We, the undersigned organizations, wish to address the issue of disinfection of transcutaneous ultrasound transducers used for percutaneous procedures or for the purpose of monitoring other invasive procedures.

Current guidelines from multiple clinical societies have endorsed the use of low-level disinfection (LLD) for transcutaneous ultrasound transducer cleaning and disinfection used for guidance of percutaneous procedures. Some organizations are not congruent regarding their recommendations for disinfection. In some cases, guidelines that address endocavity transducers are being misapplied to percutaneous and vascular-access applications. The Spaulding classification is meant for intended uses, and some of the above guidelines reclassify intended non-critical applications as semicritical. Recommendations for high-level disinfection (HLD) of sheathed probes used for percutaneous procedures are not evidence-based and will result in unwarranted and unnecessary use of resources, increasing the possibility of safety events if percutaneous procedures are performed without ultrasound guidance.

This statement addresses several specific points that we regard as pivotal for determining when the use of HLD or a different level is appropriate. Specifically:

1. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous procedures are imaged transcutaneously, ie, through intact skin, to monitor procedures done percutaneously in conjunction with a transducer cover and can be safely performed in conjunction with LLD.

2. Transducer covers for transcutaneous procedures are meant to protect the sterility of the procedure, not to make the transducer sterile. An analogous situation exists for human hands in surgical procedures. The gloves that cover the hands adequately protect the procedure from contamination, even though only LLD via hand washing is performed prior to surgery. LLD via proper hand washing plus sterile gloves has been safely used for over a century and LLD of devices placed inside of sterile covers should be equally safe.

3. If contamination of covered transcutaneous transducers with blood or other bodily fluids occurs, it can be eliminated with low-level disinfectants that are effective against mycobacteria and bloodborne pathogens.
(including hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and HIV). Human hands are always cleaned LLD and covered with gloves. HLD was meant to clean instruments intended for contact with internal organs or mucous membranes. Evidence of infection from ultrasound (US) transducers relates to contaminated gel and improper cleaning of internal transducers.

We recommend cleaning and disinfection for the reprocessing of transducers used for percutaneous sheathed US procedures on the basis of the scientific and safety information available. We also call on other organizations that address this issue to disclose contributions from manufacturers of US disinfection equipment.

Respectfully,
American Academy of Emergency Medicine*
American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine
American College of Emergency Physicians
American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists
American College of Radiology
American Medical Society for Sports Medicine**
American Registry for Diagnostic Medical Sonography
American Registry of Radiologic Technologists
American Society of Anesthesiologists***
Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology
Association for Vascular Access
Emergency Nurses Association
Infusion Nurses Society
International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology
Point-of-Care Ultrasound Certification Academy
Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America
Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine
Society of Breast Imaging
Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound

* Independently, the Emergency Ultrasound Section of the American Academy of Emergency Medicine has also endorsed the position statement.
** The AMSSM board has voted to Affirm for Value the position statement.
*** The ASA Council has approved support with the following notation: “Since the document has neither been presented to nor approved by either the ASA Board of Directors or House of Delegates, it is not an official or approved statement or policy of the society. Variances from the recommendations contained in the document may be acceptable based on the judgment of the responsible anesthesiologist.
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