Call to Order
Mark Aakhus called meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

Francois Cooren (President Elect Select) Visit to the Business Meeting
The 2010 ICA conference will take place in Singapore in June. Other details about next year’s conference:

- November 6, 2009 is the submission deadline.
- The registration fee is $125 and includes lunches and one dinner.
- Hotel room prices are $160 (USD) and includes breakfast and in-room internet.
- Airfare – ICA Travel Bureau $1300 North America, Europe, AUS
- Post conference tours will be available.
- Two pre-conferences: (1) in Japan, June 19-20 with the Japanese Communication Association annual Meeting; (2) in Brisbane, June 16-19 with the International Conference on Language and Social Psychology.
- Preconference material should be submitted by Sept 9th, 2009 to Francois Cooren for initial review while Nov 6 is the regular deadline.
- Theme: Matters of communication.
- The 12 best papers will be edited in book to be published by Hampton.
- Keynote – Censorship & New Media; Gulbresch, Stanford Matters of Communication.
- Francois and the ICA leadership has been addressing concerns of the ICA membership regarding gay rights in Singapore through meetings in Singapore; in addition, Gay Rights and Women’s Rights will be addressed through panels.

Approval of Minutes from Montreal, 2008
A. Minutes from the ICA 2008 business meeting in San Francisco were distributed. Minutes were revised and approved by voice vote.
B. If anyone wants a copy of those minutes, contact Theresa Castor castor@uwp.edu.

Reports

A. ICA Report
   1. Finances: In good shape despite the difficult world economic conditions; Current Reserves are equal to one year budget; Reserves were in cash so did not suffer; Publishing is profitable; Had Purchased Building/Value is steady/Income from lease to Starbucks; (Sam Luna at ICA will provide a list of people who have not renewed so divisions can track and try to retain members; Division budgets determined: $3 for each member on Aug 31. Three divisions charge more than $3 – passed bylaws assessing higher fee but also offer further services; the $3 will be taken up at the mid-year board meeting; can rollover up to one year’s worth of money for up to one year).
2. ICA Elections
   a. For president elect select:
      Larry Gross, U Southern California, USA
      Karen Ross, U Liverpool, UK
   b. Candidates for Member-at-Large representing the Americas
      (except the USA):
      Becky Lentz, McGill U, Canada
      Evan Potter, U Ottawa, Canada
   c. Candidates for Student Representative:
      Yea-wen Chen, U New Mexico, USA
      Diana Nastasia, U North Dakota, USA

3. ICA Fellows
   Bob Craig, Youichi Ito, Michael Roloff, Dave Seibold, Barbie Zelizer were
   elected as fellows and approved by the Board.

4. Awards: Variety of awards, be sure to apply or nominate:
   Outstanding Article Award
   Young Scholar
   Communication Research as an Agent of Change
   Outstanding Book
   Steve Chaffee Career Productivity Award
   Communication Research as Collaborative Practice
   Communication Research as an Open Field
   Applied/Public Policy Research
   Fisher Mentorship Award
   James W. Carey Urban Communication

5. Human Communication Research: James Katz, Rutgers U, approved as editor.
   In his statement and his selection, his interest in expanding the types of
   empirical manuscripts published and interest in a pluralistic outlook on
   method.

6. Journal of Communication: Volume of submissions is very high. ICA is
   considering an increase from 4 to 6 issues per year. This will be investigated
   in terms of implications. Also, ICA is considering creating associate editors
   and providing additional funding for them.

7. ICA2009: May be the largest ICA ever. Very successful Pre-Conferences.
   Several green initiatives undertaken. Approx. 4500 members, roughly 60%
   North American, 40% Non-North American.
8. ICA2013: June 8-13. Rotation to Europe. Outside of North America meeting rooms must be paid for. The bargaining has been difficult. Amsterdam is a member favorite but its conference center is booked.
   • London is leading candidate and was approved by the board (Metropol/Hilton in London near Paddington Station; estimating $160 for room includes b’fast; check bags at train stop and pick up at destination airport).

9. Translation of Non-English Articles into English: The underlying question is about enabling involvement and outreach to more scholars from around the world. This is under investigation by a task force.

10. Theme Book
   • Rethinking communication: Keywords in communication research.
   • Theme books for 2009 and 2010 planned.

11. Logo: Considering a new logo – one that continues the current logo. Attempting to involve the membership in creating the logo, there will be a competition.

12. Ethics Statement: ICA has an ethics statement and will add description of current practices regarding academic misconduct (e.g., if plagiarism questions arise about a paper awards and other funding will be withdrawn until pertinent institution or affiliation has been resolved). Best practices will be articulated.

B. LSI Report about this Year’s Convention

1. Submissions and Panels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panels Allocated by ICA 2005-2009</th>
<th>09 Chicago</th>
<th>08 Montreal</th>
<th>07 San Francisco</th>
<th>06 Dresden</th>
<th>05 NYC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sessions</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Meeting</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Panels</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive Paper Panels</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Submission Acceptance/Rejection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission Acceptance/Rejection 2009</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Acc/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSI/ICA09, Chicago</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Abstract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>Acc/Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submission Acceptance/Rejection 2008*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSI/ICA08, Montreal</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Acc/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extended Abstract</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submission Acceptance/Rejection 2007*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LSI/ICA07, San Fran.</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Acc/Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extended Abstract</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Acceptance Rate for 2006 was 73%, 2005 was 78%)

3. Reviewers:
   a. 2009 Reviewers: Thank you!

Get names from Richard

4. Awards and Honors
   • Student award: Award for Top Student Paper:
     i. Brion van Over (U of Massachusetts) The "Self" as a Culturally Constituted Discursive Resource in Interventions
   • Top 4 Paper Awards:
     i. Mats Erik Ekstrom (Orebro U), Announced Refusal to Answer: A Study of Norms and Accountability in Broadcast Political Interviews
     iii. Yael Maschler (U of Haifa), Keywords in Interaction: Grammatical and Interactional Projections of Discourse Markers
iv. *Top-ranked paper:* Paul M. Denvir (U at Albany), Patients’ Enactment of Normative Stances Toward Reported Substance Use Conduct: Managing Identity During Routine History Taking

5. Travel grants:
   - Gonen Dori-Hacohen, Univ of Haifa, $400 ($200 LSI, $200 ICA)
   - Eiko Yasui, U of Texas $200 ($100 LSI, $100 ICA)

6. Conference fee waiver:
   - Brion van Over, UMass
   - Elisa Pigeron, UCLA
   - Melissa McFall, Eastern Illinois U

7. Budget Report
   - $400 for Top 4 Paper Awards
   - $100 for Top Student Paper Award
   - $300 for Travel Grants (matched by ICA)
   - $100 for LSI Reception

8. Secretary report- The Section now has a website ([http://www.icaahdq.org/sections/cms/LanguageSocialInteraction/](http://www.icaahdq.org/sections/cms/LanguageSocialInteraction/)). Meeting minutes, conference information, and division history are provided in the website. Any changes or corrections to the website should be sent to Theresa Castor. The possibility of a section wiki was also discussed, but there did not seem to be membership interest in this.

**Old Business**

A. LSI Reception
   - At 2007 Meeting, a member suggested that LSI help fund an off-site dinner at the conference. This was to be looked into. For 2008 & 2009, Richard Buttny organized an off-site LSI reception partially funded by the division. The membership indicated that the off-site reception was working well.

B. Extended Abstracts vs. Full Papers
   - In 2007, the membership discussed various ideas to deal with this concern. In the end, the idea that had the broadest support was to judge abstracts against abstracts rather than evaluating abstracts against papers for acceptance. That was how the evaluation was conducted for 2008 and 2009.
   - History of abstracts accepted in LSI Section:
     - 2007: 01 accepted, 16 rejected (evaluated against papers)
     - 2008: 06 accepted, 25 rejected (evaluated against abstracts)
     - 2009: 02 accepted, 26 rejected (evaluated against abstracts)
The following questions for discussion were presented to the membership:

- Shall we continue reviewing extended abstracts for acceptance to the conference? If so, how shall we program these?
- Is there Section interest in programming abstracts into a Works-in-progress panel or possibly high density panel?

Issues raised during the discussion:

- Question: What are criteria for evaluating abstracts?
- Pros of having abstracts: Senior scholars are more likely to have abstracts and eliminating abstracts for consideration would also eliminate some senior scholar work from being presented. Abstracts allow for work to be ‘fresher’ in that this work is more likely to be in progress during the time of submission. Other conferences allow abstracts and LSI accepting them could allow us to be leaders in a movement toward extended abstracts in ICA. Accepting abstracts would allow us to move toward internationalization.
- Cons of having abstracts: Doing away with abstracts could allow for more detail in reviewer comments in that there would be fewer items overall for the reviewers to review. Reviewers should see product rather than the ‘promise.’ Some universities do not fund abstracts or panel presentations.
- Action steps based on discussion: The section officers will facilitate an electronic vote to solicit addition membership feedback and preferences. A ‘representative’ from each side of the argument will be asked to write a brief statement to summarize their respective pro or con side to help the membership consider which route to pursue.
- An electronic vote and Summary: Proposal: Rank abstracts for high-density panel.

New Business

A. Awards: should LSI awards be given to non-LSI members? Non-ICA members?
   In award description information, post that awards are only for LSI members. If a potential award winner is not an LSI member, then the award would then go to next paper. (Note: This suggestion was not part of a formal resolution. There was a suggestion to consult the by-laws for additional guidance.)

B. By-law changes.
   1. There were a number of small changes to the by-laws that were discussed.
   2. There was a discussion of intellectual contribution award (an award identified in the by-laws, but not actually awarded in recent practice). Next year’s program planner will solicit and talk with possible nominees.
   3. For finalizing changes to the by-laws, the incoming offices (Richard and Evelyn), will pursue this.
C. Secretary election
   1. Theresa Castor’s term is over.
   2. Nominations were taken from the floor for a new secretary: Todd Sandel; Paul Denvir.

D. There were some cancellations this year. Richard asked for feedback on how to motivate participants to not cancel out? Members suggested: (1) getting clarification on what guidelines exists at the association level for dealing with this, and (2) if nothing is in place, then suggesting this topic to the ICA board for discussion.

**Announcements**

Adjourned at 6:00 p.m.