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LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER

To All Members of the Association:

The one hundred and second Annual Meeting of the Eastern Division will be held from Tuesday, December 27th through Friday, December 30th, 2005, at the Hilton New York. All official meeting functions will take place at this hotel. Hotel accommodations at convention rates are available at the Hilton New York. I suggest that you make reservations at your earliest convenience.

[NOTE: early reservations are especially important this year. Please see the Special Notice on Hotel Reservations included with the forms.] This issue of the *Proceedings* contains the following items:

1. The complete program, including the program for group meetings.
2. An advance registration form for individuals.
3. An advance registration form for departments planning to interview candidates at the meeting and wishing to use the APA Placement Service.
4. Forms for room reservations and room reservations at student rates.
5. A table reservation form for the receptions.

Additional copies of the full program will be available at the Registration Desk.

1. **Registration**

Rates for registration are as follows:

- APA Members: $50
- Non-members: $60
- Student members: $10

These rates are the same as for the previous three years. Of the $50 the Eastern Division collects from each APA member, $35 will be returned to the National Office to cover the salaries of staff members essential to the functioning of the Division. The remaining $15 will go to offset meeting expenses directly.

Persons who are not now members may take advantage of the lower registration fee for members by joining the APA. Regular and student membership application forms are available from the APA National Office, on the APA's web site (www.udel.edu/apa), and will be available during the meeting at the APA Registration Desk. The APA Registration Desk will be open on:

- Tuesday, December 27: 3:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.
- Wednesday, December 28: 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
- Thursday, December 29: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- Friday, December 30: 9:00 a.m. to Noon
Only registrants are entitled to attend the Reception on December 28th at no additional charge. Non-registrants who wish to attend this reception must purchase a $10 ticket; tickets will be available at the door to the reception. For those who register, name badges will serve as proof of registration.

2. Placement Service

The APA Placement Service functions mainly as a channel of communication between interviewers and job applicants. It can be particularly valuable when other arrangements fail to function properly. For this reason, interviewers and candidates, even those who plan to use the Placement Center for no other purpose, should inform the Placement Center about how they may be contacted in case of an emergency. The placement process will operate most smoothly when appointing officers and applicants make preparations for their part in advance of the Annual Meeting and when interviews are arranged prior to the meeting on the basis of listings in Jobs for Philosophers. Detailed information about the APA Placement Service can be found elsewhere in this issue of the Proceedings.

A Placement Ombudsperson, either the Chair or a member of the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement, will be available in the Placement Service area for anyone encountering problems with the interviewing process.

3. Book Exhibits

The publishers’ book exhibit area is in the Rhinelander Gallery on the second floor of the Hilton New York. It is open at the following times:

- Wednesday, December 28: 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
- Thursday, December 29: 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
- Friday, December 30: 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

4. Presidential Address

The Presidential Address will be delivered by Jerry Fodor at 4:45 p.m., December 29th, in the Grand Ballroom (Third Floor). The title of the address is “What’s Universally Quantified and Necessary and A Posteriori and it Flies South for the Winter?”

5. Receptions and Reception Tables

There will be Receptions on the nights of December 28th, from 8:00 p.m. to Midnight, and December 29th, from 9:00 p.m. to Midnight. Both Receptions will take place in the Grand Ballroom (Third Floor).

The large round tables at the Receptions will be numbered. A chart will be distributed at registration giving the table locations along with an index showing, for each table, who has reserved it.

Departments and societies are invited to reserve tables for a fee of $25 per table for both nights. If you wish to reserve a table, please fill out and mail the form included in the back pages of this issue of the Proceedings or call or email Ms. Linda Smallbrook at the National Office (Phone: 302-831-1113; Fax: 302-831-3372; email: lindas@udel.edu). The deadline for making table reservations is December 12th.
6. Business Meeting and Voting Membership

The annual Business Meeting will be held on December 29th at 11:15 a.m. in the Grand Ballroom. There will be reports from Divisional and National Officers and Committees.

According to the Association’s By-Laws, regular membership is given by an act of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board of Officers. Each year the list of regular members that appears in the November issue of the Proceedings is used as the list of eligible voters for the three subsequent Divisional meetings. Certification of persons as voting affiliates of the Eastern Division will take place at the entrance to the Business Meeting itself, for those wishing to attend and to vote.

7. Resolutions

(a) All resolutions to be presented for inclusion on the agenda of the Business Meeting as separate items must be submitted in writing to the Secretary-Treasurer at least nine weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by October 25, 2005) in order to be properly announced to the membership.

(b) No question of a substantive nature other than routine business shall be in order under “New Business” unless it has been received by the Secretary-Treasurer three weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by December 6, 2005) for transmission to the Executive Committee, except if three-fourths of the Eastern Division affiliates in attendance at the Business Meeting vote that such a question should be taken up at that meeting.

(c) According to section 9. c of the By-Laws of the APA, “Resolutions which purport to represent the sense of a division on matters of public policy may be voted on only by mail ballot authorized by the annual Business Meeting of that division. Such mail ballots will include relevant minutes of the meeting and a summary of the arguments presented.”

(d) The Eastern Division Executive Committee has the prerogative to order a mail ballot on any question of a substantive nature other than routine business that may come before the Business Meeting.

(e) There is a Resolutions Subcommittee of the Executive Committee consisting of the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, each of whom is available to consult with members before and during the Annual Meeting, on the preparation of resolutions.

8. The 2006 Program Committee

The 2006 Program Committee invites contributions for the 2006 Annual Meeting that will be held in Washington, D.C., on December 27-30, 2006. The 2006 Program Committee is composed of:

Marc Lange (Chair)
Richard Bett (ex officio)
Susan Brison
The deadline for submitted papers for the 2006 meeting is February 15, 2006. For further details on how and where to submit papers for consideration, see the section on Paper Submission Guidelines elsewhere in this issue.

The 2006 Program Committee welcomes nominations, including self-nominations, for commentators and session chairs. In selecting commentators and chairs, the Program Committee tends to prefer, other things being equal, persons who have not made frequent appearances on the Eastern Division Program in the past few years. Nominations should be sent to the Chair of the Program Committee, Marc Lange, Department of Philosophy, University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill, Caldwell Hall, CB#3125, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3125. Nominations should be received by the Chair no later than April 1, 2006; they should include a short list of significant or representative publications by the nominee, and should indicate the nominee’s area(s) of specialization. (A curriculum vitae is not required for this purpose.)

9. GRADUATE STUDENT TRAVEL STIPENDS AND THE WILLIAM JAMES PRIZE

The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that there are two sources of financial support for junior members of the Association who wish to submit papers for consideration for the Eastern Division program. One source is the Graduate Student Travel Stipends, the other is the William James Prize. The guidelines for both are given below.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends. The Eastern Division will award a $300 travel stipend for any paper written by a graduate student that is accepted by the Program Committee in its normal, blind-review process. Eligibility is restricted to APA members or student associate members who are graduate students in good standing in an M.A. or Ph.D. program in philosophy. A graduate student may submit a paper either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Guidelines).
Submission Information elsewhere in the *Proceedings* or on the APA web site, [www.udel.edu/apa](http://www.udel.edu/apa), with two modifications. First, the author must indicate that the paper is being submitted for consideration for a graduate student travel stipend. If the paper is submitted electronically, this is to be done by checking the relevant box on the online submission form; if it is submitted by mail, the paper must be accompanied by a cover letter indicating that the paper is in competition for a Graduate Student stipend. (This information is *not to be included in the paper itself*.) Second, whichever method of submission is used, the author must also supply, at the time of submission, documentation from the author’s institution showing that the author is a graduate student in good standing.

**William James Prize.** The Eastern Division will award the William James Prize to the best paper in the area of American Philosophy that is both (a) written by a philosopher who received the Ph.D. after January 1, 2001, or is a graduate student, and (b) accepted for inclusion in the Eastern Division program by the Program Committee through the normal process of blind-reviewing. Thanks to a generous gift from Professor and Mrs. John Lachs, the James Prize carries with it an honorarium of $300. For purposes of competition for the James Prize, American Philosophy is to be construed broadly as including not only studies of the work of significant thinkers in the history of American Philosophy but also creative extensions or applications of the ideas, methods, or results of traditional American Philosophy to philosophical issues of current interest or lasting importance.

A paper may be submitted for the competition for the James Prize either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. *Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.* Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the *Proceedings* or on the APA web site, [www.udel.edu/apa](http://www.udel.edu/apa)). In addition, each submission for the competition for the James Prize must be accompanied by a letter from its author both (a) stating either the date on which the author’s Ph.D. was granted if the author has already received the Ph.D., or the fact that the author is a graduate student if that is the case, and (b) expressing the author’s wish to have the paper considered for the James Prize. (The paper may, as usual, be submitted either electronically or by mail, but the letter must be mailed.)

The competition for the William James Prize in 2006 will be judged by a subcommittee of the 2006 Program Committee. Its members are Marc Lange (Chair of the Program Committee, serving *ex officio*), Richard Bett (Secretary-Treasurer of the Eastern Division, serving *ex officio*), and two other committee members.

In the event that no entrant marked specifically for the William James Prize competition is accepted by the Program Committee, the Program Committee will survey the Graduate Student Travel Stipend recipients to see whether
any of those papers qualifies by topic for the James Prize. If one (or more) does so qualify, it (or the best one) will receive the William James Prize instead of a Graduate Student Travel Stipend. No paper may be awarded both the William James Prize and a Graduate Student Travel Stipend.

Each recipient of an award will be acknowledged in the printed program for the annual Eastern Division Meeting.

10. APA Prize Reception

There will be a special reception for the presentation of awards, at which all meeting registrants are welcome. The prize reception will take place in the Mercury Rotunda (Third Floor) at 5:00-6:00 p.m. on December 28. The awards to be presented include the Graduate Student Travel Stipends, as well as a number of national APA prizes; the specifics are listed with the announcement of the reception in the main program.

11. The 2007 Program Committee

The Eastern Division’s Committee on Committees, whose ex officio members are the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, invites nominations, including self-nominations, for membership on the 2007 Program Committee. Nominees should be voting affiliates of the Eastern Division. Each nomination must be accompanied by the nominee’s curriculum vitae and must be received by December 1, 2005, at the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218-2686.

On behalf of the Executive and Program Committees, I extend to every member of the American Philosophical Association a warm invitation to take part in our one hundred and second Annual Meeting.

Cordially yours,

Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer
EASTERN DIVISION COMMITTEES, 2005-2006

EASTERN DIVISION OFFICERS
Jerry Fodor, President
Seyla Benhabib, Vice President
Ernest Sosa, Immediate Past President
Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer
Bob Brandom, Divisional Representative

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Cheshire Calhoun          Seyla Benhabib, ex officio
Jorge Garcia             Richard Bett, ex officio
Christine Korsgaard      Bob Brandom, ex officio
Michele Moody-Adams      Jerry Fodor, ex officio
David Rosenthal          Ernest Sosa, ex officio
Merold Westphal

NOMINATING COMMITTEE
Ernest Sosa, Chair
Ned Block
Daniel Dahlstrom
Patricia Kitcher
Amelie Rorty

PROGRAM COMMITTEE
John Heil, Chair          David Reeve
Babette Babich            Carol Rovane
Richard Bett, ex officio  Alison Simmons
Susan Brison              Jonathan Vogel
Richard Feldman           Stephen Watson
Marc Lange                Stephen Yablo
Margaret Little
ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE EASTERN DIVISION PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The function of the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee is to advise the Program Committee about the invited portion of the Eastern Division program. Members of the Advisory Committee are appointed to fill particular areas of specialization for three-year staggered terms by The Eastern Division’s Executive Committee, with the advice of the Committee on Committees. The present members of the Advisory Committee (2005), with their areas of specialization and their terms of office, are given below.

HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

ANCIENT
Patricia Curd (05-08)
Victor Caston (04-07)
Christopher Bobonich (03-06)

MEDIEVAL AND RENAISSANCE
Peter King (05-08)
Calvin Normore (04-07)
Stephen Menn (03-06)

MODERN
Don Baxter (05-08)
John Carriero (04-07)
Catherine Wilson (03-06)

NINETEENTH CENTURY
Paul Franks (05-08)
Bernard Reginster (04-07)
Eckart Förster (03-06)

TWENTIETH CENTURY
Ian Proops (05-08)
Edward Minar (04-07)
Joan Weiner (03-06)

TRADITIONAL DIVISIONS

METAPHYSICS
Ted Sider (05-08)
Peter Van Inwagen (04-07)
Tamar Gendler (03-06)

EPISTEMOLOGY
Richard Foley (05-08)
Peter Klein (04-07)
John Hawthorne (03-06)

ETHICS
Stephen Darwall (05-08)
Samuel Scheffler (04-07)
Christine Korsgaard (03-06)

AESTHETICS
John Carvalho (05-08)
Cynthia Freeland (04-07)
Richard Eldridge (03-06)

LOGIC
Aldo Antonelli (05-08)
Keith Simmons (04-07)
John MacFarlane (03-06)
**SPECIAL FIELDS**

**PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE**
- Jason Stanley (05-08)
- Nicholas Asher (04-07)
- Zoltan Szabo (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF LAW**
- Randall Curren (05-08)
- Seana Shiffrin (04-07)
- Liam Murphy (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE**
- Tim Maudlin (05-08)
- Karen Neander (04-07)
- Elisabeth Lloyd (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF MIND**
- Joseph Levine (05-08)
- Jennifer Neander (04-07)
- Ned Block (03-06)

**SOCIAL AND POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY**
- Erin Kelly (05-08)
- Iris Young (04-07)
- David Rasmussen (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF MATHEMATICS**
- Mark Wilson (05-08)
- Mark Steiner (04-07)
- Juliet Floyd (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF LITERATURE**
- Colin McGinn (05-08)
- William Irwin (04-07)
- Genevieve Lloyd (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION**
- Dean Zimmerman (05-08)
- William Mann (04-07)
- Merold Westphal (03-06)

**NON-WESTERN PHILOSOPHY**
- Graham Parkes (05-08)
- Joel Kupperman (04-07)
- Arindam Chakrabarti (03-06)

**APPLIED ETHICS**
- Bonnie Steinbock (05-08)
- Denis Arnold (04-07)
- Judith Lichtenberg (03-06)

**FEMINISM**
- Louise Antony (05-08)
- Jane Duran (04-07)
- Nancy Tuana (03-06)

**AFRICANA**
- Emmanuel Eze (05-08)
- Lucius Outlaw (04-07)
- Lewis Gordon (03-06)

**AMERICAN PHILOSOPHY**
- Philip Kitcher (05-08)
- John McDermott (04-07)
- Vincent Colapietro (03-06)

**PHILOSOPHY OF EDUCATION**
- Shaun Gallagher (05-08)
- Gareth Matthews (04-07)
- Catherine Elgin (03-06)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHENOMENOLOGY, EXISTENTIALISM, AND HERMENEUTICS</th>
<th>POSTMODERNISM, PHILOSOPHY OF CULTURE, AND CRITICAL THEORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Baynes (05-08)</td>
<td>Eduardo Mendieta (05-08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristina Lafont (04-07)</td>
<td>Susan Bordo (04-07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Evans (03-06)</td>
<td>Leonard Lawlor (03-06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

EASTERN DIVISION
ONE HUNDRED SECOND
ANNUAL MEETING PROGRAM

DECEMBER 27-30, 2005
HILTON NEW YORK

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 27

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
1:00-6:00 p.m., Hilton Board Room (Fourth Floor)

REGISTRATION
3:00-10:00 p.m., Second Floor Promenade

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
Interviewers: 2:00-10:00 p.m., Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Candidates: 3:00-10:00 p.m., Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interview Tables: Americas Hall I (Third Floor)

TUESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-11:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association for Symbolic Logic, Concourse G
International Society for Chinese Philosophy, Concourse F
North American Nietzsche Society, Concourse A
Philosophy of Time Society, Concourse E
Society for Realist/Anti-Realist Discussion, Concourse C
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Concourse D
**Wednesday Morning, December 28**

**Registration**
9:00 a.m.-7:00 p.m., Second Floor Promenade

**Placement Information**
Interviewers: 9:00 a.m.-7:00 p.m., Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Candidates: 9:00 a.m.-7:00 p.m., Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interview Tables: Americas Hall I (Third Floor)

**Book Exhibits**
10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., Rhinelander Gallery (Second Floor)

**Committee and Group Meetings, 9:00-11:00 a.m.**
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Concourse B
American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Concourse C
Hegel Society of America, Concourse A
History of Early Analytic Philosophy Society, Concourse F
International St. Thomas Society, Concourse G
Karl Jaspers Society of North America and Hannah Arendt Circle, Gibson Suite (Second Floor)
North American Society for Social Philosophy, Morgan Suite (Second Floor)
North American Spinoza Society, Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Simone de Beauvoir Circle, Concourse H
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Concourse D
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy and William James Society, Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies, Bryant Suite (Second Floor)

**Committee and Group Meetings, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.**
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Society for Value Inquiry, Concourse B
Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Concourse C
Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious, Concourse D
Bertrand Russell Society, Concourse F
Concerned Philosophers for Peace, Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
International Society for Buddhist Philosophy, Concourse H
International Society for Environmental Ethics, *Concourse G*
Molinari Society, *Morgan Suite (Second Floor)*
Personalist Discussion Group, *Bryant Suite (Second Floor)*
Société Américaine de Philosophie de Langue Française, *Clinton Suite (Second Floor)*
Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession and the Society of Philosophers in America, *Regent Parlor (Second Floor)*

**WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28**

**SESSION I – 2:00-5:00 P.M.**

**I-A. Symposium: Evil, Atrocity, and Complicity**

*2:00-5:00 p.m., Beekman Parlor (Second Floor)*

Chair: Margaret Walker (Arizona State University)

Speakers: Iris Marion Young (University of Chicago)
          Christopher Kutz (University of California–Berkeley)

Commentator: Claudia Card (University of Wisconsin)

**I-B. Symposium: Philosophy for Children 30 Years Later**

*2:00-5:00 p.m., Regent Parlor (Second Floor)*

Chair: Gareth Matthews (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

Speakers: Kristina Calvert (University of Hamburg–Germany)
          David Kennedy (Montclair State University)

Commentator: Gareth Matthews (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

**I-C. Symposium: Phenomenology and the Environment**

*2:00-5:00 p.m., Sutton Parlor South (Second Floor)*

Chair: Bruce Foltz (Eckerd College)

Speakers: Edward Casey (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
          Charles Scott (Pennsylvania State University)

Commentator: David Wood (Vanderbilt University)

**I-D. Symposium: A Priori Knowledge and Justification**

*2:00-5:00 p.m., Sutton Parlor Center (Second Floor)*

Chair: Hilary Kornblith (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

Speakers: George Bealer (Yale University)
          Michael Devitt (City University of New York–Graduate Center)

Commentator: James Pryor (Princeton University)
I-E. Authors Meet Critics: Max Bennett and Peter Hacker, *Philosophical Foundations of Neuroscience*
2:00-5:00 p.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Owen Flanagan (Duke University)
Critics: Daniel Dennett (Tufts University)
       John Searle (University of California–Berkeley)
Authors: Max Bennett (University of Sydney) and Peter Hacker
        (Oxford University)

I-F. Author Meets Critics: David Sedley, *Plato's Cratylus*
2:00-5:00 p.m., Sutton Parlor North (Second Floor)
Chair: Matthew Evans (New York University)
Critics: James Allen (University of Pittsburgh)
       Christine Thomas (Dartmouth College)
Author: David Sedley (Cambridge University)

I-G. Colloquium: Hermeneutics
2:00-5:00 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: James Risser (Seattle University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Sebastian Luft (Marquette University)
       “Husserl’s ‘Hermeneutical Phenomenology’”
Commentator: John Drummond (Fordham University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: James Couch (Southern Illinois University)
       “The Worldly and Human Significance of Art: An Exercise in Understanding through Arendt and Gadamer”
Commentator: Bernard Flynn (New School University)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: William McNeill (DePaul University)
       “A Wave in the Stream of Chaos: Life Beyond the Body in Heidegger’s *Nietzsche*”
Commentator: William Richardson (Boston College)

I-H. Colloquium: Philosophy of Language
2:00-5:00 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Mark Richard (Tufts University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Tomis Kapitan (Northern Illinois University)
       “Indexicals and Modality”
Commentator: Graeme Forbes (Tulane University)
3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: Berit Brogaard (University of Missouri–St. Louis)
   “Russell’s Theory of Descriptions vs. the Predicative Analysis: A Reply to Graff”
Commentator: Delia Graff (Princeton University)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: Anthony Corsentino (Harvard University)
   “Predicates and Properties”
Commentator: Ram Neta (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

I-I. Colloquium: Rawlsian Liberalism and the Circumstances of Justice
2:00-5:00 p.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
   Chair: Jon Garthoff (Northwestern University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Speaker: Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)
   “Does Political Liberalism Rest on a Mistake?”
Commentator: Victoria Kamsler (Institute for Advanced Study–Princeton)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Speaker: Paul Gomberg (Chicago State University)
   “Dilemmas of Rawlsian Opportunity”
Commentator: Charles Mills (University of Illinois–Chicago)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Speaker: Hye-ryoung Kang (University of Colorado–Boulder)
   “Rethinking ‘The Circumstances of Global Justice’ in Non-ideal Conditions”
Commentator: Idil Boran (University of Quebec–Montréal)

I-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness
2:00-5:00 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Author Meets Critics: Kwame Anthony Appiah, The Ethics of Identity
   Chair: Anna Stubblefield (Rutgers University–Newark)
   Critics: Jorge Gracia (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
         Michele Moody-Adams (Cornell University)
         Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)
   Author: Kwame Anthony Appiah (Princeton University)
I-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation  
2:00-5:00 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)  
Topic: Philosophy in Portugal  
Chair: Ernest Sosa (Brown University)  
Speakers: João Branquinho (University of Lisbon–Portugal)  
“On the Persistence of Indexical Belief”  
João Saagua (New University of Lisbon–Portugal)  
“Contexts, Minimal Propositions and Communication”  
Antonio Marques (New University of Lisbon–Portugal)  
“The Expressivist Point of View on the Inner and Outer Relation”  
Maria Filomena Molder (New University of Lisbon–Portugal)  
“The Productive Inactuality of Benjamin’s Concept of Criticism”  
Commentator: Jason Stanley (Rutgers University)  

I-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies  
2:00-5:00 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)  
Topic: The Contemporary Relevance of Confucian Philosophy  
Chair: Bryan W. Van Norden (Vassar College)  
Speakers: Eric Schwitzgebel (University of California–Riverside)  
“Human Nature and Moral Education”  
Aaron Stalnaker (Indiana University)  
“Confucian Democracy and the Virtue of Deference”  
Commentator: Philip Ivanhoe (Boston University)  

GROUP MEETINGS, 2:00-5:00 P.M.  
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)  
American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society, Concourse C  
Association for Symbolic Logic, Concourse G  
Conference on Philosophical Societies, Concourse B  
Hume Society, Concourse D  
International Institute for Field-Being, Concourse F  
Josiah Royce Society, Concourse E
Wednesday Evening, December 28

APA Prize Reception (open to all; wine/cheese served)
5:00-6:00 p.m., Mercury Rotunda (Third Floor)

APA National Prizes

Book Prize
Winner: Robert Pasnau (University of Colorado)
Title: *Thomas Aquinas on Human Nature*
Honorable Mention: Russ Shafer-Landau (University of Wisconsin)
Title: *Moral Realism: A Defence*
Honorable Mention: David Boonin (University of Colorado–Boulder)
Title: *A Defense of Abortion*

Latin American Thought
Winner: Gregory Pappas (Texas A&M University)
Title: “The American Challenge: The Tension between the Values of the Anglo and the Hispanic” (V-I)

Eastern Division Graduate Student Travel Stipends
Winner: David Bourget (University of Toronto)
Title: “Surface Externalism: Confronting the Dark Side of Twin Earth” (III-F)

Winner: James Couch (Southern Illinois University)
Title: “The Worldly and Human Significance of Art: An Exercise in Understanding through Arendt and Gadamer” (I-G)

Winner: Iskra Fileva (Boston University)
Title: “The Neutrality of Rightness and the Indexicality of Goodness: Beyond Objectivity and Back Again” (II-E)

Winner: Gregory Frost-Arnold (University of Pittsburgh)
Title: “Too Much Reference: Semantics for Multiply Signifying Terms” (IV-H)

Winner: Robert Hughes (University of California–Los Angeles)
Title: “Self-Ownership and Coercion” (II-H)

Winner: Anthony Jensen (Emory University)
Title: “The Historical Background of Nietzsche’s Own ‘Historie’” (V-D)

Winner: Daniel Korman (University of Texas)
Title: “Locke on Substratum: A Deflationary Reading” (III-H)
Winner: Geoffrey Pynn (Yale University)
Title: “Knowing a Name” (IV-H)

Winner: Elka Shortsleeve (University of Florida)
Title: “Knowledge and Certainty: A Speech-Act Contextualist Account” (III-I)

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 5:15-7:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Concourse C
Charles S. Pierce Society, Concourse B
International Adam Smith Society, Concourse D
International Society for Chinese Philosophy, Concourse F
Society for Business Ethics, Concourse E
Society for Iberian and Latin American Philosophy and APA Committee on Hispanics, Concourse H
Society for Machines and Mentality, Concourse G
Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy, Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)
Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs, Gibson Suite (Second Floor)
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues, Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Society for the Study of Ethics and Animals, Morgan Suite (Second Floor)
Society for Women in Philosophy, Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)
Society of Philosophers in America, Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement, Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 7:30-10:30 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Society for Aesthetics, Concourse C
Association for Symbolic Logic, Concourse G
Association of Chinese Philosophers in America, Concourse D
Leibniz Society of North America, Concourse B
North American Kant Society, Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
Philosophers in Jesuit Education, Concourse H
Radical Philosophy Association, Concourse E
Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion, Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, *Morgan Suite (Second Floor)*

Society for Skeptical Studies, *Gibson Suite (Second Floor)*

Society for Social and Political Philosophy, *Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)*

Society for Systematic Philosophy, *Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)*

World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning, *Clinton Suite (Second Floor)*

Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender People in the Profession, *Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)*

**RECEPTION**

8:00-Midnight, *Grand Ballroom (Third Floor)*

**THURSDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29**

**REGISTRATION**

9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m., *Second Floor Promenade*

**PLACEMENT INFORMATION**

Interviewers: 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m., *Petit Trianon (Third Floor)*

Candidates: 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m., *Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)*

Interview Tables: *Americas Hall I (Third Floor)*

**BOOK EXHIBITS**

10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., *Rhinelander Gallery (Second Floor)*

**SESSION II – 9:00-11:00 A.M.**

**II-A. Invited Papers: Centennial of Einstein’s Theory of Relativity**

*9:00-11:00 a.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)*

Chair: Ori Belkind (University of Western Ontario)

Speakers: Don Howard (University of Notre Dame)

Michael Friedman (Stanford University)

**II-B. Invited Papers: Centennial of “On Denoting”**

*9:00-11:00 a.m., Regent Parlor (Second Floor)*

Chair: Stephen Schiffer (New York University)

Speakers: Scott Soames (University of Southern California)

Stephen Neale (Rutgers University)
II-C. Invited Papers: Kant and Nietzsche  
9:00-11:00 a.m., Sutton Parlor South (Second Floor)  
Chair: Babette E. Babich (Fordham University)  
Speakers: Douglas Burnham (University of Staffordshire–UK)  
Volker Gerhardt (Humboldt University–Germany)

II-D. Symposium: Our Call: The Constitutive Importance of the People’s Judgment  
9:00-11:00 a.m., Sutton Parlor North (Second Floor)  
Chair: Rebecca Kukla (Carleton University)  
Speaker: Henry Richardson (Georgetown University)  
Commentators: Scott Shapiro (University of Michigan)  
Christopher Wellman (Washington University–St. Louis)

II-E. Colloquium: Moral Stances  
9:00-11:00 a.m., Sutton Parlor Center (Second Floor)  
Chair: Justin Weinberg (College of William & Mary)  
9:00-10:00 a.m.  
Speaker: Iskra Fileva (Boston University)  
“The Neutrality of Rightness and the Indexicality of Goodness: Beyond Objectivity and Back Again”  
Commentator: Douglas Lavin (Harvard University)  
10:00-11:00 a.m.  
Speaker: Edward Kleist (Loyola University of New Orleans)  
“Imagination and Values: An Exercise in Moral Mapping”  
Commentator: Troy Jollimore (California State University–Chico)

II-F. Colloquium: Berkeley  
9:00-11:00 a.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)  
Chair: Nancy Kendrick (Wheaton College–Massachusetts)  
9:00-10:00 a.m.  
Speaker: Marc Hight (Hampden–Sydney College)  
“Berkeley on 'All the Dispute is about a Word'”  
Commentator: Ken Winkler (Wellesley College)  
10:00-11:00 a.m.  
Speaker: Genevieve Migely (Claremont Graduate University)  
“Berkeley’s Mental Architecture: A Coherent Account of Intentionality”  
Commentator: Martha Bolton (Rutgers University)
II-G. Colloquium: Numbers

9:00-11:00 a.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Gideon Rosen (Princeton University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Cian Dorr (University of Pittsburgh)
“Numbers and Electrons”
Commentator: Karen Bennett (Princeton University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Joongol Kim (Western Illinois University)
“An Adverbial Theory of Numbers”
Commentator: Agustin Rayo (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

II-H. Colloquium: Coercion

9:00-11:00 a.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: Alisa Carse (Georgetown University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Robert Hughes (University of California–Los Angeles)
“Self-Ownership and Coercion”
Commentator: Andrew Jason Cohen (Georgia State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Scott Anderson (University of British Columbia)
“Of Theories of Coercion, Two Axes, and Some Grinding”
Commentator: Alan Wertheimer (University of Vermont)

II-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Blacks and Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Black Women in/and The Profession of Philosophy
Chair: George Yancy (Duquesne University)
Speakers: Anita Allen (University of Pennsylvania)
Anika Mann (Morgan State University)
Donna-Dale Marcano (Trinity College)
Michele Moody-Adams (Cornell University)
Jacqueline Scott (Loyola University of Chicago)
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)
II-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Non-Academic Careers
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse A

Topic: Transitions to Non-Academic Careers: Philosophers Meet the “Real World”
Chair: Georgia Warnke (University of California–Riverside)
Speakers: John Drennan (US Government attorney)
Megan Pincus Kajitani (University of California–San Diego)
Rebecca Bryant (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
Saul Fisher (American Council of Learned Societies)

(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association of Chinese Philosophers in America, Concourse F
Sartre Circle, Concourse B
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Society of Christian Philosophers, Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)

BUSINESS MEETING
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Grand Ballroom (Third Floor)

GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious, Concourse D
Conference on Philosophical Societies, Concourse C
North American Spinoza Society, Concourse E
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Concourse G
Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism, Concourse H
Society for the Philosophy of Creativity, Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Society of Humanist Philosophers, Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 29

SESSION III – 1:30-4:30 P.M.

III-A. Symposium: Multinational Corporations & Global Justice
1:30-4:30 p.m., Regent Parlor (Second Floor)
Chair: Judith Lichtenberg (University of Maryland)
Speakers: Denis Arnold (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)
Thomas Donaldson (University of Pennsylvania)
Commentator: Georges Enderle (University of Notre Dame)

III-B. Symposium: Essence and Existence: Thomas Aquinas and Islamic Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m., Sutton Parlor South (Second Floor)
Chair: Stephen Menn (McGill University)
Speakers: Anthony Kenny (Oxford University)
Robert Wisnovsky (McGill University)
Commentator: Peter Adamson (Kings College London)

III-C. Symposium: Early Modern Biology
1:30-4:30 p.m., Sutton Parlor North (Second Floor)
Chair: Dennis Des Chene (Washington University–St. Louis)
Speakers: Karen Detlefsen (University of Pennsylvania)
Justin Smith (Concordia University)
Commentator: Saul Fisher (American Council of Learned Societies)

III-D. Symposium: Perspectives on Analytic and Continental Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Stephen Watson (University of Notre Dame)
Speakers: Robert Brandom (University of Pittsburgh)
Simon Critchley (New School University)
Commentator: Cristina Lafont (Northwestern University)

III-E. Author Meets Critics: Alan Paskow, Paradoxes of Art
1:30-4:30 p.m., Beekman Parlor (Second Floor)
Chair: John Kulvicki (Dartmouth College)
Critics: Andrew Benjamin (University of Sydney)
Gary Shapiro (University of Richmond)
Author: Alan Paskow (St. Mary’s College of Maryland)

III-F. Colloquium: Ontological Issues in the Philosophy of Mind
1:30-4:30 p.m., Sutton Parlor Center (Second Floor)
Chair: Karsten Stueber (College of the Holy Cross)
1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: David Bourget (University of Toronto)
“Surface Externalism: Confronting the Dark Side of Twin Earth”
Commentator: Henry Jackman (York University–Ontario)
2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Paul Raymont (Trent University)
“Some Experienced Qualities Belong to the Experience”
Commentator: Adam Pautz (University of Texas–Austin)
Thursday Afternoon, III-F to III-K

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Peter Bokulich (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Putting Zombies to Rest: The Role of Dynamics in Reduction”
Commentator: William Seager (University of Toronto)

III-G. Colloquium: Kant’s Ethics
1:30-4:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: Samuel Kerstein (University of Maryland)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Matthew Caswell (Boston University)
“Kant on the Diabolical Will: A Neglected Alternative?”
Commentator: David Sussman (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Lucas Thorpe (Bilkent University–Turkey)
“Kant’s Moral Idealism: What’s Wrong with Constructivist Readings of Kant’s Ethics”
Commentator: Julian Wuerth (University of Cincinnati)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Crystal Thorpe (University of Florida)
“The Gap in Kant’s Derivation of the Categorical Imperative”
Commentator: Carol Voeller (University of Maryland–Baltimore County)

III-H. Colloquium: History and Metaphysics
1:30-4:30 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Yitzhak Melamed (University of Chicago)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Daniel Korman (University of Texas)
“Locke on Substratum: A Deflationary Reading”
Commentator: Matthew Stuart (Bowdoin College)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Mary MacLeod (Indiana University of Pennsylvania)
“Kant’s Theory of Synthesis and the Problem of Universals”
Commentator: Bruce Aune (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: J.C. Berendzen (Loyola University of New Orleans)
“Horkeimer’s Materialist Stance”
Commentator: Max Pensky (Binghamton University–State University of New York)
III-I. Colloquium: Epistemology
1:30-4:30 p.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: Sharon Ryan (West Virginia University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Jonathan Schaffer (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
“Knowing the Answer”
Commentator: Jonathan Weinberg (Indiana University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Elka Shortsleeve (University of Florida)
“Knowledge and Certainty: A Speech-Act Contextualist Account”
Commentator: Adam Leite (Indiana University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: Christopher Tillman (University of Rochester)
“Some Problems for Contextualism”
Commentator: Peter Ludlow (University of Michigan)

III-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
1:30-4:30 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Philosophical Studies in China in View of Constructive Engagement
Chair: Bo Mou (California State University–San Jose)
Speakers: Dikun Xie (Chinese Academy of Social Sciences)
“A General Introduction to Philosophical Studies in China”
Xianglong Zhang (Peking University)
“Studies of Western Philosophy in China”
Commentator: Jinfen Yan (University of Toronto–Scarborough)

III-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine
1:30-4:30 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: The Belmont Report: The 25th Anniversary
Chair: Rosamond Rhodes (Mount Sinai School of Medicine)
Speakers: Tom Beauchamp (Georgetown University)
“The Belmont Report: Some Second Thoughts”
Ruth Macklin (Albert Einstein College of Medicine)
“The Belmont Principle of Justice: An Idea Whose Time Has Come”
Alex John London (Carnegie Mellon University)
“Justice in The Belmont Report and the Social Division of Labor”
Jodi Halpern (University of California–Berkeley)
“Respect for Persons: How Emotions Influence the Ability to Consent to Research”
Franklin G. Miller (National Institutes of Health)
“The Ethical Significance of Distinguishing Clinical Research and Medical Care”

III-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m., West Promenade (Third Floor)

Topic: The Ethics of Consumption
Chair: Kenneth (No Dogs or Philosophers Allowed)
Speakers: Students from New York Area High Schools

III-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Public Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: What Does Philosophy Contribute to Public Life?
Chair: Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)
Speakers: Arthur Danto (Columbia University)
Cornel West (Princeton University)
Others TBA

III-N. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women and the APA Committee on Inclusiveness
1:30-4:30 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: Ontology of Race and Gender
Chair: Joan Callahan (University of Kentucky)
Speakers: Tracy Edwards (Brandeis University)
“Social Construction and Real Bodies”
Linda Alcoff (Syracuse University)
“Reproduction and Gender Identity”
Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)
“A Philosophically Serious Comparison of the Ontologies of Race and Gender”

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association for Philosophy of Education, Concourse E
Ayn Rand Society, Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)
International Institute for Field-Being, Concourse F
Society for Philosophy and Technology, Concourse D
William James Society, Concourse G
**PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS**

4:45 p.m., *Grand Ballroom (Third Floor)*

Introduction: Seyla Benhabib (Yale University)

Speaker: Jerry Fodor (Rutgers University), President

“What’s Universally Quantified and Necessary and A Posteriori and it Flies South for the Winter?”

**THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 29**

**COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 7:00-10:00 P.M.**

(See Group Meeting Program For Details)

- American Maritain Association, *Concourse F*
- Association for Symbolic Logic, *Concourse G*
- International Society for Buddhist Philosophy, *Bryant Suite (Second Floor)*
- International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, *Concourse D*
- Radical Philosophy Association, *Concourse E*
- Santayana Society, Concourse H
- Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy, *Morgan Suite (Second Floor)*
- Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, *Clinton Suite (Second Floor)*
- Society for Social and Political Philosophy, *Concourse C*
- Society for the Philosophy of History, *Concourse B*
- Society for the Study of Women Philosophers, *Gibson Suite (Second Floor)*
- Søren Kierkegaard Society, *Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)*
- World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning, *Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)*
- Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Philosophy, *Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)*

**RECEPTION**

9:00 p.m.-Midnight, *Grand Ballroom (Third Floor)*
FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30

REGISTRATION
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Second Floor Promenade

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
Interviewers: 9:00 a.m.-Noon, Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Candidates: 9:00 a.m.-Noon, Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interview Tables: Americas Hall I (Third Floor)

BOOK EXHIBITS
9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m., Rhinelander Gallery (Second Floor)

SESSION IV – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

IV-A. Invited Papers: Aristotle and Confucius
9:00-11:00 a.m., Regent Parlor (Second Floor)
Chair: Joel Kupperman (University of Connecticut)
Speakers: Jiyuan Yu (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
Philip Ivanhoe (Boston University)

IV-B. Invited Paper: Dignity and Autonomy
9:00-11:00 a.m., Sutton Parlor South (Second Floor)
Chair: Susan Brison (Dartmouth College)
Speaker: Meir-Dan Cohen (University of California–Berkeley)
Commentator: Anita Allen (University of Pennsylvania)

IV-C. Symposium: Fodor’s Version of the Frame Problem: A Solution
9:00-11:00 a.m., Sutton Parlor North (Second Floor)
Chair: Joshua Knobe (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Speakers: Kirk Ludwig (University of Florida) and Susan Schneider (Moravian College)
Commentator: Dominec Murphy (California Institute of Technology)

IV-D. Symposium: Epistemic Permissiveness
9:00-11:00 a.m., Beekman Parlor (Second Floor)
Chair: Bryan Frances (Fordham University)
Speaker: Roger Lewis White (New York University)
Commentator: Thomas Kelly (Princeton University)

IV-E. Colloquium: Derrida
9:00-11:00 a.m., Sutton Parlor Center (Second Floor)
Chair: David Allison (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Marie-Eve Morin (University of Freiburg–Germany)
“A Mêlée without Sacrifice: Jean-Luc Nancy’s Ontology of Offering against Jacques Derrida’s Politics of Sacrifice”
Commentator: François Raffoul (Louisiana State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Brett Buchanan (DePaul University)
“Chasing Chimeras: Aesthetic Constructions of the Animal”
Commentator: James Hatley (Salisbury University)

**IV-F. Colloquium: Music**

9:00-11:00 a.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: Jerrold Levinson (University of Maryland)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Andrew Kania (Trinity University)
“In Defense of Musical Ontology”
Commentator: Aaron Ridley (University of Southampton–UK)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Michael Greene (Bradley University)
“Jankélévitch and the Question of Music”
Commentator: Bruce Ellis Benson (Wheaton College–Illinois)

**IV-G. Colloquium: Bioethics**

9:00-11:00 a.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Hilde Lindemann (Michigan State University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Hylarie Kochiras (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Commentator: Christian Perring (Dowling College)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Chrisoula Andreou (University of Utah)
“Environmental Damage and the Puzzle of the Self-Torturer”
Commentator: Simon Keller (Boston University)

**IV-H. Colloquium: Reference**

9:00-11:00 a.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: David Sanford (Duke University)
9:00-10:00 a.m.
Speaker: Gregory Frost-Arnold (University of Pittsburgh)
“Too Much Reference: Semantics for Multiply Signifying Terms”
Commentator: Krista Lawlor (Stanford University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Speaker: Geoffrey Pynn (Yale University)
“Knowing a Name”
Commentator: Dylan Sabo (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

IV-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Blacks and Philosophy
9:00-11:00 a.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Author Meets Critics: Cornel West, Democracy Matters
Chair: Howard McGary (Rutgers University)
Critics: Bernard Boxill (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Eduardo Mendieta (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
Iris Marion Young (University of Chicago)
Author: Cornel West (Princeton University)
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

IV-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
9:00-11:00 a.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Do-It-Yourself Digital Audio and Video for the Philosophy Classroom: Old Wine in New Technologies
Speakers: Kate Parsons (Webster University)
Bruce Umbaugh (Webster University)

IV-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women and the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
9:00-11:00 a.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Pornography Revisited
Chair: Rosemarie Tong (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
Speakers: Alisa Carse (Georgetown University)
“Subordinative Expression and Creative Subversion: Reflections on Pornography as Embodied Practice”
Amy Adler (New York University)
“Pornography and ‘Feminist’ Speech: The Problem of Resignification”
Tracy Edwards (Brandeis University)
“Pornography and Women’s Equal Moral Status: Exploring the Issues of Harm and Agency”
Rae Langton (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Speaker’s Freedom and Maker’s Knowledge”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

**GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.**
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
American Society for Value Inquiry, *Concourse B*
Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale, *Concourse D*

**SESSION V – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**

**V-A. Invited Paper: Contracts and Promising**
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., *Regent Parlor (Second Floor)*
Chair: Alec Walen (University of Baltimore)
Speaker: Daniel Markovits (Yale University)
Commentator: Jay Wallace (University of California–Berkeley)

**V-B. Invited Paper: Naïve Truth Theory**
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., *Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)*
Chair: Michael Glanzberg (University of California–Davis)
Speaker: Hartry Field (New York University)
Commentator: Hannes Leitgeb (University of Salzburg)

**V-C. Information Session: Epistemic Modals**
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., *Sutton Parlor South (Second Floor)*
Chair: Andy Egan (Australian National University)
Speakers: Thony Gillies (University of Michigan)
Kai von Fintel (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

**V-D. Symposium: The Historical Background of Nietzsche’s Own ‘Historie’**
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., *Sutton Parlor North (Second Floor)*
Chair: Claude Evans (Washington University–St. Louis)
Speaker: Anthony Jensen (Emory University)
Commentator: Matthew Meyer (Boston University)

**V-E. Colloquium: Reason and Will**
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., *Beekman Parlor (Second Floor)*
Chair: Sean Greenberg (Johns Hopkins University)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.
Speaker: Andrew Youpa (Southern Illinois University)
“Spinoza’s Theory of Motivation”
Commentator: Michael della Rocca (Yale University)
12:15-1:15 p.m.
Speaker: Pamela Hieronymi (University of California–Los Angeles)
“The Will as Reason”
Commentator: Paul Guyer (University of Pennsylvania)

V-F. Colloquium: Vagueness
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Sutton Parlor Center (Second Floor)
Chair: Ted Sider (Rutgers University)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.
Speaker: Robert Lane (University of West Georgia)
“Oak Trees and Ashes: An Argument that Identity is Vague and Non-Transitive”
Commentator: Roy Cook (Villanova University)

12:15-1:15 p.m.
Speakers: Greg Ray and Ivana Simic (University of Florida)
“A Decisive Refutation of Epistemicism”
Commentator: Roy Sorensen (Dartmouth College)

V-G. Colloquium: Merleau-Ponty
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: Anne Ashbaugh (Colgate University)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.
Speaker: Kirk Besmer (Gonzaga University)
“Re-Writing the Transcendental Moment: Merleau-Ponty on Novel Expression and Rationality”
Commentator: Hans-Herbert Kögler (University of North Florida)

12:15-1:15 p.m.
Speaker: Jen McWeeny (John Carroll University)
“Emotional Intentionality: Living Meaning in Emotional Experiences”
Commentator: Bettina Bergo (University of Montreal)

V-H. Colloquium: Ancient Greek Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Gale Justin (California State University–Sacramento)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.
Speaker: Jolanta Jaskolowska (Lexington College)
“Intellectual Akrasia: Universal Cause and Action in Aristotle’s Poetics”
Commentator: Zena Hitz (Auburn University)

12:15-1:15 p.m.
Speaker: Roslyn Weiss (Lehigh University)
“Sophrosune from Top to Bottom”
Commentator: Michael Pakaluk (Clark University)

V-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: Winner of the 2005 Prize on Latin American Philosophy

Chair: Gary Seay (University of Texas/Pan American)
Speaker: Gregory Pappas (Texas A&M University)
“The American Challenge: The Tension between the Values of the Anglo and the Hispanic”
Commentator: Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)

V-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Teaching of Philosophy

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: Breaking out of Academe: Outreach Programs for Young Philosophers

Chair: Kenneth Knisely (No Dogs or Philosophers Allowed)
Speakers: Debbie Whittaker (California State University–Long Beach)
Sharalee Brindell (University of Colorado–Boulder)
David Backer and Steve Wood (George Washington University)
Renée Smith (Coastal Carolina University)

GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry, Concourse C
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Concourse F

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30

SESSION VI – 1:30-4:30 P.M.

VI-A. Symposium: Fundamental Structure of the Universe

1:30-4:30 p.m., Regent Parlor (Second Floor)

Chair: Mathias Frisch (University of Maryland)
Speakers: David Albert (Columbia University)
Tim Maudlin (Rutgers University)
Commentator: Adam Elga (Princeton University)

VI-B. Symposium: Race and Natural Kinds

1:30-4:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)

Chair: Howard McGary (Rutgers University)
Speakers: Kwarne Anthony Appiah (Princeton University)
Philip Kitcher (Columbia University)
Commentator: Tommie Shelby (Harvard University)
VI-C. Symposium: Confirmation Theory Old and New
1:30-4:30 p.m., Sutton Parlor South (Second Floor)
Chair: David Christensen (University of Vermont)
Speakers: James Joyce (University of Michigan)
           Branden Fitelson (University of California–Berkeley)
Commentator: Patrick Maher (University of Illinois)

VI-D. Author Meets Critics: Jody Azzouni, Deflating Existential Consequence
1:30-4:30 p.m., Sutton Parlor North (Second Floor)
Chair: Mark Colyvan (University of Queensland–Australia)
Critics: John Burgess and Gideon Rosen (Princeton University)
          Michael Resnik (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
          Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)
Author: Jody Azzouni (Tufts University)

VI-E. Author Meets Critics: Gideon Yaffe, Manifest Activity
1:30-4:30 p.m., Beekman Parlor (Second Floor)
Chair: Vere Chappell (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
Critics: James Harris (University of St. Andrews)
          Gary Watson (University of California–Riverside)
Author: Gideon Yaffe (University of Southern California)

VI-F. Colloquium: Medieval Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m., Sutton Parlor Center (Second Floor)
Chair: Fred Purcell (City University of New York–Queens College)

1:30-2:30 p.m.
Speaker: Yiwei Zheng (St. Cloud State University)
“How to Start and Stop: A Discussion of Walter Burley’s Solution to the Problem of Transition”
Commentator: Gyula Klima (Fordham University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.
Speaker: Carlos Bozell (Institute for Christian Studies)
“Axiomatic Boethius vs. Dialectical Aquinas in De Hebdomadibus”
Commentator: Margaret Cameron (City University of New York–Hunter College)

3:30-4:30 p.m.
Speaker: A.S. McGrade (University of Connecticut)
“The Ontology and Scope of Human Rights: Forward with Ockham”
Commentator: Patrick Miller (Duke University)
VI-G. Qualitative Dimensions of Mind  
1:30-4:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)  
Chair: Rocco J. Gennaro (Indiana State University)  

1:30-2:30 p.m.  
Speaker: Simon Evnine (University of Miami)  
“Is There Something It is Like?”  
Commentator: John Spackman (Middlebury College)  

2:30-3:30 p.m.  
Speaker: Murat Aydede (University of Florida)  
“Is Feeling Pain the Perception of Something?”  
Commentator: Valerie Hardcastle (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)  

3:30-4:30 p.m.  
Speaker: Peter Ross (California State University–Pomona)  
“Common Sense, Proper Sensibles, and the Senses”  
Commentator: Brian Keeley (Pitzer College)  

VI-H. Colloquium: Virtue and Vice  
1:30-4:30 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)  
Chair: Michael Slote (University of Miami)  

1:30-2:30 p.m.  
Speaker: Dale Clark (University of Utah)  
“Vice Versa”  
Commentator: Julia Driver (Dartmouth College)  

2:30-3:30 p.m.  
Speaker: Margaret Cuonzo (Long Island University)  
“Gossip and Higher-Order Intentionality”  
Commentator: Ronald de Sousa (University of Toronto)  

3:30-4:30 p.m.  
Speaker: Lawrence A. Lengbeyer (United States Naval Academy)  
“Courage without Fear”  
Commentator: Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)  

VI-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness  
1:30-4:30 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)  

Topic: Philosophy and Disability: Reflections on Cognition, Ethics, and Epistemology  
Chair: Mark Chekola (Minnesota State University–Moorhead)  
Speakers: Anna Stubblefield (Rutgers University–Newark)  
“Autism and Philosophy”
Alexa Schriempf (Penn State University)
“An Epistemology of Disability: Deaf Knowing and
Testimony’s Epistemic Role”
Eva Kittay (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
“The (Un)ethical Use of the Mental Retardation as
Philosophical Example”

VI-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on
Philosophy and Computers

1:30-4:30 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Chair: Susan Stuart (University of Glasgow–UK)
Speakers: Ron Chrisley (University of Sussex–UK)
“What the Failure of Penrose’s Argument Against AI
Tells Us about Computability”
Gordana Dodig-Crnkovic (Mälardalen University–Sweden)
“Semantic Information in System Modeling”
Peter Boltuc (University of Illinois–Springfield)
“Computers Contra Physicalism”

VI-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Public
Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Going Public: Philosophers and the Press (a media
workshop)
Chair: Noelle McAfee (American University)
Speakers: Carlin Romano (Chronicle of Higher Education)
Gordon Marino (St. Olaf’s College)

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)
Hannah Arendt Circle and Karl Jaspers Society of North America, Concourse C
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western
Philosophy, Concourse B
International Society for Environmental Ethics and Society for Philosophy
and Geography, Concourse E
International Society for Neo-Platonic Studies, Concourse D
North American Society for Social Philosophy, Morgan Suite (Second Floor)
GROUP PROGRAM

TUESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27, 2005

GROUP SESSION I – 8:00-11:00 P.M.

GI-1. Association for Symbolic Logic
8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse G
Topic: Recent Developments in Computer Science and Set Theory
and Their Philosophical Implications
Speakers:
Michael Rabin (Harvard University)
“Randomization and Non-transferable Proofs”
Sergei Artemov (City University of New York–Graduate Center)
“Computer Aided Proofs and Their Significance”
Peter Koellner (Harvard University)
“Foundational Aspects of Modern Set Theory”

GI-2. International Society for Chinese Philosophy
8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse F
Topic: Daoism and Interculturalism: Kuangming Wu’s Cultural
Hermeneutics
Chair: Robert Neville (Boston University)
Speakers:
On-Cho Ng (Pennsylvania State University)
“Rooted Cosmopolitanism: The Inter-Cultural
Hermeneutics of Wu Kuang-ming”
David Schenke (University of Missouri–Columbia)
“Philosophical Storytelling in Chuang Tzu and Plato”
Yong Huang (Kutztown University)
“Interpretation of the Other: On Wu’s Cultural
Hermeneutics”
Robert Neville (Boston University)
“Reflections on the Philosophy of Kuangming Wu”
Commentator: Kuangming Wu (Michigan State University)

GI-3. North American Nietzsche Society
8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse A
Topic: Author Meets Critics: John Richardson, Nietzsche’s New
Darwinism
Chair: Lanier Anderson (Stanford University)
Critics: Maudemarie Clark (Colgate University)
Bernard Reginster (Brown University)
Author: John Richardson (New York University)

GI-4. Philosophy of Time Society
8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse E
Speakers: Bert Helm (Missouri State University)
“Power, Justice and Freedom: Marking Time with Bacon and Montaigne”
Ernani Magalhaes (West Virginia University)
“Temporality: Universals and Particulars”
Maxwell Goss (University of Texas–Austin)
“Transtemporal Responsibility Requires Endurantism”
Commentator: Arthur Falk (Western Michigan University)

GI-5. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion
8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse C
Chair: John Rose (Goucher College)
Speakers: Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)
“An Anti-Realist Account of the Application of Mathematics”
Sam Page (Concordia College)
“Individuation, Reality, and Practice”
Jeremy Wisnewski (East Carolina University)
“The Case for Anti-Realism”

GI-6. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts
8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse D
Speakers: Julie Van Camp (California State University–Long Beach)
“Illegal Art: When Should Art Be Exempt from Ethics and the Law?”
Bassam Romaya (Temple University)
“The Abuse of Essentialism: Historicism, Contextualism, and Danto’s Philosophy of Art”
Marcus Verhaegh (Independent Scholar)
“Pleasure as an Epistemic Guide”
Costica Bradatan (Miami University–Ohio)
“I was a Stranger, and Ye Took Me Not In.’ Deus Ludens and Theology of Hospitality in Lars von Trier’s Dogville”
Jeffrey Strayer (Indiana University–Purdue University, Fort Wayne)
“Consciousness, Art, and the Limits of Abstraction in Art”
WEDNESDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28, 2005

GROUP SESSION II – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GII-1. American Association of Philosophy Teachers

9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse B

Topic: Perpetual War Versus Perpetual Peace: Philosophical Views on The Conflict

Chair: Harold Brown (Pace University)
Speakers: William Evans (St. Peter’s College, New Jersey)
“What Do Philosophy and Liberal Education Have to do with Teaching Peace?”
Margaret Cuonzo (Long Island University)
“Teaching the Paradoxes of War”
James Friel (Farmingdale State University)
“Philosopher Pope John Paul’s Views of War in General, and the War in Iraq in Particular”
John Chaffee (City University of New York–La Guardia College)
“Socrates: Soldier, Thinker and Supporter of Legitimate Government”

GII-2. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling, and Psychotherapy

9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse C

Topic: Philosophical Counseling and Logic-Based Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy

Chair: Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)
Speaker: Elliot Cohen (Institute for Critical Thinking)
“Absolute Nonsense: The Irrationality of Perfectionistic Thinking”
Commentator: Bruce Fraser (Indian River Community College)
(Business Meeting to follow.)

GII-3. Hegel Society of America

9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse A

Topic: Honoring the 200th Anniversary of Hegel’s Phenomenology of Spirit

Speakers: Ardis Collins (Loyola University of Chicago)
“Hegel’s Proof of Reason and Its Other”
Daniel Dahlstrom (Boston University)
“Reason’s Place in the Phenomenology of Spirit”
Terry Pinkard (Georgetown University)
“What is a ‘Shape’ of Spirit?”
John Russon (University of Guelph)
“Phenomenology and Closure: Hegel and the Future of Philosophy”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

**GII-4. History of Early Analytic Philosophy Society**
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse F
Chair: Stefanie Rocknak (Hartwick College)
Speaker: Sandra Lapointe (Concordia University–Montreal)
“Husserl and Frege on Formal Meaning”
Commentator: TBA
Speaker: Chris P incock (Purdue University)
“An Overlapping Consensus Model of the Origins of Analytic Philosophy”
Commentator: Aaron Preston (Malone College)
Speaker: Karen Green (Monash University–Australia)
“Fregean Existence and Non-existence”
Commentator: Kevin Klement (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

**GII-5. International St. Thomas Society**
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse G
Topic: Jean-Luc Marion’s Post-Retraction Challenge to Aquinas’s Natural Philosophy
Chair: W. Norris Clarke (Fordham University)
Speaker: Derek Morrow (University of Dallas)

**GII-6. Karl Jaspers Society of North America and Hannah Arendt Circle**
9:00-11:00 a.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Philosophy, Religion, Politics
Chair: Alan Olson (Boston University)
Speakers: Michael Zank (Boston University)
“The Theological-Political Conundrum and the Context of the Early Leo Strauss and Carl Schmitt”
Leonard Ehrlich (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
“Arendt, Jaspers, Kant on Evil, Radical and Banal: Part Two”
Commentator: Gregory Walters (Saint Paul’s University–Ottawa)
(Business Meeting to follow.)
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)
9:00-11:00 a.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: James Rachels Memorial Session
Chair: William Ruddick (New York University)
Speakers: Jeff McMahan (Rutgers University)
“Moral Responsibility in War”
Hugh LaFollette (University of South Florida–St. Petersburg)

GII-8. North American Spinoza Society
9:00-11:00 a.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Chair: Paul J. Bagley (Loyola College in Maryland)
Speakers: Martin Yaffe (University of North Texas)
“Natural Philosophy or Religion and ‘Freedom of Philosophizing’ in Spinoza’s *Tractatus theologico-politicus*”
Michael Rosenthal (University of Washington)
“Spinoza on Why the Sovereign Can Command Men’s Tongues But Not Their Minds”

GII-9. Simone de Beauvoir Circle
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse H

Topic: The Philosophy of Simone de Beauvoir: Questions of Interpretation
Chair: Nancy Bauer (Tufts University)
Speakers: Maeve O’Donovan (College of Notre Dame of Maryland)
“Rethinking *The Second Sex*: Simone de Beauvoir as Standpoint Theorist”
Darlene Rigo (Independent Scholar)
“Mitsein, Ontology, and Ethics in *The Second Sex*”
Valentine Moulard-Leonard (University of Memphis)
“The Ethics of Creativity: Transcendence, Immanence, and the Quest for Freedom”

GII-10. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse D

Topic: Fascism and the United States Today
Chair: Edward Grippe (Norwalk Community College)
Speakers: John Stone-Mediatore (University of Chicago)
“Fascism and American Political Culture”
John Wright (Miami University–Ohio)
“National Insecurity: Fascism and the Defense of Liberal Institutions”

9:00-11:00 a.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)

**Topic: The Correspondence of William James: A Celebration**

Chair: James Pawelski (University of Pennsylvania)
Speakers: James Campbell (University of Toledo)
“The Correspondence of William James”
Peter Hare (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
“William James and the Perils of Intellectual Biography”
John McDermott (Texas A&M University)
“Reading Letters: Euro-America in Correspondence”

GII-12. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

9:00-11:00 a.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)

**Topic: Integration and Liberation in Chinese and American Aesthetics**

Chair: Tao Jiang (Rutgers University)
Speakers: Weiming Tu (Harvard University)
“Embodied Knowledge: Body, Heart/Mind, and Spirit in Confucian Aesthetics”
Thomas Alexander (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
“Mountains and Rivers without End: The Intertwining of Spirit and Nature in American Aesthetics”
Commentator: Robert Neville (Boston University)

**GROUP SESSION III – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**

GIII-1. American Society for Value Inquiry

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse B

**Topic: Presidential Address**

Chair: Thomas Magnell (Drew University)
Speaker: Thomas Hill, Jr. (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

GIII-2. Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse C

Chair: Daniel Boone (Indiana University of Pennsylvania)
Speaker: Jonathan Adler (City University of New York–Brooklyn College)
“Refute-or-Accept: Credulity, Reductios, and Ignorance”
Commentators: Simon Evnine (University of Miami)  
Walter Sinnott-Armstrong (Dartmouth College)

GIII-3. Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse D  
Topic: Lacan and Sartre  
Chair: Wilfried Ver Eecke (Georgetown University)  
Speakers: Guillerminne de Lacoste (Independent Scholar)  
“Sartre and His Metonyms: Baudelaire, Genet, Flaubert”  
Adrian Johnston (Emory University)  
Commentator: William McBride (Purdue University)

GIII-4. Bertrand Russell Society  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse F  
Chair: TBA  
Speaker: Gary Cesarz (Southeast Missouri University)  
“McTaggart and Broad on Leibniz’s Law”  
Commentator: TBA  
Speaker: Nikolay Milkov (University of Bielefeld–Germany)  
“Lotze’s Influence on Russell”  
Commentator: David Sullivan (Metropolitan State College of Denver)  
Speaker: John Ongley (University of Edinboro–Pennsylvania)  
“Cambridge and Lotze”  
Commentator: Nikolay Milkov (University of Bielefeld–Germany)

GIII-5. Concerned Philosophers for Peace  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)  
Topic: TBA  
Chair: TBA  
Speakers: TBA

GIII-6. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse H  
Topic: New Philosophical Readings of Dougen’s ‘Genjoukouan’  
Chair: Steven Heine (Florida International University)  
Speakers: Gereon Kopf (Luther College)  
“On Keiji Nishitani’s Reading of Dougen”  
Steven Heine (Florida International University)  
“What's on the Other Side?: Illusion and Religion in ‘Genjoukouan’”  
Ishii Seijun (Komazawa University–Japan)  
“Keep Swimming, Keeping Flying: The Meaning of ‘To Activate the Buddha-nature’”
Dan Lusthous (Boston University)
“Dougen on Water and Firewood: His Logic of No Changing Self in ‘Genjoukouan’”
Carol Gould (Florida Atlantic University)
“A Platonist Interpretation of Dougen’s Thought”

GIII-7. International Society for Environmental Ethics

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse G

Topic: Environment and Virtue Ethics
Chair: Piers Stephens (Michigan State University)
Speakers: Jason Kawall (Colgate University)
“Complacency, Apathy, and Resignation”
Jennifer Welchman (University of Alberta)
“Stewardship: Olmstead, Character, and Environmentalism”
Louke van Wensveen (Independent Scholar)
“Practical Wisdom and the Precautionary Principle”
Commentators: Simon Keller (Boston University)
Ronald Sandler (Northeastern University)

GIII-8. Molinari Society

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: Libertarianism through Thick and Thin
Chair: Roderick Long (Auburn University)
Speaker: Jan Narveson (University of Waterloo)
“Libertarianism: The Thick and the Thin”
Commentator: Charles Johnson (Molinari Institute)
Chair: Jennifer McKitrick (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)
Speaker: Jack Ross (National Labor College)
“Labor and Liberty: A Lost Ideal and an Unlikely New Alliance”
Commentator: Charles Johnson (Molinari Institute)

GIII-9. Personalist Discussion Group

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)

Topic: The Making of Selves
Chair: Douglas Anderson (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
Speakers: Shannon Sullivan (Pennsylvania State University)
“Unconscious Habits of White Privilege”
Daniel Campos (Pennsylvania State University)
“Peirce on Self-Discovery and Self-Creation”
**GIII-10. Société Américaine de Philosophie de Langue Française**

*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)*

**Topic: Anonymity and Hauntology**

Chair: Mary Beth Mader (University of Memphis)

Speakers:
- Andrew Cutrofello (Loyola University of Chicago)
  - “Derrida on Hauntology”
- Laura Hengehold (Case Western Reserve University)
  - “Is Anonymity the Point of Intersection between Seeing and Saying?”

**GIII-11. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession and the Society of Philosophers in America**

*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Regent Parlor (Second Floor)*

**Topic: What Keeps Going Wrong with the APA?**

Chair: John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers:
- Richard Bett (Johns Hopkins University)
- David Hoekema (Calvin College)
- Eric Hoffman (St. Joseph’s University)
- Michael Kelly (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
- Elizabeth Radcliffe (Santa Clara University)

---

**WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28**

**GROUP SESSION IV – 2:00-5:00 P.M.**

**GIV-1. American Association for the Philosphic Study of Society**

*2:00-5:00 p.m., Concourse C*

**Topic: A Symposium on Rasmussen and Den Uyl’s Norms of Liberty**

Chair: Fred Miller, Jr. (Bowling Green State University)

Speakers:
- Aeon Skoble (Bridgewater State College)
- Edwin England (Denison University)
- Charlotte Thomas (Mercer University)
- David Thunder (University of Notre Dame)

**GIV-2. Association for Symbolic Logic**

*2:00-5:00 p.m., Concourse G*

**Topic: Contributed Papers**

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA
GIV-3. Conference on Philosophical Societies  
2:00-5:00 p.m., Concourse B  
**Topic: Philosophers at Global Crossroads: How Can Philosophers Make a Difference?**  
- Chair: John Abbarno (D’Youville College)  
- Speakers: Gail Presbey (University of Detroit Mercy)  
  George McLean (Catholic University)  
  Christian Perring (Dowling College)  

GIV-4. Hume Society  
2:00-5:00 p.m., Concourse D  
**Topic: Hume and his Contemporaries**  
- Chair: Miriam McCormick (University of Richmond)  
- Speakers: Lorne Falkenstein (University of Western Ontario)  
  “Hume and Reid on Objectivity”  
  Peter Loptson (University of Guelph)  
  “Hume and Smith: Projects in Social Science and the Roots of Normativity”  
  James Harris (University of St. Andrews)  
  “Hume and Kames”  

GIV-5. International Institute for Field-Being  
2:00-5:00 p.m., Concourse F  
- Chair: Lik Kuen Tong (Fairfield University)  
- Speakers: Joan Stambaugh (City University of New York)  
  “Form is Emptiness and Emptiness is Form”  
  Wesley DeMarco (Clark University)  
  “Field-Being and the Emptiest Possible Nothingness”  
  Kwang-Sae Lee (Kent State University)  
  “Postmodernism, Pluralism, and Eastern Philosophy”  
  Maja Milcinski (Ljubljana University–Slovenia)  
  “Transcending the History of Philosophy as a Single European Narrative through Field-Being”  
  May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)  
  “The Question of Being, Non-being, and ‘Creation Ex Nihilo’ in Chinese Philosophy”  

GIV-6. Josiah Royce Society  
2:00-5:00 p.m., Concourse E  
- Chair: Kelly Parker (Grand Valley State University)  
- Speaker: John Smith (Yale University)  
  “Reflections on Royce”
WEDNESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION V – 5:15-7:15 P.M.

GV-1. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling, and Psychotherapy

5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse C

Topic: Philosophical Counseling and Positive Psychology

Chair: Bruce Fraser (Indian River Community College)
Speaker: James Pawelski (University of Pennsylvania)
   “Philosophical Coaching: An New Approach to the Cultivation of Human Flourishing”
Commentator: Elliot Cohen (Institute for Critical Thinking)
Speaker: Janise Staab (Independent Scholar)
   “Philosophical Coaching as Pedagogy”
Commentator: Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)

GV-2. Charles S. Pierce Society

5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse B

Topic: Presidential Address

Chair: TBA
Speaker: Joseph Brent (University of the District of Columbia)

Topic: Winner of the 2005 Essay Contest

Speaker: TBA

GV-3. International Adam Smith Society

5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse D

Speakers: Eugene Heath (State University of New York–New Paltz)
   “On Ambition: Ferguson and Smith”
Maria Alejandra Carrasco (Pontificia Universidad Católica–Chile)
   “Sympathetic Impartiality and Universality in Adam Smith”
Commentators: Eric Schliesser (Syracuse University)
Jack Russell Weinstein (University of North Dakota)

GV-4. International Society for Chinese Philosophy

5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse F

Topic: Encounters of Chinese Philosophy and Western Philosophy

Chair: Vincent Shen (University of Toronto)
Speakers: Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
   “Understanding Confucius through Kant”
Vincent Shen (University of Toronto)
   “Aristotle and Chinese Philosophy: The Rewriting of Aristotle’s De Anima in 17th-Century China”
Francis Li Chung-hung (Chinese University of Hong Kong)
“The Solving of Subjectivity: A Dialogue between Christianity and Ancient Taoism”
Daixiang Chen (Xiangtan University–China)
“Confucian Ethics and Spirit of Christianity”
Albert Chan (University of Southern California)
“The Chinese Philosophical Connection between Shinran Buddhism and Protestant Christianity”

(This session may continue past 7:15 p.m.)

**GV-5. Society for Business Ethics**

*5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse E*

**Topic: Religion in the Workplace: Sacred Right or Unwarranted Intrusion?**

Chair: Denis Arnold (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)
Speaker: Robert Audi (University of Notre Dame)
Commentators: Edwin M. Hartman (Rutgers University)
Betsy Postow (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)

**GV-6. Society for Iberian and Latin American Thought and APA Committee on Hispanics**

*5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse H*

**Topic: SILAT Round-Table: NEH Institute on Latin American Philosophy**

Chair: Oscar Marti (California State University–Northridge)
Speakers: Jorge Gracia (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
“The NEH Institute on Latin American Philosophy”
Susana Nuccetelli (St. Cloud State University)
“Teaching Latin American Philosophy”

**GV-7. Society for Machines and Mentality**

*5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse G*

**Topic: Classical Computation and Hypercomputation**

Chair: Jack Copeland (University of Canterbury–New Zealand)
Speakers: Gualtiero Piccinini (University of Missouri–Saint Louis)
“The Physical Church-Turing Thesis: Modest or Bold?”
Oron Shagrir (Hebrew University of Jerusalem)
“On the Computational Power of Accelerating Turing Machines”
Jack Copeland (University of Canterbury–New Zealand)
“Comments from the Chair: Hypercomputation and the Church-Turing Thesis”
GV-8. Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy
5:15-7:15 p.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)
Chair: Véronique Foti (Pennsylvania State University)
Speaker: Edward Casey (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
“Coming to the Edge”
Commentator: Gary Shapiro (University of Richmond)

GV-9. Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs
5:15-7:15 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Democracy and Globalization: A Panel Discussion
Chair: Alistair Macleod (Queen’s University)
Speakers: James Bohman (Saint Louis University)
Thomas Christiano (University of Arizona)
Andreas Follesda (University of Oslo)
Carol Gould (George Mason University)
Daniel Marc Weinstock (University of Montreal)

GV-10. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts
5:15-7:15 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Why Paint? Painter-Philosophers Discuss Philosophy and the Artworld
Speakers: Jack Bender (Ohio University)
“On Abstract Art”
Keith Lehrer (University of Arizona)
“On Mixed Media”
Peg Brand (Indiana University–Purdue University, Indianapolis)
“On Feminist Art”

GV-11. Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues
5:15-7:15 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: Introductory Ethics: Theory or Practice—A Disputed Question for Audience Debate
Chair: Donald Casey (Felician College)
Speakers: Yvonne Raley (Felician College)
Richard Burnor (Felician College)
Doug Lackey (City University of New York–Graduate Center)

GV-12. Society for the Study of Ethics and Animals
5:15-7:15 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)
Chair: Rhiannon Boyd (John Tyler Community College)
Speaker: Aaron Simmons (Bowling Green State University)
“A Critique of Warren’s Weak Animal Rights Views”

Commentators: Robert Bass (University of North Florida)
Benjamin Hale (University of Colorado–Boulder)

Speaker: Bertha Alvarez Manninen (Purdue University)
“Confessions of a Reluctant Carnivore: A Qualified Defense of Meat Eating”

Commentator: Jeremy Garrett (Rice University)

GV-13. Society for Women in Philosophy
5:15-7:15 p.m., Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)
Topic: Distinguished Woman Philosopher
Chair: TBA
Speakers: TBA
(This session may continue past 7:15 p.m.)

GV-14. Society of Philosophers in America
5:15-7:15 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Topic: The Range of American Philosophical Practice
Chairs: John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)
John Loughney (Westfield State University)
Speakers: Trustees of SOPHIA
(Business Meeting to follow.)

GV-15. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement
5:15-7:15 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Topic: Discussion of New APA Placement Brochure
Speakers: Andrew Light (New York University)
Larry May (Washington University–St. Louis)

GROUP SESSION VI – 7:30-10:30 P.M.

GVI-1. American Society for Aesthetics
7:30-10:30 p.m., Concourse C
Topic: Fiction, Characters, and Characterizations
Chair: Kendall Walton (University of Michigan)
Speaker: Elisabeth Camp (University of Pennsylvania)
“Fiction, Characters, and Characterizations”
Commentators: Stacie Friend (Washington and Jefferson College)
Eileen John (University of Warwick–UK)

GVI-2. Association for Symbolic Logic
7:30-10:30 p.m., Concourse G
Topic: Contributed Papers
Chair: TBA
Speakers: TBA

GVI-3. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America
7:30-10:30 p.m., Concourse D

Topic: Chinese Philosophy: New Reflections and Comparisons
Chair: JeeLoo Liu (California State University–Fullerton)
Speakers: Haiming Wen (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
    “What is Chinese Philosophical Creativity?”
    Desheng Zong (Utica College)
    “Sentences, Truth-bearers, and Chinese Philosophy”
    Xiaomei Yang (Temple University)
    “Does Chinese Moral Psychology in Pre-Qin Period
     Operate on the Contrast between Reason and
     Desire?”
    Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
    “Understanding Kant through Confucius”

GVI-4. Leibniz Society of North America
7:30-10:30 p.m., Concourse B
Chair: Mark Kulstad (Rice University)
Speaker: Samuel Levey (Dartmouth College)
    “Leibniz on the Ends of Motion”

GVI-5. North American Kant Society
7:30-10:30 p.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
Chair: Eric Watkins (University of California–San Diego)
Speaker: Andrews Reath (University of California–Riverside)
    “Setting Ends through Reason”
Commentator: William Fitzpatrick (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and
            State University)

GVI-6. Philosophers in Jesuit Education
7:30-10:30 p.m., Concourse H
Topic: Reverence and Responsibility: Reflections on Whitehead’s
     Claims Regarding the Essence of Education
Chair: Stanley Harrison (Marquette University)
Speaker: Vincent Colapietro (Pennsylvania State University)

GVI-7. Radical Philosophy Association
7:30-10:30 p.m., Concourse E
Topic: Philosophy and/as Radical Critique
Chair: Anne Fairchild Pomeroy (Richard Stockton College of
       New Jersey)
Speakers: Peter Amato (Drexel University)  
“Applied Ethics as Radical Philosophy”
Gail Presbey (University of Detroit Mercy)  
“Grappling with Gandhi’s Ethics of Ahimsa”
Shyam Ranganathan (York University)  
“Political Transparency and Metaphilosophy”

GVI-8. Society for Indian Philosophy & Religion  
7:30-10:30 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)  
Topic: Philosophy of Mind: East and West  
Chair: Chandana Chakrabarti (Elon University)  
Speakers: Rocco J. Gennaro (Indiana State University–Terre Haute)  
“Higher Order Theories of Consciousness”
Kisor Chakrabarti (Ferris State University)  
“A Genus Linked (samanyatodrsta) Argument for Psycho-Physical Dualism”
Stephen Phillips (University of Texas–Austin)  
“Negative-Only Conference (Kevalavyatirekanumana) in General and as Proof that a Living Body has a Self”
Keya Maitra (University of North Carolina–Asheville)  
“Does Self-Ascription Always Precede Other-Ascription? Comparing Abhinavagupta and Sellars”
Richard Liebendorfer (Minnesota State University)  
“An Expressivist Account of Conceptual Content”
Aditya Adarkar (Montclair State University)  
“Accomodating the Mind and the Brain”
B.V.K. Sastry (Hindu University of Orlando)  
“Philosophy of Mind and Matter: Comparison of Vaisesika System and Modern Sciences”

GVI-9. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy  
7:30-10:30 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)  
Chair: James Long (Fairfield University)  
Speakers: Steven Marrone (Tufts University)  
“Re-examining the Doctrine of Divine Illumination in Latin Philosophy of the High Middle Ages”
Kevin Staley (St. Anselm College)  
“God in Nicolas of Cusa’s De Possest”

GVI-10. Society for Skeptical Studies  
7:30-10:30 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)  
Chair: William Larkin (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville)
Speakers: Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)
  “Pyrrhonism and the Empirical Stance”
Brien Ribeiro (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)
  “Clarke and Stroud on the Plane-Spotters”
William Larkin (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville)
  “Risk, Relevance, and Context”

GVI-11. Society for Social and Political Philosophy
7:30-10:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Topic: Peace
  Chair: Deepak Sawhney (St. Mary’s College of California)
  Speakers: Sarah Miller (University of Memphis)
    “Care, Duty, and the Global Politics of Peace”
Leigh M. Johnson (Pennsylvania State University)
  “Truth and Reconciliation: South Africa’s Transition to a Multiracial Democracy”
Shari Stone-Mediatore (Ohio Wesleyan University)
  “Structural Violence, Moral Responsibility and the Role of Educators”

GVI-12. Society for Systematic Philosophy
7:30-10:30 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)
Topic: Colloquium on Hegel’s Theory of Intelligence in the Philosophy of Subjective Spirit
  Chair: TBA
  Speakers: Kenneth Westphal (Northwestern University)
    Richard Dien Winfield (University of Georgia)
  Commentators: Robert Berman (Xavier University)
    William Maker (Clemson University)

GVI-13. World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning
7:30-10:30 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Topic: The Temporal and Temporality in Islamic Philosophy and Occidental Phenomenology
  Chair: William Chittick (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
  Speakers: William Chittick (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
    “The Temporal Unfolding of the Soul”
Angela Ales Bello (Lateran University–Italy)
  “The Contingent and the Eternal (Edith Stein)”
Sayyid Muhammad Khamenei (Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute–Iran)
“Temporality and Emanation”

Robert Dobie (La Salle University)
“Existence and Temporality in the Thought of Ibn Al-'Arabi”

Anna-Teresa Tymieniecka (World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning)
“Chronos and Kairos, Ontopoietic Timing of Life, and the Kairic Unfolding of the Sacral Spirit”

GVI-14. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender People in the Profession
7:30-10:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)

**Topic: Intersections of Race and Sexuality**

Chair: Mary Bloodsworth-Lugo (Washington State University)
Speakers: Rita Alfonso (Grinnell College)
“Topologies of Race and Desire”

Donna-Dale Marcano (Trinity College)
“Standing at the Intersection of Race and Sexuality and Uncertain Where to Cross”

Ladelle McWhorter (University of Richmond)
“Race, Sexuality, and Normalization”

Ronald Sundstrom (University of San Francisco)
“The Queer Relationship between Love and Racism”

**THURSDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29**

**GROUP SESSION VII – 9:00-11:00 A.M.**

GVII-1. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse F

**Topic: The Multiple Dimensions of Neo-Confucianism**

Chair: On-Cho Ng (Pennsylvania State University)
Speakers: John Krummel (Temple University)
“Li: Transcendental or Immanent? Its Significance and History in Chinese Philosophy”

Harold Sjursen (Polytechnic University)
“Pure Practicality: Zhu Xi’s Notion of the Self”

Yong Huang (Kutztown University)
Pauline Lee (Washington University–St. Louis)
“Li Zhi’s (1527-1602) Concept of the Mind (xin)”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GVII-2. Sartre Circle
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse B
Topic: Bad Faith Reconsidered
Chair: Ronald Santoni (Denison University/Clare Hall, Cambridge)
Speakers:
Matthew Eshleman (Duquesne University)
“The Misplaced Chapter on ‘Bad Faith’, or Reading Being and Nothingness in Reverse”
Elizabeth Butterfield (Salem State College)
“Sartre on Bad Faith, Freedom, and Determinism: Between Poststructuralism and Postmodernism”
Paul Gyllenhammer (St. John’s University)
“Sartre’s Account of Literature Viewed in the Light of ‘Bad Faith’”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GVII-3. Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy
9:00-11:00 a.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Chair: Anthony Preus (Binghamton University–State University of New York)
Speakers:
Hallvard Fossheim (University of Oslo)
“On Plato’s Use of Socrates as a Character in His Dialogues”
Havard Lokke (University of Oslo)
“True and False Emotions in the Philebus”
Thornton Lockwood (Fordham University)
“Physis and Nomos in Aristotle’s Ethics”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GVII-4. Society of Christian Philosophers
9:00-11:00 a.m., Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)
Topic: Divine Command Theory—Session in Honor of Philip Quinn
Speakers:
John Hare (Yale University)
“Divine Command Theory and Moral Realism”
Stephen Evans (Baylor University)
“Kierkegaard and Divine Command Theory”
William J. Wainwright (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee)
“Quinn’s Case for Divine Command Theory”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)
GROUP SESSION VIII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

GVIII-1. Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse D

Topic: The Unconscious and the Sexual Body
Chair: Wilfred Ver Eecke (Georgetown University)
Speakers: David Pettigrew (Southern Connecticut State University)
“The Unconscious Body”
Richard Boothby (Loyola College in Maryland)
“Sex as Symptom”

GVIII-2. Conference on Philosophical Societies
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse C

Topic: Exploring Therapeutic Philosophy
Chair: David Schrader (Washington and Jefferson College)
Speakers: George Hole (Buffalo State College)
“Philosophical Theory of Chuang Tsu”
Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)
“Beyond Method: A Critical Critique of Ran Lahav’s and Gerd Auchenbach’s Method of Philosophical Counseling”

GVIII-3. North American Spinoza Society
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse E

Chair: Debra Nails (Michigan State University)
Speakers: Tammy Nyden-Bullock (Grinnell College)
“Parallelism à la Mode”
Christopher Martin (Purdue University)
“Rethinking Spinoza’s Ideas of Ideas”

GVIII-4. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse G

Topic: New Issues in Understanding Buddhist Philosophy
Chair: Ashby Butnor (Ithaca College)
Speakers: Ashby Butnor (Ithaca College)
“Embodying Compassion: The Role of the Body in Ethical Relationships”
Scott Stroud (Temple University)
“Dewey and Dogen on the Role of Attention in Activity”
Matthew MacKenzie (Muhlenberg College)
“Enacting Worlds: Karma and the Construction of the Lifeworld”
GVIII-5. Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse H  
**Topic: Marx, Dialectics, and Class Struggle**
Chair: Anne Fairchild Pomeroy (Richard Stockton College of New Jersey)  
Speakers: Jason Bausher (Fordham University)  
Thomas Jennot (Gonzaga University)  
James L. Marsh (Fordham University)  
Anne Fairchild Pomeroy (Richard Stockton College of New Jersey)  

GVIII-6. Society for the Philosophy of Creativity  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)  
**Topic: Philosophers and Medical School: It's about More than Ethics**  
Chair: Karen Kovach (Mercer University)  
Speakers: Craig Irvine (Columbia University)  
D. Micah Hester (University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences)  

GVIII-7. Society of Humanist Philosophers  
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)  
**Topic: Symposium on the Darwinian Argument from Evil**
Chair: Austin Dacey (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)  
Speakers: Paul Draper (Florida International University)  
Michael Murray (Franklin & Marshall College)  

**Thursday Afternoon, December 29**

**Group Session IX – 1:30-4:30 p.m.**

GIX-1. Association for Philosophy of Education  
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse E  
**Topic: Civic Virtues and Education**
Chair: Amelie Rorty (Harvard University)  
Speakers: Sigal Ben-Porath (University of Pennsylvania)  
Richard Miller (Cornell University)  
Ajune Wingo (University of Massachusetts–Boston)  
Commentator: Lawrence Blum (University of Massachusetts–Boston)
GIX-2. Ayn Rand Society
1:30-4:30 p.m., Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)

Topic: Ayn Rand as Aristotelian
Chair: John Cooper (Princeton University)
Speakers: James Lennox (University of Pittsburgh)
“Axioms and Their Validation”
Allan Gotthelf (University of Pittsburgh)
“Concepts and Essences”
Fred Miller, Jr. (Bowling Green State University)
“Values and Happiness”
Robert Mayhew (Seton Hall University)
“Literary Esthetics”

GIX-3. International Institute for Field-Being
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse F
Chair: Curt Naser (Fairfield University)
Speakers: Laura Weed (College of St. Rose)
“Sunny Auyang’s Notion of Complex Systems Theory:
A Field-Being Approach to Philosophy of Science”
Miran Bozovic (Ljubljana University–Slovenia)
“Zhuang Zi’s Butterfly Dream through the Seventeenth
and Eighteenth Century Metaphysics”
Rong-po Chen (Tunghai University–Taiwan)
“The Comparative Views of Aesthetics between
Chuang-Tzu and Gadamer”
Therese Dykeman (Fairfield University)
“Field-Being Rhetorics”

GIX-4. Society for Philosophy and Technology
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse D

Topic: Author Meets Critics: Andrew Feenberg’s Heidegger and
Marcuse: The Catastrophe and Redemption of History
Chair: John Farnum (Portland Community College)
Critics: Daniel Dahlstrom (Boston University)
Robert Scharff (University of New Hampshire)
Author: Andrew Feenberg (Simon Fraser University)

GIX-5. William James Society
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse G

Topic: Presidential Address
Speaker: William Gavin (University of Southern Maine)
“‘Problem’ vs. ‘Trouble’: James, Kafka, Dostoevsky, and
‘The Will to Believe’”
**Group Program**

**Topic: Seigfried’s William James’s Radical Reconstruction of Philosophy, Fifteen Years Later**

Chair: Peter Hare (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)

Speakers: John Capps (Rochester Institute of Technology)  
          Megan Mustain (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)  
          David Perley (University of Toronto)  
          Richard Shusterman (Florida Atlantic University)  
          Charlene Haddock Seigfried (Purdue University)

(Business Meeting to follow.)

**THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 29**

**GROUP SESSION X – 7:00-10:00 P.M.**

**GX-1. American Maritain Association:**  
7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse F

**Topic: Relationships and Persons**

Chair: Peter Simpson (City University of New York)

Speakers: Jorge Gracia (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)  
          “Personal and Group Identities: The Globalism vs. Particularism Dilemma”
          Laura Garcia (Boston College)  
          “Relationships and Persons: Am I My Brother’s Keeper?”

Commentators: Robert Delfino (St. John’s University)  
               Jorge Garcia (Boston College)

**GX-2. Association for Symbolic Logic**  
7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse G

**Topic: Foundational Issues in Modern History of Mathematics and Logic**

Speakers: William Tait (University of Chicago)  
          “The Concept of Intuition in Hilbert’s Program and its Later Extensions”
          Wilfried Sieg (Carnegie Mellon University)  
          “The Logicism of the Mathematicians: Dedekind and Hilbert”
          Jeremy Avigad (Carnegie Mellon University)  
          “Methodology and Metaphysics in the Development of Dedekind’s Theory of Ideals”
GX-3. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy  
7:00-10:00 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)  
**Topic: Buddhist Hermeneutics**  
Chair: Jin Park (American University)  
Speakers: Guang Xing (University of Hong Kong)  
“Hermeneutic Interpretation of *Tathata* in the *Astrasahasrikaprajñāparamitāsutra*”  
Brian Nichols (Rice University)  
“Elaborations of the Three-fold Typology of Wisdom from Vasubandhu to Kamalasila: A Consideration of the Relationship between Reflection and Meditation”  
Eiji Suhara (Arizona State University)  
“How Can We Arise the Awakened Mind?: Bodhicitta Discourse in Honen, Myoe, and Wonhyo”  
James Mark Shields (Lakeland College–Japan)  
“Against the Grain: Rethinking (Critical) Buddhist Hermeneutics as Scholarly Praxis”  
Commentators: Christian Coseru (College of Charleston)  
Pamela D. Winfield (Meredith College)  

GX-4. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy  
7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse D  
**Topic: Double Effect or Beyond: Comparing Double Effect, *Quan*, and *Upaya***  
Chair: Manyul Im (California State University–Los Angeles)  
Speakers: Josef Boyle (University of Toronto)  
“Double Effect and Western Morality”  
Jinfen Yan (University of Toronto–Scarborough)  
“Intention in Catholic Double Effect, Confucian *Quan*, and Mahayana *Upaya*”  
Dan Goldstick (University of Toronto)  
“Double Effect and Terrorism”  
Commentator: Xiaomei Yang (Temple University)  

GX-5. Radical Philosophy Association  
7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse E  
**Topic: Author Meets Critics: Lucius Outlaw’s *In Search of Critical Social Theory in the Interests of Black Folk***  
Chair: J. Everet Green (Mercy College/New School University)  
Critics: Lucia Desir (Mercy College)  
Paget Henry (Brown University)  
John McClendon (Bates College)  
Author: Lucius Outlaw (Vanderbilt University)
**GX-6. Santayana Society**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse H*

Chair: Angus Kerr-Lawson (University of Waterloo)

Speakers: Richard Marc Rubin (Washington University–St. Louis)
“*The Philosophical and Interpretive Importance of Santayana’s Marginalia*”

Phillip Stambovsky (Boston College)
“*Royce, Santayana, and ‘The Relational Form of the Ontological Argument’*”

**GX-7. Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)*

**Topic: Identity**

Chair: David Seiple (Union Theological Seminary)

Speakers: Andy Wible (Muskegon Community College)
“*Gay Identity: What Do We Want?*”

Chris La Barbera (State University of New York–Stony Brook)

William S. Wilkerson (University of Alabama–Huntsville)
“*Choosing Desire, Choosing Identity*”

Commentator: John Corvino (Wayne State University)

**GX-8. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)*

Chair: Idit Dobbs-Weinstein (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers: Josep Puig (Complutense University of Madrid)
“*Eliahu del Medigo: An Attempted Averroism?*”

Martin Pine (City University of New York–Queens College)
“*Recent Interpretations of Pietro Pomponazzi*”

**GX-9. Society for Social and Political Philosophy**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse C*

**Topic: War**

Chair: Graciela Lechuga-Solis (Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana–Xochimilco)

Speakers: Bonnie Mann (University of Oregon)
“*How America Justifies its War: A Feminist Reading of ‘Shock and Awe’*”

Marcelo Hoffman (University of Denver)
“*Foucault’s Politics and Bellicosity as a Matrix for Power Relations*”
Lissa Skitol (Luther College)
“The Case for Comparison between Nazism and the War on Terror: A Study in Biopolitics”

**GX-10. Society for the Philosophy of History**

*7:00 p.m.-10:00 p.m., Concourse B*

**Topic: Hegel’s Philosophy of History**

Chair: Kevin Dodson (Lamar University)
Speakers: Andrew Buchwalter (University of North Florida)
“Is Hegel’s Philosophy of History Eurocentric?”
Frederick Rauscher (Michigan State University)
“Rationality and Reality: Kant and Hegel on History”
Kevin Dodson (Lamar University)
“The Primacy of the Practical: Kant, Hegel, and Marx”
Tom Rockmore (Duquesne University)
“Hegel’s Theories of Knowledge and History”

**GX-11. Society for the Study of Women Philosophers**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)*

**Topic: Women Philosophers in America**

Speakers: Therese Dykeman (Fairfield University)
“Anne Bradstreet, Mercy Otis Warren, and Judith Sargent Murray: Their Common Issues”
Dorothy Rogers (Montclair State University)
“Before the APA: Women in the Development of Academic Philosophy in America”
Charlene Haddock Seigfried (Purdue University)
“Jane Addams’s Autobiographical Account of Twenty Years at Hull House”

**GX-12. Søren Kierkegaard Society**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)*

**Topic: James Conant and his Critics on Kierkegaard’s Authorship(s)**

Chair: M. Jamie Ferreira (University of Virginia)
Speakers: Edward Mooney (Syracuse University):
“To Revoke a Text and Keep it Too: A Curtain Call for Climacus”
Paul Muench (Williams College)
“Understanding Kierkegaard’s Climacus in the Postscript: Mirror of the Reader’s Faults or Socratic Exemplar?”
Colin Patrick (University of Chicago)
“Reflected Existence: The Difficulties of the Concluding Unscientific Postscript”
Commentators: Genia Schönbaumsfeld (University of Southampton–UK)  
James Conant (University of Chicago)

**GX-13. World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning**

*7:00-10:00 p.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)*

**Topic: The Temporal and Temporality in Islamic Philosophy and Occidental Phenomenology**

**Chair:** Anna-Teresa Tymieniecka (World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning)

**Speakers:**
- Nader El-Bizri (University of Cambridge)  
  “Perspectives on Time from the History of Philosophy and Phenomenology”
- Mehdi Aminrazavi (Mary Washington College)  
  “Mir Damad on Time and Temporality”
- Michael Andrews (Seattle University)  
  Title: TBA
- Sirajul Islam (Visva-Bharati University–India)  
  “The Concept of Temporal and Temporality in Islamic Philosophy: Oriental and Occidental Phenomenological Perspective”
- Abu Yaareb Marzouki (International Islamic University of Malaysia)  
  “Ibn Taymiyyah’s Interpretation of Quranic Narrative and Temporality”
- Kathleen Haney (University of Houston)  
  “The Ontopoietic Timing of Life Versus the Kairic Unfolding of the Trans-natural Destiny (A-T. Tymieniecka)”
- Reza Akbarian (University of Tarbiat Modares–Iran)  
  “Temporal Creation of the Material World and Mulla Sadra’s Trans-substantial Motion”
- H. Kalbasi (Allameh Tabatabai University–Iran)  
  “Mulla-Sadra and Kant: Time, Temporality, and Phenomena”
- John Crowley (Franciscan University of Steubenville)  
  “Temporality in the Moral Existence of the Person (Dietrich von Hildebrand)”
- Abdul Rahim Afaki (University of Karachi–Pakistan)  
  “The Historicity of Linguistic Signs and the Ahistoricity of Meanings: The Role of Neologisms in the Making of Islamic-Arab Tradition”
GX-14. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Philosophy
7:00-10:00 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)
Topic: Philosophy Video Festival

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30

GROUP SESSION XI – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GXI-1. American Society for Value Inquiry
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse B
Topic: Author Meets Critics: Tibor Machan’s Objectivity
Chair: Douglas Den Uyl (Liberty Fund Inc.)
Critics: John Reis (Elmhurst College)
        Douglas Rasmussen (St. John’s University)
        Fred Seddon (Duquesne University)
Author: Tibor Machan (Chapman University)

GXI-2. Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale
9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse D
Chair: Kent Emery, Jr. (University of Notre Dame)
Speakers: Bernd Goehring (University of Notre Dame)
          “Henry of Ghent and Giles of Rome on Intellectual Cognition”
          Giorgio Pini (Fordham University)
          “Mental Representation in Giles of Rome”
          Martin Pickavé (University of Toronto)
          “Giles of Rome on Emotions”
(This session may continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GROUP SESSION XII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

GXII-1. Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse C
Topic: Technology and Virtues
Chair: Melvin Woody (Connecticut College)
Speakers: Jennifer Radden (University of Massachusetts–Boston) and John Sadler (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center)
          “Technology and Virtues”

GXII-2. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy
11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse F
Topic: Interpreting Daoist Philosophy
Chair: Erin Cline (Baylor University)
Speakers: Joel Krueger (Purdue University)
Erin Cline (Baylor University)
“How to Fish Like a Daoist”
Weimin Sun (California State University–Northridge)
“Daoist Influence on Chan Buddhism”

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30

GROUP SESSION XIII – 1:30-4:30 P.M.

GXIII-1. Hannah Arendt Circle and Karl Jaspers Society of North America
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse C
Topic: Philosophy, Religion, Politics
Chair: Andrew Gluck (Independent Scholar)
Speakers: Serena Parekh (Boston College)
“When the Chips are Down: Arendtian Morality and Human Rights”
Brad Herling (Boston University)
“Machedicy-Making: Just War Theory and the Discourse on Evil in an Age of Terror”
Commentator: Ray Langley (Manhattanville College)

GXIII-2. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse B
Topic: On the Constructive-Engagement Methodological Strategy in Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy
Chair: Xianglong Zhang (Peking University)
Speakers: Manyul Im (California State University–Los Angeles)
“Engaging with the Dead: Classical Confucianism, Historicism, and the Viability of Ethical Options”
Xiaofei Tu (Syracuse University)
“New Confucians and the Kyoto Philosophers as Comparativists: The Cases of Mou Zongsan and Nishitani”
Bo Mou (California State University–San Jose)
“On Some Methodological Issues Involved in Constructive-Engagement of Chinese and Western Philosophy”
Commentator: Weimin Sun (California State University–Northridge)
GXIII-3. International Society for Environmental Ethics and Society for Philosophy and Geography
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse E

**Topic: Author Meets Critics: The Work of Mark Sagoff**

Chair: Avram Hiller (Wake Forest University)
Critics: Bryan Norton (Georgia University of Technology)
         David Schmidtz (University of Arizona)
         Paul Thompson (Michigan State University)
Author: Mark Sagoff (University of Maryland)

GXIII-4. International Society for NeoPlatonic Studies
1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse D

Speakers: Peter Adamson (Kings College London)
          “Soul in al-Kindi and Arabic Neoplatonism”
          Carlos Fraenkel (McGill University)
          “Platonic Interpretations of Revealed Religion: From Ancient Alexandria to Baghdad.”
          John Jones (Marquette University)
          “An Absolutely Simple God? Divine Essence and Energies in Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite”
          Richard Taylor (Marquette University)
          “Neoplatonic Elements in Averroes’s Account of Intellect”

1:30-4:30 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

**Topic: The Why of Democracy**

Chair: Barbara Andrew (William Paterson University)
Speakers: Alistair MacLeod (Queen’s University)
          “Can We Have Freedom and Justice without Democracy?”
          Jan Narveson (University of Waterloo)
          “Democracy by Main Force?”
          Carol Gould (George Mason University)
          Title: TBA
MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

(Group sessions are those beginning with ‘G’; all others are main sessions.)

A
ABBARNO, John (D’Youville College) ....................................... GIV-3 Wed PM
ADAMSON, Peter (Kings College London) ........... III-B Thur PM, GXIII-4 Fri PM
ADARKAR, Aditya (Montclair State University) ....................... GVI-8 Wed PM
ADLER, Amy (New York University) ................................................ IV-K Fri AM
ADLER, Jonathan (City University of New York–Brooklyn College) ..........
................................................................. GIII-2 Wed AM
AFAKI, Abdul Rahim (University of Karachi–Pakistan) ........ GX-13 Thur PM
AKBARIAN, Reza (University of Tarbiat Modares–Iran) .......... GX-13 Thur PM
ALBERT, David (Columbia University) .......................................... VI-A Fri PM
ALCOFF, Linda (Syracuse University) ........................................ III-N Thur PM
ALEXANDER, Thomas (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) ...........
................................................................. GII-12 Wed AM
ALFONSO, Rita (Grinnell College) ................................. GVI-14 Wed PM
ALLEN, Anita (University of Pennsylvania) ........... II-I Thur AM, IV-B Fri AM
ALLEN, James (University of Pittsburgh) ................................. I-F Wed PM
ALLISON, David (State University of New York–Stony Brook) .... IV-E Fri AM
AMATO, Peter (Drexel University) ............................. GVI-7 Wed PM
AMINRAZAVI, Mehdi (Mary Washington College) ........ GX-13 Thur PM
ANDERSON, Douglas (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) ........
................................................................. GIII-9 Wed AM
ANDERSON, Lanier (Stanford University) .................. GI-3 Tues PM
ANDERSON, Scott (University of British Columbia) ........... II-H Thur AM
ANDREOU, Chrisoula (University of Utah) ......................... IV-G Fri AM
ANDREW, Barbara (William Paterson University) ........... GXIII-5 Fri PM
ANDREWS, Michael (Seattle University) .................. GX-13 Thur PM
APPIAH, Kwame Anthony (Princeton University) .... I-J Wed PM, VI-B Fri PM
ARNOLD, Denis (University of Tennessee–Knoxville) ....................
................................................................. GV-5 Wed PM, III-A Thur PM
ARTEMOV, Sergei (City University of New York–Graduate Center) ........
................................................................. GI-I Tues PM
ASHBAUGH, Anne (Colgate University) ................................. V-G Fri AM
AUDI, Robert (University of Notre Dame) ......................... GV-5 Wed PM
AUNE, Bruce (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) .......... III-H Thur PM
AVIGAD, Jeremy (Carnegie Mellon University) ............... GX-2 Thur PM
AYDEDE, Murat (University of Florida) ....................... VI-G Fri PM
AZZOUNI, Jody (Tufts University) .................................. VI-D Fri PM

BABICH, Babette E. (Fordham University) .................... II-C Thur AM
BACKER, David (George Washington University) .......... V-J Fri AM
BAGLEY, Paul J. (Loyola College in Maryland) ............... GII-8 Wed AM
BASS, Robert (University of North Florida) ............... GV-12 Wed PM
BAUER, Nancy (Tufts University) .................................. GII-9 Wed AM
BAUSHER, Jason (Fordham University) ...................... GVIII-5 Thur AM
BEALER, George (Yale University) ................................ I-D Wed PM
BEAUCHAMP, Tom (Georgetown University) .................. III-K Thur PM
BELKIND, Ori (University of Western Ontario) .............. II-A Thur AM
BELLO, Angela Ales (Lateran University–Italy) .......... GVI-13 Wed PM
BENDER, Jack (Ohio University) .................................. GV-10 Wed PM
BENHABIB, Seyla (Yale University) ............................. Introduction, Presidential Address Thur PM
BENJAMIN, Andrew (University of Sydney) ................... III-E Thur PM
BENNETT, Karen (Princeton University) ........................ II-G Thur AM
BENNETT, Max (University of Sydney) ........................... I-E Wed PM
BEN-PORATH, Sigal (University of Pennsylvania) ........... GIX-1 Thur PM
BENSON, Bruce Ellis (Wheaton College–Illinois) .......... IV-F Fri AM
BERENDZEN, J.C. (Loyola University of New Orleans) ........ III-H Thur PM
BERGO, Bettina (University of Montreal) ....................... V-G Fri AM
BERMAN, Robert (Xavier University) ......................... GVI-12 Wed PM
BESMER, Kirk (Gonzaga University) ............................ V-G Fri AM
BETT, Richard (Johns Hopkins University) ..................... GIII-11 Wed AM
BLOODSWORTH-LUGO, Mary (Washington State University) .................. GVI-14 Wed PM
BLUM, Lawrence (University of Massachusetts–Boston) ...... GIX-1 Thur PM
BOHMAN, James (Saint Louis University) ....................... GV-9 Wed PM
BOKULICH, Peter (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ... III-F Thur PM
BOLTON, Martha (Rutgers University) .......................... II-F Thur AM
BOLTUC, Peter (University of Illinois–Springfield) .......... VI-J Fri PM
BOONE, Daniel (Indiana University of Pennsylvania) ....... GIII-2 Wed AM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOOTHBY, Richard</td>
<td>Loyola College in Maryland</td>
<td>GVIII-1 Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BORAN, Idil</td>
<td>University of Quebec–Montréal</td>
<td>I-I Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOURGET, David</td>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>III-F Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOVELL, Carlos</td>
<td>Institute for Christian Studies</td>
<td>VI-F Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOYD, Rhiannon</td>
<td>John Tyler Community College</td>
<td>GV-12 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOYLE, Josef</td>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>GX-4 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOXILL, Bernard</td>
<td>University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill</td>
<td>IV-I Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOZOVIC, Miran</td>
<td>Ljubljana University–Slovenia</td>
<td>GIX-3 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRADATAN, Costica</td>
<td>Miami University–Ohio</td>
<td>GI-6 Tues PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND, Peg</td>
<td>Indiana University–Purdue University, Indianapolis</td>
<td>GV-10 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRANDOM, Robert</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>III-D Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRANQUINHO, João</td>
<td>University of Lisbon–Portugal</td>
<td>I-K Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRENT, Joseph</td>
<td>University of the District of Columbia</td>
<td>GV-2 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRINDELL, Sharalee</td>
<td>University of Colorado–Boulder</td>
<td>V-J Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRISON, Susan</td>
<td>Dartmouth College</td>
<td>IV-B Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROGAARD, Berit</td>
<td>University of Missouri–St. Louis</td>
<td>I-H Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROWN, Harold</td>
<td>Pace University</td>
<td>GII-1 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRYANT, Rebecca</td>
<td>University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign</td>
<td>II-J Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUCHANAN, Brett</td>
<td>DePaul University</td>
<td>IV-E Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUCHWALTER, Andrew</td>
<td>University of North Florida</td>
<td>GX-10 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUENO, Otávio</td>
<td>University of South Carolina</td>
<td>GI-5 Tues PM, GVI-10 Wed PM, V-I Fri AM, VI-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURGESS, John</td>
<td>Princeton University</td>
<td>VI-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURNHAM, Douglas</td>
<td>University of Staffordshire–UK</td>
<td>II-C Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURNOR, Richard</td>
<td>Felician College</td>
<td>GV-11 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUTNOR, Ashby</td>
<td>Ithaca College</td>
<td>GVIII-4 Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUTTERFIELD, Elizabeth</td>
<td>Salem State College</td>
<td>GVII-2 Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALLAHAN, Joan</td>
<td>University of Kentucky</td>
<td>III-N Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALVERT, Kristina</td>
<td>University of Hamburg–Germany</td>
<td>I-B Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMERON, Margaret</td>
<td>City University of New York–Hunter College</td>
<td>VI-F Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMP, Elisabeth</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>GVI-1 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMPBELL, James</td>
<td>University of Toledo</td>
<td>GII-11 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMPOS, Daniel</td>
<td>Pennsylvania State University</td>
<td>GIII-9 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Location/Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPPS, John</td>
<td>Rochester Institute of Technology</td>
<td>GIX-5 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD, Claudia</td>
<td>University of Wisconsin</td>
<td>I-A Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARRASCO, Maria Alejandra</td>
<td>Pontificia Universidad Católica–Chile</td>
<td>GV-3 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARSE, Alisa</td>
<td>Georgetown University</td>
<td>II-H Thur AM, IV-K Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASEY, Donald</td>
<td>Felician College</td>
<td>GV-11 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASEY, Edward</td>
<td>State University of New York–Stony Brook</td>
<td>I-C Wed PM, GV-8 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASWELL, Matthew</td>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>III-G Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESARZ, Gary</td>
<td>Southeast Missouri University</td>
<td>GIII-4 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAFFEE, John</td>
<td>City University of New York–La Guardia College</td>
<td>GII-1 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAKRABARTI, Chandana</td>
<td>Elon University</td>
<td>GVI-8 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAKRABARTI, Kisor</td>
<td>Ferris State University</td>
<td>GVI-8 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAN, Albert</td>
<td>University of Southern California</td>
<td>GV-4 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPPELL, Vere</td>
<td>University of Massachusetts–Amherst</td>
<td>VI-E Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEKOLA, Mark</td>
<td>Minnesota State University–Moorhead</td>
<td>VI-I Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEN, Daixiang</td>
<td>Xiangtan University–China</td>
<td>GV-4 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEN, Rong-po</td>
<td>Tunghai University–Taiwan</td>
<td>GIX-3 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHENG, Chung-ying</td>
<td>University of Hawaii–Manoa</td>
<td>GV-4 Wed PM, GVI-3 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHITTICK, William</td>
<td>State University of New York–Stony Brook</td>
<td>GVI-13 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISLEY, Ron</td>
<td>University of Sussex–UK</td>
<td>VI-J Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTENSEN, David</td>
<td>University of Vermont</td>
<td>VI-C Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTIANO, Thomas</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>GV-9 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUNG-HUNG, Francis Li</td>
<td>Chinese University of Hong Kong</td>
<td>GV-4 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARK, Dale</td>
<td>University of Utah</td>
<td>VI-H Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARK, Maudemarie</td>
<td>Colgate University</td>
<td>GI-3 Tues PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARKE, W. Norris</td>
<td>Fordham University</td>
<td>GII-5 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLINE, Erin</td>
<td>Baylor University</td>
<td>GXII-2 Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COHEN, Andrew Jason</td>
<td>Georgia State University</td>
<td>II-H Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COHEN, Elliot</td>
<td>Institute for Critical Thinking</td>
<td>GII-2 Wed AM, GV-1 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COHEN, Meir-Dan</td>
<td>University of California–Berkeley</td>
<td>IV-B Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLAPIETRO, Vincent</td>
<td>Pennsylvania State University</td>
<td>GVI-6 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLINS, Ardis</td>
<td>Loyola University of Chicago</td>
<td>GII-3 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLYVAN, Mark</td>
<td>University of Queensland–Australia</td>
<td>VI-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONANT, James</td>
<td>University of Chicago</td>
<td>GX-12 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOK, Roy</td>
<td>Villanova University</td>
<td>V-F Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOPER, John</td>
<td>Princeton University</td>
<td>GIX-2 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPELAND, Jack</td>
<td>University of Canterbury–New Zealand</td>
<td>GV-7 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORSENTINO, Anthony</td>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>I-H Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORVINO, John</td>
<td>Wayne State University</td>
<td>GX-7 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSERU, Christian</td>
<td>College of Charleston</td>
<td>GX-3 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUCH, James</td>
<td>Southern Illinois University</td>
<td>I-G Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITCHLEY, Simon</td>
<td>New School University</td>
<td>III-D Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROWLEY, John</td>
<td>Franciscan University of Steubenville</td>
<td>GX-13 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUONZO, Margaret</td>
<td>Long Island University</td>
<td>GII-1 Wed AM, VI-H Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUTROFELLO, Andrew</td>
<td>Loyola University of Chicago</td>
<td>GIII-10 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACEY, Austin</td>
<td>University at Buffalo–State University of New York</td>
<td>GVIII-7 Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAHLSTROM, Daniel</td>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>GII-3 Wed AM, GIX-4 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANTO, Arthur</td>
<td>Columbia University</td>
<td>III-M Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE LACOSTE, Guillermine</td>
<td>Independent Scholar</td>
<td>GIII-3 Wed AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE SOUSA Ronald</td>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>VI-H Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELFINO, Robert</td>
<td>St. John’s University</td>
<td>GX-1 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELLA ROCCA, Michael</td>
<td>Yale University</td>
<td>V-E Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMARCO, Wesley</td>
<td>Clark University</td>
<td>GIV-5 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEN UYL, Douglas</td>
<td>Liberty Fund Inc.</td>
<td>GXI-1 Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENNETT, Daniel</td>
<td>Tufts University</td>
<td>I-E Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES CHENE, Dennis</td>
<td>Washington University–St. Louis</td>
<td>III-C Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIR, Lucia</td>
<td>Mercy College</td>
<td>GX-5 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETLEFSEN, Karen</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>III-C Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVITT, Michael</td>
<td>City University of New York–Graduate Center</td>
<td>I-D Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOBBS-WEINSTEIN, Idit</td>
<td>Vanderbilt University</td>
<td>GX-8 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOBIE, Robert</td>
<td>La Salle University</td>
<td>GVI-13 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DODIG-CRNKOVIC, Gordana</td>
<td>Mälardalen University–Sweden</td>
<td>VI-J Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DODSON, Kevin</td>
<td>Lamar University</td>
<td>GX-10 Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONALDSON, Thomas</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>III-A Thur PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DORR, Cian</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>II-G Thur AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRAPER, Paul</td>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>GVIII-7 Thur AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DRENNAN, John (US Government attorney) ...................... II-J Thur AM
DRIVER, Julia (Dartmouth College) ................................ VI-H Fri PM
DRUMMOND, John (Fordham University) ........................ I-G Wed PM
DYKEMAN, Therese (Fairfield University) .......... GIX-3 Thur PM, GX-11 Thur PM

EDWARDS, Tracy (Brandeis University) ........ III-N Thur PM, IV-K Fri AM
EGAN, Andy (Australian National University) .................. V-C Fri AM
EHRLICH, Leonard (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) ... GII-6 Wed AM
EL-BIZRI, Nader (University of Cambridge) .................. GX-13 Thur PM
ELGA, Adam (Princeton University) ................................. VI-A Fri PM
EMERY JR., Kent, (University of Notre Dame) .................. GXI-2 Fri AM
ENDERLE, Georges (University of Notre Dame) ........ III-A Thur PM
ENGLAND, Edwin (Denison University) ..................... GIV-1 Wed PM
ESHLEMAN, Matthew (Duquesne University) .................... GVII-2 Thur AM
EVANS, Claude (Washington University–St Louis) .......... V-D Fri AM
EVANS, Matthew (New York University) .................. I-F Wed PM
EVANS, Stephen (Baylor University) ................................. GVII-4 Thur AM
EVANS, William (St. Peter's College, New Jersey) .......... GII-1 Wed AM
EVNINE, Simon (University of Miami) ..................... GIII-2 Wed AM, VI-G Fri PM

F
FALK, Arthur (Western Michigan University) .................... GI-4 Tues PM
FALKENSTEIN, Lorne (University of Western Ontario) .... GIV-4 Wed PM
FARNUM, John (Portland Community College) ............ GIX-4 Thur PM
FEENBERG, Andrew (Simon Fraser University) ........... GIX-4 Thur PM
FERREIRA, M. Jamie (University of Virginia) ............... GX-12 Thur PM
FIELD, Hartry (New York University) ...................... V-B Fri AM
FILEVA, Iskra (Boston University) ............................... II-E Thur AM
FISHER, Saul (American Council of Learned Societies) ............ II-J Thur AM, III-C Thur PM
FITELSON, Branden (University of California–Berkeley) .... VI-C Fri PM
FITZPATRICK, William (Virginia Polytechnic Institute
and State University) .................. GVI-5 Wed PM
FLANAGAN, Owen (Duke University) ....................... I-E Wed PM
FLYNN, Bernard (New School University) .................. I-G Wed PM
FODOR, Jerry (Rutgers University) .................. Presidential Address Thur PM
FOLLESDA, Andreas (University of Oslo) .................. GV-9 Wed PM
FOLTZ, Bruce (Eckerd College) .................................................... I-C Wed PM
FORBES, Graeme (Tulane University) ........................................... I-H Wed PM
FOSSHEIM, Hallvard (University of Oslo) .................................... GVII-3 Thur AM
FOTI, Véronique (Pennsylvania State University) ..................... GV-8 Wed PM
FRAENKEL, Carlos (McGill University) ....................................... GXIII-4 Fri PM
FRANCES, Bryan (Fordham University) ..................................... IV-D Fri AM
FRASER, Bruce (Indian River Community College) ................... GII-2 Wed AM, GV-1 Wed PM
FRIEDMAN, Michael (Stanford University) .............................. II-A Thur AM
FRIEL, James (Farmingdale State University) ......................... GII-1 Wed AM
FRIEND, Stacie (Washington and Jefferson College) ............. GVI-1 Wed PM
FRISCH, Mathias (University of Maryland) ................................ VI-A Fri PM
FROST-ARNOLD, Gregory (University of Pittsburgh) ............ IV-H Fri AM

G
GARCIA, Jorge (Boston College) .............................................. GX-1 Thur PM
GARCIA, Laura (Boston College) ............................................ GX-1 Thur PM
GARRETT, Jeremy (Rice University) ........................................... GV-12 Wed PM
GARTHOFF, Jon (Northwestern University) ............................... I-I Wed PM
GAVIN, William (University of Southern Maine) ...................... GIX-5 Thur PM
GENNARO, Rocco J. (Indiana State University–Terre Haute) ............... GVI-8 Wed PM, VI-G Fri PM
GERHARDT, Volker (Humboldt University–Germany) ........ II-C Thur AM
GILLIES, Thony (University of Michigan) .................................. V-C Fri AM
GLANZBERG, Michael (University of California–Davis) ............ V-B Fri AM
GLUCK, Andrew (Independent Scholar) ...................................... GXIII-1 Fri PM
GOEHRING, Bernd (University of Notre Dame) ....................... GXI-2 Fri AM
GOLDSTICK, Dan (University of Toronto) .................................. GX-4 Thur PM
GOMBERG, Paul (Chicago State University) ............................. I-I Wed PM
GOTTHELF, Allan (University of Pittsburgh) .......................... GIX-2 Thur PM
GOSS, Maxwell (University of Texas–Austin) ......................... GI-4 Tues PM
GOULD, Carol (Florida Atlantic University) ............................. GIII-6 Wed AM
GOULD, Carol (George Mason University) ......................... GV-9 Wed PM, GXIII-5 Fri PM
GRACIA, Jorge (University at Buffalo–State University of New York) .......... I-J Wed PM, GV-6 Wed PM, GX-1 Thur PM
GRAFF, Delia (Princeton University) ...................................... I-H Wed PM
GREEN, J. Everet (Mercy College/New School University) ....... GX-5 Thur PM
GREEN, Karen (Monash University–Australia) ........................... GII-4 Wed AM
GREENBERG, Sean (Johns Hopkins University) ....................... V-E Fri AM
GREENE, Michael (Bradley University) ..................................... IV-F Fri AM
GRIFFIN, Edward (Norwalk Community College) .................. GII-10 Wed AM
GUYER, Paul (University of Pennsylvania) ............................... V-E Fri AM
GYLLENHAMMER, Paul (St. John's University) ...................... GVII-2 Thur AM

H
HACKER, Peter (Oxford University) .......................................... I-E Wed PM
HALE, Benjamin (University of Colorado–Boulder) ............... GV-12 Wed PM
HALPERN, Jodi (University of California–Berkeley) ............. III-K Thur PM
HANEY, Kathleen (University of Houston) ............................ GX-13 Thur PM
HARDCASTLE, Valerie (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University) ................................ VI-G Fri PM
HARE, John (Yale University) ............................................... GVII-4 Thur AM
HARE, Peter (University at Buffalo–State University of New York) ................ GII-11 Wed AM, GIX-5 Thur PM
HARRIS, James (University of St. Andrews) ............ GIV-4 Wed PM, VI-E Fri PM
HARRISON, Stanley (Marquette University) .......................... GVI-6 Wed PM
HARTMAN, Edwin M. (Rutgers University) ............................. GV-5 Wed PM
HATLEY, James (Salisbury University) .................................... IV-E Fri AM
HEATH, Eugene (State University of New York–New Paltz) .... GV-3 Wed PM
HEINE, Steven (Florida International University) .................. GI-III-6 Wed AM
HELM, Bert (Missouri State University) ................................. GI-4 Tues PM
HENGEL, Laura (Case Western Reserve University) ......... GIII-10 Wed AM
HENRY, Paget (Brown University) ........................................... GX-5 Thur PM
HERLING, Brad (Boston University) ...................................... GXIII-1 Fri PM
HESTER, D. Micah (University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences) ................ GIII-6 Thur AM
HIERONYMI, Pamela (University of California–Los Angeles) ...... V-E Fri AM
HIGHT, Marc (Hampden–Sydney College) ............................. II-F Thur AM
HILL Jr., Thomas (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ........................................ GIII-1 Wed AM
HILLER, Avram (Wake Forest University) .............................. GXIII-3 Fri PM
HITZ, Zena (Auburn University) ............................................ V-H Fri AM
HOEKEMA, David (Calvin College) ....................................... GI-III-11 Wed AM
HOFFMAN, Eric (St. Joseph’s University) ......................... GIII-11 Wed AM
HOFFMAN, Marcelo (University of Denver) .......................... GX-9 Thur PM
HOLE, George (Buffalo State College) .............................. GVIII-2 Thur AM
HOWARD, Don (University of Notre Dame) ......................... II-A Thur AM
HUANG, Yong (Kutztown University) ....................... GI-2 Tues PM, GVII-1 Thur AM
HUGHES, Robert (University of California–Los Angeles) ........ II-H Thur AM

IM, Manyul (California State University–Los Angeles) .................... GX-4 Thur PM, GXIII-2 Fri PM
IRVINE, Craig (Columbia University) ............................ GVIII-6 Thur AM
ISLAM, Sirajul (Visva-Bharati University–India) .................. GX-13 Thur PM
IVANHOE, Philip (Boston University) ...................... I-L Wed PM, IV-A Fri AM

JACKMAN, Henry (York University–Ontario) .................. III-F Thur PM
JASKOLOWSKA, Jolanta (Lexington College) ................... V-H Fri AM
JENNETT, Thomas (Gonzaga University) ....................... GVIII-5 Thur AM
JENSEN, Anthony (Emory University) ............................ V-D Fri AM
JANG, Tao (Rutgers University) .................. GII-12 Wed AM
JOHN, Eileen (University of Warwick–UK) ...................... GVI-1 Wed PM
JOHNSON, Charles (Molinari Institute) .......................... GIII-8 Wed AM
JOHNSON, Leigh M. (Pennsylvania State University) ....... GVI-11 Wed PM
JOHNSTON, Adrian (Emory University) ......................... GIII-3 Wed AM
JOLLIMORE, Troy (California State University–Chico) ........ II-E Thur AM
JONES, John (Marquette University) .................. GXIII-4 Fri PM
JOYCE, James (University of Michigan) .................. VI-C Fri PM
JUSTIN, Gale (California State University–Sacramento) .... V-H Fri AM

KAJITANI, Megan Pincus (University of California–San Diego) ............ II-J Thur AM
KALBASI, H. (Allameh Tabatabai University–Iran) ........ GX-13 Thur PM
KAMSLER, Victoria (Institute for Advanced Study–Princeton) ... I-I Wed PM
KANG, Hye-ryoung (University of Colorado–Boulder) .......... I-I Wed PM
KANIA, Andrew (Trinity University) ............................... IV-F Fri AM
KAPITAN, Tomis (Northern Illinois University) .................. I-H Wed PM
KAWALL, Jason (Colgate University) ....................... GIII-7 Wed AM
KEELEY, Brian (Pitzer College) .............................. VI-G Fri PM
KELLER, Simon (Boston University) ....................... GIII-7 Wed AM, IV-G Fri AM
KELLY, Michael (University of North Carolina–Charlotte) .... GIII-11 Wed AM
KELLY, Thomas (Princeton University) .................. IV-D Fri AM
KENDRICK, Nancy (Wheaton College–Massachusetts) ............ II-F Thur AM
KENNEDY, David (Montclair State University) ................... I-B Wed PM
KENNY, Anthony (Oxford University) ............................. III-B Thur PM
KERR-LAWSON, Angus (University of Waterloo) ............... GX-6 Thur PM
KERSTEIN, Samuel (University of Maryland) ..................... III-G Thur PM
KHAMENEI, Sayyid Muhammad (Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute–Iran) ................................. GVI-13 Wed PM
KIM, Joongol (Western Illinois University) .................... II-G Thur AM
KITCHER, Philip (Columbia University) ............................. VI-B Fri PM
KLEIST, Edward (Loyola University of New Orleans) .......... II-E Thur AM
KLEMENT, Kevin, (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) .... GII-4 Wed AM
KLIMA, Gyula (Fordham University) ............................... VI-F Fri PM
KNISELY, Kenneth (No Dogs or Philosophers Allowed) .............. III-L Thur PM, V-J Fri AM
KOBE, Joshua (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... IV-C Fri AM
KOCHIRAS, Hylarie (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... IV-G Fri AM
KÖGLER, Hans-Herbert (University of North Florida) ............ V-G Fri AM
KOPF, Gereon (Luther College) ................................. GIII-6 Wed AM
KORNBLITH, Hilary (University of Texas–Austin) ................ III-H Thur PM
KOULAS, Michael (Mercer University) ............................... GVIII-6 Thur AM
KRUEGER, Joel (Purdue University) ................................. GXII-2 Fri AM
KUKLA, Rebecca (Carleton University) ............................ II-D Thur AM
KULSTAD, Mark (Rice University) ................................. GIV-4 Wed PM
KULVICKI, John (Dartmouth College) ............................. III-E Thur PM
KUPPERMAN, Joel (University of Connecticut) ............... IV-A Fri AM
KUTZ, Christopher (University of California–Berkeley) ........ I-A Wed PM

L
LA BARBERA, Chris (State University of New York–Stony Brook) ............. GX-7 Thur PM
LACHS, John (Vanderbilt University) ................. GIII-11 Wed AM, GV-14 Wed PM
LACKEY, Doug (City University of New York–Graduate Center) ................... GV-11 Wed PM
LAFONT, Cristina (Northwestern University) ....................... III-D Thur PM
LAFOLETTE, Hugh (University of South Florida–St. Petersburg) ............... GII-7 Wed AM
LANE, Robert (University of West Georgia) ........................................ V-F Fri AM
LANGLEY, Ray (Manhattanville College) ........................................... GXIII-1 Fri PM
LANGTON, Rae (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) ................ IV-K Fri AM
LAPointe, Sandra (Concordia University–Montreal) ......................... GII-4 Wed AM
LARKIN, William (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville) ............... GVI-10 Wed PM
LAVIN, Douglas (Harvard University) ................................................ II-E Thur AM
LAWLOR, Krista (Stanford University) ............................................. IV-H Fri AM
LECHUGA-SOLIS, Graciela (Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana–Xochimilco) ................................................GX-9 Thur PM
LEE, Kwang-Sae (Kent State University) ........................................... GIV-5 Wed PM
LEE, Pauline (Washington University–St. Louis) ............................... GVII-1 Thur AM
LEHRER, Keith (University of Arizona) ............................................. GV-10 Wed PM
LEITTE, Adam (Indiana University) ................................................ III-I Thur PM
LEITGBEB, Hannes (University of Salzburg) ...................................... V-B Fri AM
LENGBEYER, Lawrence A. (United States Navel Academy) ................. VI-H Fri PM
LENNOX, James (University of Pittsburgh) ........................................ GIX-2 Thur PM
LEVEY, Samuel (Dartmouth College) .............................................. GVI-4 Wed PM
LEVINSON, Jerrold (University of Maryland) ..................................... IV-F, Fri AM
LICHTENBERG, Judith (University of Maryland) .............................. III-A Thur PM
LIEBENDORFER, Richard (Minnesota State University) ....................... GVI-8 Wed PM
LIGHT, Andrew (New York University) ............................................ GV-15 Wed PM
LINDEMANN, Hilde (Michigan State University) ................................ IV-G Fri AM
LIU, JeeLoo (California State University–Fullerton) ................. GVI-3 Wed PM
LOCKWOOD, Thornton (Fordham University) ................................... GVII-3 Thur AM
LOKKE, Havard (University of Oslo) ............................................... GVII-3 Thur AM
LONDON, Alex John (Carnegie Mellon University) ........................... III-K Thur PM
LONG, James (Fairfield University) .................................................. GVI-9 Wed PM
LONG, Roderick (Auburn University) ............................................... GIII-8 Wed AM
LOPTSON, Peter (University of Guelph) .......................................... GIV-4 Wed PM
LOUGHNEY, John (Westfield State University) ................................. GV-14 Wed PM
LUDLOW, Peter (University of Michigan) ........................................ III-I Thur PM
LUDWIG, Kirk (University of Florida) ............................................. IV-C Fri AM
LUFT, Sebastian (Marquette University) .......................................... I-G Wed PM
LUSTHOUS, Dan (Boston University) ............................................. GIII-6 Wed AM
MACHAN, Tibor (Chapman University) ........................................... GXI-1 Fri AM
MACKENZIE, Matthew (Muhlenberg College) ......................... GVIII-4 Thur AM
MACKLIN, Ruth (Albert Einstein College of Medicine) .......... III-K Thur PM
MACLEOD, Alistair (Queen’s University) ............ GV-9 Wed PM, GXIII-5 Fri PM
MACLEOD, Mary (Indiana University of Pennsylvania) .......... III-H Thur PM
MADER, Mary Beth (University of Memphis) ...................... GIII-10 Wed AM
MAGALHAES, Ernani (West Virginia University) ............... GI-4 Tues PM
MAGNELL, Thomas (Drew University) ................................... GIII-1 Wed AM
MAHER, Patrick (University of Illinois) ..................................... VI-C Fri PM
MAITRA, Keya (University of North Carolina–Asheville) .... GVI-8 Wed PM
MAKER, William (Clemson University) .................. GV-9 Wed PM
MANN, Anika (Morgan State University) ...................... GVI-12 Wed PM
MANN, Bonnie (University of Oregon) ................................. GX-9 Thur PM
MANNINEN, Bertha Alvarez (Purdue University) ........... GV-12 Wed PM
MARCANO, Donna-Dale (Trinity College) ............. GVI-14 Wed PM, II-I Thur AM
MARINO, Gordon (St. Olaf’s College) ........................................ VI-K Fri PM
MARKOVITS, Daniel (Yale University) ........................................ V-A Fri AM
MARQUES, Antonio (New University of Lisbon–Portugal) ... I-K Wed PM
MARRONE, Steven (Tufts University) ............................ GVI-9 Wed PM
MARSH, James L. (Fordham University) ............................ GVIII-5 Thur AM
MARTI, Oscar (California State University–Northridge) .... GV-6 Wed PM
MARTIN, Christopher (Purdue University) .................... GVIII-3 Thur AM
MARZOUKI, Abu Yaareb (International Islamic University of Malaysia) .................................................. GX-13 Thur PM
MATTTHEWS, Gareth (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) .... I-B Wed PM
MAUDLIN, Tim (Rutgers University) ...................................... VI-A Fri PM
MAY, Larry (Washington University–St. Louis) ............. GV-15 Wed PM
MAYHEW, Robert (Seton Hall University) ...................... GIX-2 Thur PM
MCAFEE, Noelle (American University) .................. VI-K Fri PM
MCBRIDE, William (Purdue University) ........................ GIII-3 Wed AM
MCCLENDON, John (Bates College) ................................. GX-5 Thur PM
MCCORMICK, Miriam (University of Richmond) ........... GIV-4 Wed PM
MCDERMOTT, John (Texas A&M University) ..................... GII-11 Wed AM
MCGARY, Howard (Rutgers University) ........................ IV-I Fri AM, VI-B Fri PM
MCGRADE, A.S. (University of Connecticut) .................... VI-F Fri PM
MCKITRICK, Jennifer (University of Nebraska–Lincoln) .... GIII-8 Wed AM
Main and Group Program Participants

MCLEAN, George (Catholic University) .................................... GIV-3 Wed PM
MCMAHAN, Jeff (Rutgers University) ........................................ GII-7 Wed AM
MCNEILL, William (DePaul University) ................................... I-G Wed PM
MCWEENY, Jen (John Carroll University) .................................... V-G Fri AM
MCWHORTER, Ladelle (University of Richmond) ..................... GVI-14 Wed PM
MELAMED, Yitzhak (University of Chicago) ................................ III-H Thur PM
MENDIETA, Eduardo (State University of New York–Stony Brook) ....... IV-I Fri AM
MEN, Stephen (McGill University) ........................................ III-B Thur PM
MEYER, Matthew (Boston University) ...................................... V-D Fri AM
MIGELY, Genevieve (Claremont Graduate University) ................ II-F Thur AM
MILCINSKI, Maja (Ljubljana University–Slovenia) .................. GIV-5 Wed PM
MILKOVA, Nikolay (University of Bielefeld–Germany) ............. GIII-4 Wed AM
MILLER, Franklin G. (National Institutes of Health) ................ III-K Thur PM
MILLER, Fred (Bowling Green State University) ..................... GIV-1 Wed PM, GIX-2 Thur PM
MILLER, Patrick (Duke University) ........................................ VI-F Fri PM
MILLER, Richard (Cornell University) ..................................... GIX-1 Thur PM
MILLER, Sarah (University of Memphis) ............................... GVI-11 Wed PM
MILLS, Charles (University of Illinois–Chicago) .................... I-I Wed PM
MOLDER, Maria Filomena (New University of Lisbon–Portugal) ......... I-K Wed PM
MOODY-ADAMS, Michele (Cornell University) ........... I-J Wed PM, II-I Thur AM
MOONEY, Edward (Syracuse University) ................................. GX-12 Thur PM
MORIN, Marie-Eve (University of Freiburg–Germany) ............ IV-E Fri AM
MORROW, Derek (University of Dallas) .................................. GII-5 Wed AM
MOU, Bo (California State University–San Jose) .......................... III-J Thru PM, GXIII-2 Fri PM
MOULARD-LEONARD, Valentine (University of Memphis) .... GII-9 Wed AM
MUECH, Paul (Williams College) ........................................... GX-12 Thur PM
MURPHY, Dominec (California Institute of Technology) ........ IV-C Fri AM
MURRAY, Michael (Franklin & Marshall College) ..................... GVIII-7 Thur AM
MUSTAIN, Megan (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) ....... GIX-5 Thur PM

N
NAILS, Debra (Michigan State University) ......................... GVIII-3 Thur AM
NARVESON, Jan (University of Waterloo) .......................... GIII-8 Wed AM, GIXIII-5 Fri PM
NASER, Curt (Fairfield University) ....................................... GIX-3 Thur PM
NEALE, Stephen (Rutgers University) ............................................ II-B Thur AM
NETA, Ram (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ............. I-H Wed PM
NEVILLE, Robert (Boston University) .................. GI-2 Tues PM, GII-12 Wed AM
NICHOLS, Brian (Rice University) .................................................. GX-3 Thur PM
NG, On-Cho (Pennsylvania State University) ............................................. GI-2 Tues PM, GVII-1 Thur AM
NORTON, Bryan (Georgia University of Technology) ............. GXIII-3 Fri PM
NUCCETELLI, Susana (St. Cloud State University) .................................................... GV-6 Wed PM
NUSSBAUM, Martha (University of Chicago) ............................................. I-J Wed PM, III-M Thur PM, VI-H Fri PM
NYDEN-BULLOCK, Tammy (Grinnell College) ..................... GVIII-3 Thur AM

O
O’DONOVAN, Maeve (College of Notre Dame of Maryland) .... GII-9 Wed AM
OLSON, Alan (Boston University) ............................................................ GII-6 Wed AM
ONGLEY, John (University of Edinboro–Pennsylvania) .......... GII-4 Wed AM
OUTLAW, Lucius (Vanderbilt University) ....................................... GX-5 Thur PM

P
PAGE, Sam (Concordia College) .................................................. GI-5 Tues PM
PAKALUK, Michael (Clark University) ................................................. V-H Fri AM
PAPPAS, Gregory (Texas A&M University) ........................................ V-I Fri AM
PAREKH, Serena (Boston College) .................................................. GXIII-1 Fri PM
PARK, Jin (American University) ...................................................... GX-3 Thur PM
PARKER, Kelly (Grand Valley State University) ....................... GIV-6 Wed PM
PARSONS, Kate (Webster University) ................................................ IV-J Fri AM
PASKOW, Alan (St. Mary’s College of Maryland) ............... III-E Thur PM
PATRICK, Colin (University of Chicago) ................................... GX-12 Thur PM
PAUTZ, Adam (University of Texas–Austin) ............................. III-F Thur PM
PAWELSKI, James (University of Pennsylvania) ....................... GII-11 Wed AM, GV-1 Wed PM
PENSKY, Max (Binghamton University–State University of New York) .............. III-H Thur PM
PERLEY, David (University of Toronto) ................................... GIX-5 Thur PM
PERRING, Christian (Dowling College) ......................... GIV-3 Wed PM, IV-G Fri AM
PETTIGREW, David (Southern Connecticut State University) .................................................. GVIII-1 Thur AM
PHILLIPS, Stephen (University of Texas–Austin) ..................... GVI-8 Wed PM
Main and Group Program Participants

PICCININI, Gualtiero (University of Missouri–Saint Louis) .... GV-7 Wed PM
PICKAVÉ, Martin (University of Toronto) ........................................... GXI-2 Fri AM
PINCOCK, Chris (Purdue University) .............................................. GII-4 Wed AM
PINE, Martin (City University of New York–Queens College) .......................... GX-8 Thur PM
PINI, Giorgio (Fordham University) ............................................ GXI-2 Fri AM
PINKARD, Terry (Georgetown University) ........................................ GII-3 Wed AM
POMEROY, Anne Fairchild (Richard Stockton College of New Jersey) ....
......................................................... GVI-7 Wed PM, GVIII-5 Thur AM
POSTOW, Betsy (University of Tennessee–Knoxville) ............... GV-5 Wed PM
PRESBEY, Gail (University of Detroit Mercy) ... GIV-3 Wed PM, GVI-7 Wed PM
PRESTON, Aaron (Malone College) .............................................. GII-4 Wed AM
PREUS, Anthony (Binghamton University–State University of New York) ....
......................................................... GVII-3 Thur AM
PRYOR, James (Princeton University) ........................................ I-D Wed PM
PUIG, Josep (Complutense University of Madrid) .................. GX-8 Thur PM
PURHOLL, Fred (City University of New York–Queens College) .... VI-F Fri PM
PYNN, Geoffrey (Yale University) .................................................... IV-H Fri AM

R

RABIN, Michael (Harvard University) ........................................... GI-1 Tues PM
RADCLIFFE, Elizabeth (Santa Clara University) ..................... GIII-11 Wed AM
RADDEN, Jennifer (University of Massachusetts–Boston) .... GXII-1 Fri AM
RAFFOUL, François (Louisiana State University) ...................... IV-EFri AM
RALEY, Yvonne (Felician College) .............................................. GVII-3 Thur AM
RAGANATHAN, Shyam (York University) ................................... GVI-7 Wed PM
RASMUSSEN, Douglas (St. John’s University) ......................... GXI-1 Fri AM
RAUSCHER, Frederick (Michigan State University) .................. GXII-1 Fri AM
RAY, Greg (University of Florida) ............................................. V-F Fri AM
RAYMONT, Paul (Trent University) ........................................ III-F Thur PM
RAYO, Agustin (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .... II-G Thur AM
REATH, Andrews (University of California–Riverside) ........... GVI-5 Wed PM
REGINSTER, Bernard (Brown University) ............................... GI-3 Tues PM
REIS, John (Elmhurst College) ............................................... GXII-1 Fri AM
RESNIK, Michael (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .... VI-D Fri PM
RHODES, Rosamond (Mount Sinai School of Medicine) .... III-K Thur PM
RIBEIRO, Brien (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga) ....... GVI-10 Wed PM
RICHARD, Mark (Tufts University) ........................................... I-H Wed PM
RICHARDSON, Henry (Georgetown University) ......................... II-D Thur AM
RICHARDSON, John (New York University) .............................. GI-3 Tues PM
RICHARDSON, William (Boston College) ................................. I-G Wed PM
RIDLEY, Aaron (University of Southampton–UK) ...................... IV-F Fri AM
RIGO, Darlene (Independent Scholar) ..................................... GII-9 Wed AM
RISER, James (Seattle University) ......................................... I-G Wed PM
ROCKMORE, Tom (Duquesne University) ............................... GX-10 Thur PM
ROCKNAK, Stefanie (Hartwick College) ................................ GII-4 Wed AM
ROGERS, Dorothy (Montclair State University) ...................... GX-11 Thur PM
ROMAYA, Bassam (Temple University) .................................. GII-6 Wed PM
ROMANO, Carlin (Chronicle of Higher Education) .................... VI-K Fri PM
ROTH, Arnelie (Harvard University) ...................................... GIX-1 Thur PM
ROSE, John (Goucher College) ........................................... GI-5 Tues PM
ROSEN, Gideon (Princeton University) .................................. VI-D Fri PM
ROSS, Peter (California State University–Pomona) .................. VI-G Fri PM
RUBIN, Richard Marc (Washington University–St. Louis) ....... GX-6 Thur PM
RUDDICK, William (New York University) ............................. GII-7 Wed AM
RUSSON, John (University of Guelph) ................................... GII-3 Wed AM
RYAN, Sharon (West Virginia University) ............................. III-I Thur PM

S
SAAGUA, João (New University of Lisbon–Portugal) ............. I-K Wed PM
SABO, Dylan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ........ IV-H Fri AM
SADLER, John (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center) ......................................................... GXII-1 Fri AM
SAGOFF, Mark (University of Maryland) .............................. GXIII-3 Fri PM
SANDLER, Ronald (Northeastern University) ....................... GIII-7 Wed AM
SANFORD, David (Duke University) ..................................... IV-H Fri AM
SANTONI, Ronald (Denison University/Clare Hall, Cambridge) ....
................................................................................................. GIVII-2 Thur AM
SASTRY, B.V.K. (Hindu University of Orlando) ...................... GVI-8 Wed PM
SAWNEY, Deepak (St. Mary’s College of California) .......... GVI-11 Wed PM
SCHAFFER, Jonathan (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) ....
................................................................................................. III-I Thur PM
SCHARRUF, Robert (University of New Hampshire) ........... GIX-4 Thur PM
SCHENKE, David (University of Missouri–Columbia) .......... GI-2 Tues PM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHIFFER, Stephen</td>
<td>New York University</td>
<td>II-B</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHLIESSER, Eric</td>
<td>Syracuse University</td>
<td>GV-3</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHMIDTZ, David</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>GXIII-3</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHNEIDER, Susan</td>
<td>Moravian College</td>
<td>IV-C</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHÖNBAUMSFELD, Genia</td>
<td>University of Southampton–UK</td>
<td>GX-12</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHRADER, David</td>
<td>Washington and Jefferson College</td>
<td>GVIII-2</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHRIEMPF, Alexa</td>
<td>Penn State University</td>
<td>VI-I</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHWITZGEBEL, Eric</td>
<td>University of California–Riverside</td>
<td>I-L</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTT, Charles</td>
<td>Pennsylvania State University</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTT, Jacqueline</td>
<td>Loyola University of Chicago</td>
<td>II-I</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAGER, William</td>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>III-F</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEARLE, John</td>
<td>University of California–Berkeley</td>
<td>I-E</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAY, Gary</td>
<td>University of Texas/Pan American</td>
<td>V-I</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEDDON, Fred</td>
<td>Duquesne University</td>
<td>GXI-1</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEDLEY, David</td>
<td>Cambridge University</td>
<td>I-F</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEIGFRIED, Charlene Haddock</td>
<td>Purdue University</td>
<td>GIX-5</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELJUN, Ishii</td>
<td>Komazawa University–Japan</td>
<td>GIII-6</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEIPLE, David</td>
<td>Union Theological Seminary</td>
<td>GX-7</td>
<td>Thur</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAGRIIR, Oron</td>
<td>Hebrew University of Jerusalem</td>
<td>GV-7</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAPIRO, Gary</td>
<td>University of Richmond</td>
<td>GV-8</td>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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ABSTRACTS OF COLLOQUIUM PAPERS

OF THEORIES OF COERCION, TWO AXES, AND SOME GRINDING (II-H)
SCOTT ANDERSON, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
In this essay, I argue that recent accounts of coercion can be mapped onto two different axes: whether they focus on the situation of the coercee or the activities of the coercer, and whether or not they depend upon moral judgments in their analysis of coercion. I argue that almost no recent theories have seriously explored a non-moralized, coercer-focused approach to coercion. I offer some reasons to think that a theory in this unexplored quadrant offers some important advantages over theories lodged in the other quadrants. In particular, I suggest that it is crucial to thought about coercion to focus on the willingness and ability of the coercer to use his powers against the coercee.

ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE AND THE PUZZLE OF THE SELF-TORTURER (IV-G)
CHRISOULA ANDREOU, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
Current theoretical research on collective conduct that severely damages the environment traces such conduct to interpersonal conflicts of interests. But, as can be seen via consideration of the puzzle of the self-torturer, conduct of the relevant sort can flourish even in the absence of interpersonal conflicts. In particular, in cases where individually negligible effects are involved, an agent, whether it be an individual or a unified collective, can be led down a course of destruction simply as a result of following its informed and perfectly understandable but intransitive preferences. It follows from the reasoning in my paper that being sympathetic and well-informed may not be enough to prevent us from destroying the earth. We may also need to settle on sub-optimal options that are within the range of acceptable. If we don't, we will arguably end up stuck with sub-optimal options that are well outside the range of acceptable.

IS FEELING PAIN THE PERCEPTION OF SOMETHING? (VI-G)
MURAT AYDEDE, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
According to the increasingly popular perceptual/representational accounts of pain, feeling pain in a body region is perceiving a non-mental property or some objective condition of that region, typically equated with some sort of (actual or potential) tissue damage. This paper examines some of the main difficulties for such views that naturally stem from our ordinary conception of pain and tentatively concludes that the defenders of these views have not yet adequately solved them. The tentative nature of the conclusion is meant to highlight the exploratory nature of the discussion; thus, the arguments presented here against perceptual accounts are meant to pose challenges for their defenders—challenges that have yet to be met.
HORKHEIMER’S MATERIALIST STANCE (III-H)
J.C. BERENDZEN, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS

For many, the word “materialism” refers to a metaphysical position, but for critical theory, springing from the work of Marx, materialism is different. Marxian materialism, as developed in Max Horkheimer’s critical theory, foregoes metaphysical theorizing in favor of social research that focuses on people’s lived circumstances, especially suffering. One may argue, however, that critical theory should still recognize its material ontological commitments. But if we see Horkheimer as using a materialist stance, using the notion of stance developed by Bas van Fraassen, we can make sense of the materialist rejection of metaphysics. The critical theory which comes out of the materialist stance should be seen not as merely ignoring metaphysical underpinnings that should be investigated. The rejection of metaphysics is not the rejection of theory; rather, it is the acceptance that theory begins from a particular value-driven standpoint that cannot be ontologically grounded.

RE-WRITING THE TRANSCENDENTAL MOMENT: MERLEAU-PONTY ON NOVEL EXPRESSION AND RATIONALITY (V-G)
KIRK BESMER, GONZAGA UNIVERSITY

I present Merleau-Ponty’s account of novel expression, developed in the middle period of his career, as a response to a long-standing problem for phenomenology, namely articulating how natural language can be transformed to adequately express transcendental insights. I argue that by integrating Saussurian linguistics with the phenomenological concepts of the “living present” and “evidential motivation,” Merleau-Ponty articulates an account of language that provides for the possibility of novel expression whereby language escapes its historical conditioning to express new truths. I conclude by claiming that Merleau-Ponty’s account of novel expression is nothing less than a re-writing of the transcendental moment, which is no longer the privilege of the properly purified transcendental ego but belongs to the speaking subject fully at home a living, natural language.

PUTTING ZOMBIES TO REST: THE ROLE OF DYNAMICS IN REDUCTION (III-F)
PETER BOKULICH, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

I argue that property dualism is not supported by the purported logical possibility of qualitative zombies. Chalmers’s analysis of the logical supervenience of ordinary macroscopic facts on microphysical facts fails to account properly for causal properties. His arguments rely too heavily on kinematic facts and thereby obscure the dynamical facts at the macroscopic and microscopic levels. A proper analysis of the relation between causal and dynamical properties at different levels reveals that we can only imagine qualitative zombies if we beg the question against qualia being physical.

SURFACE EXTERNALISM: CONFRONTING THE DARK SIDE OF TWIN EARTH (III-F)
DAVID BOURGET, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

This paper is a response to a recurrent criticism of Putnam’s Twin Earth argument for externalism. Imaginative thought experiments suggest that
we do not systematically individuate natural kinds by their deep structure. Internalists such as Segal (2000, ch.5) take this to refute Putnam’s argument. This criticism has been largely ignored, so I first bring out its force. I then address it by divorcing externalism from the deep-structure essentialism associated with it by Putnam. This is made possible by the introduction of a new externalist account of reference-fixing. In the process, I expound evidence of a new kind for externalism.

**Axiomatic Boethius vs. Dialectical Aquinas in De Hebdomadibus (VI-F)**

*Carlos Bovell, Institute for Christian Studies*

Although Boethius and Aquinas share many things in common with respect to their understandings of the relationship between philosophy and theology, an examination of Boethius’s *De Hebdomadibus* and Aquinas’s *An Exposition of the “On the Hebdomads” of Boethius* shows that there remain significant differences between them. The differences include different understandings of the types and roles of proof appropriate to theology; different conceptions of the limits of philosophy for theological reflection; and different understandings of the nature of theology. All three of these are illustrated in this essay during the course of an exposition of the horns of a dilemma that Boethius sets up toward the beginning of his third theological tractate.

**Russell’s Theory of Descriptions vs. the Predicative Analysis: A Reply to Graff (I-H)**

*Berit Brogaard, University of Missouri-St. Louis*

In “Descriptions as Predicates” Delia Graff argues against Russell that descriptions should not be treated as quantified noun phrases but rather as complex predicate expressions. To support this claim, she first argues that predicative descriptions do not give rise to the sorts of scope ambiguities they would give rise to if they were quantifiers. She then argues that a predicative semantics, unlike a quantificational semantics, does not require us to posit an ambiguity in the indefinite and definite articles of descriptions. In this paper, I present a number of objections to Graff’s results, based on a reassessment of the data.

**Chasing Chimeras: Aesthetic Constructions of the Animal (IV-E)**

*Brett Buchanan, DePaul University*

In this paper, I examine the writings of Derrida and Kofman to locate how they each speak of the animal’s gaze. I begin by briefly addressing the animal’s face, particularly as posed by Levinas, but then turn to Derrida’s analyses in his essay “The Animal that Therefore I Am (More to Follow).” The themes I follow concern how we “named” the animal, how the animal’s gaze illustrates “our” human nudity and innocence before the animal, the shame and embarrassment “we” feel when confronted with the questioning animal, and how the animal’s gaze mirrors our own selves as human animals. I then turn to Kofman’s analysis of a painting by Balthus in her book *Mélancholie de l’art*. This painting picks up many of the above themes, all of which revolve around the issue of how we see ourselves, literally and figuratively, in relation to the animal’s gaze.
KANT ON THE DIABOLICAL WILL: A NEGLECTED ALTERNATIVE? (III-G)

MATTHEW CASWELL, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Kant’s prohibition on diabolical agency turns on the necessary conditions of accountable immorality. That is, because freedom and moral obligation reciprocally entail each other, a diabolical agency “exempt” from the moral incentive could not be held accountable, and thus could not count as evil. But this appears to leave open a neglected alternative: Couldn’t a will subject to moral obligation nevertheless subordinate its moral interest to a love of evil for its own sake? I show that this possibility can be excluded upon consideration of the logic of rational agency. Kant’s opposition to the demonization of evil is thereby vindicated.

VICE VERSA (VI-H)

DALE CLARK, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

In her book Uneasy Virtue, Julia Driver presents an account of virtue according to which certain character traits are morally virtuous when they generally lead to good consequences for society. Various philosophers have taken Driver to task over this account of virtue, which she terms “pure evaluational externalism.” One concern is that in accepting Driver’s account of virtue one embraces the idea that if the world were drastically different, traits traditionally understood as pernicious would be virtuous. It may even turn out that in our own world some of our more repugnant character traits have simply been misunderstood. While these writers have speculated as to the forms such nouveau virtues might take, the purpose of this essay is actually to identify just such a new virtue, in the very world in which we live.

“PREDICATES AND PROPERTIES” (I-H)

ANTHONY CORSENTINO, HARVARD UNIVERSITY

This paper discusses a question of considerable recent interest in the philosophy of language: whether predicates of ordinary language manifest contentual context-dependence. Contextualist treatments of a predicate maintain that its literal content varies from one context of use to another, either in accordance with lexical principles (as semantic contextualists maintain), or in ways that are linguistically uncontrolled (as pragmatic contextualists maintain). The standard alternative to contextualism is implicaturism, which holds that what varies with context is not the predicate’s literal content, but what its use additionally conveys. “Predicates and Properties” briefly surveys the reasons for adopting these approaches and raises objections to each. It then proposes an alternative account of predicate context-dependence, whose cardinal feature is its rejection of an assumption that the competing approaches share and is responsible for their defects: viz., that the literal content of a predicate determines its extension.

THE WORLDLY AND HUMAN SIGNIFICANCE OF ART: AN EXERCISE IN UNDERSTANDING THROUGH ARENDT AND GADAMER (I-G)

JAMES COUCH, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

The paper being submitted concerns the importance of the work of art for Hannah Arendt. Looking at the dangerous tendency of mass society to
deem individuals superfluous and how society’s constrictive character disallows a fuller disclosure of appearances, we can begin to see the importance Arendt places on being able to see differently than the everyday concern with life and utility. The work of art provides objects capable of withstanding such a foreclosure of appearance, giving us a world from which meaning can be found, ultimately allowing us to exercise our understanding of ourselves and others. Hans-Georg Gadamer offers further insight into the experience of art, by awakening ourselves to the experience with art, developing a “hermeneutic vigilance” that fosters the way in which we can come to more fully see and appreciate the individuality that is essentially a part of being human.

**Gossip and Higher-Order Intentionality (VI-H)**

*MARGARET CUONZO, LONG ISLAND UNIVERSITY*

In contrast to recent attempts to redeem gossip from its long-standing characterization as an immoral activity, gossip in this essay is shown to involve a subtle form of deception. Central to the present account is an analysis of the speaker’s intentions, in particular what the speaker believes the subject of the gossip would like to be revealed, as well as the speaker’s own disinclination to engage in gossip in the presence of the subject of the discussion. This account is supported by intuitions that, seemingly, any competent speaker of a natural language would share, Gricean rules for communicative success, the pertinence of moral claims to gossip, and, finally, some recent work on the evolution of language. Such a view has some interesting consequences, such as that gossip turns out to be an activity that can only be justified in terms of its consequences and the limited options of its users. In addition, gossip need not be thought to refer to “personal” or “private” topics of conversation.

**Numbers and Electrons (II-G)**

*CIAN DORR, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH*

Someone who doesn’t believe in subatomic particles can “explain” all the facts putatively explained by subatomic particles by appeal to the theory that as far as non-subatomic particles are concerned, it is as if a given theory of the subatomic were true. Likewise, a nominalist can “explain” all the facts putatively explained by numbers by appeal to the theory that if such-and-such mathematical axioms were true and the concrete world were just as it actually is, such-and-such platonistic theory would be true. Give the similarities between these theories, one might suppose that the latter theory must be just as worthless as an explanation as the former. I argue that this is a mistake: it misses an epistemologically important difference between necessity-like operators and possibility-like operators.

**Is There Something It Is Like? (VI-G)**

*SIMON EVNINE, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI*

In this paper, I argue that there are problems in understanding Nagel’s notion that there is something that it is like to be a bat, or a human. Various models are explored for attempting to make sense of it. “There is something it is like to be an S” might simply be a pleonastic transformation of “Ss have conscious
experiences.” In that case, however, the “what it is like” will not have the requisite ontological depth to sustain Nagel’s claims about it. It might be a brute fact, but that is mysterious. Finally, I explore whether it can be shown to be related to the “what it is like”s of an S’s sensory experiences. But this avenue too runs into problems.

THE NEUTRALITY OF RIGHTNESS AND THE INDEXICALITY OF GOODNESS: BEYOND OBJECTIVITY AND BACK AGAIN (II-E)

ISKRA FILEVA, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

According to objectivist moral theories the viewpoint which an individual has to adopt in deciding how to act is the objective viewpoint, the questions, “What should I do?” and “What is the right thing to do objectively speaking?” are, according to such theories, one and the same question. Critics have charged that purely objective reasoning in practical matters is impossible for humans, or else is undesirable because too cold or else is too demanding. I believe that objectivist moral accounts are misguided but not for the reasons pointed out by their critics. The questions, “What should I do?” and “What is the right thing to do objectively speaking?” differ because the latter question is not a question about me; the objectively right course of action may, and in all likelihood does, require the efforts of others besides me. But neither is it the case that I can find out what I should do by simply subtracting what others should do from what I and they collectively have to do. No, because others may very well fail to perform what they should. If they fail, I may need to make up for that failure by taking on some of their duties. But a decision to perform someone else’s duties, I wish to hold, is not taken from an objective viewpoint, since to fulfill someone else’s duties is to do more than could be objectively required of one. I shall maintain in this regard that attempts to bridge the gap between what others should do and what they actually do are to be qualified as “virtue.” I shall then claim that the demand of objectivity in turn place constraints upon virtue. Finally, I shall hold that while rightness is “neutral”—the right thing to do must be right period—virtue is “indexical”—the virtuous thing to do is always virtuous on someone’s part.

TOO MUCH REFERENCE: SEMANTICS FOR MULTIPLY SIGNIFYING TERMS (IV-H)

GREG FROST-ARNOLD, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

The logic of singular terms that refer to nothing, such as “Santa Claus,” has been studied extensively under the heading of free logic. The present essay examines expressions whose reference is defective in a different way: they signify more than one entity. The bulk of the effort is directed at developing an acceptable formal semantics based upon an informal and intuitive idea introduced by Field (1973) and discussed by Camp (2001); their basic strategy is to use supervaluations. Their idea, as it stands, encounters serious difficulties, but with suitable refinements it can be salvaged. Two other options for a formal semantics of multiply-signifying terms are also presented. Finally, the relative merits of the three semantics are briefly discussed.
DILEMMAS OF RAWLSIAN OPPORTUNITY (I-I)

PAUL GOMBERG, CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY

There are widespread and deep inequalities of opportunity that particularly affect black people in the United States. White people are twice as likely as black people to graduate from college. At every level of educational attainment black men earn much less than white, and the gap is greater for more educational men. Most of this difference seems due to differences in people’s occupations; black workers are over represented in lower paying jobs. Can philosophical theories of justice speak to these issues? It would seem that John Rawls’s theory of justice, though ideal theory, might provide an expressive basis for rejecting racial inequalities of opportunity, perhaps as a special class of class inequalities. However, Rawls does not reject all class-based effects on life prospects.

In Rawls’s philosophy, fair equality of opportunity requires that “those who have the same level of talent and ability and the same willingness to use these gifts should have the same prospects of success regardless of their social class of origin.” At the same time, he asserts that one’s “starting place” in society inevitably affects one’s life prospects. How is this apparent contradiction to be resolved?

Rawls believes that one’s class of origin tends to affect one’s motivation to develop one’s “native endowments,” even in a well-ordered society. Class of origin affects one’s prospects by affecting motivation. This resolution may lead us to question Rawls’s sociological assumptions, particularly his beliefs that there were socially important differences in “native endowments” and that social class must affect motivation. If we reject these assumptions, we may seek a more expansive conception of equal opportunity, one that can provide a more adequate basis for rejecting racial disadvantage.

JANKÉLÉVITCH AND THE QUESTION OF MUSIC (IV-F)

MICHAEL GREENE, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY

In Europe Jankélévitch along with Bloch and Adorno are widely regarded as the three most important twentieth century philosophers of musical aesthetics, but while Bloch and Adorno are familiar to Americans interested in musical theory, Jankélévitch’s thought is largely unknown. One of the most commonly employed metaphors for music is language, and in Music and the Ineffable, Jankélévitch challenges this metaphor, showing how it obscures music’s power and charm. Contrasting music with language, Jankélévitch argues that music is inexpressive, but not because it expresses nothing but that it expresses nothing in particular, implying innumerable possibilities of interpretation, leaving us free to choose. Jankélévitch emphasizes the relation between music and time, arguing that there is something about music, like time, that is ineffable, and he examines how those who claim to find metaphysical significance in music ignore what is essential about music.
THE WILL AS REASON (V-E)
PAMELA HIERONYMI, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–LOS ANGELES

I hope here to defend an account of the will as “reason in its practical employment,” against a view of the will as an independent capacity for choice. Certain commonplaces seem to reveal the need for an independent faculty of will, to execute judgment in action. However, I will argue that if we think of the will as an independent faculty, we have difficulty accounting for the particular ways in which we are answerable for our willing. Fortunately, we can accommodate the commonplaces and avoid the difficulties by abandoning the assumption that practical reasoning concludes in a judgment. Rather, reasoning which concludes in judgment—reasoning directed at the question of whether \( p \)—is theoretical reasoning. Reason in its practical employment is directed at the question of whether to \( \phi \); it concludes, not in a judgment about \( \phi \)-ing, but rather in an intention to \( \phi \).

BERKELEY ON “ALL THE DISPUTE IS ABOUT A WORD” (II-F)
MARC HIGHT, HAMPDEN-SYDNEY COLLEGE

In the Three Dialogues between Hylas and Philonous, Berkeley makes the rather surprising claim that there is no significant distinction between the various qualitatively identical ideas had by distinct finite minds. Disputes about whether distinct finite minds perceive the (numerically) same idea are philosophically idle. This passage is generally regarded as an ill-considered muddle. In this paper, I argue that Berkeley is trying to make a sophisticated distinction that makes sense within the confines of his immaterialist metaphysics. Berkeley invokes a concept I call non-definite distinctness. There are certain sorts of distinctions that, even if metaphysically true, have no practical consequences if you are an immaterialist. I conclude the paper by noting two applications for the distinction within Berkeley’s immaterialist system.

SELF-OWNERSHIP AND COERCION (II-H)
ROBERT HUGHES, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–LOS ANGELES

Many libertarians accept the self-ownership principle, which is a moral prohibition on most uses of force. In particular, self-ownership prohibits governments from forcibly interfering with people making promises and agreements. If libertarians accept the self-ownership principle because they believe it protects people’s autonomy, presumably they believe it is acceptable for governments to interfere with serious forms of coercion (e.g., coercion involving threats to life). Robert Nozick, a notable libertarian, argued plausibly in one of his early articles that a statement that looks superficially like an offer can constitute a serious coercive threat. Governments cannot protect people from such coercive threats without placing limits on their freedom to make promises and agreements. So considerations of autonomy cannot be used to motivate a right-wing libertarian view based on the self-ownership principle, such as Nozick’s view in Anarchy, State, and Utopia.
INTELLECTUAL AKRASIA: UNIVERSAL CAUSE AND ACTION IN ARISTOTLE’S POETICS (V-H)
JOLANTA JASKOLOWSKA, LEXINGTON COLLEGE

Aristotle argues in the Poetics that poetic composition is more philosophical and more serious than historical writing because it addresses the universal instead of the particular. I argue that the greater universality with which the poet and the spectator understand the action in tragedy is a function of how the poetic composition removes the agent as the formal and efficient cause, substituting an alternative final cause for the action other than the one enunciated by the protagonist. I conclude that this change in our understanding comes about through the reversal of fortune, making the poetic inference a kind of post hoc propter hoc fallacy in which the agency of the protagonist is replaced by a universal sense of providential justice, a conclusion similar in its criticism of poetic reasoning to the Medieval Arab commentators on Aristotle.

RETHINKING “THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF GLOBAL JUSTICE NON-IDEAL CONDITIONS (I-I)
HYE-RYOUNG KANG, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO–BOULDER

In this paper, I am concerned with what kind of circumstances of justice obtain in the current global context. Nationalism claims that circumstances of justice do not obtain in the global context, and therefore there are no occasions for justice across borders. Cosmopolitanism contends that the Rawlsian concept of the circumstances of justice obtains across borders, and therefore “cosmopolitan principles” are the best remedy in such circumstances of justice. I argue that neither of these views is adequate to capture the current circumstances of justice which are encountered by women across the world, and that neither view is able to provide appropriate remedies for injustice in the global context. I shall offer an alternative, more empirically adequate account of the circumstances of global justice. I will point out that, given this account of the actual circumstances of justice, justice discourse should involve transnational transformative and empowering remedies.

IN DEFENSE OF MUSICAL ONTOLOGY (IV-F)
ANDREW KANIA, TRINITY UNIVERSITY

Aaron Ridley has recently attacked the study of musical ontology. He first argues that musical ontology has no consequences for musical aesthetics or practice, and that no one is in fact, or should be, puzzled by questions of musical ontology. Thus, no one should engage in debate over questions of musical ontology. He then argues that, contrary to musical ontologists’ claims, the ontological facts about music depend on facts about its value. I show, first, that Ridley’s main argument about the relationship between musical ontology and value fails, since it equivocates on the notion of the “content” of a musical work. Second, I show that his subsidiary argument does not succeed, and that he fails even to keep controversial ontological assumptions out of the very article where he makes these claims. Third, I show how the ontology of music can have important consequences for questions of musical value.
INDEXICALS AND MODALITY (I-H)

Tomis Kapitan, Northern Illinois University

It is widely assumed that indexicals are directly referential; their contribution to the truth-valued content of an utterance are their referents only, and not features used to identify referents, viz., meanings, characters, or modes of presentation. According to David Kaplan, this thesis—henceforth, “DRI”—is allied to the claims that indexicals designate rigidly, that the truth-valued contents of utterances containing indexicals are singular propositions, and that indexicals always take “primary scope” in combination with modalities. I argue that by rendering indexicals modally inert, an account based on DRI cannot accommodate the validity of inferences expressed through indexical utterances. I propose an alternative account that treats indexical tokens on a par with actualized indexical descriptions that are, by that very fact, rigid, amenable to scope distinctions, but not directly referential. Though singular propositions à la Kaplan are abandoned, indexicals are given the modal respect they deserve.

AN ADVERBIAL THEORY OF NUMBERS (II-G)

Joongol Kim, Western Illinois University

In this paper I prove a fundamental fact about numbers that has been unduly ignored, namely that if there are exactly $m$ Fs and there are exactly $n$ Fs, then $m$ must be identical with $n$. To that end, I present and develop an adverbial theory of numbers.

IMAGINATION AND VALUES: AN EXERCISE IN MORAL MAPPING (II-E)

Edward Kleist, Loyola University of New Orleans

Hume remarked on how our moral value-commitments set limits for what we are willing to imagine. Moral values also guide imagination when we envision variant scenarios and options for action. How do your values reveal themselves through imagining? What does the manner through which your values appear tell us about the nature of values? Imagination furnishes a non-perceptual manner of arriving at moral determinations anchored to the irreducibly first-person experience of moral approval and disapproval. The commitment to one’s values, surviving through every willingly imagined alteration of perspective, indicates a subjective necessity to values. At the same time, the values leaving their trace in what we imagine, direct imagination to furnish reasons which necessitate belief and action. This subjective necessity generates an ideal of affective moral consistency and a criterion of suitability for proposed action.

FREUD SAID—OR SIMON SAYS? INFORMED CONSENT AND THE ADVANCEMENT OF PSYCHOANALYSIS AS A SCIENCE (IV-G)

Hylarie Kochiras, University of North Carolina—Chapel Hill

Although human subject research requires voluntary informed consent, psychoanalytic publications escape those requirements by escaping the definition; they lack a systematic methodology. Psychoanalysis instead retains its traditional practice, publishing case material without patient knowledge or consent. Acknowledging risks to current patients, analysts justify traditional practice as benefiting future patients, by advancing
psychoanalysis as a science. Could such a utilitarian defense succeed? I argue that the profession bears two burdens of proof: justify this exemption from medicine's prevailing, autonomy-based ethic; and produce evidence that traditional practice causes greater benefit than harm. Neither burden has been met, but the need to do so is obviated by my further claim. The traditional practice will not produce scientific advances. For scientific progress, methodological reform—a systematic methodology—is needed. Thus, by qualifying as scientific research, psychoanalytic investigations would also qualify as human subject research—and qualify for the consent requirements that govern it.

**Locke on Substratum: A Deflationary Reading (III-H)**

*Daniel Z. Korman, University of Texas–Austin*

I defend an interpretation of Locke’s remarks on substratum according to which the substratum that supports an object’s sensible qualities just is the object itself and the support-relation is the ordinary relation of instantiation. So, for instance, to say that there is a substratum that supports redness and roundness is just to say that there is a thing that *has* this color and this shape, a thing that *is* red and round. There is no sense whatsoever in which the substratum lacks sensible qualities. I show how this interpretation (unlike leading interpretations) permits a satisfactory explanation of the acquisition of both the idea of substratum and of the complex ideas of substances within a Lockean framework. I then explain how the alleged obscurity of the idea of substratum is to be understood on the proposed interpretation.

**Oak Trees and Ashes: An Argument That Identity Is Vague and Non-Transitive (V-F)**

*Robert Lane, University of West Georgia*

I argue that diachronic identity (i.e., numerical identity across time) is vague and non-transitive. I begin by showing that the widely discussed Evans-Salmon argument against vague identity is not sound. I then consider a case of extreme biological change—the transformation of an ovary within a female oak tree flower into a mature oak tree. I use this example to argue that such change involves more than one numerically distinct concrete particular; that the transformation from one particular into another yields cases of indeterminate identity; and, finally, that as a result of those indeterminacies, identity is non-transitive.

**Courage Without Fear (VI-H)**

*Lawrence A. Engbeyer, United States Naval Academy*

Contrary to the Classical View of the virtue of courage as a disposition to withstand and overcome reasonably experienced fear, I argue that the only persons properly regarded as unqualifiedly brave are those who experience *no* fear while handling fearsome circumstances. However much we praise, and are impressed by, those who overcome their fears, these persons are not exemplars of ideal courage, but occupy an imperfect state that is somewhat defective. By a process of “shallow” cognizing, the ideally or fully brave person prevents the arousal (or perhaps continuation) of fear, while yet neither suppressing the knowledge or ongoing awareness that is needed.
for rational practical reasoning and action, nor losing the benefit of alertness and physiological arousal.

**Husserl’s “Hermeneutical Phenomenology” (I-G)**

*Sebastian Luft, Marquette University*

This paper presents a “hermeneutical” reading of Husserl’s transcendental phenomenology. Disregarding his otherwise “epistemological” concerns in attempting to find an ultimate foundation, one can also find another strain in Husserl’s late thought that makes his reflections akin to philosophical hermeneutics. These reflections center on the concepts of “understanding,” “prejudices,” and “tradition.” What makes this approach especially interesting is that Husserl connects it to the question of founding an “unprejudiced” foundation through the Epoché. In this light, the idea of “bracketing” the presuppositions of the natural attitude takes on a new meaning. It is not about putting previous prejudices out of action for the sake of establishing an “absolute” foundation. Instead, a hermeneutical reading sees these prejudices as prejudices and clarifies how they came about from previous subjective activities within the life-world. This idea of genetically reconstructing prejudices from previous activities suggests an interesting alternative to other forms of philosophical hermeneutics.

**Kant’s Theory of Synthesis and the Problem of Universals (III-H)**

*Mary C. MacLeod, Indiana University of Pennsylvania*

I argue that Kant is reasonably read as endorsing a subtle variant of Conceptualism. Even a cursory examination of Kant’s theoretical philosophy discovers the thesis that all of nature is mind-dependent, in some sense; after all, he calls his philosophy Transcendental Idealism. Attempting to solve the Problem of Universals, the Standard Conceptualist maintains that generality is to be found only in minds—not in an extra-mentally real order, but only in a conceptual one. Standard Conceptualism faces a familiar objection, but I argue that insofar as Kant’s idealism is transcendental his Conceptualism is superior and avoids this objection. It takes work to express the familiar objection in a fashion commensurate with Kant’s framework. The objection is still serious, and it is instructive to discover its Kantian projection, but in its new Kantian guise the objection can no longer be posed intelligibly.

**The Ontology and Scope of Human Rights—Forward with Ockham (VI-F)**

*A.S. McGrade, University of Connecticut*

In this paper I argue that Ockham (1285–1347), sometimes regarded as the chief source for an idea of rights as arbitrary powers of radically isolated individuals, in fact provides a quintessentially “reasonable” conception of natural or human rights which suggests a promising answer to the question of what such rights are, namely, capacities for reasonable activity. “Forward with Ockham!” will not solve all of our problems with rights, but attention to his ideas could help with some of them.
A WAVE IN THE STREAM OF CHAOS: LIFE BEYOND THE BODY IN HEIDEGGER’S
NIETZSCHE (I-G)
WILLIAM MCNEILL, DEPAUL UNIVERSITY

This paper attempts to trace Heidegger’s reading of the human body in Nietzsche, as presented in two key sections of Heidegger’s 1939 lecture course “The Will to Power as Knowledge.” These sections present the body in terms of a “bodying forth” (Leiben) that emerges from chaos and is continually permeated by chaos, the latter comprising the fundamental character of the world, according to Nietzsche. Suspended in the stream of chaos as in the great stream of becoming, the bodying forth of the body attains a steadfastness and stability only in the ongoing schematization of perspectival horizons: a process of schematization that constitutes the fundamental operation of what we call “knowledge.” Yet such knowledge, as in each case a fixation of becoming, is surpassed by art, which, conceived metaphysically as a transfiguration of apparently stable, already schematized beings, raises beings into new possibilities and is thus more in harmony with becoming.

EMOTIONAL INTENTIONALITY: LIVING MEANING IN EMOTIONAL EXPERIENCES (V-G)
JEN MCWEENY, JOHN CARROLL UNIVERSITY

In this paper, I argue that the mechanism of emotional intentionality follows Merleau-Ponty’s theory of operative intentionality as described in his Phenomenology of Perception. First, operative intentionality, like emotional intentionality, is necessarily tied to the perspective of an embodied subject. Second, although operative intentionality is tied to a particular perspective, it is constituted by one’s practical engagements with a world that is beyond one’s total control. Thus, like emotional intentionality, operative intentionality maintains the ontological tension inherent in Brentano’s intentionality thesis, namely that the intentional object is experienced as both mind-dependent and mind-independent at the same time. Third, operative intentionality, like emotional intentionality, admits of meaning that is non-propositional and not always present to conscious awareness. Lastly, thinking of emotional intentionality in terms of operative intentionality can explain two phenomenological features that sometimes characterize emotional experiences, namely their urgency and their foreign character.

BERKELEY’S MENTAL ARCHITECTURE: A COHERENT ACCOUNT OF INTENTIONALITY (II-F)
GENEVIEVE MIGELY, CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY

Berkeley’s theory of intentionality has raised much controversy over whether or not his account is structurally and ontologically sound. Some, like David and Alan Hausman, argue that he has no theory of intention since Berkelian ideas are not intentional. Others, like Robert Muehlmann, argue that he may indeed have a theory of intention but it is logically incoherent. Still others, like Charles McCracken, argue that his theory of intention makes his mental ontology implausible. In order to provide a coherent account of intentionality, I will offer a linguistic interpretation of Berkeley’s mental architecture consistent with his ontology. Berkeley presents an innovative spiritual structure in which the soul is simple in form yet complex in function. It is this psychic complexity that belies the true nature of Berkeley’s intentionality.
A MÉLÉE WITHOUT SACRIFICE: JEAN-LUC NANCY’S ONTOLOGY OF OFFERING AGAINST JACQUES DERRIDA’S POLITICS OF SACRIFICE (IV-E)
MARIÈVE MORIN, UNIVERSITY OF FRIEBURG—GERMANY

In this paper I explore the dialogue between Jacques Derrida and Jean-Luc Nancy on community. I propose to compare their concept of singularity and their views on the plurality of singularities. For Derrida, a singularity is something secret and ab-solute, and the plurality of singularities is always sacrificial. For Nancy, singularities are bodies exposed on their limits, constantly involved in a process of entangling and disentangling with others. Only in Nancy is there a place for a genuine plurality, but this conclusion can be downplayed by underlining the difference of level of both projects, namely politics and ontology.

KNOWING A NAME (IV-H)
GEOFFREY PYNN, YALE UNIVERSITY

Millianism about proper names is often associated with the claim that being a competent user of a name does not require possessing any descriptive knowledge about the name’s bearer. In this paper, I offer a reductio of the latter view and an explanation of where it goes wrong. However, this does not mean that Millianism is false. On the contrary, the bad view about competence turns on a premise that is independently threatening to Millianism. By rejecting it, Millians can work to develop a plausible account of competence without tinkering with the semantics of proper names.

A DECISIVE REFUTATION OF EPISTEMICISM (V-F)
GREG RAY AND IVANA SIMIC, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

We offer a decisive refutation of reliabilist-externalist epistemicism—the view of vagueness held by Timothy Williamson—which is founded on three tenets: 1) reliability of belief is a necessary condition on knowledge, 2) social externalism is true, and 3) vague predicates have precise, non-trivial borderlines. From these, a margin for error doctrine follows: “Where knowledge is inexact, a margin for error principle applies.” This in turn yields the characteristic thesis of epistemicism, namely that the apparent lack of borderlines for vague terms is unavoidable ignorance of real borderlines. We give proof positive that the margin for error doctrine, and hence reliabilist-externalist epistemicism, is false. Naturally, it also follows that one of the three tenets is false. Finally, the fact that the epistemic view has the particular weakness which our proof exploits can be seen to rob Williamson’s (1994) argument for the borderline thesis, (3), of much of its apparent force.

SOME EXPERIENCED QUALITIES BELONG TO THE EXPERIENCE (III-F)
P AUL RAYMONT, TRENT UNIVERSITY

I argue against a representationalist view of conscious states, a view that has been promoted by Fred Dretske, Gilbert Harman, and Michael Tye. According to this view, an experience does not itself possess the qualities of which it makes me conscious. The experience makes me conscious of these qualities by representing them, not by instantiating them. Against this, I argue that
some of the properties of which I am conscious in perceptual experience are had by the conscious state, or experience, itself. Only by adopting this view can we account for certain perceptual incompatibilities, such as the fact that while one can see a stick as being bent while feeling it to be straight, one cannot at once see a stick as being both bent and straight. I claim that this latter case is impossible because it would involve an experience’s having, not just representing, incompatible features.

**COMMON SENSE, PROPER SENSIBLES, AND THE SENSES (VI-G)**

*Peter Ross, California State University–Pomona*

In “Making Sense of the Senses: Individuating Modalities in Humans and Other Animals,” Brian L. Keeley sharply separates two general strategies for distinguishing sensory modalities in human beings and other creatures: a commonsense strategy which relies on qualitative properties of either physical objects or sensory experiences, and an eliminativist strategy which rejects this reliance on qualitative properties and instead distinguishes modalities in purely nonqualitative terms. Taking the eliminativist side, Keeley claims that neither qualitative properties of physical objects nor qualia are necessary for distinguishing modalities.

I’ll argue that Keeley’s attempt to sharply distinguish between commonsense and eliminativist strategies fails. Keeley underestimates how effectively science, in particular psychophysics, can shore up the claim that qualitative properties are necessary for distinguishing modalities. Moreover, I’ll argue that if we acknowledge the necessary role of qualitative properties, we can chart a middle way between commonsense and eliminative strategies.

**KNOWING THE ANSWER (III-I)**

*Jonathan Schaffer, University of Massachusetts–Amherst*

How should one understand knowledge-\(w\)h ascriptions? That is, how should one understand claims such as “I know where the car is parked,” in which the complement clause is an indirect question? The received view is that to know-\(w\)h is to know that \(p\). I will argue that the received view is false, and suggest that knowledge-\(w\)h includes irreducible reference to the question \(Q\)—to know-\(w\)h is to know that \(p\), as the answer to \(Q\). Knowledge-\(w\)h is question-relative. To know is to know the answer.

**KNOWLEDGE AND CERTAINTY: A SPEECH-ACT CONTEXTUALIST ACCOUNT (III-I)**

*Elka Shortsleeve, University of Florida*

Contextualists of David Lewis’s stripe endorse that “know” and its cognates are context dependent so as to heed their infallibilist intuitions while avoiding skepticism. I argue that the plausibility of infallibilism can be explained away as arising from two other facts, i.e.:

(KA) For any speaker, \(A\), and proposition, \(p\), if \(A\) asserts that \(p\), then \(A\) incurs a commitment to know that \(p\), and

(KKC) For any doxastic agent, \(A\), and proposition, \(p\), if \(A\) knows that someone knows that \(p\), then \(A\)’s evidence eliminates every possibility in which not-\(p\).
I propose that contextualist insights can be incorporated into a semantically neutral theory of speech-act content according to which what speakers typically do by uttering knowledge attributions is to offer their epistemic authority as a guarantee that the putatively known proposition is true in every possible world that is consistent with the proper presuppositions they share with their interlocutors.

**DOES POLITICAL LIBERALISM REST ON A MISTAKE? (I-I)**

*ROBERT TALISSE, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY*

Rawls's political liberalism rests on a founding premise according to which comprehensive liberalism is incompatible with a due recognition of the fact of reasonable pluralism. In this paper, the author argues that social epistemology provides a comprehensive justification for liberal politics. Consequently, social epistemic liberalism is a counterexample to the founding premise of political liberalism, and political liberalism rests on a mistake.

**THE GAP IN KANT’S DERIVATION OF THE CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE (III-G)**

*CRYSTAL THORPE, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA*

We are all familiar with the charge that the categorical imperative is empty in the sense that it cannot generate any particular duties or rule out any maxims as impermissible. Less familiar is the charge that Kant’s derivation of the categorical imperative contains a logical gap. Although less familiar, this charge has the same devastating upshot: Kant fails to establish a moral principle that can generate duties and rule out maxims as impermissible. In this paper, I argue against the standard view, which says that the gap involves an illicit move between a weak requirement of rationality and FUL. On my view, the gap involves an illicit move between two substantive rational requirements—the generalization principle and its close relative, FUL. My view is more plausible than the standard view in that it attributes a far less egregious error to Kant.

**KANT’S MORAL IDEALISM: WHAT’S WRONG WITH CONSTRUCTIVIST READINGS OF KANT’S ETHICS? (III-G)**

*LUCAS THORPE, BILKENT UNIVERSITY–TURKEY*

Kantian ethics today is dominated by moral constructivists who define the good in terms of the reasonable. Such readings give priority to the first formulation of the categorical imperative and argue that the other two formulations are (ontologically or definitionally) dependent upon this formulation. I argue, in contrast, that Kant should be understood as a moral idealist, for although he is clearly not a utilitarian he does believes that the good is prior to the right or the reasonable, for to be virtuous is to strive to instantiate a moral ideal (an ideal that Kant often calls the idea of a holy being). I argue that constructivist readings mistake a methodological or epistemic priority for an ontological or definitional priority and that they do not adequately pay attention to Kant’s important distinction between holiness and virtue.
Some Problems for Contextualism (III-I)

Christopher Tillman, University of Rochester

This paper argues that epistemic contextualism is false. First, I briefly present Kaplan’s (1989) account of indexical expressions and use this to give a precise characterization of contextualism. I then argue that either “knows” is an indexical expression or that sentences that contain “knows” also contain an indexical element. I then present a recently popular objection to contextualism based on the behavior of sentences that contain “knows” within the scope of attitude verbs. A forthcoming reply by Peter Ludlow is considered and rejected, and a more sophisticated version of contextualism based on double-indexing of context is formulated. A reply is offered on behalf of this view that should be successful iff a popular view about propositions, eternalism, escapes a parallel objection. I conclude that this response, though initially promising, is ultimately unsuccessful. I then turn to considering whether contextualism can provide a fully general diagnosis of the skeptical paradox. I employ Kaplan’s “dthat” device to argue that they cannot. Finally, I consider a currently popular general reply to semantic objections to contextualism. According to this reply, objections that appeal to speakers’ intuitions about the content of “knows” fail since speakers lack the requisite semantic self-knowledge to determine what is meant by their utterance of a knowledge ascription. I conclude by arguing that this move is in serious conflict with a plausible principle concerning the way in which an expression acquires its linguistic meaning. I conclude that epistemic contextualism is false.

Sophrosune from Top to Bottom (V-H)

Roslyn Weiss, Lehigh University

In Book 4 of Plato’s Republic, Socrates locates sophrosune not in the producer class of the polis and the appetitive part of the soul, but disperses it throughout the polis and soul. In light of the fact that this odd and unexpected move threatens the uniqueness of justice and, moreover, virtually assimilates justice to moderation, the question arises why Socrates defines sophrosune in this way. I suggest that Socrates wishes to make clear that not only do the lower parts of the city and soul dislike being ruled, but philosophers and reason dislike ruling. Since all parts of the city and soul are asked to do what they don’t want to do, all must restrain themselves, curbing their desire to do what they would prefer to do. In other words, what they all must exhibit is sophrosune.

Spinoza’s Theory of Motivation (V-E)

Andrew Youpa, Southern Illinois University

In the Scholium to 3p9 of the Ethics, Spinoza states, “From all this, then, it is clear that we neither strive for, nor will, neither want, nor desire anything because we judge it to be good; on the contrary, we judge something to be good because we strive for it, will it, want it, and desire it.” This passage might seem to be evidence that, for Spinoza, value judgments are motivationally inert. However, in this paper I try to show that, contrary to what he might appear to say in 3p9s, Spinoza holds that in some cases a motivational state results from a value judgment. On my reading, Spinoza’s
theory of motivation contains two accounts of the psychological order of judgments and motivational states: an account their order in those in bondage as well as an account in those who are free.

**HOW TO START AND STOP: A DISCUSSION OF WALTER BURLEY’S SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM OF TRANSITION (VI-F)**

_Yiwei Zheng, St. Cloud State University_

Suppose I will die at a certain moment \( T \). Before \( T \) I am alive. After \( T \) I am dead. But at the very instance of \( T \), am I alive or dead? In this paper I discuss and develop an interpretation of Walter Burley’s solution to the problem of transition: whether an object is in the new state or in the old state at the moment of transition.
ABSTRACTS OF INVITED AND SYMPOSIUM PAPERS

EPISTEMIC POSSIBILITY, METAPHYSICAL POSSIBILITY, AND THE A PRIORI (I-D)
George Bealer, Yale University

The evidential basis of a priori knowledge is not conceivability but rather intuition. Intuition is intellectual seeming, a primitive propositional attitude not analyzable in terms of other propositional attitudes (belief, judgment, etc.). Just as with sense perceptions, it is our standard epistemic practice to use intuitions as evidence. Since we lack good reason to abandon this practice, it would be idle skepticism to do so; indeed, denying intuition puts one in an epistemically self-defeating situation. Similar considerations show that it would also be idle skepticism and ultimately self-defeating not to accept synthetic as well as analytic intuitions. The upshot is a moderate rationalism. Intuition’s evidential status is explained by an attenuated modal tie between intuition and the truth, which is in turn explained in terms of what it is to understand one’s concepts. A posteriori necessities and a priori contingencies, however, require us to revise traditional formulations of moderate rationalism. There seem to be two general approaches in the literature: one based on two dimensionalism and the other (which I have defended) on a privileged class of concepts, which I call “semantically stable.” Chalmers and Hawthorne have challenged the latter approach, and I find the two dimensional approach wanting. I will suggest a resolution of this conflict, commenting along the way on points raised by Williamson, Boghossian, Stich et al. The proposal will have bearing the relationship between epistemic and metaphysical possibility and on the scope of a priori philosophy.

CREATIVE PHILOSOPHIZING WITH CHILDREN AND YOUNG ADULTS, DEMONSTRATED WITH AN EXAMPLE OF PHILOSOPHIZING WITH FABLES ABOUT FRIENDSHIP (I-B)
Kristina Calvert, University of Hamburg—Germany

A tortoise and two ducks lived together in good friendship in a pond for many years. A drought came and dried up the pond. The ducks said to one another, “We must find a new home quickly, we cannot live without water. Let us say farewell to the tortoise and fly away at once.”

When the tortoise heard that they were going, he was afraid, and begged them not to leave him alone. “If we stay here, all three of us will die, and we can’t take you with us, as you can’t fly,” said the ducks. However, the tortoise begged so hard not to be left behind that the ducks finally said, “Dear Friend, if you promise not to speak a word on the journey, we will take you with us. But if you open your mouth to say one single word, you will be in instant danger of dying.”
“Have no fear,” replied the tortoise, “I would rather never open my mouth again than be left to die alone here in the dried-up pond.” So the ducks brought a stick and asked the tortoise to grasp it firmly in the middle with his mouth. Then they took hold of either end and flew off with him. After several safe miles, they flew over a village. As they flew over the people stared amazed and amused at the sight of the tortoise being carried by two Geese. The tortoise grew more and more indignant, until he could not bear their jeering any longer. “You stupid...” he snapped, but before he could say more he had fell to his death on the ground.

What is a good friend? the Greek philosopher Aristotle asked himself thousands of years ago. A good friend is not only honest, but is also able to inform himself, listen, be helpful, and to have a pleasant time together with his friends (Aristoteles 1967). Whilst seeking for the meaning of philosophy, one discovers a remarkable similarity with that of friendship. For Socrates, another Greek philosopher, the discussion itself was the main aspect of philosophizing. In the discussion, those philosophizing listen respectfully and attentively to one another. They follow on from what the others have said and tend to want to understand each other. They want to inform themselves in order to explain their thinking—to name but a few common aspects between friendship and philosophizing. The original definition of philosophizing can be traced back to its origin as “to be a friend” (philos) (Lemke 2000, 167ff). Regardless of whether philosophizing or referring to friendship, it is not what is discussed, but how it is discussed—how the participants get on with each other, for example. Philosophizing and friendship are so similar, that even children and the youth should philosophize about friendship. As well as philosophers such as Aristotle, it is mainly fable authors—by no means accidental as one will see—who occupy themselves with the practice of life and especially with friendship. One particular collection of fables stands out—“Kalila and Dimna. The fables of Bidpai.” This collection seems to have been forgotten, which is very surprising since it was one of the most widely published and translated works of literature next to the Bible. Additionally it is also considered as a book of wisdom and a poetical approach to the “condition humaine.” Plato was also aware that fables such as the Kalila and Dimna collection are suitable for philosophizing (Platon Phaidon 1988). Through Socrates, he especially praised the precision of the fable author in the style of Aesop.

“(...) he never got delayed in describing; he got straight to the point and hurried with each word towards the end; he knew no method between the inevitable and the pointless (...)” (Lecke 1999, 300f).

Socrates was not only fascinated by the thoughtful precision of the fable authors, but even more so the philosophical “added values” to use the fables in education. Reason enough for Plato to refuse the poets of his time to reside/stay in his Utopia State, but not the fable authors!
Malebranche and Leibniz on Organic Generation: Individuation and Teleology (III-C)

Karen Detlefsen, University of Pennsylvania

Both Malebranche and Leibniz endorse the preexistence theory of generation, according to which God created, at the Creation, all organisms that would ever live, usually encasing them one within the other in the reproductive organs of the first member of each species. It is generally thought that preexistence was so popular in the seventeenth century because the nascent form of mechanism that many theorists embraced was incapable of explaining the generation of complex forms. While both Malebranche and Leibniz are preexistence theorists for this reason, there are other reasons for their embrace of the theory, most notably, their attempts to account for the unity and identity through time of individual substances. Malebranche accounts for the functional unity of organisms by appealing to the purposes that God had when he created them. He thus relies upon a Platonic form of teleological explanation to ground the organism’s functional unity which in turn accounts for the ability of the organism to maintain its identity as the same material substance over time. Still, Malebranche is a mechanist, and the unifying functions of the organism must derive from the more fundamental structure, or figure. Requiring the prior existence of the structure leads Malebranche to preexistence. Malebranche’s theory of preexistence is able to secure, at best, physical unity and identity for the organism. Leibniz’s concerns with individuation are much deeper, and his particular theory of preexistence is, at least in part, motivated by attempts to secure metaphysical individuation of substances. As it did with Malebranche, teleology explanation enters into Leibniz’s theory of generation as well, but in a notably different form. Preexistence theory, then, was not only called upon to solve a particularly thorny problem in the life sciences, but in the hands of some theorists, such as Malebranche and Leibniz, it was used to address issues at the core of seventeenth-century metaphysics.

No Place for the A Priori (I-D)

Michael Devitt, City University of New York–Graduate Center

The paper’s thesis is that all knowledge is empirical—“justified by experience”—and hence that there is no place for the a priori. This stands opposed to the view that there is a nonempirical method of justifying beliefs but not to the view that there is a nonempirical source of beliefs, not to the view that some beliefs are innate. If any beliefs are innately justified, their justification must come somehow from the experiences (broadly construed) of our distant ancestors.

Drawing on previous works, the paper argues (i) that we do no need the a priori and (ii) that we cannot have it. Concerning (i) the focus is on the view that logic must be seen as a priori because we need logic to get evidence for or against anything. One response, inspired by the image of Neurath’s boat, hopes to justify each logical principle in turn using other principles. But this seems likely to be a vain hope. Another response argues that logic can be seen as empirical if rule-circular arguments are allowed. And apriorists
cannot disallow such arguments because any justification of a priori reasoning would have to be rule-circular.

Concerning (ii) the paper argues that the whole idea of the a priori is unexplained and deeply obscure. Traditional attempts at explanation that appeal to analyticity fail in two ways. They rest on an unexplained acceptance of logical truths and on the mistaken view that competence with a concept is sufficient for knowledge about it. A consideration of some contemporary views—for example, those of BonJour, Bealer, Peacocke, and Boghossian—helps to bring out the obscurity of the a priori.

The paper concludes by looking critically at the cases for some sort of a priori presented by two naturalistically-inclined philosophers, Antony and Rey.

**SOLVING THE PARADOXES, ESCAPING REVENGE (V-B)**

_HARRY FIELD, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY_

It is “the received wisdom” that any intuitively natural and consistent resolution of a class of semantic paradoxes immediately leads to other paradoxes that are just as bad. This is the “revenge problem.” I argue against the received wisdom. I focus on a certain type of solution to the paradoxes, which keeps the full Tarski truth schema, restricting the law of excluded middle (and derivatively, certain laws involving the conditional) so that inconsistency is avoided. Any such solution generates certain never-ending hierarchies of sentences that may seem “increasingly paradoxical”; but this type of solution gives a consistent treatment of each member of each such hierarchy. The existence of these hierarchies prevents certain kinds of revenge problems from arising: certain attempts to state revenge problems simply involve going up a level in a hierarchy all levels of which have been given a non-paradoxical treatment. Still, there are certain strategies for “getting revenge” that such solutions may seem to be subject to. I argue that the most popular such strategy is based on a misunderstanding of the significance of model-theoretic semantics. A more interesting strategy is based on the hierarchies of increasingly paradoxical sentences that appear in the account. Shouldn’t it be possible to “transcend the hierarchies” to get paradoxes that are not resolved by the account? And if we can’t “transcend the hierarchies” within the language that our solution to the paradoxes treats, isn’t that simply due to an expressive limitation in that language? This worry about “breaking out of the hierarchies” is intimately connected to the definability paradoxes (e.g., the paradox of the smallest undefinable ordinal). The solution to those paradoxes can be extended to show that we are unable to “transcend the hierarchies,” but that this does not reflect an expressive limitation of the language.

**THE HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF NIETZSCHE’S OWN ‘HISTORIE’ (V-D)**

_ANTHONY JENSEN, EMORY UNIVERSITY_

This presentation aims to discuss Nietzsche’s second _Untimely Meditation_ from within the tradition of scholarly debate in the field of Altertumswissenschaft that immediately preceded its composition and the way in which Nietzsche responds to that debate. His designations of the “critical” and “antiquarian” modes of historicity were at that time well known
as reference to the “critical” school of Gottfried Hermann and Karl Lachmann, and to the “antiquarian” school of August Boeckh and F.G. Welcker. The struggle between these two schools drove a wedge into the heart of classical studies, and had a significant impact on Nietzsche’s own teacher, Friedrich Ritschl. It was the politically unpopular actions within this debate whereby Ritschl guaranteed the ostracization of Nietzsche’s work on tragedy in 1872, and what partly prompted the invective of Ulrich von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff later that year. Nietzsche, for his part, wrote no response to Wilamowitz, but 16 months later began his own essay on history. This work, I contend, was to serve as a specific kind of response to Wilamowitz, one that did not challenge him directly on any philological issue, but aimed to undercut the entire historical impulse under which Wilamowitz was working. His idealistic proposal of a “monumentalist” historicity was intended to supplant both the “critical” and “antiquarian” schools, and to justify his own philological methods in the Birth of Tragedy. I believe a better understanding of this essay can be achieved by illuminating the actual figures whom he considered exemplars of these impulses and by further uncovering the historical surroundings that underpin his philosophical speculations.

FODOR’S VERSION OF THE FRAME PROBLEM: A SOLUTION (IV-C)
KIRK LUDWIG, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
SUSAN SCHNEIDER, MORAVIAN COLLEGE

Jerry Fodor, a leading proponent of the Computational Theory of the Mind, (or “CTM”), is also one of its key critics. For he doesn’t think CTM will explain the central systems. Cognitive science will only have limited success, merely being able to offer computational theories of the modules. Since the central systems are supposed to be key to belief formation, this view is truly alarming. For if there really is a central system, CTM, if true, will have little to say about reasoning itself. Fodor’s grim view has been largely accepted in philosophical circles. However, in this paper we present a case for optimism about centrality.

PROMISES, CONTRACTS, AND COMMUNITY (V-A)
DANIEL MARKOVITS, YALE UNIVERSITY

Promises and contracts establish relations among the persons who engage them, and these relations lie at the center of persons’ moral and legal experience of one another. But in spite of the obviously relational character of promise and contract, the most prominent explanations of the obligations that these practices involve emphasize one or another service that such agreements render to the parties to them taken severally. “Promises, Contracts, and Community” articulates a new theory of the philosophical foundations of promise and contract that reclaims for practical philosophy the relations among persons that promises and contracts create.

The article proposes that promises and contracts establish relations of recognition and respect—and indeed a kind of community—among those who participate in them, and it explains the reasons that exist for making and for keeping promises and contracts in terms of the value of this relation. The article begins by addressing promises generally in order to identify the basic form of respectful relation that all promises involve and to connect the
value of this relation to the reasons that exist for making and for keeping promises. This discussion revisits some familiar philosophical problems concerning promising, including the manner in which reasons for promise-making and promise-keeping intervene in practical deliberations and the place of the will among the grounds of promissory obligation.

The article then takes up contract in particular in greater detail in order to elaborate the precise forms of recognition and respect that contract involves. The article argues that contract participates in the promissory ideal of respectful community even though contracts typically arise among self-interested parties who aim to appropriate as much of the value that the contracts create as they can. To this end, the article finds contractual community directly in the form of the contract relation rather than in any substantive ends that the parties to contracts pursue. It presents a detailed account of the characteristic relations that this form of community, which it calls collaboration, involves. This discussion aims (in addition to contributing to the theory of contract) to illustrate the level of detail at which applied ethical argument should proceed more generally.

**Our Call: The Constitutive Importance of the People’s Judgment (II-D)**

*Henry Richardson, Georgetown University*

It is often debated whether what we ought, politically, to do is determined by standards that are independent of any actual political process, or whether, by contrast, judgments reached in actual political processes have constitutive importance in determining what we should do. This paper argues that this is not an exclusive disjunction and that, in fact, both independent standards and constitutively authoritative judgments enter into the truth-conditions pertaining to claims about what we ought, politically, to do. The crucial objection to constitutive judgment is that it involves an unacceptable form of bootstrapping, according to which reasons arise out of nothing. To circumvent this objection, the paper deploys John Broome’s notion of a wide-scope “normative requirement,” which affects what ought to be done without altering the balance of reasons and which defuses the bootstrapping objection by blocking the detachment of all-things-considered conclusions. To show that politics involves constitutive authority of this kind, the paper defends two normative requirements applicable to the political process that give constitutive roles to political judgments of various kinds. Throughout, the discussion is enlivened by comparisons to the judgments of a baseball umpire, which have been illuminatingly discussed by Robert Brandom.

**Organic Development and the Limits of Mechanism (III-C)**

*Justin Smith, Concordia University*

As long as what we are focusing on is physics, or, better, the physics of mesoscopic bodies, the scientific revolution comes across as a smashing success. Where we limit our attention to “the manifest striking of one body against another,” to use Boyle’s language, the 17th century seems decidedly to have advanced over its Aristotelian forebears. But this focus has given us a somewhat distorted picture of the actual challenges 17th-century scientists faced. For when we turn our attention to the other domains of inquiry in
which they were engaged, such as chemistry and biology, the early modern period looks to be in something of a crisis, as the conceptual resources the modern natural philosophers had available to them were nowhere near adequate to account for the phenomena, and indeed in many ways seemed less explanatorily adequate than what had been available in earlier centuries. For this reason, it is in an important respect the non-foundational or secondary sciences, rather than physics, that prove to be more instructive about the successes and the limitations of the scientific revolution. In the phenomena of chemistry and biology, in particular, it appeared to many to be far more difficult to “facilitate the explicating of Occult Qualities,” as Boyle writes, which is to say that in these disciplines the undesirable forces of an earlier era seemed more difficult to dispense with than in physics narrowly conceived. For Boyle, the task of replacing occult qualities with mechanical causes was a “vast field” with many “neglected corners.” In order to complete the mechanical project, Boyle thought, scientists would have to seriously consider whether “there may not be divers effects, wont to be attributed to Occult Qualities, that yet are really produced by faint or unheeded Local motions of bodies against one another.”

For much of his career, Descartes himself appears to have been simply frustrated by the evident intractability of biological phenomena within the constraints of mechanism. In the Discours de la Methode he acknowledges that he is simply too ignorant to explain these “in the same style as the rest, namely, by demonstrating effects from causes, and showing from what sort of seeds, and in what manner, nature must produce them.” Throughout the second half of the 17th century, a number of natural philosophers found themselves deviating in varying degrees from the original project of mechanism in their explanations of what we now think of as the biological phenomena of conception and organic development. Attempts by mechanists to provide such accounts in terms of the “minor causes” Descartes envisioned were often derided, and conception and embryogenesis were often explicitly cited by thinkers who wished to re-introduce plastic natures, archaeus, formative virtues, etc., into their scientific ontologies. Thus John Ray, a defender of the plastic natures of the Cambridge Platonists, writes in his Wisdom of God Manifested in the Works of His Creation that generation “is so admirable and unaccountable, that neither the Atheists nor Mechanick Philosophers have attempted to declare the manner and process of it and those Accounts which some of them have attempted to give of the Formation of a few of the Parts, are so excessively absurd and ridiculous, that they need no other Confutation than ha, ha, he.”

In this paper I shall argue, through a close analysis of the theories of fetal development of Descartes, Boyle, and Henry More, that in the 17th century the biological phenomenon of embryogenesis constituted one of the great stumbling blocks for the success of the mechanist program, and as such is not to be neglected, or treated as peripheral, by scholars of early modern natural philosophy. Indeed, it will be argued that the perception of biology as a non-foundational science is itself a consequence of the crisis it brought about in 17th-century natural philosophy. For in the ancient period, organic growth, driven by the internal active principle of a substance, was itself the model of natural change in general. In the 17th century, in contrast, biology
is displaced by mechanical physics—the study of the motion of intrinsically inert matter and of the way in which motion is imparted from one body to another—from its role as model or paradigm science. But our general neglect of the early modern engagement with the problems of life and of organic development only shows how successful this displacement in fact was, and not at all that biology was low on the list of concerns of Descartes and all those natural philosophers who for the rest of the century contended willy-nilly with his legacy.

**Epistemic Permissiveness (IV-D)**

*Roger Lewis White, New York University*

An account of epistemic rationality is “permissive” to the extent that it allows for some leeway in what one can rationally believe given one’s total evidence. On an extreme permissive view, there are cases in which I might rationally believe $P$, but could just as rationally have believed not-$P$ instead, given the same evidence. On more moderately permissive views, one’s evidence determines a limited range of rationally permissible degrees of conviction in a proposition.

Most epistemologists seem to accept some degree of permissiveness in epistemic rationality. And many popular accounts of rationality entail a large degree. I present a series of arguments against various degrees of permissiveness. The basic structure of each argument is as follows: If permissive conditions of rationality are correct, then we ought to be able to recognize that they are correct; but accepting these permissiveness conditions is either self-undermining, or leads to absurd consequences. Hence the permissive conditions are incorrect.

First, I argue that accepting an extreme permissive thesis concerning one’s own beliefs should undermine all of one’s confidence in those beliefs. Second, acceptance of moderately permissive conditions should license one in acting in ways which are clearly wrong given one’s convictions. Thirdly, acceptance of moderately permissive conditions can license being unresponsive to relevant evidence. Lastly, I consider an attempt to circumvent these worries by suggesting that they mistakenly depend on taking a kind of “third-person” approach to judging what to believe or do. I raise doubts about this response by considering cases in which taking such an approach seems appropriate.

**Essence and Existence: Thomas Aquinas and Islamic Philosophy (III-B)**

*Robert Wisnovsky, McGill University*

“Essence and Existence in Post-Avicennian Islamic Philosophy, 1037-1274”

Avicenna’s (d. 1037) distinction between essence and existence is less a coherent and fully developed theory than a first attempt to systematize and usefully apply 9th- and 10th-century Islamic theological (*kalām*) discussions of the relationship between “thing” (*shay‘*) and “existent” (*mawjūd*). For example, Avicenna uses many different terms for essence, including “what-it-is-ness” (*māhiyya – quidditas*), “inner reality” (*haqīqa – certitudo*), “self” (*dhāt – essentia*), and “thingness” (*shay‘iyya – mistranslated into Latin as *causalitas*), in ways that are sometimes interchangeable but other times
meaningfully distinct. What is more, no less than three different ways of construing the distinction between essence and existence are suggested by a close reading of Avicenna’s works. My paper surveys how post-Avicennian Islamic philosophers tried to sort out Avicenna’s essence-existence distinction, during the period from his death to the death in 1274 of Aquinas’s contemporary Nasîraddîn at-Tûsî. Two developments stand out. One is the promotion of new pieces of conceptual vocabulary by Islamic philosophers such as Fakhraddîn ar-Râzî (d. 1210), who viewed existence as something additional (zâ’id) to essence, and at-Tûsî, who viewed existence as a necessary accident (‘arad lâzim) of essence. The other is the emergence of the ontological schemes associated with Shihâbaddîn as-Suhrawardî (d. 1191) and Muhyiddîn Ibn al-‘Arabî (d. 1240), who began to stretch the spectrum of possible ways of construing the essence-existence distinction to include (respectively) radical essentialism (literally, “the foundationality of essence” – asâlat al-mâhiyya) at one end and radical existentialism (literally, “the foundationality of existence” – asâlat al-wujûd) at the other. By way of conclusion I shall briefly compare these developments in post-Avicennian Islamic metaphysics with Averroes’s (d. 1198) and Aquinas’s (d. 1274) treatments of the essence-existence distinction.
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Radical Philosophy Association, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse E

S
Santayana Society, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse H
Sartre Circle, Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse B
Simone de Beauvoir Circle, Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse H
Société Américaine de Philosophie de Langue Française, Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale, Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse D
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse G
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse F
Society for Business Ethics, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse E

Society for Iberian and Latin American Thought and APA Committee on Hispanics, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse H

Society for Indian Philosophy & Religion, Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Machines and Mentality, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Concourse G

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Gramercy Suite A (Second Floor)

Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Philosophy and Technology, Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse D

Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Concourse D

Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Tues, 8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse C

Society for Skeptical Studies, Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Social and Political Philosophy, Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)

Society for Social and Political Philosophy, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Concourse C

Society for Systematic Philosophy, Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy and William James Society, Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Gramercy Suite B (Second Floor)

Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism, Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Concourse H

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Tues, 8:00-11:00 p.m., Concourse D

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)

Society for the Philosophy of Creativity, Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Bryant Suite (Second Floor)

Society for the Philosophy of History, Thur, 7:00 p.m.-10:00 p.m., Concourse B

Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)
Society for the Study of Ethics and Animals, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Society for the Study of Women Philosophers, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Gibson Suite (Second Floor)

Society for Women in Philosophy, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)

Society of Christian Philosophers, Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Nassau Suite B (Second Floor)

Society of Humanist Philosophers, Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Morgan Suite (Second Floor)

Society of Philosophers in America, Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)

Søren Kierkegaard Society, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Murray Hill Suite A (Second Floor)

W

William James Society, Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m., Concourse G

World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning, Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m., Clinton Suite (Second Floor)

World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning, Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m., Murray Hill Suite B (Second Floor)
APA Placement Service Information

Lindsay Palkovitz will be the Coordinator for the APA Placement Service at the 2005 Eastern Division Meeting. Questions concerning the Service should be directed to Lindsay at the American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716. She can also be reached by telephone at (302) 831-1112, fax: (302) 831-8690 or email: lindspal@udel.edu.

APA Placement Service General Hours of Operation

Tuesday, December 27:  Candidates Area, 3:00 – 10:00 p.m.,
Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewers Area, 2:00 – 10:00 p.m.
Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewing Tables, 9:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m.
Americas Hall 1 (Third Floor)

Wednesday, December 28:  Candidates Area, 9:00 a.m. – 7:00 p.m.,
Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewers Area, 9:00 a.m. – 7:00 p.m.,
Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewing Tables, 9:00 a.m. – 7:00 p.m.
Americas Hall 1 (Third Floor)

Thursday, December 29:  Candidates Area, 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.,
Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewers Area, 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.,
Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewing Tables, 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.
Americas Hall 1 (Third Floor)

Friday, December 30:  Candidates Area, 9:00 a.m. – Noon,
Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewers Area, 9:00 a.m. – Noon,
Petit Trianon (Third Floor)
Interviewing Tables, 9:00 a.m. – Noon
Americas Hall 1 (Third Floor)
**APA Placement Service Locations**

The Placement Services will be located on the Third Floor of the Hilton New York as outlined below. For your convenience, maps of the hotel appear at the back of this issue.

**Job Candidates – Rendezvous Trianon (Third Floor)**

1. Candidate Numbers will be assigned at the Placement Desk.
2. The location of a Job Interview will be available from the Placement staff, or posted on the bulletin board at the information desk.
3. Additional “Request for Interview” forms will be available at the Placement Desk.
4. APA Membership Applications will be available at the APA Meeting Registration Desk.
5. Information and instructions for using the Service will be available at the Placement desk (also see following pages), and posted on the information bulletin board.
6. The mailboxes for Job Candidates will be located in the Candidates Area.
7. A complete set of Job Postings will be posted in the Candidates Area.
8. A message for the APA Placement Ombudsperson can be left at the Placement Desk.

**Interviewers – Petit Trianon (Third Floor)**

1. Interviewers check in here – as soon as possible upon arrival.
2. Payments for On-Site Interviewing Departments will be received here.
3. Space will be provided here for interviewers to check their files.
4. “Request for Interview” forms received from job candidates that have been reviewed by interviewers should be returned here.
5. A list of interviewing table assignments will be posted in the Candidates Area.

**Interviewing Area – Americas Hall 1 (Third Floor)**

1. APA Interviewing Tables will be located here.
2. In the event that additional space for interviewing is needed, we will post the additional location in the Candidates Area.

**Information and Instructions for Job Candidates**

**Requirements for Using the Placement Service**

1. You must be an APA member in order to use the Service. Placement is a benefit of membership for candidates, and there is no additional charge to use this service. Membership applications are available on the APA web site (www.apaonline.org) or by contacting the APA National Office (302) 831-1112, or at the Membership/Registration desk at the Meeting.
2. You **MUST REGISTER** for the **MEETING** in order to use the Service.
   - If you **register in advance** for the meeting and indicate that you will be a candidate (an advance registration form is located at the back of this issue), a candidate number will be assigned in the National Office and will appear on the back of your badge. You **must** pick up your badge from the APA Registration staff prior to using the Placement service.
   - If you register on-site for the meeting, present your badge to the Placement Staff, who will be available to assign you a candidate number in the “Placement Service” line.

**WHAT TO BRING WITH YOU**

1. Your copies of recent issues of *Jobs For Philosophers*.
2. Several copies of your curriculum vitae. If you run out of CVs at the meeting, the hotel has a copy center located in the Business Center.

**HOW TO USE THE PLACEMENT SERVICE**

After you have picked up your registration materials from the APA Registration staff, you are ready to use the Service. If you do not yet have a candidate number on the back of your badge, please go to the job candidate’s area (Rendezvous Trianon, Third Floor) to receive one.

**JOB CANDIDATE’S AREA – RENDEZVOUS TRIANON (THIRD FLOOR)**

Here you will find a file folder (mailbox) with your candidate number on it. All communications addressed to you during the meeting will be placed there. Your folder contains:

1. “Request for Interview” forms. Additional forms will be available from the Placement staff. **Be sure that your Placement Number appears at the top of all forms.**
2. A “Locator” form for you to list your name and hotel address. Please do not remove this form from your folder. This is used only in the event that we need to contact you during the meeting.

**JOB POSTINGS**

**New** jobs (not having appeared in the *Jobs for Philosophers*) will be posted on a bulletin board in the Placement Service Area. You should check this board regularly for new postings. Each ad should contain instructions on how to apply.

**INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE CHECKED IN WITH PLACEMENT**

The names of institutions that have registered to use the Service will be posted on the bulletin board (updated regularly) in **alphabetical order**. Each listing will indicate the following:

1. If the listing institution has checked in with Placement.
2. If the institution is accepting interview requests at the meeting.
3. The relevant *JFP* for the institution’s opening(s).
4. Where the institution plans on interviewing (Table with number assignment).

5. Miscellaneous notes deemed appropriate by the Placement staff or the institution.

**Submitting an Interview Request Form**

Complete the top portion of the “Request for Interview” form and attach a copy of your CV. **Be sure that your candidate number appears at the top of all forms.** After you have completed the necessary information, place your request form and CV in the box marked *Requests for Interviews* which will be prominently displayed on the Placement Service Desk. Your “Request” will be forwarded to the institution by a staff member.

**Interviews**

After your “Request” has been reviewed by a representative from the institution, the form will be returned to your file folder with the institution’s response appearing on the bottom of the form. Check your file folder often so that you can be certain to receive your messages promptly.

By Noon on December 30, all “Requests” submitted by you should have been returned to your file folder, and you should remove them at that time. Occasionally, an institution may retain applications to review at a later date. If you applied for a job that had been posted and the institution did not check in with the Service during the meeting, it is suggested that you contact the institution by mail.

**Interviewing Locations**

Some departments will be conducting interviews at tables located in Americas Hall 1 (Third Floor). Other interviewing table locations will be posted if additional space is required. Each institution using a table for interviewing will be assigned a table number. The table numbers assigned to institutions will be posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service Area.

**Advice for Job Applicants**

The APA Committee on Career Opportunities offers the following advice for job applicants: The schedule for those seeking jobs and those Departments offering positions is difficult for all concerned. From the point of view of Departments, any publication date for *Jobs for Philosophers* is a compromise between the competing demands imposed by the need to get funding for positions, so the later the better, and by the need to have time to process applications, so the sooner the better. From the point of view of job applicants, there are also competing demands: the sooner it is published, the more time to apply, but the later it is published, the more opportunities will be available. There is no easy solution to this problem, but you can ease your difficulties somewhat by being prepared when *JFP* is published. You should have your curriculum vitae ready to put in an envelope, a generic draft of a letter of application ready to be fine-tuned for particular job opportunities, and the rest of your file ready for mailing. This means talking to those who are to write letters of recommendation long before *JFP* is due to arrive,
preparing material about your teaching capacities, and selecting a writing sample for those Departments that request it. Applications should be complete, as well as clearly organized. It is to your advantage to send in your application as soon as possible after an ad appears.

**Informeation and Instructions for Interviewers**

**Requirements for Using the Placement Service**

1. It is expected that all individual interviewers will register for the meeting either by using the registration form found in the back of this issue or by stopping at the APA Registration Desk (Second Floor Promenade) to register on-site prior to using the Placement Service.

2. All Departments (not individuals) planning to interview at the meeting should complete the Placement Service advance registration form found on our web site, [www.apaonline.org/forms/2005-2006/E2005/E2005placement05form.pdf](http://www.apaonline.org/forms/2005-2006/E2005/E2005placement05form.pdf) or at the end of this section. Upon receipt of an advance registration form additional information pertaining to the Placement Service will be sent to the attention of the contact person listed on the form. In the event that we send Placement forms either via email or mail, please be sure to complete these and return them to our office at your earliest opportunity. Not doing so may cause your materials to arrive after the Staff has already left the office to travel to the meeting. In this case, we must have you fill out the forms again on site. If time does not allow sending them to us, please bring them with you and turn them in at the Placement Desk.

**Checking in with the Service**

*Before you begin to interview candidates,* please check in with the Placement Staff (Rendezvous Trianon, Third Floor). We will need to know that you have arrived on site for candidates inquiring about your institution. Additional information will be requested from you (or confirmed if your department pre-registered) at this time as well.

Some institutions accept interview requests at the meeting; some of these job notices may have appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* while others are unpublished positions. A new, unpublished position will be assigned an AD# and posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service area. If you are bringing such a job notice with you to the meeting, please provide the Placement Staff with four copies of the notice for the position you are advertising. Such a position announcement should be typed on *one side only* in a good size and easy to read font, and be as brief as possible. Only positions that have *not* appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* will be posted. If you would like a position that has been posted at this meeting to appear on our website immediately following the meeting, or in the issue of *Jobs for Philosophers*, you need to submit this ad immediately, following the close of the meeting via our website, [www.apa.udel.edu/apa/publications/jfp/advertise.asp](http://www.apa.udel.edu/apa/publications/jfp/advertise.asp). If you need assistance with this, contact the APA National Office.
Some institutions interview by prearrangement after placing an advertisement in Jobs for Philosophers and then contacting candidates prior to the meeting. When you arrange an interview with candidates, please inform them that they must be APA Members in order to use the Service and they must register for the meeting in order to use the Service.

If you plan to conduct job interviews anywhere other than at the interviewing tables/suites we have provided, please let us know your plans because candidates often come to us to ask questions about the location/time (etc.) of their interviews, and we need to have complete, up-to-date information in order to help them (and you).

Departments using a Suite for interviewing will need to complete an interview schedule form at the time they check in with the Service. Doing so enables the Service to notify the candidates you wish to interview of your interviewing location. Be assured that only those candidates listed on your interview schedule will be told the location of your hotel room, unless you have directed us to give this information to all candidates who ask. Departments interviewing at tables DO NOT need to complete this form.

N.B.: If you are conducting interviews in a hotel room, please be aware of the following policy statement adopted by the APA Board of Officers at its November 2004 meeting:

“Departments should not conduct Job Interviews in non-suite hotel rooms. Candidates who are subject to such interviews can appeal to the APA and are guaranteed anonymity.” (Originally published in Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association, Vol. 78, No. 5, pp. 23, 119.)

APA INTERVIEWING TABLES

The Placement Service provides numbered tables for interviewing purposes; you will receive your table number assignment when you check in. These table number assignments will also be posted on the Placement Service Bulletin Board. Should additional space for interviewing tables be necessary, this location will be posted as well.

INSTITUTION FILE FOLDER (MAILBOX)

There will be a file folder bearing the name of your institution located in the Petit Trianon, Third Floor. Requests for interviews from candidates will be placed in this file folder.

REVIEWING INTERVIEW REQUESTS

When a candidate requests an interview with your institution, you should receive her/his curriculum vitae attached to a “Request for Interview” form. The bottom of this form is to be completed by you and returned to Placement staff. If an interview is granted, space is provided on this form to list the time, date, and location of the interview. If you are unable to interview a candidate, space for this response is also provided on the form. Any request you receive in an envelope contains confidential material and should be destroyed rather than returned to the candidate. As a matter of professional courtesy,
all requests should receive a response. When a candidate receives a “Request” form back without a response, he or she is likely to assume that the material has not been reviewed and might return this to you via our service or contact your institution by mail.

Each candidate is assigned a numbered file folder to facilitate prompt communication between interviewers and candidates. Materials that you wish to transmit to a registered candidate should be handed in to the Placement Service staff located on the in the Rendezvous Trianon, Third Floor, and will be delivered to the candidate by our staff.

APA Statement on Placement Practices

The APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession reminds you of its Statement on Placement Practices: The APA discourages the nomination by graduate departments of job seekers for positions in philosophy, and the submission of their dossiers in response to announcements of positions, without their knowledge or interest. This may seriously mislead those who are conducting searches, and may have unfortunate consequences both for them and for genuinely interested applicants. Graduate departments using a nomination procedure or submitting dossiers on behalf of job seekers should either attest explicitly that the candidate wishes to be considered for the positions in question, or (preferably) ensure that the job seekers themselves submit personal letters of application for these positions. Departments conducting searches are encouraged to recommend or require explicitly (in their position announcements) that each candidate, to be assessed of full consideration, should submit a personal letter of application for and interest in the announced position.

A Placement Ombudsperson will be available at the meeting. Please see the Placement Service Staff if you would like to contact the Ombudsperson.
Placement Service
Registration for Interviewing Departments
APA 2005 Eastern Division Meeting
Hilton New York, New York, NY
December 27-30, 2005
Only one form is required for each interviewing department.

Institution: ___________________________ Department: __________________
Contact: _____________________________ Phone: ______________________
Address: _____________________________ Email: _______________________

All persons conducting interviews should be registered** for the Eastern Division Meeting as well as the department being registered with the Placement Service.

**Registered members of the department who will be conducting interviews:

Our department will: (Please Check)
____ need interviewing table(s) ______ Quantity of Tables
____ conduct interviews in a hotel suite (you must contact Linda Smallbrook to reserve a suite)

Our department will: (Please Check)
____ provide suite # and list of prearranged candidates to whom suite information may be given
–or–
____ bring suite # and allow the Placement Service to give it to anyone who requests it

Our department will: (Please Check)
____ conduct prearranged interviews only
–or–
____ accept interview requests on-site

Position advertised where? (Check All Applicable)
JFP: ____Oct., ____ Nov., ____ Feb, ____ Web ONLY Ad, ____ NEW JOBS Section

Registration Fees: ______ Pre-Registration, By Dec. 9: $50.00
(Please Check One) ______ *Regular Registration, After Dec. 9: $75.00
Credit Card Type: (Circle One) VISA / MASTERCARD / DISCOVER

Credit Card #: ________________________ Exp. Date: __/___
(can be faxed to (302) 831-8690)

Name on Card: __________________________ Phone #: __________________
Signature: _______________________________ Email: _______________________

***Check #: ___________________________ Check Date: ________________ Check Amount: ________________

***Payable to: The American Philosophical Association. The APA only accepts checks drawn on U.S. banks in U.S. funds, or Int’l. Money Orders in U.S. funds. There is a $20 charge for all returned checks. **If payment is not received in our office by Dec. 9, 2005 you MUST pay the on-site fee! Please mail form and payment to: Attn: Lindsay Palkovitz, Placement, The American Philosophical Association, 31 Amstel Avenue, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716
PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Online Paper Submissions NEW! Blind Review: Papers in any area are welcome. All papers are blind reviewed. Author’s name, institution, or references pertaining to the identity of the author should be omitted from the paper, abstract, notes, and bibliography. Submitted papers are not returned to authors. Papers not accepted by one Division may be re-submitted for consideration to another Division. In submitting papers, authors warrant that those papers are entirely their own work or the joint work of the authors identified in the cover letter, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends and Awards: Papers to be considered for Graduate Student Travel Stipends/Awards must meet the criteria specified by each Division. For more information, visit the following address: www.apa.udel.edu/apa/divisions/stipends.

GUIDELINES FOR ALL THREE DIVISIONS

(*Guidelines 6, 7, 9, and 10 only apply to authors who are submitting papers in hard copy.)

1. Authors must be members in good standing of the APA.
2. 1 copy of the paper.
3. 1 copy of the abstract.
4. Colloquium papers are limited to 3,000 words.
5. Abstracts for colloquium papers are limited to 150 words.
*6. Title or cover page, which must include: a) title; b) word counts for all papers and abstracts; c) author’s contact information; d) the Division the paper is to be submitted for.
*7. Papers must be typed on one side of page, double spaced, 1-inch margins on all sides, all pages numbered, 10-12 pt. font.
8. No more than one submission by the same author for the same Division will be considered.
*9. Indicate name of Division on outside lower left side of the envelope containing the paper.
*10. Include a self-addressed stamped envelope to the National Office if you wish the receipt of your paper to be acknowledged.
11. Any paper which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper is expected to be published.
12. Papers are not accepted by e-mail.

13. Authors are advised to provide accurate email addresses as part of their contact information because they may be contacted by email, sometimes under urgent circumstances. Authors who expect to relocate between the date of submission and the date of the meeting should arrange for email forwarding, or else provide both current and new email addresses with their contact information.

**EASTERN DIVISION:**

Meeting is held December 27-30.
Selections are announced in May or June.
Papers accepted by either the Central Division or the Pacific Division may not be presented at any subsequent meeting of the Eastern Division.
Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.
Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.
Any paper submitted without an abstract will not be considered. Any paper whose abstract is deemed unacceptable by the Program Committee will not be accepted. No revised abstract submitted after the paper’s acceptance will be published in the *Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association* without the approval of the Program Committee.

**PACIFIC DIVISION:**

Meeting is usually held at the end of March.
Selections are announced in January.
A paper that has been on the Main Program at a past APA meeting (any division), or will be on the Main Program at a future Central or Eastern Division meeting, cannot be presented as a Main Program colloquium/symposium paper at the Pacific Division meeting.
Submissions for consideration as colloquium papers must not exceed 3,000 words.
Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. (This will be considered a single submission.)
CENTRAL DIVISION:

Meeting is usually held at the end of April.
Selections are announced in January, or before when possible.
Papers under consideration by the Pacific Division will not be considered by the Central Division.
Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered.

EASTERN, CENTRAL, AND PACIFIC DIVISION SUBMISSIONS SHOULD BE SENT TO:

Lindsay Palkovitz, ATTN: [Eastern, Pacific, or Central] Division Papers, The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, (302) 831-1181.

NOTE: Authors of accepted papers will be asked to submit a copy of their abstract by e-mail to the National Office.
MINUTES OF THE 2004 EASTERN DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING

1. The meeting was called to order at 11:23 a.m. by President Ernest Sosa.

2. President Sosa announced the agenda, which had been distributed to members present, and appointed Stephanie Lewis as Parliamentarian.

3. The minutes of the 2003 Business Meeting, which had been published in the Proceedings, volume 78, number 1 (September 2004), were approved as published.

4. The Secretary-Treasurer announced that the minutes of the December 27, 2003, Executive Committee meeting had been published in the Proceedings, volume 78, number 1 (September 2004), and had been formally approved by the Executive Committee (at its December 27, 2004, meeting) as published. It was noted in particular that, as recorded in those minutes, the Executive Committee decided at its 2003 meeting to change the deadline for submitted papers from March 1 to February 15, beginning in 2005. The Secretary-Treasurer also reported that the 2004 Program Committee had met in April 2004 to establish the submitted portion of the 2004 program, and that the 2005 Program Committee had met in September 2004 to establish the invited portion of the 2005 program.

5. The reports of Eastern Division mail ballots, which had been published in the Proceedings, volume 78, number 1 (September 2004), were received.

6. The auditor’s report of the division’s finances for fiscal year 2003-2004, which had been distributed to members present, was received.

7. The report of the Nominating Committee, which (as announced in the Proceedings, volume 78, number 2 (November 2004)) had been posted on the APA web site since October 2004, was received.

8. The Secretary-Treasurer reported the following actions taken by the Executive Committee at its December 27, 2004, meeting: The Committee decided on a two-year experiment, beginning with the 2006 program, in which main program sessions would be scheduled on the evening of December 27 and the morning of December 28, in addition to all the current main program session times, and the main program would be expanded from its current size by roughly ten invited sessions. The Committee also decided to move forward with electronic submission of papers beginning in 2005, provided no serious problems of implementation were encountered by the relevant National Office staff or John Heil, 2005 Program Committee Chair; this new policy was to be announced as widely as possible prior to the February 15 deadline. Finally, the Committee appointed a sub-committee of itself to look into ways in which the Division’s investment income might be used for Divisional or national APA purposes or both.
9. The Secretary-Treasurer announced the nominations of the Executive Committee for two positions on the Nominating Committee and invited other nominations from the floor. Listed alphabetically, the Executive Committee’s nominees are Ned Block, Daniel Dahlstrom, Aryeh Kosman, and Kelly Oliver. No nominations from the floor were forthcoming.

10. The Secretary-Treasurer reported that the winners of the William James Prize, Graduate Student Travel Stipends, and Foreign Scholar Travel Stipends had been awarded their prizes at a reception the previous evening, and were listed in the Proceedings, volume 78, number 1 (September 2004), at the place in the program where the reception was announced.

11. At the request of President Sosa, the members present stood to observe a moment of silent respect for those members whose deaths had been reported since the 2002 Business Meeting. Their names are:

   Nora K. Bell          Francis H. Parker
   Rob R. Brady          Philip L. Quinn
   James T. Culbertson   Robert J. Roth
   Robert Denoon Cumming Vernon M. Root
   Alfred Decker         Robert G. Shoemaker
   Bernard J. (Bill) Diggs W. Richard Schubart
   Joel Feinberg         Anne Schwab
   Alan Gewirth          Erling R. Skorpen
   Stuart Newton Hampshire James E. Tomberlin
   Carl F.H. Henry       Robert G. Turnbull
   Alvin Jacob Holloway  Lee Underhill
   Milton R. Konvitz    Melinda Vadasz
   Stanley Malinovich    Zeno Vendler
   J.P. McNulty          Douglas Weber
   Mario Mignucci        David Welker
   Allen Ott Miller      Richard N. Wisan
   Robert J. Mullins     Warren C. Young

12. There were no reports from the Chair of the Board, the Executive Director, or Chairs of National Committees.

13. There were no items of new business.

14. The agenda called for an open forum on issues concerning the welfare of the Division and the Association. No such issues were raised.

15. The meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Bett
Secretary-Treasurer
American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division
MINUTES OF THE 2004 EASTERN DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING


The Executive Committee took the following actions at its meeting on December 27, 2004:

1. Approved the minutes of its previous meeting on December 27, 2003 (as published in Proceedings and Addresses volume 78, #1, September 2004, pp. 131-132).
2. Received a report on other actions of Eastern Division committees during the 2004 calendar year.
3. Set the agenda for the 2004 Business Meeting.
4. Heard reports concerning actions at the 2004 Board meeting from Michael Kelly, Executive Director of the Association, and Karen Hanson, Acting Chair of the Board of Officers.
5. Decided to proceed with electronic paper submission for the 2005 meeting, provided no major problems were experienced by those involved in implementing it (i.e., the relevant National Office staff and John Heil, 2005 Program Committee Chair).
6. Received a report on the Division’s financial situation, as well as a financial model for the entire APA (including the Divisions) devised by Stephanie Lewis, Treasurer to the APA Board of Officers and investment advisor to the Eastern Division.
7. Approved the 2004-2005 Divisional budget, and directed the Secretary-Treasurer to find out when exhibit booth fees were last raised, and how often and by what percentages other comparable associations typically raise their exhibit booth fees.
8. Appointed a committee consisting of Richard Bett (Chair), Ernest Sosa, and Bob Brandom, with Stephanie Lewis as outside consultant, to look into ways of making use of the Division’s investment income for Divisional or national APA purposes or both.
9. Received a report from the sub-committee on program improvement, and in light of that report decided on a two-year pilot project (beginning with the 2006 program, and to be reviewed as it takes place) including the following features: a) Main program sessions are to be scheduled on the evening of December 27th and the morning of December 28th, in addition to all the existing main program times; b) The Program
Committee is to be encouraged to devise invited sessions—one session per regular member of the Committee—that are at the discretion of that committee member, in addition to the normal complement of invited sessions that are agreed upon by the entire committee and generated largely from proposals submitted by the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee; and c) The Program Committee is to be encouraged to include in the invited portion of the program (perhaps among the additional sessions specified in b) above, or perhaps as part of the normal complement of invited sessions) a few sessions to be labeled in some way as high-profile, perhaps in a “workshop” format in which leading practitioners in a certain field engage in informal debate about developments in, and their own ideas concerning, that field. It was also noted that these changes would be likely to affect the group program; fewer spaces would be available for group sessions at the beginning of the meeting, resulting in the increased likelihood of groups not getting their first preferences with regard to session times, and the possibility of some groups that requested two sessions being permitted only one. The Secretary-Treasurer was urged to make clear to all affiliated groups that should such measures become necessary (either because of this expansion of the main program or simply because of the ever-increasing number of groups), they will be implemented in an equitable manner, with some form of rotation among the groups affected. Finally, it was decided that members of the Program Committee should not be permitted to appear on the program, even in the capacity of session chairs.

10. Heard from Karen Hanson that the John Dewey Foundation intended to submit a proposal regarding sponsorship of an annual lecture at each of the three Divisional meetings, and passed a motion to the effect that it welcomed such a proposal and looked forward to working with the Foundation on the details.

11. Directed the Secretary-Treasurer to pursue discussions with the New York Marriott Marquis and the Boston Marriott and Westin Copley Place, with a view to negotiating a contract for the 2009 meeting in one of those cities, and to report back on the results of those discussions; and to keep alive the possibility of a meeting in Orlando, Florida, or in Atlanta in some future year.

12. Recognized as affiliated groups the Foucault Circle, the Josiah Royce Society, the Hannah Arendt Circle, and the Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale.

13. Received a report on the winners of Divisional awards and prizes, and decided to disallow simultaneous winning of the William James Prize and a Graduate Student Travel Stipend.

14. Nominated four candidates for two positions on the 2005 Nominating Committee. They are Ned Block, Daniel Dahlstrom, Aryeh Kosman, and Kelly Oliver.

15. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the
2006 Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.

16. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Bett
Secretary-Treasurer
American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division
Election Results

Results of Eastern Division Elections

As a result of the mail ballot that took place in the spring of 2005, the following Eastern Division members have been elected to office in the Eastern Division.

- Seyla Benhabib has been elected to the office of Vice President for 2005-2006. Professor Benhabib will become President for 2006-2007 without further election.
- Jorge Garcia and Christine Korsgaard have been elected as members-at-large of the Executive Committee for 2005-2008.
- Ned Block and Daniel Dahlstrom have been elected as members of the Nominating Committee for 2005-2007.
LIST OF BOOK EXHIBITORS AND ADVERTISERS

AGORA PUBLICATIONS (B-305)
ASHGATE PUBLISHING (B-203)
AYN RAND INSTITUTE (B-212)
BLACKWELL PUBLISHING (B-231, 233, 235) (A-154-157)
BROADVIEW PRESS (B-114)
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-130, 132, 134) (A-178-181)
CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA PRESS (B-210) (A-193)
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-323, 325) (A-189)
CONTINUUM (B-206, 208) (A-176)
CORNELL UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-198)
FORDHAM UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-205) (A-196)
HACKETT PUBLISHING COMPANY (B-106, 108) (A-190-191)
HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-232, 234) (A-195)
INDIANA UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-223, 225) (A-188)
KING’S COLLEGE PUBLICATION (B-236)
LEXINGTON BOOKS (B-211)
LONGMAN PUBLISHERS (B-110) (A-186)
MCGILL-QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-237)
MCGRAW-HILL HIGHER EDUCATION (B-317, 319) (A-204-205)
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-224, 226, 228, 230) (A-160-173)
PENGUIN GROUP (USA) (B-214) (A-153)
Proceedings and Addresses of the APA, 79:1

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-313) (A-177)
PERSEUS BOOKS GROUP (A-152)
PHILOSOPHER’S INFORMATION CENTER (B-222)
PHILOSOPHY DOCUMENTATION CENTER (B-102) (A-OUTSIDE BACK COVER)
PRENTICE HALL (B-112) (A-174)
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-202, 204) (A-182-183)
PROMETHEUS BOOKS (B-100, 101, 200, 201, 301) (A-202)
ROUTLEDGE (B-116, 118) (A-199-201)
ROWMAN & LITTLEFIELD (B-207, 209)
SPRINGER (B-215, 217, 219)
STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-192)
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK PRESS (B-124, 126)
SUNY PRESS (A-INSIDE FRONT COVER)
THE A.M. MONIUS INSTITUTE (A-INSIDE BACK COVER)
THE CRITICAL THINKING LAB (B-122)
THE MIT PRESS (B-128) (A-158-159)
THE SCHOLAR’S CHOICE (B-227, 229)
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS (B-104) (A-184-185)
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS PRESS (B-315) (A-203)
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME PRESS (B-216) (A-175)
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH PRESS (A-197)
UNIVERSITY PRESS OF AMERICA (B-213)
WADSWORTH/THOMSON (B-307, 309, 311) (A-194)
WALTER de Gruyter, Inc. (B-218)
YALE UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-302) (A-187)
HILTON NEW YORK
SECOND FLOOR
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