Did you attend MLA 2023?
194 responses

- Yes, in person: 57.7%
- Yes, virtually: 28.4%
- No: 13.9%

What type of virtual registration did you take advantage of?
55 responses

- Individual: 90.9%
- Institutional: 9.1%

How satisfied were you with accessibility in the virtual space (e.g., captioning, ease of access to sessions, etc.)?
54 responses

- 0 (0%)
- 1 (9.3%)
- 2 (14.8%)
- 3
- 4 (46.3%)
- 5 (29.6%)
How satisfied were you with your ability to participate in sessions as a virtual attendee?
55 responses

How satisfied were you with accessibility (e.g., physical space in hotel, sound system, food options, etc.) as part of conference sessions and activities?
111 responses
How satisfied were you with accessibility at the hotel (e.g., physical space, your room, etc.)?
111 responses

[Bar chart with data]

1 (1.8%) 2 (7.2%) 20 (18%) 54 (48.6%) 27 (24.3%)

How satisfied were you with MLA’s handling of COVID-19 safety protocols?
112 responses

[Bar chart with data]

1 (4.5%) 2 (6.3%) 22 (19.6%) 40 (35.7%) 38 (33.9%)

Did you stream any of the conference sessions?
112 responses

[Pie chart with data]

- Yes: 85.7%
- No: 14.3%
Which conference program did you use?
167 responses

- Sched: 75.4%
- PDF: 21.6%
- Both: 3%
- Neither: 0%

This year, Committee and Interest Group meetings occurred after the main dates of the conference. This decision was made because virtual meetings are...ing. How satisfied were you with this schedule?
163 responses

1. 2 (1.2%)
2. 10 (6.1%)
3. 35 (21.5%)
4. 58 (35.6%)
5. 58 (35.6%)
How interested are you in having the Committee and Interest Group meetings return to the Wednesday-Saturday program schedule, knowing that MLA is committed to future hybrid conferences?
165 responses

MLA attendees made me feel comfortable and welcome.
165 responses
I found MLA 2023 to be accessible because it was hybrid.
156 responses

Overall, how satisfied were you with MLA 2023 as a LEARNING experience?
166 responses
Overall, how satisfied were you with MLA 2023 as a NETWORKING experience?
166 responses

Overall, how satisfied were you with MLA 2023 as a SOCIAL experience?
165 responses
Why did you not attend the MLA 2023 Conference? Please select all that apply.

27 responses

- Cost of registration: 6 (22.2%)
- Don't like virtual conferences: 2 (7.4%)
- Don't like in-person conferences: 0 (0%)
- Job change or reorganization: 4 (14.8%)
- Lack of institutional support: 5 (18.5%)
- No service obligation/not pre-service: 8 (29.6%)
- Personal reasons: 9 (33.3%)
- Program content: 9 (33.3%)
- Schedule difficulties (including timing): 7 (25.9%)
- Technology access: 1 (3.7%)
- Age: 1 (3.7%)
- Isolation. On the Pacific West Coast: 1 (3.7%)
- Occurs so close to SAM: 1 (3.7%)
- Location for this year: 1 (3.7%)
- I stayed behind so younger family member could attend: 1 (3.7%)
- I'm incredibly thankful I didn't attend: 1 (3.7%)
What FOUR TOPICS would you most like to see addressed during sessions at future annual meetings? Please select no more than four.

193 responses

- Archives, rare books, special collections: 44 (22.8%)
- Cataloging/metadata: 48 (24.9%)
- Collection development and assessment: 58 (30.1%)
- Copyright: 60 (31.1%)
- Critical librarianship: 34 (17.6%)
- Data/information visualization: 19 (9.8%)
- Diversity, equity, inclusion: 36 (18.7%)
- Digital collections: 44 (22.8%)
- Digital humanities/musicology: 31 (16.1%)
- Ensemble librarianship: 12 (6.2%)
- Instruction & information literacy: 49 (25.4%)
- Linked data: 32 (16.6%)
- Local music: 37 (19.2%)
- Outreach and programming: 37 (19.2%)
- Music history/musicology: 26 (13.5%)
- Performing arts (e.g., dance, music): 41 (21.2%)
- Professional development, continuing education: 54 (28%)
- Reference: 19 (9.8%)
- Scholarly communications: 17 (8.8%)
- Social justice, public policy: 35 (18.1%)
- Resource Sharing: 1 (0.5%)
- The future of music libraries: 1 (0.5%)
- This might fall under leadership: 1 (0.5%)
- PLEASE NO CRITLIB. Please: 1 (0.5%)
- Literally anything practical: 1 (0.5%)
- Outreach to non-convential communities: 1 (0.5%)
- AI and music librarianship: 1 (0.5%)
- Public Libraries: 1 (0.5%)
- OER/Open Access: 1 (0.5%)
- Administration: 1 (0.5%)
- Music technical services/production: 1 (0.5%)
- Open Access: 1 (0.5%)
MLA is strongly leaning towards continuing to offer hybrid conferences (both in-person and virtual) in the future. Do you believe this is the appropriate direction for our conferences?
190 responses

- Yes: 82.1%
- No: 13.7%
- Unsure: 4.2%

Hybrid conferences greatly increase the cost of the overall conference (monetarily and in terms of time), and because of this MLA raised the rate of vir...s of inclusivity that it is worth the increased cost?
194 responses

- Yes: 49.5%
- No: 42.3%
- Not sure: 8.2%
Hybrid conferences can greatly impact sustainability, inclusivity, and discoverability for MLA. The Planning Committee is exploring initiatives to support MLA to explore for future hybrid conferences.

### 174 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>111 (63.8%)</th>
<th>91 (52.3%)</th>
<th>62 (35.6%)</th>
<th>52 (29.9%)</th>
<th>19 (10.9%)</th>
<th>82 (47.1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A &quot;viewing room&quot; for in-person participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued institutional virtual events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More robust equipment and technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-time captioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign language interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual events beyond the conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I wonder if making the conference online is worthwhile</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All these might be good but...</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are folks that are not represented</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A possible variation for hybrid conferences</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More use of the videos after the conference</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the vein of virtual events, I...</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A way for virtual attendees to participate</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recognizing that virtual attendance comes with its own challenges (e.g., office/home obligations; difficulties using Zoom for lengthy times; etc.), if... any days do you think you would actively participate?

### 188 responses

- **42%** responded: 1 day
- **27.1%** responded: 2 days
- **10.1%** responded: 3 days
- **4%** responded: 4 days
- **1.1%** responded: 5 days
- **1.1%** responded: As a Department Head at an institution...
- **0.6%** responded: All of the days
- **0.6%** responded: It depends upon the program, my orga...
MLA conferences traditionally run from a Wednesday evening to Saturday afternoon. For virtual attendees in particular, this can prove problematic. “Footprint” should be shifted to avoid the weekend? 190 responses

What is the minimum number of days you believe our conference should be in order to justify travel? 189 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that there is a 0.5% response for each of the following:
- 2.5 days start with keynote and... (0.5%)
- Honestly, I don't think the MLA... (0.5%)
- Depends on location (0.5%)
- This should be travel+hotel+eat... (0.5%)