

FRP Compliance Recommendations for Jurisdictions

The IRP Full Reciprocity Plan (FRP) Task Force has developed the following recommendations to assist jurisdictions prepare for the implementation of FRP. Additional information is available on the IRP website at www.irponline.org.

Notify registrants regarding FRP – the sooner and more often, the better.

- Include FRP changes in renewal documents for registrants with license year effective January 1, 2015
 - Average per vehicle distance can't be used in conjunction with actual distance
 - "Jurisdiction not apportioned" option no longer available – will be charged for this distance
 - Eliminates added jurisdictions
 - New accounts/fleets must use average per vehicle distance chart and pay fees for all jurisdictions
 - Average per vehicle distance chart based on average distance of all registrants in jurisdiction. Note: "new" should be defined
- Notify registrants and other interested parties (i.e. trucking associations, truck driving schools, law enforcement, jurisdiction agencies, etc.)
- Notify jurisdiction audit agency, if different from administrative agency
- Post FRP changes on jurisdiction webpage
- Change jurisdiction IRP manual

Amend IRP forms (paper and electronic)

- Change schedules A, B, C, E and G, if applicable
- Eliminate "jurisdiction not apportioned" or "N" option – registrant must be charged for this distance
- Eliminate estimated distance language
 - Actual and average per vehicle chart distance cannot be used together
 - Estimated distance has been eliminated – must report actual distance
- Include language that ALL distance accumulated during the distance reporting period must be reported
 - Include reporting period, if it is not already reflected on forms Ninety (90) day rule eliminated
- Include language that all jurisdictions will be reflected on the cab card and temporary. Recommend including language on billing invoice
- Refresh and implement average per vehicle distance chart by March 31st each year
 - It is suggested that the revised chart be provided to the IRP repository each year

- Eliminate added jurisdiction supplement option for affected registrants (license year effective January 1st)
 - System should not allow an added jurisdiction option for a registrant with a license year effective date after January 1, 2015
- New fleets (IRP section 420) must use average per vehicle distance
- Existing fleets must report actual distance (based on date fleet was created) – jurisdiction can override with appropriate documentation from registrant

Jurisdiction Weights

- Jurisdiction should have edits in place to prevent registrants from using weights that differ by more than 10% between jurisdictions (IRP section 325) without jurisdiction approval
- Declared weight should be used in all jurisdictions unless registrant requests different weights in specific jurisdictions
- Consider verifying weights during audit if fraud is suspected
- Ensure that maximum jurisdiction weights are provided to IRP repository

Audit/Error Correction

- The jurisdiction should be able to assess fees (within 100%) for any distance that the registrant accumulates in a jurisdiction during the reporting period, but failed to report
- If actual distance is found during the reporting period, but the registrant used the chart, the jurisdiction's system should allow adjustment (fees/credits)
- If registrant reported actual distance, but no actual distance was accumulated during the reporting period, the jurisdiction's system should allow adjustments using the distance chart

Fleet Consolidation (IRP section 430)

Since FRP reduces the need for multiple fleets, jurisdictions and registrants may want to consider consolidating their fleets. Benefits include, fewer applications, fewer fleets to audit (3% audit requirement), greater flexibility, aligns IRP and IFTA fleets for easier reporting, etc.

- Run report to identify multiple IRP fleets for the same account.
- Notify affected registrants about benefits of combining fleets under FRP (i.e. renewal insert, email, text, etc.)
- Flag accounts that have multiple fleets and provide a message to registrants and/or staff regarding possible fleet consolidation

If a jurisdiction runs into problems that might delay the implementation beyond January 1 (or the first date they will register vehicles with effective date of Jan 1), they should immediately let IRP, Inc. know.