustice that becomes invisible to public policy and the law. I argue that state-centered theories of global justice create an analogous epistemic blind spot, and for this reason, I suggest that this framing of global justice is bad, and is bad for women in particular.

McTaggart’s Ontology of Persistence through Time (IV-J)

DAVID TAYLOR, INDEPENDENT SCHOLAR

McTaggart’s distinction between the A-series and B-series is well known. Less well known is his C-series, the reality underlying the illusion of time, which is a series of part-whole relations among mental states in the minds that constitute fundamental reality according to McTaggart. In this paper I explore the idea of interpreting McTaggart’s C-series in a realist manner, which generates a novel theory of persistence according to which what unites the earlier and later stages of an object is that the earlier stages are constituents of later stages. I suggest that this theory solves certain problems that seem to beset the dominant accounts of persistence in the literature (endurantism, perdurantism, exdurantism), and briefly address some of the problems that might be raised for this view.

When is a Mechanism More Than the Sum of Its Parts? (I-E)

KARI L. THEURER, INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Recently, some mechanists have embraced reductionism and some reductionists have endorsed mechanism. However, the two camps disagree sharply about the extent to which mechanistic explanation is a reductionistic enterprise. Reductionists maintain that cellular and molecular mechanisms furnish sufficient explanations for mental phenomena. Mechanists deny this claim. I argue that this dispute turns on whether reduction is a transitive relation. I show that it is. Therefore, mechanistic explanations at the cellular and molecular level explain mental phenomena directly. I make my case in part by noting that the relation between levels of mechanism is one of composition. Compositional relations are transitive. In addition, they are explanatory. I conclude that there are direct explanatory linkages from cellular and molecular mechanisms to mental phenomena within a hierarchy of nested mechanisms.

New Work for a Theory of Emergence (VII-H)

HAYDEN THORNBURG, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI

Complexity scientists use “emergence” to describe special dynamical processes in open systems that produce spontaneous reorganization of
their components. Philosophical discussions tend to focus on the putatively irreducible causal powers of emergents relative to the causal powers of their subvenient micro-constituents. I suggest that the question of whether they are irreducible causes should be postponed in favor of the question of whether emergents are natural. Lewis (1983) argues that scientifically interesting properties are natural: they account for resemblances among things and distinguish the causally relevant and irrelevant properties. If emergent properties are scientifically interesting, then they are natural. I consider bimanual coordination (described by the HKB model) as an example of emergence in a natural system. Inspired by Rueger (2000), I define emergence as a property that systemic processes have when they begin to exhibit “instability,” and argue that instability is the natural property that unites these processes.

**INTERPRETATION AS EXPERIENCE: MICHEL FOUCAULT’S REFORMULATION OF HERMENEUTICS IN THE LAST LECTURES AT THE COLLÈGE DE FRANCE (VII-F)**

*POL VANDEVELDE, MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY*

In his last lectures Foucault develops a huge project of a “hermeneutics of the self” that solves two main problems of traditional hermeneutics: the continuity of the object of interpretation through time and the validity of the interpretive account. Although he considered hermeneutics as a discipline dated in its arising and as bound to massive assumptions regarding what an intention and what an object are, Foucault comes to embrace hermeneutics as a form of experience through which both the individual becomes a self and the objects gain their saliency, albeit in ephemeral and transient formations.

**LEIBNIZ ON SPONTANEITY AND TELEOLOGY: SOME INTERESTING CONNECTIONS (V-H)**

*JULIA VON BODELSCHWINGH, YALE UNIVERSITY*

Leibniz argues that all substances are spontaneous, that is, that all states of a given substance originate within it. Several commentators distinguish between different kinds of Leibnizian spontaneity: (a) a general type of spontaneity that substances exhibit at all times, and (b) a narrower type of spontaneity that substances exhibit in only some of their actions. My paper sharpens and expands this distinction: I argue that it is useful to distinguish not just two, but three types of spontaneity. This distinction, I contend, sheds light on Leibniz’s otherwise puzzling views on teleology. In particular, I will show that there is an intimate connection between spontaneity and teleology, and that a type of teleology corresponds to each type of spontaneity. Making that distinction can in turn help us understand how Leibniz can account for fundamental differences between different types of actions while maintaining that all monadic activity is teleological and spontaneous.
MADHYAMAKA META-Ethics: SOME RAMIFICATIONS OF PRINCIPLED SKEPTICISM (VI-G)
ASHER WALDEN, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS–DARTMOUTH

Buddhist meta-ethics is a quickly growing area of scholarly interest. The contemporary approaches to Buddhist ethics from a comparative perspective generally have it that Buddhist ethics are best understood either as a kind of consequentialism or a kind of virtue ethics. We should be clear about what these approaches would mean from a meta-ethical perspective. It is not just that the Buddhist tradition appeals to (karmic) consequences and/or the value of being an enlightened person in its moral exhortations. The tradition certainly uses both of these kinds of language as skilful means (upaya). It would also mean that one could legitimately appeal to either consequentialist or aretaic considerations as justifications in deciding the morality of a particular choice. That is to say, it would be true that, in general, the reasonable expectation that a certain kind of effect would result from a given choice would make that choice the morally right one. Alternately, the fact that a certain act manifested or stabilized a certain virtue would count as an intrinsically right-making feature of action. Both of these justifications are unavailable from the perspective of any Madhyamaka Buddhist. The purpose of this essay is to reconstruct a principled skeptical approach to meta-ethics suggested by Nagarjuna’s Mulamadhyamakakarika. I will address the case against consequentialism first, then address some problems with virtue theoretic approaches, and finally address the possibility of using ethical particularism to characterize Buddhist ethics. My conclusion is that no meta-ethical justifications of moral action are possible.
ABSTRACTS OF INVITED AND SYMPOSIUM PAPERS

PROGRESSIVE CONFUCIANISM ON SOCIAL CRITICISM AND THE VALUES OF DEFERENCE (I-C)

STEPHEN ANGLE, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY

This paper’s thesis is that Confucians today should engage in social criticism without rejecting wholesale the tradition’s insights into the values of deference; and furthermore that philosophers schooled in other traditions may have something to learn from the way that some contemporary (“Progressive”) Confucians balance criticism and deference. My first step is to show that Confucianism long ago anticipated an important finding of contemporary psychology: namely, our social and physical environments have significant effects on the ways and degrees to which we can be virtuous. Confucian insights in this regard have been limited by their particularism, however, so Confucianism has sometimes been blind to the systematic effects of large-scale social and economic arrangements. In fact, the same kind of logic that makes particular situations important also applies to the large-scale arrangements, so I argue that Confucians must actively concern themselves with their socio-economic environments. A particularly worrisome type of social arrangement is oppression—when a group is systematically immobilized or diminished—and so Confucians today must stand against oppression, notwithstanding historical Confucian complacency concerning many types of oppression. I illustrate this thesis by showing that Confucians should criticize their own tradition’s complicity in the oppression of women. The paper’s final section shows, however, that deference and hierarchy can be valuable in many ways, and that oppression can be resisted without abandoning these important values.

COUNTERFACTUAL PHILOSOPHERS (VII-E)

NATHAN BALLANTYNE, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY

I argue that reflection on philosophers who could have been working among us but aren’t can lead us to give up our philosophical beliefs.

READING KANT’S GEOGRAPHY (IV-A)

ROBERT BERNASCONI, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Immanuel Kant’s lectures on Physical Geography and the relation of his geographical and spatial concerns to his thought more generally are being reassessed. The lectures are finally about to be published in English.
translation, albeit in the problematic Rink edition. This will make these texts available to a much wider audience.

This talk will examine the history of the lectures and their publication; discuss Kant’s purpose in giving them; and look at the way in which he structured geographical knowledge and understood its relation to history and philosophy. It will open up some of the questions arising from the situation of the lectures in Kant’s work generally; raise some of the issues about the edition being translated; and discuss the position Kant occupies in the discipline of geography as a whole. It trades on the work in the 2012 volume Reading Kant’s Geography (SUNY Press, 2012), edited by Stuart Elden and Eduardo Mendieta.

PHENOMENOLOGY WITHOUT ONTOLOGY: HEIDEGGER ON HOW TO TALK ABOUT BEING (III-C)

ANDY BLITZER AND MARK LANCE, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY

Many philosophers working in the analytic tradition follow Quine in supposing that the fundamental question of ontology is “What is there?” Heidegger, by contrast, insists that ontology interrogates Being, where “Being and the structure of Being lie beyond every entity and every possible character an entity may possess.” Now clearly questions about that which “lie[s] beyond every entity” are not questions about what there is. But if this is correct, then Heidegger and Quine seem to mean different things by “ontology.” Is their use of the same word merely a pun?

This paper is not so much an attempt to interpret Heidegger as it is to render a certain package of Heideggerian ideas intelligible. We argue first that there is a coherent project of Heideggerian “Ontologie,” the goal of which is to provide an ontologically neutral analysis of the formal and essential features of intentionality, viz., a “transcendental” analysis of what Heidegger calls “Being.”

Prima facie, this project seems closer to analytic work in epistemology and philosophy of language than it does to Quinean ontology. But our second claim is that given certain basic assumptions about the transcendental features of intentionality, Ontologie isn’t easily assimilable into either of these projects. The case for this hinges on two points. The first is that like analytic ontology, analytic epistemology and philosophy of language traffic in assertive uses of declarative sentences. On the traditional view, to answer an ontological, epistemological, or linguistic question is to describe some putative feature of the empirical world. The second point is more controversial. We argue that there is a performative contradiction involved in supposing that the transcendental features of intentionality admit of exhaustive empirical description.

This leads us to our third claim, that Ontologie’s communicative goal can only be achieved through the issuing of a certain sort of “call.” An Ontologische theory will involve speech acts that have a distinctive and fundamentally non-declarative pragmatic structure. As Heidegger puts it, the claims of Ontologie are “formally indicative [which] implies the
following: the meaning-content of these concepts does not directly intend or express what they refer to, but only gives an indication, a pointer to the fact that anyone who seeks to understand is called upon by this conceptual context to undertake a transformation of themselves in their Dasein.”

We conclude with some hints on how to elaborate such a theory.

**Parents, Children, and Politics in Early Confucianism and Feminism (I-C)**

**Erin Cline, Georgetown University**

In recent years, evidence in both the sciences and public policy has led to an increasing recognition that children’s earliest experiences play a fundamental role in shaping their life opportunities, and that parental caregiving is the most important of these experiences. As a result, policymakers have begun to recognize the importance of funding early childhood intervention programs that aim to support and nurture parent-child relationships. Political philosophers, too, ought to appreciate the relevance of these issues for their work. This paper argues that early Confucian views of parent-child relationships, early childhood, and moral cultivation can serve as a constructive resource in political philosophy and public policy. Confucian sources from both the classical period and the Han argue for the unique and irreplaceable importance of parent-child relationships during infancy and early childhood. They further argue that early childhood moral cultivation within the family has a direct and observable effect on the quality of a society. Early childhood development and parent-child relationships are not viewed as tangential issues for those interested in political questions, nor are they viewed as the sole concern of women or families. While some philosophers might assume that there is little new to be said about these issues that contemporary feminist philosophers have not already addressed, this paper argues that although feminist philosophers such as Sara Ruddick and Nel Noddings have also given close attention to many of the same issues, there remain a variety of important contrasts between Confucian and feminist views of parent-child relationships. Indeed, Confucianism and feminism each provide distinctive resources both for understanding the role of the family in a good society and applying those insights in ways that promote positive social and public policy change.

**Consent, Justice, and Sexual Assault: Can Speech Act Theory Provide an Alternative Legal Model of Consent? (I-B)**

**Monica Cowart, Merrimack College**

In the United States, the definition of rape and sexual assault can vary from state to state. Yet, in all cases, consent is viewed as the “gold standard” of determining whether a sexual assault occurred or whether both parties agreed to the act. Even though most theorists recognize the legal and moral importance of consent, there is still little agreement concerning how consent should be defined, or whether different domains involving consent require context-specific definitions. Legal scholars, philosophers,
law enforcement, and sexual assault program advocates all note differing problems with the current conception of consent and its application to sexual assault cases. Yet, the prevailing view is that the current conception of consent is inadequate and requires theoretical clarification and revision.

This paper explores the extent to which speech act theory can provide a theoretically grounded analysis of consent that will add clarity to resolving criminal trials of rape and sexual assault. Focusing exclusively on rape and sexual assault cases, I discuss the problems with current formulations of consent as they apply to criminal proceedings. Next, I construct an analysis of consent that is informed by speech act theory. Finally, I consider the viability of this new formulation by analyzing its strengths and limitations as a means of clarifying the definition of consent. I conclude by noting the potential practical applications of this new framework.

**DISAGGREGATING GLOBAL JUSTICE (I-B)**

**HELENA DE BRES, WELLESLEY COLLEGE**

In order for global justice or injustice to exist, there has to be something that is just or unjust: something to which the moral assessments at issue attach. Which thing or things should play that role in our theory? What should be the “target” of principles of global justice? This paper argues against one popular answer to this question: the “global basic structure.” My chief argument is that principles that target the global basic structure fail to satisfy a crucial action-guiding desideratum for principles of justice, whether or not they are also problematic for other reasons. A duty to alter the global basic structure as a whole is not a duty with which any agent we currently see, or would want to see, could feasibly comply. And principles that target the global order in its entirety are too general in form to allow for sufficiently clear answers to the urgent questions of justice that arise within particular sites of transnational governance. I argue that the problems that I identify in the global basic structure as a target point to an alternative that is as yet poorly acknowledged in the global justice debates. We ought to exclusively direct our principles at particular sub-spheres of global politics: disaggregating global justice for a disaggregated world.

**DUAL-SYSTEMS REASONING AND THE EXTENDED MIND DEBATE (VII-D)**

**LOGAN FLETCHER, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND—COLLEGE PARK**

This paper explores the implications of dual-systems accounts of human reasoning for the debate over the extended mind thesis (EMT). Clark and Chalmers (1998) defend EMT by arguing that there is functional parity between the use of “internal” (biological) memory and “external” memory (e.g., consisting of entries in a notebook). One criticism of this argument is that the claim of parity is undermined by the fact that in the latter case, access to one’s “memory” is mediated by motor and sensory processes. I argue, first, that Clark and Chalmers fail to reply to this criticism in a satisfactory manner. I then go on to show that when the relevant case is considered in light of one prominent account of dual-systems reasoning, Carruthers’ action-based account, it turns out that access to one’s internal
memory is similarly mediated by motor and sensory processes, in a way that functionally mirrors the external case quite precisely. On this account, a stronger functional parity obtains between the internal and external cases than has previously been defended. This might seem like a welcome result for the EMT advocate but, in fact, parity can be viewed as a double-edged sword. One consistent response would be to endorse what I call the “contracted mind thesis” (CMT), according to which certain internal brain states that intuitively qualify as beliefs can no longer be counted as such. The surprising consequence of applying the action-based account to the extended mind debate, then, is that the familiar skin-and-skull boundary must indeed be rejected as a demarcation for the mind, but that this can be done either by extending the mind to encompass external processes, or else by shrinking the mind so as to exclude some processes that are internal. Given the strong functional parity that holds between Inga’s “internal beliefs” and Otto’s “external beliefs,” we as theorists are forced to choose between EMT on the one hand and CMT on the other, if we are to have a consistent policy for admitting such attitude states into our ontology.


David Miguel Gray, Vanderbilt University

I argue that standard explanations of Du Bois’ theory of race inappropriately characterize his view as solely attempting to provide a descriptive criteria for races. Such an interpretation makes it both susceptible to Appiah’s circularity objection and alienates it from Du Bois’ central project of solidarity—which is the central point of “Conservation.” I propose that we should understand his theory as providing a normative account of race: an attempt to characterize what some races should be in terms of what other races are. In providing such an account I will also show how my interpretation of Du Bois’ criteria avoids the circularity objection by making the criteria central to the project of solidarity. Thus, this interpretation avoids what I take to be the two main problems with standard descriptive explanations of Du Bois’ criteria.

Fortune and Fairness in Global Economic Life (VIII-B)

Aaron James, University of California–Irvine

How should we assess the very different ways that persons or societies fare in the global economy? This paper contrasts two forms of fairness assessment, “structural inequity” and “inequity of fortune.” The former concept of fairness is deontological, limited by institutional capacity, and endowment-sensitive. The latter concept has none of these features. The paper develops these differences in view of corresponding objections to the system of natural liberty in global economic life: a system of trade to static (rather than dynamic) comparative advantage. This in turn sheds light upon Rawls’s famous objection to the system of natural liberty in domestic society.
PERFECTION AND THE PHYSIOLOGY OF HABITUATION IN ARISTOTLE’S PHYSICS AND ETHICS (VIII-D)

MARISKA LEUNISSEN, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA—CHAPEL HILL

In his ethical treatises, Aristotle singles out three factors that play a role in the moral development of men: nature, habit, and reason (see Pol. VII.13, 1332a38-40; EN.X.9, 1179b20-21; and EE.I.1, 1214a14-25). This paper offers a reconstruction of the physiological role the second of these three factors, i.e., habit, plays in the moral development of men, that is, of the physiological changes Aristotle believes take place in the body and soul when men habituate themselves and acquire the character virtues. As is well known, these virtues do not come to be “by nature,” as a realization of capacities humans have from birth, in the way that perceptive capacities are realized as a special type of qualitative change (EN.II.1, 1103a17-b2 and DA.II.5, 417b2-16). Instead, character virtues come to be “by habit,” understood as the repeated performance of virtuous actions, whereby the quality of the actions determines the quality of the disposition (hexis) that comes to be (EN.II.1, 1103b6-25). In the ethical treatises, Aristotle describes habituation as a change or movement of the soul, resulting in the production of a certain quality (see, e.g., Pol.VIII.5, 1339a21-25, 1340a12-14, 1340a22-23; EE.II.1, 1220a29-30; and EE.II.2, 1220b1-3). However, building on Aristotle’s discussion of the acquisition of character virtue in Physics VII.3, I argue that (1) the physiological process underlying this acquisition is neither a case of generation or of qualitative change, but that it is instead a process of “perfection” (cf. NE.II.1, 1103a25-26: “we are perfected—teleioumenos—through habits”) and that (2) although the acquisition of character virtue is not itself a case of qualitative change, it nevertheless always “occurs with” a qualitative change of the perceptive part of the soul. On this account, character virtue involves having the various “components” of the perceptive soul in a mean condition with regard to themselves and each other.

CLIMATE MODELS AND ROBUSTNESS AS A CONFIRMATORY VIRTUE (II-A)

ELISABETH A. LLOYD, INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Understanding how giant multidimensional global climate models may be tested and confirmed has presented a challenge to scientists and philosophers alike. As philosophers of science engage with climate science, it is particularly important to understand how evidence is used to support conclusions, and how reasoning processes work in the field. Robustness of the outcomes of a set of different models is not usually considered a confirmatory virtue by philosophers of science: the models may all converge on a result, but that outcome may itself be incorrect. But climate scientists generally believe that robustness confers confirmatory force, and I aim to defend that belief in this paper. I argue that families of models with a common causal core that produce robust results will, if the models’ assumptions vary enough and are observationally sound in each instance, provide reasons to endorse the common causal core. When considerations of a variety of evidence are added to this sort of case, we can see a confirmatory role for model robustness.
THE LAST FRONTIER: KANT’S GEOGRAPHY AND ITS PHILOSOPHICAL SIGNIFICANCE (IV-A)

ROBERT B. LOUDEN, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MAINE

The first full English translation of Rink’s edition of Kant’s Physical Geography was finally published in 2012, and several significant transcriptions of Kant’s classroom Lectures on Physical Geography are still forthcoming in volume 26 of the German Academy edition of his Collected Writings. Kant’s work on geography has not exactly occupied the forefront of discussion among Kant scholars. But now that these texts are at long last becoming available, what should we make of them? How do they relate to his other writings, and why should philosophers pay attention to them? These are the questions that I will address in my presentation.

COMMENTS ON DERRICK DARBY’S RIGHTS, RACE, AND RECOGNITION (IV-D)

DAVID LYONS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Derrick Darby wishes to replace the current concept of a moral right, which regards rights as morally justifiable claims, with one that also requires social recognition because he believes that, far from promoting emancipation from institutions like slavery and Jim Crow, the prevailing view of rights has served as an instrument of racial subordination. This comment observes that people belong to diverse social groups, leading the proposed revision to support contradictions unless qualified. But no principled qualification is obvious. It also observes that the argument offered by Darby in support of the proposed revision attacks the wrong target—a theory about the foundation of natural rights rather than the concept of a right. It observes finally that the argument fails to provide empirical data showing the greater effectiveness of social criticism using the proposed concept of a right, and argues that the missing data is necessarily unavailable.

RACE AS A PROXY FOR HUMAN POPULATION SUBSTRUCTURE IN GENOMICS AND CLINICAL PRACTICE: PHILOSOPHY, FROM THE BENCH TO THE BEDSIDE (III-D)

KOFFI MAGLO, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI

The revival of philosophical interest in the issue of race since the rise of genomic science has primarily focused on the question about whether race is a biological category in light of human “population genetics.” This gave preeminence to traditional philosophical questions that continue to oppose the so-called “biological race school” and the “no biological race school.” The central themes of the traditional philosophical dispute can be cashed out in terms of biological realism and biological antirealism, often construed as eliminativism about race. Yet a close scrutiny of the scientific literature seems to indicate that much of the genomic research agenda is driven by the no less contentious issue of the putative functionality of race in biomedicine. This being so, the philosophical debate over race must enlist not only insights from enduring quests in philosophy of biology but also from the philosophy of biomedicine which deals with converging
epistemic, ethical, and social issues in the biological and health sciences. This paper aims at contributing to broadening the philosophical interest in the scientific issue of race beyond traditional philosophy of biology. Accordingly, I first address the epistemic problem about the extent to which an instrumentalist rather than a realist conception of race best explains current genomic evidence about human population substructure. I then determine whether this instrumentalist conception is also consistent with the ways in which health professionals currently use race in their clinical practice. I conclude the paper by suggesting that race remains a thick and free-floating notion whose continued use both in the lab and in the clinics as a proxy for human population substructure requires stringent methodological and ethical guidelines.

HOW THE AFFECTS CAN SET US FREE: SPINOZA ON ACTIVITY, ADEQUACY, AND THE AFFECTS (I-A)
EUGENE MARSHALL, WELLESLEY COLLEGE

Though the symposium topic concerns the passions, I shall speak more broadly about both passive and active affects. Spinoza associates the passions with bondage and inadequacy and active affects with freedom and adequate knowledge. Nevertheless, scholars often read him as ruling out human freedom and adequacy. Here I shall consider whether Spinoza’s metaphysics allows for humans to have active affects and, if so, what they are, how they might come about, and what some of the implications are of such mental states for Spinoza’s system.

I shall argue the following: (1) there are adequate ideas innate in the mind; (2) a mind’s ideas have power that can become causally related to the mind’s conatus so that the idea changes or moves the conatus and, when this occurs, the idea becomes an affect; (3) when the conatus produces an effect as a result of an active affect/adequate idea within the mind, we can rightfully say that the mind is active/adequate and the affect a rational desire; (4) an adequate idea renders the mind an adequate cause just when a mental action can be adequately explained by the idea in question; (5) this can occur whenever we draw conclusions from first principles, e.g., axioms in logic, math, or first philosophy; (6) it can also occur when we commit ourselves to live according to the dictates of reason, as when we attempt to return hate with love; (7) in the end, this is a matter of attaining a greater degree of adequacy, activity, and freedom. In short, I hope to sketch an account of exactly how Spinoza believes human freedom and activity is to be attained, namely, through the development of active affects.

WHAT CAN WE RESPONSIBLY PROMISE? (VI-F)
BERISLAV MARUSIC, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY

Can we responsibly promise to do something when we have evidence that there is a significant chance that we won’t do it? Since we have this evidence, it seems, we cannot rationally believe that we will do it. But then
we cannot responsibly promise to do it: For, our promising will either be irrational, if we irrationally believe that we will do what we’re promising to do, or it will be insincere, if we believe that there is a significant chance that we won’t do it. My paper has two aims: I first examine the preceding line of thought and argue that it reveals a difficult problem. I then consider two solutions. I briefly discuss the Evidentialist View according to which it is irresponsible to promise to do something when we have evidence that there is a significant chance that we won’t do it. I suggest that we should hope for a better view. I then argue that evidential considerations do not determine the rationality of our beliefs about what it is up to us to do. For, we form such beliefs through practical reasoning. That is why we can rationally believe that we will do something, even if we have evidence that there is a significant chance that we won’t do it. Finally, I argue that promisees can believe this, too, provided they trust us, and it is rational for us to believe it.

Explanatory Kinds (II-B)

Bernhard Nickel, Harvard University

This paper offers a theory of the characteristic properties of kinds in the special sciences, the properties ascribed to kinds in cp-laws. It argues against statistical criteria to ground characteristicness, focusing on the so-called Homeostatic Property Cluster conception of kinds as a case study. It then offers a new account of characteristic properties that centers on explanation.

Atmospheric Models as Observing Instruments? (II-A)

Wendy Parker, Ohio University

It is well known that computer simulation models are used to make projections of future climate change. But some scientists have claimed that—in the context of a practice known as data assimilation—these models can also serve as observing instruments, providing estimates (or perhaps even measurements) of current atmospheric conditions, much like thermometers and other traditional meteorological instruments do. Such a claim will seem preposterous to some philosophers of science. But is it really? This talk will provide an elementary introduction to the practice of data assimilation, showing how this practice, which involves merging simulations and traditional observational data in complex ways, puts pressure on standard intuitions about observation and measurement. In the end, it will be argued that, while atmospheric models used in data assimilation are best thought of as sources of information rather than observing instruments per se, data assimilation systems as a whole might well be classified as observing instruments—perhaps even ones that deliver measurements of atmospheric conditions in regions where no traditional observational data are collected.
IT’S OKAY TO CALL GENETIC DRIFT A “FORCE” (IV-E)

CHARLES H. PENCE, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

One hotly debated philosophical question in the analysis of evolutionary theory concerns whether or not evolution and its various component parts (selection, drift, mutation, and so on) may be considered to be “forces” in the traditional, Newtonian sense. Several compelling arguments assert that the force picture is incoherent, due to the peculiar nature of genetic drift. I consider two of those arguments here—that drift lacks a predictable direction, and that drift is constitutive of evolutionary systems—and show that they both fail to demonstrate that a view of genetic drift as a force is untenable.

THE GETTIER PROBLEM AND THE VALUE OF KNOWLEDGE (II-C)

SHERRI ROUSH, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–BERKELEY

One sure solution to the Gettier problem is to deny the value of what intuition says the Gettier subject does not have. Then there would be no point defining a concept of knowledge beyond justified, true belief to mark what is missing. This would be convenient but the claim is false; at least, if knowledge is probabilistic tracking then it gives an epistemic preparedness for the world that is of practical value, and that no other logically possible condition on knowledge gives, including any kind of justifiedness. Because I reject as empty another possible solution to the Gettier problem, available to counterfactual views, which rules out such cases via the assumption that the relevant possibilities are centered on the actual world, my probabilistic tracking view allows some Gettier cases to count as knowledge. However, it may not matter what the theory calls these cases; when Gettier subjects have probabilistic tracking it means that their beliefs also have the valuable practical property that goes beyond justified, true belief. We could call this property “truth combined with strict dominance,” but “knowledge” is shorter.

HUSSERL, HEIDEGGER, AND CARNAP ON FIXING THE SENSE OF PHILOSOPHICAL TERMINOLOGY (III-C)

ABRAHAM STONE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SANTA CRUZ

Both Heidegger and Carnap argue, in their early major works, that philosophers have become involved with “pseudoproblems” (Scheinprobleme) thanks to a systematic failure properly to fix the meaning of philosophical terminology, and furthermore trace the failure in question to a pervasive type of ambiguity found in the everyday use of language. I locate both the inspiration for and the target of this approach in the work of their common teacher, Husserl. Husserl is remarkable (1) for the attention he pays to the theory of terminology, focusing especially on the causes of equivocality and unsteadiness of meaning, and (2) for the maddening equivocality and unsteady meaning of his own terminology. I show that there is congruence between points (1) and (2) in the following sense: given Husserl’s conception of philosophy, his general theory of
terminology implies that the meaning of philosophical terms cannot be properly fixed. This helps to explain both what Heidegger and Carnap reject in Husserl’s thought and how they are able to use tools taken from Husserl himself in their attack on him. I close by suggesting also, on this basis, a precise way of stating where it is that Heidegger and Carnap differ from one another.

**OXYMORONS, ANTI-DOTES, AND GADFLIES: CONFUCIANISM AND CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHIES OF DEMOCRACY (I-C)**

**SOR-HOON TAN, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE**

Chinese intellectuals as well as Anglo-American commentators have viewed Confucianism, especially its political thought, as the antithesis of modern thinking with its emphasis on the value of individual liberty and democracy. The May Fourth Movement in China wanted to “demolish the Confucian shop” in order to pursue science and democracy. A few decades later, Samuel Huntington famously called “Confucian democracy” an oxymoron, a contradiction in terms, in presenting his controversial thesis about the “clash of civilizations.” There have been a variety of responses in academic circles to attacks on Confucian political thought. Attempts to “modernize” Confucianism by showing that it has liberal and democratic elements have been joined by defenses of Confucian philosophy or tradition that acknowledge significant, even irreconcilable, differences between Confucianism and liberalism, but challenge liberal conceptions of democracy, for example, by offering Confucian communitarian conceptions of democratic societies. More recently, with the so-called American and European “democracies” besieged by political and economic troubles, even the desirability of democracy itself is being questioned by some who supported forms of Confucianism that they believe offer non-democratic or even anti-democratic alternatives of good government. I shall assess some of these different strands of the discourse on Confucianism and democracy in the last few decades, to show how they engage, or fail to engage, ongoing debates about democracy in contemporary political philosophy. The paper will examine the methodological challenges comparative philosophy faces in bringing together Confucianism and contemporary philosophies of democracy with the hope of advancing some philosophical, and sometimes conflicting political and practical, purposes, and suggest a possible way forward, at least for mutual learning, if not solution of perennial problems of either Confucianism or democracy.

**TAKING POWER UNDER OPPRESSION: ENDING HORIZONTAL VIOLENCE (V-C)**

**LYNNE TIRELL, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS–BOSTON**

Within oppression, it makes sense to focus on what oppressors do to the oppressed, and to seek ways to make them stop. They are, after all, the primary beneficiaries of the system and the primary agents of its damage. The violence they enact is vertical, but it also generates an insidious horizontal violence amongst its targets, who absorb messages
of powerlessness and seek self-protection in a relatively hostile context. It is thus crucial to look at the ways that oppression inculcates modes of complicity, witting or unwitting, through which members of the oppressed group serve the interests of the oppressors. This complicity, often a form of horizontal violence, is ours to disrupt. Since one may have a better chance of changing one’s own behavior than changing the behavior of others, this paper focuses on the behavior of the oppressed toward each other. It is also important to recognize the intersections of power that shape our situations: although all women as women experience gender oppression, some of us stand in more privileged positions than others—with respect to race, class, sexual orientation, differing medical statuses, tenure status, and more—and these may enable us to fight the many intersections of power enacted on our colleagues. Drawing on some real cases of senior women’s roles in junior women’s tenure processes (without names), and using posts on “What is it like to be a Woman in Philosophy?” I analyze patterns of resistance and complicity, highlighting strategies for changing practices that breed complicity and reinforcing those that breed freedom or resistance. Bringing feminist analysis into conversation with these cases will help us to make explicit some norms that promise to reshape women’s situations. Understanding horizontal violence under oppression can make us more aware of our own power to shape the social practices within which we live.

**Irrelevant Influences (IV-F)**

*Ekaterina Vavova, Amherst College*

It can be disturbing to realize that your belief reflects the influence of irrelevant factors, but should it be? Such influence is pervasive: all of our beliefs depend on some irrelevant factor. If we are to avoid mass agnosticism, we must determine when evidence of irrelevant belief influence is undermining and when it is not. I provide a principled way of doing this. I explain why belief revision is required when it is, and why it isn’t when it isn’t. I argue that appropriate humility requires us to revise our beliefs in response to such evidence. I explain the nature and import of such humility: what it is and what it is to accommodate it. In doing so, I bring to light a little discussed epistemic challenge, and explain its significance in a way that provides insight into the role of rational humility in our epistemic lives.
SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 28

Cognitive Diversity, Inference and Language (II-H)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness and the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
9:00 a.m.-noon

Justice and Defining the Requirements for Health Care in a Decent Society (II-I)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine
9:00 a.m.-noon

Creating a National High School Ethics Bowl (GIII-1)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

SATURDAY, DECEMBER 29

Women and Power in Academia (IV-K)
Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women
9:00-11:00 a.m.

Asian/Comparative Views of the Embodied and Enactive Mind (IV-L)
Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
9:00-11:00 a.m.

Immigration: Justice and Identity (GVIII-1)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Problems in International Criminal Law (GVIII-2)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Contemporary Philosophy in Scandinavia (V-I)
Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
1:30-4:30 p.m.

SUNDAY, DECEMBER 30

Can Moral and Political Philosophy Really Provide a Foundation for Public Policy or is it Question-Begging All Around? A Panel on Jim Sterba’s Work (VI-J)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Public Philosophy
9:00-11:00 a.m.

Global Justice/Immigration (VII-I)
Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
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American Association for the Philosphic Study of Society, Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.; Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Fri, 7:30-9:30 p.m.
American Society for Value Inquiry, Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.; Sun, 9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.
Association for Philosophy of Education, Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.
Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Association for the Philosophy of Judaism, Fri, 9:00 a.m.-noon
Association of Chinese Philosophers in America, Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.; Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.

C
Charles S. Peirce Society, Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.
Concerned Philosophers for Peace, Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.; Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.
Conference on Philosophical Societies, Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.

F
Foucault Circle, Fri, 9:00 a.m.-noon
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George Santayana Society, Sat, 9:00 a.m.-noon

H
Heidegger Circle, Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.
Hume Society, Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.

I
International Association for Environmental Philosophy, Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.; Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
International Association for Philosophy of Sport, Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.
International Berkeley Society, Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.
International Institute for Field-Being, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Sun, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
International Society for Buddhist Philosophy, Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.
International Society for Chinese Philosophy, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Sun, 1:30-4:30 p.m.
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.
International Society for Neoplatonic Studies, Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

J
John Dewey Society, Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.
Josiah Royce Society, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

K
Karl Jaspers Society of North America, Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.

L
Leibniz Society of North America, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

M
Molinari Society, Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.

N
National Philosophical Counseling Association, Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.; Sun, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
North American Kant Society, Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.
North American Nietzsche Society, Fri, 9:00 a.m.-noon
North American Society for Social Philosophy, Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.
North American Spinoza Society, Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.

P
Personalist Discussion Group, Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.
Philosophers in Jesuit Education, Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.
Philosophy of Time Society, Fri, 9:00 a.m.-noon
Polanyi Society, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Sun, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

R
Radical Philosophy Association, Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.; Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.

S
Sartre Circle, Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.
Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale, Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.
Society for Analytical Feminism, Fri, 9:00 a.m.-noon
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.
Society for Applied Philosophy, Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.; Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Fri, 9:00 a.m.-noon; Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.
Society for Business Ethics, Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.
Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion, **Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.; Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.**

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, **Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.**

Society for Neo-Kantianism, **Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.**

Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy, **Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.**

Society for Philosophy of the Contemporary World, **Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.; Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.**

Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, **Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.; Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.**

Society for Skeptical Studies, **Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.**

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, **Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.; Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.**

Society for the History of Political Philosophy, **Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.**

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, **Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.**

Society for the Philosophy of Creativity & Kristeva Circle, **Sat, 1:30-4:30 p.m.**

Society for the Philosophy of History, **Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.**

Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy, **Fri, 2:00-5:00 p.m.**

Society for the Study of Process Philosophies, **Sun, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.**

Society for the Study of Women Philosophers, **Sat, 7:00-10:00 p.m.**

Society for Women in Philosophy, **Fri, 5:15-7:15 p.m.; Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.**

Society of Humanist Philosophers, **Fri, 7:30-9:30 p.m.**

Society of Philosophers in America, **Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.**

Søren Kierkegaard Society, **Fri, 7:30-10:30 p.m.**

**W**

Wilfrid Sellars Society, **Fri, 5:15-8:15 p.m.**
## Placement Service Information

Questions concerning the Service should be directed to Mike Morris at The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716, telephone: (302) 831-2012, fax: (302) 831-8690.

### APA Placement Service General Hours of Operation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, December 27</td>
<td>Candidates, 3:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewers, 3:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A subdivision (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewer Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday, December 28:</td>
<td>Candidates, 8:30 a.m. – 6:30 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewers, 8:30 a.m. – 6:30 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A subdivision (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewer Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, December 29:</td>
<td>Candidates, 8:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewers, 8:30 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., Imperial Ballroom A subdivision (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewer Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, December 30:</td>
<td>Candidates, 8:30 a.m. – noon, Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewers, 8:30 a.m. – noon, Imperial Ballroom A subdivision (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interviewer Tables: Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APA Placement Service Locations

The Placement Services will be located in Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level) and Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level). For your convenience, maps of the hotel appear at the back of this issue.

Job Candidates – Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level)

1. Candidate numbers will be assigned when you register for the placement service.
2. The location of a job interview will be available from the Placement staff, or posted on the bulletin board at the information desk.
3. Additional “Request for Interview” forms will be available at the Placement desk.
4. APA membership applications will be available at the APA meeting registration desk.
5. Information and instructions for using the service will be available at the Placement desk (also see following pages), and posted on the information bulletin board.
6. Only candidates who are requesting interviews will receive a folder. Candidates who opt in can also receive notification via text when a response is received.
7. A complete set of job postings will be available at the Placement desk.
8. A message for the APA Placement Ombudsperson can be left at the Placement desk.

Interviewers – Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level) Subdivision

1. Interviewers check in here—as soon as possible upon arrival.
2. Payments for On-Site Interviewing Departments will be received here.
3. Space will be provided here for interviewers to check their files.
4. “Request for Interview” forms received from job candidates that have been reviewed by interviewers should be returned here.
5. A list of interviewing table assignments will be posted on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

Interviewing Area – Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level)

1. APA interviewing tables will be located here.
2. In the event that additional space for interviewing is needed, we will post the additional location on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

Information and Instructions for Job Candidates

Requirements for Using the Placement Service

1. You must be an APA member in order to use the service. Placement is a benefit of membership for candidates, and there is no additional charge to use this service. Membership applications are available
on the APA website (www.apaonline.org) or by contacting the APA National Office at (302) 831-1112, or at the membership/registration desk at the meeting.

2. You **MUST REGISTER** for the **MEETING** in order to use the service.
   - If you **register in advance** for the meeting and indicate that you will be a **candidate** (We recommend that you register online at www.apaonline.org; an advance registration form is also located at the back of this issue.), a candidate number will be assigned in the National Office and will appear on the back of your badge. You **must** pick up your badge from the APA registration staff prior to using the Placement service.
   - If you register on-site for the meeting, your placement number will be assigned when you register and will appear on the back of your badge.

**WHAT TO BRING WITH YOU**

1. Your laptop or smartphone with Internet access (wifi will not be provided at the hotel), or printouts of key **JFP** listings from the website.
2. Several copies of your **curriculum vitae**. If you run out of CVs at the meeting, the hotel has a copy center located in the Business Center.

**HOW TO USE THE PLACEMENT SERVICE**

After you have picked up your registration materials from the APA registration staff, you are ready to use the service. If you do not yet have a candidate number on the back of your badge, please go to the job candidates’ area in Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level) to receive one.

**JOB CANDIDATES’ AREA – IMPERIAL BALLROOM A (MARQUIS LEVEL)**

“Request for Interview” forms will be available from the Placement staff. **Be sure that your Placement number appears at the top of all forms.**

**JOB POSTINGS**

**New** jobs (not having appeared on the **Jobs for Philosophers** website) will be posted on a bulletin board in the Placement Service area. You should check this board regularly for new postings. Each ad will contain instructions on how to apply.

**INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE CHECKED IN WITH PLACEMENT**

The names of institutions that have registered to use the service will be posted on the bulletin board (updated regularly) in **alphabetical order**. Each listing will indicate the following:

1. If the listing institution has checked in with Placement.
2. If the institution is accepting interview requests at the meeting.
3. Where the institution plans on interviewing (table with number assignment).
4. Miscellaneous notes deemed appropriate by the Placement staff or the institution.

**Submitting an Interview Request Form**

Complete the top portion of the “Request for Interview” form and attach a copy of your CV. **Be sure that your candidate number appears at the top of all forms.** Include your cell phone number and check the box if you wish to be notified via text when a response is received. *Note: Normal text messaging fees from your service provider will apply.* After you have completed the necessary information, place your request form and CV in the box marked *Requests for Interviews*, which will be prominently displayed on the Placement Service desk. Your request will be forwarded to the institution by a staff member.

**Interviews**

After your request has been reviewed by a representative from the institution, the form will be returned to the Candidate Room placement staff with the institution’s response appearing on the bottom of the form. By noon on December 30, all requests submitted by you should have been answered. Occasionally, an institution may retain applications to review at a later date. If you applied for a job that had been posted and the institution did not check in with the service during the meeting, it is suggested that you contact the institution after the conference.

**Interviewing Locations**

Some departments will be conducting interviews at tables located in Marquis Ballroom C and D (Marquis Level). Other interviewing table locations will be posted if additional space is required. Each institution using a table for interviewing will be assigned a table number. The table numbers assigned to institutions will be posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service area.

**Advice for Job Applicants**

The APA Committee on Career Opportunities offers the following advice for job applicants: The schedule for those seeking jobs and those departments offering positions is difficult for all concerned. From the point of view of departments, any *JFP* ad publication date is a compromise between the competing demands imposed by the need to get funding for positions, so the later the better, and by the need to have time to process applications, so the sooner the better. From the point of view of job applicants, there are also competing demands: the sooner it is published, the more time to apply, but the later it is published, the more opportunities will be available. There is no easy solution to this problem, but you can ease your difficulties somewhat by being prepared. You should have your *curriculum vitae* ready to put in an envelope, a generic draft of a letter of application ready to be fine-tuned for particular job opportunities, and the rest of your file ready for mailing. This means talking to those who are to write letters of recommendation well in
advance, preparing material about your teaching capacities, and selecting a writing sample for those departments that request it. Applications should be complete, as well as clearly organized. It is to your advantage to send in your application as soon as possible after an ad appears.

**INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERVIEWERS**

**Requirements for Using the Placement Service**

1. It is expected that **all individual interviewers will register for the meeting** online at [www.apaonline.org](http://www.apaonline.org), by using the registration form found at the back of this issue, or by stopping at the APA registration desk (Marquis Level) to register on-site prior to using the Placement Service.

2. All **departments (not individuals)** planning to interview at the meeting must register for the Placement Service on our website ([www.apaonline.org](http://www.apaonline.org)) or complete the form at the end of this issue. Upon receipt of an advance registration form, additional information pertaining to the Placement Service will be sent to the attention of the contact person listed on the form. If you are completing a form and sending it by either mail or fax, please be sure to do so **at your earliest opportunity**. Otherwise, your materials may arrive after the staff has left the office to travel to the meeting. In this case, you must fill out the forms again on site. If time does not allow you to send them in advance, please bring them with you and turn them in at the Placement desk.

**Checking in with the Service**

*Before you begin to interview candidates*, you must check in with the Placement staff (Imperial Ballroom A, Marquis Level, Interviewers Area). We will need to know that you have arrived on site so that we can communicate that to candidates inquiring about your institution. At this time we will also request or confirm additional information as needed.

Some institutions accept interview requests at the meeting; some of these job notices may have appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* while others are unpublished positions. A new, unpublished position will be posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service area. If you are bringing such a job notice with you to the meeting, please provide the Placement staff with **four** copies of the notice for the position you are advertising. Such a position announcement should be typed on **one side only** in a good size and easy to read font, and be as brief as possible. Only positions that have **not** appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* will be posted. If you would like a position that has been posted at this meeting to appear in *JFP* immediately following the meeting, submit this ad immediately following the meeting via our website, jfp.apaonline.org. If you need assistance with this, please contact Mike Morris at mpmorris@udel.edu.

Some institutions interview by prearrangement after placing an advertisement in *Jobs for Philosophers* and then contacting candidates.
prior to the meeting. When you arrange an interview with candidates, please inform them that they must be APA members in order to use the service and they must register for the meeting in order to use the service.

If you plan to conduct job interviews anywhere other than at the interviewing tables/suites provided, please let the Placement Service know your plans. Candidates often ask questions about the location and time of their interviews, and the staff need to have complete, up-to-date information in order to help them (and you). Departments using a suite for interviewing must provide an interview schedule form at the time they check in with the Placement Service. Doing so enables the service to notify the candidates you wish to interview of your interviewing location. Be assured that only those candidates listed on your interview schedule will be told the location of your hotel room, unless you have directed staff to give this information to all candidates who ask. Departments interviewing at tables DO NOT need to complete this form.

Note: If you are conducting interviews in a hotel room, please be aware of the following policy statement adopted by the APA Board of Officers at its November 2004 meeting: “Departments should not conduct Job Interviews in non-suite hotel rooms. Candidates who are subject to such interviews can appeal to the APA and are guaranteed anonymity.” (Originally published in Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association, Vol. 78, No. 5, pp. 23, 119.)

APA INTERVIEWING TABLES

The Placement Service provides numbered tables for interviewing purposes; you will receive your table number assignment when you check in. These table number assignments will also be posted on the Placement Service Bulletin Board. Should additional space for interviewing tables be necessary, this location will be posted as well.

INSTITUTION FILE FOLDER (MAILBOX)

There will be a file folder bearing the name of your institution in the Interviewers Area of Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level). Requests for interviews from candidates will be placed in this file folder.

REVIEWING INTERVIEW REQUESTS

When a candidate requests an interview with your institution, you should receive her/his curriculum vitae attached to a “Request for Interview” form. The bottom of this form is to be completed by you and returned to Placement staff. If an interview is granted, space is provided on this form to list the time, date, and location of the interview. If you are unable to interview a candidate, space for this response is also provided on the form.

Any request you receive in an envelope contains confidential material and should be destroyed rather than returned to the candidate. As a matter
of professional courtesy, all requests should receive a response. When a candidate receives a request form back without a response, he or she is likely to assume that the material has not been reviewed and might return this to you via our service or contact your institution following the meeting.

Materials that you wish to transmit to a registered candidate should be handed in to the Placement Service staff located in Imperial Ballroom A (Marquis Level), and will be delivered to the candidate by our staff.

**APA Statement on Placement Practices**

The APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession reminds you of its Statement on Placement Practices: The APA discourages the nomination by graduate departments of job seekers for positions in philosophy, and the submission of their dossiers in response to announcements of positions, without their knowledge or interest. This may seriously mislead those who are conducting searches, and may have unfortunate consequences both for them and for genuinely interested applicants. Graduate departments using a nomination procedure or submitting dossiers on behalf of job seekers should either attest explicitly that the candidate wishes to be considered for the positions in question, or (preferably) ensure that the job seekers themselves submit personal letters of application for these positions. Departments conducting searches are encouraged to recommend or require explicitly (in their position announcements) that each candidate, to be assessed of full consideration, should submit a personal letter of application for and interest in the announced position. A Placement Ombudsperson will be available at the meeting.
**Paper Submission Guidelines**

**Anonymous Review:** Papers in any area are welcome. All papers are anonymously reviewed. Author’s name, institution, or references pertaining to the identity of the author must be removed from the paper, abstract, notes, and bibliography. Papers containing such identifying references may be rejected. Submitted papers are not returned to authors. Papers not accepted by one Division may be re-submitted for consideration to another Division. In submitting papers, authors warrant that those papers are entirely their own work or the joint work of the authors identified in the cover letter, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

**Graduate Student Travel Stipends and Awards:** Verification of graduate student status must be submitted according to the divisional guidelines.

**Submission via the online paper submission system is preferred. The Pacific Division only accepts submissions via the online system. Mailed submissions for Eastern and Central divisions may be sent to:**

Mike Morris  
ATTN: [Eastern or Central] Division Papers  
The American Philosophical Association  
University of Delaware  
Newark, DE 19716  
(302) 831-2012

**NOTE:** Authors of *accepted* papers will be asked to submit a copy of their abstract by e-mail to the National Office.

**Central Division:**

Meeting is usually held in February or March.  
Selections are announced in September, or before when possible.  
Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers. Abstracts for colloquium papers must not exceed a length of 150 words.  
Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.
The Central Division will not include a paper on its meeting program if that paper (1) has already been presented or is scheduled for presentation on the Main Program of another APA Divisional meeting or (2) has been accepted for publication and will have actually been published prior to the Central Division meeting in question. If a paper is accepted for presentation and the Program Committee subsequently learns that it will have been published prior to the meeting, then that paper will be withdrawn from the meeting program.

**EASTERN DIVISION:**

Meeting is generally held December 27-30.
Selections are announced in May or June.
Papers accepted by either the Central Division or the Pacific Division may not be presented at any subsequent meeting of the Eastern Division. Any paper which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper is expected to be published.
Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers. Abstracts for colloquium papers must not exceed 150 words.
Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.
Any paper submitted without an abstract will not be considered. Any paper whose abstract is deemed unacceptable by the Program Committee will not be accepted. No revised abstract submitted after the paper’s acceptance will be published in the *Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association* without the approval of the Program Committee.

**PACIFIC DIVISION:**

Papers and posters in any area are welcome. All papers and posters are reviewed anonymously.

**Important Notices**
The Pacific Division only accepts on-line submissions made through the APA submission website. *Submissions by post and by email are not accepted.*

The Pacific Division now invites submissions for poster presentations.
Paper Submission Guidelines

Important Dates

Deadline for membership dues and new applications (dues payments from members who still owe dues for the current fiscal year and membership applications from new applicants who are joining the APA for the first time): August 13

Deadline for submissions: September 1

Deadline for receipt of proof of graduate student status (for travel stipends): September 1

Submission Eligibility

1. Authors (and all co-authors) must be members in good standing of the APA at the time of submission.

2. Each member may be the author (or co-author) of one paper or poster. (If Jones and Smith co-author a paper, neither is eligible to submit a second item.)

3. Any paper or poster which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper or poster is expected to be published.

4. A paper or poster that has been on the Main Program at a past APA meeting (any division), or will be on the Main Program at a future Central or Eastern Division meeting, cannot be presented as a Main Program colloquium or symposium paper or poster at the Pacific Division meeting. However, papers not accepted by another Division may be submitted for consideration by the Pacific Division.

5. No one who is scheduled to appear in an invited session on the Main Program of a meeting may also present a submitted paper or poster as part of that same Main Program. Members who have already agreed to participate in invited sessions on the Main Program of a meeting are asked not to submit papers or posters for that same meeting. Please note that this does not apply to sessions organized by APA Committees or by affiliated groups.

Preparation for Anonymous Review

6. Submissions must be prepared for anonymous review. The author’s (and any co-author’s) name, institution, and references pertaining to the identity of the author must be removed from the paper, abstract(s), notes, and bibliography. Papers containing identifying references may be rejected.

Submission Process

7. All submissions must include an abstract of not more than 150 words. Those submitting posters also provide a long abstract of not more than 750 words (the poster itself is not submitted). Colloquium papers must not exceed 3000 words. Symposium papers must not exceed 5000 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should
submit the appropriately shortened version simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. (This will be considered a single submission.)

8. The Pacific Division only considers submissions made through the APA website. Postal submissions are not longer accepted. Submissions are not accepted by email.

**Graduate Student Travel Stipends**

9. A stipend of $300 will be awarded to any graduate student whose paper or poster is accepted by the Program Committee in its normal, anonymous review process.

10. Eligibility is restricted to APA members or associate members who are graduate students in residence and in good standing at a M.A. or Ph.D. program in philosophy. It is the author’s responsibility to ensure that a letter verifying that author of the paper or poster is a student in residence is provided to the APA. The letter must be formatted on departmental stationery and signed by the department chair or graduate advisor. It must be uploaded at the time of submission. If appropriate verification documentation is not supplied by the deadline, the submission will not be considered for a Travel Stipend.

11. Co-authored papers and posters are not eligible for Graduate Student Travel Stipends unless all authors are graduate students.

**Authors’ Warrants and Permissions**

12. In submitting papers or posters, authors warrant that their submissions are entirely their own work or the joint work of the named co-authors, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

13. In submitting a paper or poster, its authors grant to The American Philosophical Association the nonexclusive worldwide right to publish the abstract of the paper or poster in the Proceedings of the American Philosophical Association and on the APA and Pacific Division websites, in the event that the paper is accepted. Its authors also grant to The American Philosophical Association the nonexclusive worldwide right to post a preprint of the paper, in the form in which it was submitted, on the Pacific Division website, for up to four months prior to the meeting for which the paper is accepted. (The Division will not publish a preprint provided that the authors so notify the Pacific Division in writing at the time the paper is accepted.)

14. Authors agree to provide accurate email addresses as part of their contact information and must notify the Pacific Division of any address changes that may occur between the time of submission and the meeting.
MINUTES OF THE 2011 EASTERN DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING

1. The meeting was called to order at 11:18 a.m. by President Paul Guyer.
2. President Guyer announced the agenda, which had been distributed to members present, and appointed Stephanie Lewis as Parliamentarian.
3. The minutes of the 2010 Business Meeting, which had been published in the Proceedings, volume 85, number 1 (September 2011), were approved as published.
4. The Secretary-Treasurer announced that the minutes of the December 27, 2010, Executive Committee meeting had been published in the Proceedings, volume 85, number 1 (September 2011), and had been formally approved by the Executive Committee (at its December 27, 2011 meeting) as published. The Secretary-Treasurer also reported that the 2011 Program Committee had met in April 2011 to establish the submitted portion of the 2011 program, and that the 2012 Program Committee was working through email communication, without any in-person meeting, on the invited portion of the 2012 program.
5. The reports of Eastern Division mail ballots, which had been published in the Proceedings, volume 85, number 1 (September 2011), were received.
6. The financial condition of the Division was discussed. This included reports of the state of the Eastern Division investments as of June 30, 2011, and of the proposed and actual operating budget for 2010-2011 (both of which had been distributed to members present), together with an account of the decrease in the value of the investments since June 30, 2011. It was noted that the Division has generally depended on its investments to cover special expenses—particularly the $25,000 made available annually to the Board for the purpose of funding projects to benefit the profession (on which see further item 8 below)—but not regular operating expenses; however, the declining size of Eastern Division meetings, due to a movement away from in-person interviews at the meeting, makes the financial future of the Division much more uncertain.
7. The report of the Nominating Committee, which (as announced in the Proceedings, volume 85, number 2 (November 2011)) had been posted on the APA website since November 2011, was received.
8. The Secretary-Treasurer reported the following actions taken by the Executive Committee at its December 27, 2011, meeting: a) the committee agreed to continue its $25,000 annual commitment to the Board (on which see item 6 above) through 2014-2015 (agreements having already been made through 2014), but only on condition that
the total value of the Division’s investments as of June 30, 2013, is at least $500,000. If the value of the investments is less than that amount on that date, the Secretary-Treasurer was authorized to decide, in consultation with the committee, whether to discontinue funding for 2014-2015 or to provide funding at a lower rate. b) The committee decided on modest increases in both registration fees and exhibit booth fees for 2012, to begin to offset declines in other traditional sources of revenue for the both the Division and the national APA.
c) In light of the fall 2011 survey on meeting dates, the committee decided to change the dates of Eastern Division meetings to early January, beginning with the 2015-16 academic year, and authorized the Secretary-Treasurer to negotiate a contract for a meeting in early January 2016. d) The committee reappointed Richard Bett as Secretary-Treasurer for another three-year term, which is to be his last, and decided on a process for seeking a replacement for him, beginning with a series of advertisements in early 2012.

9. The Secretary-Treasurer announced the nominations of the Executive Committee for candidates for two positions on the Nominating Committee and invited other nominations from the floor. Listed alphabetically, the Executive Committee’s nominees were Louise Antony, Ladelle McWhorter, Dennis Schmidt, and Nancy Sherman. No further candidates were nominated from the floor.

10. The Secretary-Treasurer reported that the winners of the William James Prize and Graduate Student Travel Stipends had been awarded their prizes at a reception the previous evening, and were listed in the Proceedings, volume 85, number 1 (September 2011) at the place in the program where the reception was announced.

11. President Guyer read the names of those members whose deaths had been reported since the 2010 Business Meeting. Their names are:

1. Richard (Brad) Angell
2. Todd Bates
3. Carolyn C. Black
4. Salvator Cannavo
5. William Randolph Carter
6. Helene Dwyer
7. James W. Ellington
8. Lauren E. Fleming
9. Lawrence C. Foard
10. John J. Glanville
11. Jean Gabbert Harrell
12. Harmon R. Holcomb III
13. Bruce A. Jannusch
14. John Lewellyn King
15. Richard F. Kuhns
16. John Ladd
17. Sue Larson
18. Thelma Lavine
19. Edward J. Machle
20. Gareth Matthews
21. Irving Panush
22. Alan Pasch (E-Div ST 1965-69; First Executive Secretary of the APA [now called Executive Director] 1969-72)
23. Alan Paskow
24. Kurt Pritzl
25. Carl Putz
26. Robert J. Rafalko
27. Richard Robin
28. Sara Ruddick
29. Claudia Schmidt
30. Barbara C. Scholz
31. Oswald Schrag
32. Jordan H. Sobel
33. Lawrence H. Starkey
34. Kenneth Stern
35. R. Duane Thompson
36. William Tolhurst
37. Bernard Gert

At the request of President Guyer, the members present observed a minute of silent respect for these deceased members.

12. A report was received from David Schrader, Executive Director, concerning actions taken at the November 2011 Board meeting and other issues concerning the state of the National Office and the APA generally.

13. Under New Business, a proposal was brought forward to establish Philosophers for Social Responsibility, either as a committee of the APA or as an independent group. After considerable discussion, the sense of the meeting was to encourage Edward Casey and Chad Kautzer, the authors of the proposal, to develop a clearer and more specific proposal in consultation with the Executive Director and the Committee on Public Philosophy, to be presented to the national APA Board of Officers for consideration at its November 2012 meeting.

14. The agenda called for an open forum on issues concerning the welfare of the Division and the Association. Walter Sinnott-Armstrong drew attention to the search underway for a new Executive Director of the APA. William McBride drew attention to the upcoming World Congress of Philosophy in Athens in 2013, and encouraged contributions to sessions and attendance at the meeting; information on the World Congress can be found by a link from the FISP website (www.fisp.org), or directly at www.wcp2013.gr.
15. The meeting adjourned at 12:22 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Richard Bett
Secretary-Treasurer
American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division
MINUTES OF THE 2011 EASTERN DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING


The Executive Committee took the following actions at its meeting on December 27, 2011:

1. Approved the minutes of its previous meeting on December 27, 2010 (as published in Proceedings and Addresses volume 85, #1, September 2011, pp. 157-8).
2. Received a report on actions of other Eastern Division committees, including a list of the stipends awarded by the Program Committee in connection with the 2011 meeting.
3. Set the agenda for the 2011 Business Meeting.
4. Heard a report from David Schrader, Executive Director of the Association, concerning actions at the 2011 Board meeting.
5. Received a report on the Division’s financial situation.
6. Received a report on the projects funded by the Board at its 2011 meeting, using the $25,000 made available for this purpose by the Eastern Division, and also progress reports on the projects funded at the previous Board meeting. In line with the procedure agreed upon at the Executive Committee’s 2006 meeting (item 8a), the Committee also agreed to continue its annual commitment of $25,000 through the academic year 2014-2015, but on condition that the total value of the Eastern Division’s investments is at least $500,000 on June 30, 2013. Should the value of the investments be less than that amount on that date, the Secretary-Treasurer was authorized to decide, in consultation with the remainder of the committee, whether to discontinue this funding altogether or to commit to funding for 2014-2015 at some level below $25,000. In addition, the Committee agreed on a set of recommendations concerning factors to be considered by potential applicants for future funded projects, and by the Board in assessing applications: 1) Projects that involve outreach to groups previously unacquainted with philosophy, or new approaches in the field, should in general be preferred to projects in traditional mainstream areas of the subject; 2) there should be a presumption against projects that benefit one department alone, or for which the APA is the sole funder;
and 3) the budget for a proposed project should not be too heavily weighted towards honoraria for one or two people.

7. Approved the 2011-2012 Divisional budget, and agreed on a set of modest increases in both registration fees and exhibit booth fees, to take effect for the 2012 meeting.

8. Agreed to continue for another three years the policy of pooling advertising revenue with that of the other two Divisions for the purpose of supporting Divisional activities.

9. Decided, in light of the recent survey on meeting dates, to change the Eastern Division meeting time to the weekend following the first Monday in January; this change is to take effect no later than the 2015-16 academic year. It was also agreed that the results of the survey should be made public.

10. Authorized the Secretary-Treasurer to negotiate a meeting contract for early January 2016.

11. Appointed Richard Bett Secretary-Treasurer for a fourth three-year term beginning July 2012, on the same conditions as for his first three terms, and agreed on a set of procedures to begin a search for a new Secretary-Treasurer to replace him, either at the end of his next three-year term or earlier.

12. Recognized as affiliate groups the Society for Moral Inquiry and (pending a minor change in its application materials, to be worked out with the Secretary-Treasurer) the Association for the Philosophy of Judaism.

13. Decided on a ranked short-list of possible candidates for the 2013 Dewey Lecturer.

14. Nominated four candidates for two positions on the 2012 Nominating Committee. They are Louise Antony, Ladelle McWhorter, Dennis Schmidt, and Nancy Sherman. Two alternates were also decided upon, to be contacted should the number of candidates agreeing to stand be considered too small.

15. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the 2013 Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.

16. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.
Election Results

Results of Eastern Division Elections

As a result of the mail ballot that took place in the spring of 2012, the following Eastern Division members have been elected to office in the Eastern Division.

• Sally Haslanger has been elected to the office of Vice President for 2012-2013. Professor Haslanger will become President for 2013-2014 without further election.
• Amy Allen and Christia Mercer have been elected as members-at-large of the Executive Committee for 2012-2015.
• Ladelle McWhorter and Nancy Sherman have been elected as members of the Nominating Committee for 2012-2014.
Childcare Information

Members who need childcare during the 2012 Eastern Division meeting may wish to contact the Atlanta office of the Georgia Child Care Resource and Referral agency:

Quality Care for Children (Main Office)
Druid Pointe
2751 Buford Highway - Suite 500
Atlanta, GA 30324
Phone: 404-479-4200
Website: [http://www.qualitycareforchildren.org](http://www.qualitycareforchildren.org)
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ANTHEM FOUNDATION FOR OBJECTIVIST SCHOLARSHIP

BLOOMSBURY
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Please Note: It is expected that Advance Registration and Placement Service Registration for Interviewing Departments will also be able to be performed online. Check the APA website at http://www.apaonline.org.
Meeting Registration Updates

We are happy to announce that ★ ONLINE REGISTRATION ★ is available for the 2012 Eastern Division Meeting.

Go to http://www.apaonline.org and click on the registration link. Registration is also available by mail or fax.

We cannot accept ANY registration forms by email.

We have changed our policy from having only one deadline for all advanced registrations to now having two. This will allow advance registration (done online) to be available up until the night before the divisional conferences.

- The deadline for advanced online registration is December 26, 2012, at 11:59 p.m.
- The APA National Office must receive mailed or faxed advanced registrations by November 30, 2012. Any items received after this date cannot be processed and you will need to register on-site at the higher rate.
- To register for the Eastern Division Meeting if you miss both deadlines, you will need to either register on-site at the registration desk or online at www.apaonline.org at the higher rate of $35 for students, $100 for regular/international/emeritus, and $150 for nonmembers.

The National Office is trying to reduce the time it takes members to register on-site at the divisional meetings. This year we are offering online registration throughout the entire meeting. We will also have a kiosk available at the registration desk that will allow individuals to register themselves on-site for the conference. All members should check to make sure that their dues are current before each meeting to reduce the time they may need to wait in line at the registration desk. The “Pay My Dues” link is located under the Members Only section at www.apaonline.org; visible to members after they LOG ON to the site. Membership questions may be directed to Janet Sample at jsample@udel.edu.

~APA Meeting Staff
To register online, please go to our website at http://www.apaonline.org
To register by fax, please send this form with your credit card payment to (302)-831-3372. No cover sheet is needed.
To register by mail, please mail this form to Linda Smallbrook, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716-4797.

The deadline for **advanced online** registration is December 26, 2012, at midnight.
The deadline for **advanced registrations mailed or faxed** to the APA National Office is November 30, 2012.
After the above mentioned deadlines, you will need to either register on-site at the Eastern Division Meeting or online at www.apaonline.org at the higher rate of $35 for students, $100 for regular/international/emeritus, and $150 for nonmembers.

*We cannot accept ANY registration forms by email*

Please print legibly. This information is used for your meeting name badge.

Name: ____________________________________________________________

School/Organization: _______________________________________________

Date of birth: _____/_____/_____ Phone Number: __________________________

Advance Registration Rates (**Please see the above mentioned deadlines**)  
______ $25.00 APA Student Members  
______ $80.00 APA Regular, International & Emeritus Members  
______ $120.00 Nonmembers

☐ (APA Members Only) **PLACEMENT REGISTRATION**  
- Check box if you will be a job candidate-

☐ Check* (Payable to APA)  
*The APA can only accept checks drawn on a U.S. bank, in U.S. Dollars.

☐ Visa  
☐ MasterCard

Last 3 digits on back of card [ ] [ ] [ ] Exp. Date [ / ] [ / ]

Name as it appears on Card: ___________________________________________

Signature of Authorization: ___________________________________________

**EMAIL ADDRESS FOR RECEIPT:** ____________________________________

Please print legibly.
EASTERN 2012

RECEPTION TABLE FORM
DECEMBER 27 – 30, 2012 THE ATLANTA MARRIOTT MARQUIS, ATLANTA, GA

Fax this form with your credit card payment to Melissa Milburn (302-831-3372). No cover sheet is needed. Or, if you prefer, mail this form with your payment to Melissa Milburn, APA, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

Payment must accompany your registration form and you must fill in all of the information, including your address & email address. We will be unable to process your request without proper payment.

There are two receptions scheduled for the 2012 Eastern Division Meeting and both are being held in the Marquis Ballroom B, C, & D (Marquis Level).
- The first reception is on December 28th (8:00 pm to midnight). This reception provides beer and soft drinks at no charge. Admittance is by registration name badge or ticket only.
- The second reception is on December 29th (9:00 pm to midnight). This reception is a cash bar.

The charge for a table at both receptions is $50.00 total. To reserve a table, please complete the following and return to Melissa Milburn, APA, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716; or fax the form to 302-831-3372. The deadline for receipt of reservations is November 30. After that time we will still take reservations but your school’s name will not appear in the printed handout.

We cannot accept ANY reception table forms by email.

School/Organization: ________________________________
Contact Name: ___________________________ Phone #: ____________
Street Address: ________________________________
City, State & Zip ____________________________

Please select an option for payment and fill out the form completely if paying by credit card.

1) Check* (Payable to APA)
   *The APA can only accept checks drawn on a U.S. bank, in U.S. Dollars.

2) Visa   MasterCard   Exp. Date   
   Last 3 digits on back of card   

Name as it appears on Card: ________________________________
Signature of Authorization: ________________________________
EMAIL ADDRESS FOR RECEIPT: ________________________________
(Please print legibly)

~ Thank You! ~
Placement Service Registration for Interviewing Departments
The APA 2012 Eastern Division Meeting
Atlanta Marriott Marquis, Atlanta, GA, December 27-30, 2012

Only one form is required for each interviewing department. Once completed, this can be faxed to (302) 831-8690.

Institution: ___________________________ Department: ______________________________
Contact: _____________________________ Phone: __________________________________
Address: _____________________________________________________________________
City, State, Zip: ___________________________ Email: _______________________________

All persons conducting interviews should be registered** for the Eastern Division Meeting as well as the department being registered with the Placement Service.

**Registered members of the department who will be conducting interviews:
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

Our department will:

_____ need interviewing table(s) Quantity of Tables_____
_____ conduct interviews in a hotel suite (you must contact Linda Smallbrook to reserve a suite)

Our department will:

_____ provide suite # and list of prearranged candidates to whom suite information may be given
_____ provide suite # and allow service to give to anyone who requests it

Our department will:

_____ conduct prearranged interviews only
_____ accept interview requests on-site

If advertised in JFP, please list the date(s) of the ad(s): ______________________

Registration Fees (Please Check One): ______ Pre-Registration, By December 2, 2012: $50.00

______ *Regular Registration, After December 2, 2012: $75.00

Credit Card Type: (Circle One) VISA / MASTERCARD
Credit Card #: ___________________________ Phone #:______________________________
Exp. Date: _____/______
Last 3 Digits on back of card: ________

Name on Card: ___________________________ Email: _______________________________
Signature: _______________________________ Phone #:______________________________

***Check #: ___________________ Check Date: _____________ Check Amount_____

***Payable to: The American Philosophical Association. The APA only accepts checks drawn on U.S. banks in U.S. funds, or Int’l. Money Orders in U.S. funds. There is a $30 charge for all returned checks. **If PAYMENT is not received in our office by December 2, 2012 you MUST pay the on-site fee! Please mail form and payment to: Attn: Placement Services, The American Philosophical Association, 31 Amstel Avenue, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.
The Atlanta Marriott Marquis prefers that we register for hotel rooms through their website or by phone calls only (no faxing reservation forms). The links below will get you to both regular and nonmember rooms as well as the student rooms. Please keep in mind when registering for a student room, your APA student membership will be verified after you register. If we find that your status is not that of a student, you will be charged the regular and nonmember rate.

Toll Free Number: 1-877-622-3056

**Regular and nonmember** single/double room rates are $149/night plus 16.0% tax. Check-in time is 4:00 pm and check-out time is noon. Please be sure to let the hotel know if you are physically challenged and have special room requirements.

**Reservation Link for Regular/Nonmember:**
https://resweb.passkey.com/Resweb.do?mode=welcome_ei_new&eventID=9559717

**Student Member** room rates are $139/night plus 16.0% tax. Check-in time is 4:00 pm and check-out time is noon. Please be advised that we will be verifying that all persons who sign up for the student rate are indeed students. Please be sure to let the hotel know if you are physically challenged and have special room requirements.

**Reservation Link for Student Members:**
https://resweb.passkey.com/Resweb.do?mode=welcome_ei_new&eventID=9724785

Rooms are available on a first-come, first-served basis and subject to availability. Reservations must be made by December 7, 2012, to be assured of the APA rate.

~Thank You!~
HOTEL SUITE INFORMATION

The American Philosophical Association
Eastern Division Meeting, December 27 – 30, 2012
The Atlanta Marriott Marquis, Atlanta, GA

To provide sufficient interviewing space and to comply with the APA’s policy on discouraging job interviews in sleeping rooms, suites will be available for rent by schools using the APA Placement Service.

This year we should have a sufficient number of suites available. They will be rented on a first-come, first-served basis. Each school will be limited to one suite rental. Suites are subject to 16.0% tax per night. Check-in time is 4:00 p.m. and check-out time is noon. Deadline to cancel a guaranteed reservation without penalty is 72 hours prior to arrival.

For information about the suites and to receive the appropriate rental forms, please contact Melissa Milburn after September 15, 2012, at the APA National Office, preferably through email at meetings@apaonline.org with the words “suite rental” in the subject line. If email is not an option you may call 302-831-0468.

Each school renting a suite will be required to fill out a Registration for Placement Interview Form and a Suite Rental Form and return these forms in a timely manner complete with payment to Melissa Milburn at the National Office (fax: 302-831-3372). After completion of paperwork and payment, suite confirmation numbers will be sent out in mid December. You will need a credit card to reserve your suite.

The deadline to make a reservation for a suite is December 3, 2012, although we cannot guarantee that any suites will be available at that late date.

Please note: It is important that suite reservations not be canceled too close to the meeting. The number of schools requesting suites always exceeds the number of suites available; if a reservation is canceled at the last minute, it is generally not possible to reassign the suite. This is problematic for two reasons: those who could have used suites are unable to do so, and the Eastern Division’s room occupancy commitment—which affects the amount of meeting space available—becomes harder to fulfill. Suites count considerably more for this purpose than regular rooms.

For these reasons, the deadline for canceling a suite reservation without penalty is November 15. Any school that cancels a suite reservation after that date will be charged the cost of one night in the suite that was reserved.

Thank You!
APA REGISTRATION POLICY

It is the policy of the APA that all persons attending sessions, including those who are participating as speakers, commentators or session chairs, are required to register for each meeting they attend. In addition, we have always recommended that all participants wear their APA badges during session hours to identify themselves as registered participants. In an effort better to enforce this policy we have begun a system of checking meeting attendees, both at random and if we suspect an attendee may not be registered, for proof of registration. If you are asked to provide proof of registration and are unable to do so, you will be asked to register immediately in order to attend sessions. Registration fees help subsidize every divisional meeting. Without that income we will be unable to ensure the quality and excellence of our meetings which you have come to expect. We thank you for your continued cooperation.
HOTEL DIAGRAMS

LOBBY LEVEL
MARQUIS LEVEL