



Asia Pacific
Researchers Network

ASIA PACIFIC THIRD-SECTOR RESEARCHERS NETWORK NEWSLETTER 亞太地區第三部門研究人員網絡通信

Issue 8 / August 2020

CONTENTS | 目錄

Editor's Note 編輯按

Core Academic Journals 核心學術期刊

VOLUNTAS, Volume 31, Issue 4,
2020

The China Nonprofit Review, Volume
12, Issue 1, 2020

Publications/News/Reports in the
Sector ____ 部門出版/新聞/報告推介

Call for Papers 論文徵集

Job Vacancy 職位空缺

Contact Us 聯絡我們

About Us 關於我們

EDITOR' S NOTE | 編輯按

ISTR's 14th International Conference will take place in Montreal, 12-15 July, 2021. All the papers, panels, roundtables and posters that were accepted to ISTR 2020 are automatically transferred to 2021. In addition, we are opening a new round of call-for-proposals for the 2021 Conference with the focus of "Collective Action and Responsiveness in the Global Context" (www.istr.org/Montreal). We welcome you all to spread the word about this new conference theme and encourage new submissions that would shed light on the significant contribution by the third-sector and civil society organizations in the global response to COVID-19.

To most of our newsletter members based in the Asia Pacific, have a good beginning of the new academic year and/or semester!

Fengshi and Qianjin

第 14 屆 ISTR 國際會議將於 2021 年 7 月 12-15 日在加拿大蒙特利爾舉辦。所有在 2020 年已被接收的論文，分組會議，圓桌會議和牆報都將自動延順至 2021 年。另外，我們新增了「全球背景下的集體行動和回應」這一會議主題並開放了新一輪的研究計劃徵集 (www.istr.org/Montreal)。歡迎大家轉發這一新主題並踴躍投稿，讓我們一起探討第三部門和民間社會組織在全球對抗疫情中的巨大貢獻。

最後，我們大多數的會員都在亞太地區生活和工作，新學年/學期伊始，祝福大家都迎接一個美好的開端！

吳逢時 張乾瑾

CORE ACADEMIC JOURNALS | 核心學術期刊

VOLUNTAS, Volume 31, Issue 4, 2020

I Selected Abstracts | 精選摘要

The Changing Space for NGOs: Civil Society in Authoritarian and Hybrid Regimes | 變化的非政府組織的空間：威權體制和混合體制中的公民社會

Stefan Toepler, Annette Zimmer, Christian Fröhlich & Katharina Obuch

Abstract: In this introductory essay to the special issue on civil society in authoritarian and hybrid regimes, we review core themes in the growing literature on shrinking or closing space for civil society. We discuss the role of civil society organizations (CSOs) as agents of democratization and note the emergence of dual, at times apparently conflicting policy postures within authoritarian regimes (restriction and repression for some CSOs vs. financial support and opportunities for collaboration for others). We posit that different conceptual perspectives applied to civil society can help account for the duality of authoritarian postures and examine repercussions for three key subgroups of CSOs: claims-making (or advocacy) NGOs, nonprofit service providers and regime-loyal NGOs supporting often populist and nationalist discourses.

摘要：在本期關於威權體制和混合體制下公民社會的特刊引言中，我們回顧了越來越多的關於公民社會空間萎縮或者封閉文獻中的核心主題。我們討論公民社會組織（CSOs）作為民主化代理的角色，並注意到了威權體制下雙重性、有時很明顯相矛盾的政策態勢的出現（對某些 CSOs 的限制和鎮壓與對另外一些組織提供財政支持和合作機會同時存在）。我們認為適用於公民社會不同的概念上有助於解釋威權主義姿態的雙重性，並研究這種雙重性對三個關鍵 CSOs 子群體 – 訴求型（或倡導型）非政府組織，非營利服務供給者，和支持民粹主義和民族主義話語的忠於政權的非政府組織 – 的影響。

Follower or Challenger? How Chinese Non-governmental Organizations Manage Accountability Requirements from Funders | 追隨者還是挑戰者？中國非政府組織如何管理來自資助者的歸責要求

Qian Fang, Karen R. Fisher, Bingqin Li

Abstract: Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) sometimes disagree with their funders' accountability requirements; however, their dependence on the funders' resources makes it difficult to express their disagreement. This dilemma for NGOs may keep funders from substantively holding NGOs to account and cause mission drift for the NGOs. This paper analyzes an in-depth case study of an understudied scenario: how a newly founded NGO engages with multiple funders with varying competence in accountability practices. By analyzing a Chinese NGO's accountability relationships with its funders, we found that the NGO's responses varied according to its organizational interests and how it perceived the funders' competence. Better trust meant better compliance. Therefore, to secure compliance, it is important to enhance NGOs' trust in funders' competence. Based on the findings, we suggest that funders be more aware of NGOs' agency, be ready to engage in ongoing collaborative learning with NGOs and align NGOs' interests with the accountability requirements.

摘要：非政府組織（NGOs）有時不同意其資助者的歸責要求；但是，它們對於資方的資源依賴使其很難表達分歧。NGOs 所面臨的這種困境可能會使資助者無法實質上地讓 NGOs 來承擔責任並導致 NGOs 的使命偏離。本文深入分析了一個還未充分研究的場景案例：一個新成立的 NGO 如何與多個具有不同問責實踐能力的資助者進行互動。通過分析一個中國的 NGO 與其資方之間的責任關係，我們發現 NGO 的回應根據其組織的利益和其如何看待資方能力而有所不同。更多的信任意味著更好地遵從（資助者的責任要求）。因此，為了確保（NGO 對責任的）遵從，增進 NGO 對資助者能力的信任變得非常重要。基於研究發現，我們認為資助者應該更多地瞭解 NGO 的機構，並做好準備與 NGO 進行持續的合作學習，並使 NGO 的利益符合責任要求。

Global Civil Society Under the New INGO Regulatory Law: A Comparative Case Study on Two INGOs in China | 新的國際非政府組織管理法下的全球公民社會：對兩個在華國際非政府組織的比較案例研究

Shuoyan Li

Abstract: This paper tries to explain why similar International Nongovernmental Organizations (INGOs) have different scopes under the new regulatory law in China. While previous studies have often associated fragmented authoritarianism with more room for civil sectors, the unintended consequence has been largely ignored. The paper argues that while civil sectors benefit from decentralized bureaucratic politics, the conflict between bureaucracies may also become an obstacle. This argument is based on a comparative case study of two similar INGOs whose missions are to solve poverty issues. While World Vision International had difficulties becoming a national organization after establishing several provincial offices with the help of local authorities, Oxfam succeeded and received permission from CPAFFC because it terminated collaboration with other local authorities, which put CPAFFC at ease. The interviews illustrate that competition among different departments and concerns about political risk lead to different outcomes for civil society. Government agencies will doubt an INGO's willingness to commit to a new relationship if it has too many partners. This implication reveals the complex effects of fragmented bureaucracy on INGOs. The decentralized political structure may lead to different outcomes for INGOs. It is necessary for INGOs to understand the political logic of the new INGO law so that they can choose the proper strategy to maximize their benefits.

摘要：本文試圖解釋為什麼相似的國際非政府組織在中國新的法律規制下會有不同的機會。雖然已有的研究常常認為碎片化威權主義能為民間部門帶來更多的空間，但計劃之外的結果被大大忽略了。本文認為儘管民間部門受益於權力分散的官僚政治，官僚機構之間的矛盾也可能成為其障礙。該論點基於對兩個類似的致力於解決貧困問題的國際非政府組織的比較研究。雖然國際宣明會（World Vision International）在地方政權的幫助下建立起了一些省級辦事處，其很難成為一個全國性的組織。但是樂施會（Oxfam）卻成功獲得了中國人民對外友好協會（CPAFFC）的許可，因為樂施會終止了與其他地方機關的合作，這使得 CPAFFC 處於一個不受約束的位置。訪談表明不同部門之間的競爭以及對政治風險的關注為公民社會帶來不同的結果。如果一個國際非政府組織有過多的合作夥伴，政府機構會質疑其建立新關係的意願。這也揭示了碎片化的官僚體制對國際非政府組織帶來的複雜影響。權力下放的政治結構可能為國際非政府組織帶來不同的結果。對於這些國際機構來說，很有必要去瞭解新的國際非政府組織法的政治邏輯，從而選擇適當的策略來最大化自身利益。

II Contents | 當期目錄

1. The Changing Space for NGOs: Civil Society in Authoritarian and Hybrid Regimes
變化的非政府組織的空間：威權體制和混合體制中的公民社會
Stefan Toepler, Annette Zimmer, Katharina Obuch
2. Dividing Lines: Understanding the Creation and Replication of Fragmentations Among NGOs in Hybrid Regimes
分界線：理解混合體制中非政府組織碎片化的發生和重復發生
Mariella Falkenhain
3. Civil Society in ‘Politics’ and ‘Development’ in African Hybrid Regimes: The Kenyan Case
非洲混合體制下‘政治’和‘發展’中的公民社會：以肯尼亞為例
Jacob Mwathi Mati
4. The Genesis and Proliferation of Civil Society in Democracy and Monarchy: A Historical Comparison of America and Prussia in the Nineteenth Century
民主制和君主制國家公民社會的源起和擴散：19世紀美國和普魯士的歷史比較
Thomas Adam
5. Two for One: Public Welfare and Regime Legitimacy Through State Funding for CSOs in Russia
一舉兩得：俄羅斯通過國家資助公民社會組織實現公共福利和政權合法性
Christian Fröhlich, Yulia Skokova
6. Seeking Credibility from Uncertainty: How Formal Cooptation Institution Unleashes Outspoken NGOs
從不確定性中尋求可信性：正式吸納機構如何放鬆對坦率直言的非政府組織的限制
Shuoyan Li, Xiaonan Wang
7. Follower or Challenger? How Chinese Non-governmental Organizations Manage Accountability Requirements from Funders
追隨者還是挑戰者？中國非政府組織如何管理來自資助者的歸責要求
Qian Fang, Karen R. Fisher, Bingqin Li
8. Understanding Policy Instruments for Steering Nonprofit Organizations in China: Only Carrots and Sticks?
理解指導中國非營利組織的政策工具：只有胡蘿蔔和棍子嗎？
Zejin Liu Steven Van de Walle
9. Global Civil Society Under the New INGO Regulatory Law: A Comparative Case Study on Two INGOs in China
新的國際非政府組織管理法下的全球公民社會：對兩個在華國際非政府組織的比較案例研究
Shuoyan Li
10. From Direct Involvement to Indirect Control? A Multilevel Analysis of Factors Influencing Chinese Foundations’ Capacity for Resource Mobilization
從直接參與到間接控制？對影響中國基金會資源動員能力因素的一個多層次分析
Qian Wei
11. Anxiety and Ambivalence: NGO–Activist Partnership in China’s Environmental Protests, 2007–2016
焦慮和矛盾：中國環境抗議活動中非政府組織與社會行動主義者的夥伴關係
Yang Zhang, Molly Bradtke, Madeline Halvey

12. The Ideological Convergence of Civil Society Organizations and Newspapers in Turkey
土耳其公民社會組織和報刊媒體的意識形態融合
Sema Akboga, Engin Arik
13. Civil Society and Its Institutional Context in CEE
中東歐的公民社會及其制度背景
Michael Meyer Clara Moder Peter Vantor
14. Book Review: Smith, D. H., Moldavanova, A. V., and Krasynska (ed.), The Nonprofit Sector in Eastern Europe, Russia, and Central Asia: Civil Society Advances and Challenges
書評：東歐，俄羅斯和中亞的非營利部門：公民社會的進步與挑戰
Sergej Ljubownikow
15. Book Reviews: Angela M. Eikenberry, Roseanne M. Mirabella, and Billie Sandberg (eds.), Reframing Nonprofit Organizations: Democracy, Inclusion, and Social Change
書評：重塑非營利組織：民主，包容和社會變革
Tania Haddad

The China Nonprofit Review, Volume 12, Issue 1, 2020

I Selected Abstracts | 精選摘要

Resource Dependence and the Question of Autonomy in Environmental NGOs: Cases in China and the US | 環境非政府組織的資源依賴與自治問題：中國和美國的案例

Cheng Pei and Kristen Parris

Abstract: Studies of social organizations in the People's Republic of China during the reform period emphasized their limited power and dependent nature, particularly when compared to the US, where associational life is generally understood as relatively independent from the state. More recently China scholars have found greater variation and complexity among social organizations in China and while others increasingly recognize hybridity in American and European organizations. There are, however, few studies comparing the NPO sector and its relationship with the state across regime types. Using resource dependence theory as a lens through which to examine the behaviors and development of two environmental protection organizations, one from China and one from the US, we identify similarities among social organizations operating in very different political and social contexts. Highly specialized organizations, with access to alternative resources can maintain an unexpected level of autonomy, even when the larger institutional context limits and controls associational life.

摘要：對改革期間中國社會組織的研究強調了其有限的權力和依他性；相比之下，美國的社團生活通常被認為是相對獨立於國家的。最近，更多的中國學者發現了中國社會組織之間存在更大的差異和複雜性，而其他學者則越來越認識到美國和歐洲社會組織中的混合性。但是，少有研究將非營利部門及其與國家之間的關係進行跨政體的研究。使用資源依賴理論作為視角來考察兩個分別來自中國和美國的環境保護類組織的行為和發展，我們發現了在不同政治和社會背景下運作的社會組織之間的相似性。即使存在更大的制度環境限制和控制社團生活的情況，那些擁有替代資源的高度專業化的組織能夠持有意料之外的自主權。

II Contents | 當期目錄

1. Constituents and Practical Impacts on Civic Engagement of Associations in Contemporary China
當代中國社團組織的構成及其對公民參與的實踐性影響
Milka Ivanovska Hadjievska & Torill Stavenes
2. A Discriminative Analysis of Gong-yi and Ci-shan: A Literature Review
公益與慈善的辨析：一個文獻綜述
Mengmiao Chen
3. Study on Public Cognition of NGOs from the Perspective of Legitimacy
合法性視角下公眾對非政府組織的認知研究
Miao Gao and Kegao Yan
4. Social Service Contracting: International Experience and China Practice
社會服務合同外包：國際經驗與中國實踐
Wenyi Lin, Wanxia Yin, and Chengjie Li
5. Resource Dependence and the Question of Autonomy in Environmental NGOs: Cases in China and the US
環境非政府組織的資源依賴與自治問題：中國和美國的案例
Cheng Pei and Kristen Parris
6. Social Governance Concepts in Traditional Chinese Culture
傳統中國文化中社會治理的概念
Fanjia Meng and Ming Wang

PUBLICATIONS/NEWS/REPORTS IN THE SECTOR | 部門出版/新聞/報告推介

Best Paper Award 2019 for Voluntas | Voluntas 2019 年最佳論文獎

Voluntas 2019 Best Paper Award Committee has chosen the best two papers from among 107 original papers from six issues. The Committee members have had to make further deliberation towards the final phase of the selection as there were two papers that came quite close in the rankings. It was then at a virtual meeting in June that the committee deemed it best to also present the next best paper as Honorable Mention, worthy of its merit.

The Best Paper Award goes to Purpose, Commitment and Coordination Around Small Wins: A Proactive Approach to Governance in Integrated Hybrid Organizations by *Miriam Wolf and Johanna Mair*.

The Obligation to Volunteer as Fair Reciprocity? Welfare Recipients' Perceptions of Giving Back to Society by *Thomas Kampen, Lex Veldboer, and Reinout Kleinhans* deserves an Honorable Mention.

Congratulations!

ISTR Board organized a virtual members meetings| ISTR 理事會組織了會員視頻會議

Ruth Phillips, ISTR President

With the absence of our planned ISTR International Conference in Montreal, the Board believed it was important to hold a virtual members meeting. Some 51 members signed up for this – which is a great attendance given the wide disparity in real time for many of our members. The meeting was scheduled for one hour with an agenda of reports from Executive members, President, Executive Direct, Treasurer (finance report)

and the Chair of the Publications Committee. It was very pleasing to see a group of our members in real time from all around the world and there were some very useful questions that allowed elaboration on various issues such as our financial situation, the impact of the pandemic on our plans, the fabulous success of Voluntas and details about our changed status to an organization independent from the Johns Hopkins University.

It was also very pleasing for Margery (ISTR Executive Director) and me to be able to report on the highly successful Webinars that we have held and upcoming events in our calendar. We received some very positive feedback about the meeting and aim to hold a future members' meeting later in the year. As we become more adept at using the online media for face-to-face communication, we would encourage the Affinity Groups to consider meeting in this way as well. After all, our networks are one of the most valuable aspects of belonging to ISTR.

Who Killed Civil Society?: The Rise of Big Government and Decline of Bourgeois Norms | 誰殺死了公民社會? : 大政府的崛起與中產文化的衰落

Howard A. Husock, Encounter Books: 2019

Billions of American tax dollars go into a vast array of programs targeting various social issues: the opioid epidemic, criminal violence, chronic unemployment, and so on. Yet the problems persist and even grow. Howard Husock argues that we have lost sight of a more powerful strategy—a preventive strategy, based on positive social norms. Government can provide a financial safety net for citizens, but it cannot effectively create or promote healthy norms. Nor should it try. That formative work is best done by civil society.

This book focuses on six key figures in the history of social welfare to illuminate how a norm-promoting culture was built, then lost, and how it can be revived. We read about Charles Loring Brace, founder of the Children's Aid Society; Jane Addams, founder of Hull House; Mary Richmond, a social work pioneer; Grace Abbott of the federal Children's Bureau; Wilbur Cohen of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare; and Geoffrey Canada, founder of the Harlem Children's Zone—a model for bringing real benefit to a poor community through positive social norms. We need more like it.

Contested World Orders: Rising Powers, Non-Governmental Organizations, and the Politics of Authority Beyond the Nation-State | 競爭中的世界秩序：新興大國，非政府組織和超越民族國家的權威政治

Edited by Matthew D. Stephen and Michael Zurn, Oxford University Press: 2020.

World orders are increasingly contested. As international institutions have taken on ever more ambitious tasks, they have been challenged by rising powers dissatisfied with existing institutional inequalities, by non-governmental organizations worried about the direction of global governance, and even by some established powers no longer content to lead the institutions they themselves created. For the first time, this volume examines these sources of contestation under a common and systematic institutionalist framework. While the authority of institutions has deepened, at the same time it has fueled contestation and resistance.

In a series of rigorous and empirically revealing chapters, the authors of *Contested World Orders* examine systematically the demands of key actors in the contestation of international institutions. Ranging in scope from the World Trade Organization and the Nuclear Non-proliferation Regime to the Kimberley Process on conflict diamonds and the climate finance provisions of the UNFCCC, the chapters deploy a variety of methods to reveal just to what extent, and along which lines of conflict, rising powers and NGOs contest international institutions. *Contested World Orders* seeks answers to the key questions of our time: Exactly how deeply are international institutions contested? Which actors seek the most fundamental changes? Which aspects of international institutions have generated the most transnational conflicts? And what does this mean for the future of world order?

The 2020 Nonprofit Employment Report | 約翰霍普金斯大學公民社會研究中心發佈 2020 年非營利就業報告

Lester M. Salamon and Chelsea L. Newhouse, with the technical assistance of S. Wojciech Sokolowski

This report presents the latest-available data on nonprofit employment and wages generated by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics from the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages using a technique first developed by the Johns Hopkins Center for Civil Society Studies. QCEW is an administrative dataset collected by states as a part of the federal Unemployment Insurance (UI) program and draws on the quarterly surveys of workplaces that state employment security offices have conducted since the 1930s. Under federal law, all nonprofit places of employment with four or more employees are required to participate in the unemployment insurance system. However, 22 states also extend this requirement to places of employment with one or more employees. Although the program does not cover self-employed and family workers, QCEW data encompass approximately 97% of non-farm employment, providing a virtual census of employees and their wages as well as the most complete universe of employment and wage data, by industry, at the State, regional, and county levels. Please visit [here](#) to read the full report.

The experience of volunteers during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia | 澳大利亞 COVID-19 大流行初期志願者的經驗

Nicholas Biddle¹ and Matthew Gray, ANU Centre for Social Research and Methods

This report provides data on the experience of Australian volunteers during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, including the effect on changes in volunteering and the wellbeing and mental health of volunteers using data collected in the April 2020 ANUPoll using the Life in Australia™ probability online panel. Many of the people who responded to the survey in April 2020 also completed surveys in the second half of 2019 and in January/February 2020. This means we have data on the same group of volunteers prior to COVID-19 and after COVID-19 was contracted by a significant number of people in Australia. Details of the survey are provided at the end of this paper. Please click [here](#) to read the full report.

CALL FOR PAPERS | 論文徵集

Call for Contribution: Fourteenth International Conference of the International Society for Third Sector Research (ISTR)

Global Civil Society in Uncertain Times: Strengthening Diversity and Sustainability

Deadline for submission: 30 October 2020 | 提交截止：2020年10月30日

Civil society plays a crucial part in how the world responds to crises and in the last year we have seen just how important those responses have been. Every aspect of the third sector has been challenged and affected by recent transnational demands, including the challenges of the coronavirus and other pandemics, climate change and forced migrations. These challenges threaten democratic ideals and can result in the closure of civil spaces. Such complex emergencies are in urgent need of collective action through advocacy, philanthropy, volunteering, social enterprise and partnerships with states. Responses must occur in timely and sustainable ways to address these challenges. This conference is an opportunity to present important research and strategies that are central to the present and future of the third sector. **This call for submissions is only for the new theme ‘Collective Action and Responsiveness in the Global Context.’**

Contributions may take the form of a paper, a panel, a roundtable, or a poster. Please indicate your choice of presentation when you submit your abstract. To be considered for review, an abstract of not more than 500 words must include:

- A statement of the empirical or theoretical concern.
- A short summary locating the concern within a wider literature.
- A concise account of the empirical or theoretical methodological approach.
- The main arguments of the paper and an indication of the supporting evidence.
- A statement of the main conclusions and their relevance to an international audience.

All panel, papers and poster proposals for the Conference must be submitted using ISTR's online submission service. The only theme currently open for submission is 'Collective Action and Responsiveness in the Global Context.' To submit your paper or poster abstract using this service, go to the ISTR website – www.istr.org/Montreal - and follow the link for 'Submit a Proposal.'

Call for Papers: A Special Issue on Public Management Review

Public service resilience post COVID19

Deadline for submission: 27 November 2020 | 提交截止：2020年11月27日

This call is issued in a context of worldwide uncertainty and enormous organisational and policy challenges raised by COVID19 crisis. But what will the 'public service world' look like afterwards? Looking into the future of public service management, we call for contributions around public service resilience post-COVID19. We call for diverse and global scholarly contributions, theoretical and empirical, on post-COVID19 public services, reflecting on, but not limited to, the following issues:

- How are public services responding innovatively to create a 'new normal' in the post-COVID world by reconfiguring following the 'system shock' of COVID19?
- What have we learned about the nature of resilient and innovative public services as a result of COVID19, and how might this new knowledge influence our future responses to major pandemics/public health disasters?
- What impact has the pandemic had for the future design of public services?
- The longer-term effects of the policy measures during the COVID19 crisis (e.g. the lockdown) on equity
- Public service resilience through digital infrastructure and digital inclusion
- Public servants' and civil servants' individual resilience
- The contribution of newer human learning systems, complexity and systems thinking to future effective responses to major public health crises, as well as to service design and governance network/institution building
- Resilience built, maintained, or tested through citizen-state relationships
- The limitations and requirements of political and administrative leadership in the face of scientific evidence.
- The moving boundaries and interfaces between public sector, private sector and third sector post-CoVID19, as a result of non-public sectors contributing to public value creation during the crisis.
- Comparisons between the service responses to CoVid19 in democratic and autocratic nation states, richer and poorer nations

Developments on any connected knowledge areas are also welcome, to the extent to which it (a) pushes forward our understanding of resilient services and (b) offers empirical insight into public services tackling and learning from the COVID19 global crisis. Comparative and global perspectives are especially welcome as we aim to achieve geographical diversity in our themed issue, particularly including evidence from the global South.

Deadline for submission of a 1,500-word summary to the contact guest editor (alex.murdock@lsbu.ac.uk) for initial screening of topics and approaches is on **27th of November 2020**. Authors are informed within 2 weeks. Please visit [here](#) to obtain more information.

Call for Papers: A Special Issue on International Journal of Public Administration

Leading and Managing Responses to Pandemics

Deadline for submission: November 15 2020 | 提交截止：2020年11月15日

The *International Journal of Public Administration* (IJPA) invites article proposals for a **Special Issue Symposium on Leading and Managing Responses to Pandemics**. Proposals for scholarly papers on a wide range of sub-topics using quantitative and/or qualitative analysis approaches will be considered, including strong theoretical works, single-site case studies, and comparative case studies. Scholarly manuscripts must be grounded in theory/literature and empirical evidence, and use sound analytical methods. Findings should be generalizable. Single-site case studies will be subject to different, more practical, review criteria. The Special Issue will be published on-line in spring 2021 and the print volume in summer 2021.

The following list of sub-topics is only to indicate the breadth of sub-topics that will be considered for inclusion. The list does not exclude other related sub-topics:

- Leadership – political and policy: the relationship between politics and administrative expertise; leading or managing from data vs politics
- Managing implementation horizontally and vertically: inter-organizational, inter-sectoral, across levels of government
- Leading from policy to implementation on the ground: Leading and managing mobilizations systems
- Best practices: coordinating overall response systems; crisis management across agencies at all levels of government
- Public information strategies during pandemic: Maintaining public support by communicating honestly with the public without creating fears
- The role of National Response Framework and Emergency Support Functions
- The imperative of anticipatory capacity building and collaboration building
- Implementing and managing employee benefits for front-line workers, such as hazard pay, sick leave
- What front-line responders are owed when the crisis passes and post-crisis budget cuts are inevitable
- Managing volunteer participation: Benefits and risks from using volunteers

- National and Subnational Mobilization Programs

Draft manuscripts of accepted proposals are due **November 15, 2020**. Authors of draft manuscripts will receive a preliminary review by January 10, 2021 from the Guest Editor that includes. Please visit [here](#) to obtain more information.

JOB VACANCY | 最新職位空缺

Australia | 澳大利亞

Associate Lecturer in Social Work, University of Wollongong

Application Closing Date: 23 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Hayley Donoghue at hayleyg@uow.edu.au

Post-Doctoral Research Fellow in Law, University of New South Wales

Application Closing Date: 30 Aug 2020

Position Enquiries: Ross Buckley at ross.buckley@unsw.edu.au

Austria | 奧地利

Tenure-Track Professorship for the field of International Dispute Resolution, University of Vienna

Application Closing Date: 23 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: tenuretrack.personal@univie.ac.at

Tenure-Track Professorship for the field of Migration and Mobility, University of Vienna

Application Closing Date: 30 Aug 2020

Position Enquiries: tenuretrack.personal@univie.ac.at

Demark | 丹麥

Postdoc positions at the Danish Centre for Studies in Research and Research Policy, Aarhus University

Application Closing Date: 10 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Carter Walter Bloch at carter.bloch@ps.au.dk

Postdoc position Sociology, University of Copenhagen

Application Closing Date: 30 Oct 2020

Position Enquiries: Professor Kristian Bernt Karlson at kbk@soc.ku.dk

Germany | 德國

Professorship of International Comparative Public Policy, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität

Application Closing Date: 30 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: dekanat15@lmu.de

Professorship for Economics with a focus on Empirical Health Economics, Universität Hamburg

Application Closing Date: 17 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Thomas Siedler at Thomas.Siedler@uni-hamburg.de

Assistant Professorship for Microeconomics, Darmstadt University of Technology

Application Closing Date: 30 Aug 2020

Position Enquiries: Alexander Benlian at dekanat@wi.tu-darmstadt.de

Hong Kong | 香港

Head of Applied Social Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Application Closing Date: mid-September 2020 until the position is filled

Position Enquiries: hrscapss@polyu.edu.hk

Postdoctoral Fellow in Social Work and Social Administration, HKU

Application Closing Date: 30 Sep 2020

[Apply Online](#)

Research Assistant in the Centre for Civil Society and Governance, HKU

Application Closing Date: 16 Sep 2020

[Apply Online](#)

India | 印度

Centre for Social Impact and Philanthropy Research Fellowship, Ashoka University

Application Closing Date: 10 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: csip@ashoka.edu.in

China Studies Post-doctoral Fellowship Ashoka University

Application Closing Date: 06 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Sajal Sehgal at sajal.sehgal@ashoka.edu.in

Director and Professor, Centre for China Studies, Ashoka University

Application Closing Date: 06 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: chhajer.mahak@ashoka.edu.in

Netherlands | 荷蘭

Associate Professor of Developmental Psychology, Radboud University

Application Closing Date: 30 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Toon Cillessen at a.cillessen@psych.ru.nl

Postdoctoral Position in Socio-Legal Research, University of Amsterdam

Application Closing Date: 31 Aug 2020

Position Enquiries: Dr Balazs Bodo at B.Bodo@uva.nl

Full professor of Sociology, University of Amsterdam

Application Closing Date: 20 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Herman van de Werfhorst at H.G.vandeWerfhorst@uva.nl

Norway | 挪威

Postdoc on decarbonization in Europe, University of Bergen

Application Closing Date: 18 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Håvard Haarstad, e-mail havard.haarstad@uib.no

Postdoctoral Fellow at the Centre for Women's and Gender Research, University of Bergen

Application Closing Date: 15 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Marry-Anne Karlsen at marry-anne.karlsen@uib.no

Researcher in Climate Change Discourse, University of Bergen

Application Closing Date: 13 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Kjersti Fløttum, e- mail kjersti.flottum@uib.no

Singapore | 新加坡

Research Assistant in Ageing Urbanism, Singapore University of Technology and Design

Application Closing Date: 30 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Rashed Bhuyan at email: rashed_bhuyan@sutd.edu.sg

Global Visiting Assistant Professor in Law, Singapore Management University

Application Closing Date: remaining open

[Apply Online](#)

South Africa | 南非

Associate Professor and Academic Head of Social Work, University of the Free State

Application Closing Date: 11 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: recruit@ufs.ac.za

Postdoctoral Research Fellow in State Public Law, University of the Free State

Application Closing Date: 11 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: John Mubangizi at MubangiziJC@ufs.ac.za

United Kingdom | 英國

Research Associate in Public Policy, University of Cambridge

Application Closing Date: 07 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Steve Wooding at sw131@cam.ac.uk

Research Associate in Social Policy and Social Work, University of York

Application Closing Date: 13 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Martin Webber at martin.webber@york.ac.uk

Postdoctoral Research Fellow in Politics, University of York

Application Closing Date: 05 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Tim Stanton at tim.stanton@york.ac.uk

Research Fellow in Social Policy and Social Work, University of York

Application Closing Date: 06 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Bryony Beresford at bryony.beresford@york.ac.uk

Research Fellow in Sociology and Social Policy, University of Nottingham

Application Closing Date: 08 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Philippa Tomczak at philippa.tomczak@nottingham.ac.uk

Assistant Professor in Public Policy/Public Administration, University of Nottingham

Application Closing Date: 07 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Simon Roberts at Simon.roberts@nottingham.ac.uk

United States | 美國

Assistant Professor in Korean Studies, University of California Berkeley

Application Closing Date: 14 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: Jinsoo An at anjinsoo@berkeley.edu

Assistant Professor of Sociology, University of California Berkeley

Application Closing Date: 07 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: John O'Donnell at od@berkeley.edu

Postdoctoral Fellow in Society for the Humanities, Cornell University

Application Closing Date: 14 Sep 2020

Position Enquiries: owdi@cornell.edu

CONTACT US | 聯絡我們

Regional Representatives | 地區代表

清華大學 賈西津教授: xijin@tsinghua.edu.cn

清華大學 何建宇教授: hejianyu@tsinghua.edu.cn

台灣政治大學 江明修教授: msjiangdr@gmail.com

澳門科技大學 蔡琦海博士: qihaicai@gmail.com

新南威爾士大學 吳逢時教授: fengshi.wu@unsw.edu.au

宮城大學 石田祐博士: ishiday@myu.ac.jp

深圳大學 賴偉軍博士: laiweijun.szu@hotmail.com

Staff | 工作負責人

Editor: Fengshi Wu

Editorial Assistant: Qianjin Zhang

Email: istreastasia@istr.org

ABOUT US | 關於我們

The Asia Pacific Third-Sector Researchers Network (formerly the East Asia Third-Sector Researchers Network) is an initiative first launched by the ISTR (International Society for Third-Sector Research) and the Centre for Social Innovation Studies in the Chinese University of Hong Kong in 2016 to help scholars and students in East Asia and beyond to exchange information about publications, conferences and job vacancies in third-sector studies through this Newsletter. The scope of the Newsletter and the network has expanded to cover the entire Asia Pacific region since November 2018. Starting from January 2020, the School of Social Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, becomes the host of the Newsletter, and the scope of the Newsletter and the network has expanded to cover the entire Asia Pacific. You are welcome to follow us on Facebook ([ISTR-Asia Pacific](#)) and Weibo ([@亞太第三部門研究網絡](#)).

亞太第三部門研究人員網絡（前身為東亞第三部門研究人員網絡）是由 ISTR（國際第三部門研究學會）和香港中文大學社會創新研究中心於 2016 年發起成立。該網絡旨在通過發布每月通訊為亞太及其他地區的學者和學生提供第三部門研究領域出版物，學術會議，職位空缺等相關信息交流。從 2018 年 11 月起，該通訊和研究網絡的範圍也擴大到整個亞太地區。新南威爾士大學社會科學學院從 2020 年 1 月起成為該通訊的承辦單位，歡迎您在 Facebook ([ISTR-Asia Pacific](#)) 和微博 ([@亞太第三部門研究網絡](#)) 上關注我們。