

July __, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentation, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WT Docket No. 17-79; Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WC Docket No. 17-84; Streamlining Deployment of Small Cell Infrastructure, WT Docket No. 16-421

Dear Ms. Dortch:

[The first paragraph should describe your jurisdiction and briefly state your position/concerns as they relate to your local experience. You may want to indicate that your jurisdiction supports increased broadband deployment and welcomes the advancements that will come with the deployment of 5G networks, but you have a responsibility to your citizens to preserve the safety and livability of your community....]

[The second paragraph can elaborate on the position/concerns/examples to illustrate that local government can and will accommodate small cell deployments in the ROW, but there are legitimate issues that need to be addressed. Examples include:

- Discuss the amount invested in and/or return realized from undergrounding and other economic development projects that could be impacted by poorly deployed facilities in the ROW, whether it is wireless facilities or other facilities where wireline providers request nondiscriminatory treatment as compared to wireless providers.
- Describe the impact small wireless facilities may have on historic districts and the need to work with providers to find solutions that works for everyone.
- Explain the number of communications providers and other utilities operating in the ROW and the complications with additional deployments (wireless or other), such as space constraints, damage to other utilities and the other issues that are considered in the permitting process (again, not just for wireless deployments). Consider including photographs.
- If you have permitted small wireless facilities in the ROW, state the number of providers and/or facilities that have received permits; describe any mutually agreed upon conditions that address undergrounding, permit timelines, fees, etc. that illustrate the ability to resolve these issues without FCC rules.
- If your state passed a small cell bill, note that your jurisdiction is complying with state law and potentially conflicting FCC rules would complicate and possibly delay deployments.
- Describe the lack of staff expertise, such as engineers, to ensure the safety of small wireless facilities deployed in the ROW, and the need to be able to have the time and resources to obtain needed expertise to protect the public safety.]

[A concluding paragraph may ask the Commission to refrain from implementing preemptions; ask for consideration of the issues raised in the letter; ask for the opportunity to work with industry to find mutually beneficial solutions; etc.]