Association of Research Integrity Officers

2018 ARIO Board Update
Where are we?

• 120+ member institutions
• Approximately 230+ individual seats at member institutions
• 501c 3 application pending
• Engaged regional networks
• Website launched and functionality enabled
  – Registration and membership
  – More functionality coming
• 6th annual national meeting
What’s next?

• Significant website development
  – Resources
  – Members only content
  – Communications
  – Streamlined navigation
  – Anonymous posting and blogs
  – Suggestion box
  – Restructured member communications
What’s next?

• Special interest groups
  – Forensics preview

• Increased engagement
  – Communications Committee (ACC)
  – Regional leadership and liaison with the board
  – Board opportunity
  – Resource development

• Working groups and initiatives
  – COGR confidentiality scenarios
  – COPE and other partnerships

• Annual membership dues renewal
Updates

• ACC – Communications Committee update
• Special interest group– Forensics preview
• Regional insight – Mid America
Committees

There are four Standing Committees:

- **Communication**
- **Education & Program**
- **Finance**
- **Nominating**
ARIO Communication Committee (ACC)

The primary purpose of the ARIO Communications Committee (ACC) is to oversee dissemination of information and commentary to the ARIO membership and public. The ACC approves, or refers to the Board, the posting of appropriate information and resources on the ARIO website. In addition, the ACC manages the ARIO communication forums, including establishing and monitoring criteria for participation or access to the website.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governmental Agency URLs and Research Misconduct Policies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-governmental/for profit organization URLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Research Misconduct Policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Misconduct Templates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarism Tools and Forensic Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports Obtained Through The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorship Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Conduct of Research Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Resources (FERPA, False Claims Act)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIO Conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infographics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot Camps and Advanced Boot Camps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIO mentorship opportunities and resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultancy opportunities and resources (e.g., if you need your research misconduct processes evaluated by an ARIO member)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Integrity issues ‘in the news’ (noteworthy media and journal article links)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Groups
A Special Interest Group within ARIO
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MISSION STATEMENT

Forensics in the context of research misconduct reviews is a data analysis activity.

The product of forensic data analysis is neutral; it neither defines nor decides research misconduct allegations or outcomes.

The **ARIO FORENSICS** group was created to establish a discourse on executing effective analysis of research data, share best practices and encourage methodological innovation in this unique setting.
Moving forward

Phase I:
- Welcoming interested participants
- Continuing to establish website presence
- Sharing general concepts on accessing and evaluating data (e.g., accessing specific types of files)

Phase II:
- Discussions on current practice
- Develop resources organizing best/common practices
- Utilize the website to share Forensic Group materials

Phase III:
- Identify and endeavor to address unsolved/higher issues utilizing ARIO (and subject matter) expertise
Our Website!

Forensics in the context of research misconduct reviews is a data analysis activity.

The product of data analysis is neutral; it neither defines nor decides research misconduct allegations or outcomes.

The ARIO FORENSICS group was created to establish a discourse on executing effective analysis of research data, share best practices and encourage methodological innovation in this unique setting.
Get involved!

- Welcoming interested participants!

Reach out to us via ARIO website or at contact information below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corinna Raimondo, Ph.D.</th>
<th>Mary Walsh, Ph.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Compliance Specialist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office for Research Integrity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:corinna.raimondo@northwestern.edu">corinna.raimondo@northwestern.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Scientific Investigator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office for Academic and Research Integrity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard Medical School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:mary_walsh@hms.harvard.edu">mary_walsh@hms.harvard.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interested in creating a Special Interest Group?

1. Idea!
2. Teammate(s)?
3. Initial Scope/Audience
4. Write up proposal
5. Present to ARIO Board
Thank you!