



Community, Economic and Workforce Development: Proposed Policy Resolutions and Platform Changes

National Association of Counties (NACo)

2018 Annual Conference

Davidson County/Nashville, Tennessee

July 13 – 16



TABLE OF CONTENTS

COMMUNITY, ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT	1
PROPOSED PLATFORM CHANGES	1
Proposed Platform Changes to Community, Economic and Workforce Development: HOUSING; Homeless Assistance Subsection	1
PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS	1
Proposed Resolution on Protecting the Health and Safety of Sober Home Residents	1
Proposed Resolution to Maintain Current Funding for HUD-VASH Vouchers for Homeless Veterans in FY 2019 Budget	2
Proposed Resolution on FY 2019 Appropriations for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	3
Proposed Resolution on Preservation and Expansion of Affordable Housing Stock.....	4
Proposed Resolution on Low-Income Housing Tax Credits	5
Proposed Resolution on the New Markets Tax Credit.....	7
Proposed Resolution on Housing Infrastructure	8
Proposed Resolution on FY 2019 Appropriations for the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA).....	10
Proposed Resolution on Registered Apprenticeships Program Flexibility.....	11
Proposed Resolution Supporting Reauthorization and Appropriations for the Department of Commerce’s Economic Development Administration.....	12

1 **COMMUNITY, ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE**
2 **DEVELOPMENT**

3
4 **PROPOSED PLATFORM CHANGES**

5
6 **Proposed Platform Changes to Community, Economic and Workforce Development:**
7 **HOUSING; Homeless Assistance Subsection**

8
9 **HOUSING**

10 **Under Subsection C. The Federal Role in Housing; No. 13 Homeless Assistance (third**
11 **paragraph)**

12
13 NACo strongly supports retaining Housing First as a best practice approach to homeless
14 assistance that prioritizes providing permanent housing to people experiencing homelessness.
15 NACo also calls for an end to the practice of discharging large numbers of people into
16 homelessness from hospitals, mental health and chemical dependency treatment facilities, jails
17 and prisons without adequate community support systems. NACo commits to urging Congress to
18 make investments in additional affordable and supportive housing alternatives from mainstream
19 systems, so that supportive housing is available to those who are homeless or would be homeless
20 without it.

21
22 **Sponsor(s):** Claudia Tuck, Director, Community Support Services, Alachua County, Fla.

23
24 **PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS**

25
26 **Proposed Resolution on Protecting the Health and Safety of Sober Home Residents**

27
28 **Issue:** Local governments continue to see a proliferation of sober homes within their boundaries
29 and need additional clarity from the federal government on how they can protect the health and
30 safety of sober home residents through reasonable regulations.

31
32 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) supports further U.S. Department
33 of Justice (DOJ) and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) clarification
34 on the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Fair Housing Act (FHA) to allow local
35 governments to enact reasonable regulations to protect the health and safety of sober home
36 residents.

37
38 NACo also supports federal legislation to establish patient protection and best practices for sober
39 homes.

40
41 **Background:** Sober homes have proliferated with little oversight or standards in place to protect
42 the vulnerable residents living in them. Numerous cases of fraud, abuse, and human trafficking
43 have been reported. In 2016, at the urging of Congresswoman Lois Frankel (D, FL-21), the DOJ
44 and HUD released a Joint Statement clarifying how local governments can implement zoning and
45 land use policies regarding sober homes. Still, questions over the interpretation of the ADA and
46 FHA have slowed local government efforts to protect their residents. Further clarification of these

1 laws to allow local governments greater flexibility to regulate sober homes to protect the health
2 and safety of sober home residents, as well as the establishment of national best practices and
3 standards for sober homes, is essential.

4
5 The Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act of 2018, also known as CARA 2.0, creates best
6 practices for operating recovery housing. The Recovery Home Certification Act of 2018 would
7 impose national standards for the protection of vulnerable residents being exploited by
8 disreputable sober home operators.

9
10 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** With additional regulatory control and required national standards,
11 local governments could potentially significantly reduce the number of emergency calls produced
12 by sober homes, thus saving lives and reducing costs to taxpayers.

13
14 **Sponsor(s):** Melissa McKinlay, Mayor, Palm Beach County, Fla.

15
16 **Proposed Resolution to Maintain Current Funding for HUD-VASH Vouchers for Homeless**
17 **Veterans in FY 2019 Budget**

18
19 **Issue:** Support federal funding for the HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)
20 program.

21
22 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to maintain current
23 funding for the HUD-VASH voucher program for homeless veterans at a level of not less than \$40
24 million for FY 2019.

25
26 **Background:** The HUD-VASH program combines Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) rental assistance
27 for homeless Veterans with case management and clinical services provided by the Department of
28 Veterans Affairs (VA). VA provides these services for participating Veterans at VA medical centers
29 (VAMCs) and community-based outreach clinics (CBOCs).

30
31 Every year since 2008, HUD and the VA have awarded HUD-VASH vouchers based on geographic
32 need and public housing agency (PHA) administrative performance. The allocation process for HUD-
33 VASH vouchers is a collaborative approach that relies on three sets of data: HUD's point-in-time data,
34 VAMC data on the number of contacts with homeless veterans, and performance data from PHAs and
35 VAMCs.

36
37 HUD has awarded \$75 million of annual funding for approximately 10,000 HUD-VASH vouchers
38 each year in 2008-2010 and 2012-2015. Congress appropriated \$50 million in 2011 to serve
39 approximately 7,000 voucher families and \$60 million in 2016 to serve approximately 8,000 families;
40 \$40 million was appropriated in FY 2017 and FY 2018 to serve approximately 5,000 families. Since
41 2008, approximately 90,000 vouchers have been awarded.

42
43 HUD estimated that, as of January 2016, 39,471 veterans were homeless, which represented a 46
44 percent decline in veteran homelessness since 2009.

45
46 Under the CEWD Sections of NACo's 2017-2018 Platform NACo supports: "14. Initiative to End
47 Veteran Homelessness: NACo supports the goal of ending homelessness among veterans and military

1 families, including using temporary assistance and shelter resources to assist with permanent housing
2 placement. NACo strongly recommends the continued appropriation of resources through the Veterans
3 Affairs Supported Housing (HUD-VASH) vouchers, Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF)
4 grant program, and the Grants and Per Diem program to accomplish this goal.” (page 23).

5
6 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** Reducing funding from a level of \$40 million will have a dramatic
7 impact on our veterans (and their families) who face homelessness. Despite progress in the recent
8 decade, approximately 40,000 veterans remain homeless and in need of immediate help in cities,
9 counties and rural areas across the nation.

10
11 **Sponsor(s):** Martha Schrader, Commissioner, Clackamas County, Ore.; Rod Runyon, Commissioner,
12 Wasco County, Ore.; Stan Primozich, Commissioner, Yamhill County, Ore.; Pat Farr, Commissioner,
13 Lane County, Ore.

14
15 **Proposed Resolution on FY 2019 Appropriations for the U.S. Department of Housing and**
16 **Urban Development**

17
18 **Issue:** Support FY 2019 appropriations for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
19 Development (HUD).

20
21 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to support the
22 following levels of funding for core U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
23 (HUD) programs in the FY 2019 Transportation, Housing and Urban Development and Related
24 Agencies Appropriations bill: no less than \$3.5 billion in Community Development Block Grant
25 (CDBG) formula funding; no less than \$1.5 billion in formula funding for the HOME Investment
26 Partnership Program (HOME); no less than \$2.6 billion for Homeless Assistance Grants,
27 including at least \$270 million for the Emergency Solutions Grant program plus an amount to
28 fully fund expiring supportive housing and Shelter Plus Care rent subsidy contracts; full funding
29 for existing Section 8 project-based and tenant-based contracts; \$40 million for HUD-VASH and
30 \$500 million in Section 108 Loan Guarantee authority.

31
32 In addition, NACo opposes the imposition of a funding threshold to receive CDBG and HOME
33 Investment Partnerships program funds directly, or the revision of “grandfathering” provisions
34 that would remove participating jurisdictions from future funding eligibility.

35
36 **Background:** The CDBG and HOME programs have been model federal block grant programs
37 for improving the nation’s crumbling infrastructure, expanding affordable housing opportunities
38 and undertaking neighborhood revitalization. Despite the success of these programs, CDBG
39 funding has declined by 49 percent and HOME by 55 percent since 2000, which has severely
40 hampered the ability of local governments to foster sustainable and economically resilient
41 communities.

42
43 Local governments use CDBG funds for critical urban and rural improvement activities,
44 infrastructure improvements, and human resource development programs, including: road
45 construction; installation of water-and-sewer systems: expanding homeownership opportunities;
46 eliminating slum and blight; employment training; business and job creation; transportation
47 services; services at libraries, community centers, adult day care and child and after school care

1 facilities; homeless housing assistance; and crime awareness programs. According to HUD,
2 every \$1 million in CDBG funding supports approximately 26 jobs, and since 2005, CDBG
3 program resources have created over 300,000 jobs—thus proving that the program has been a
4 catalyst for economic growth and has helped local officials leverage funds for community needs.
5 Now more than ever before, local governments need an increase in CDBG funding to give
6 communities the ability to address their infrastructure and economic development needs.

7
8 For counties across the nation, the HOME program is vital to increasing home-ownership and
9 expanding the availability of affordable rental housing. Since 1990, HOME funds have produced
10 over one million units of housing. HUD indicates that each dollar of HOME funding leverages
11 an additional \$4 in other public and private funding. Every \$1 billion in HOME funding creates
12 or preserves more than 17,000 jobs. Despite the good performance, HOME funding has been cut
13 in half since 2010. According to HUD, an estimated 12 million rental and homeowner
14 households now pay more than 50 percent of their annual incomes for housing. A family with
15 one full-time worker earning federal minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour cannot afford the local
16 fair-market rent for a two-bedroom apartment anywhere in the United States. It is imperative that
17 the HOME program continue to be strengthened and expanded to help American families access
18 decent and affordable housing.

19
20 Currently for FY 2018, CDBG is funded at \$3.3 billion and the HOME program at \$1.36 billion
21 under the FY 2018 Omnibus Appropriations Act.

22
23 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** Funding of HUD’s core programs is crucial to state and local
24 governments that provide services to communities at the grassroots level.

25
26 **Sponsor(s):** Renee Price, Commissioner, Orange County, N.C.

27 28 **Proposed Resolution on Preservation and Expansion of Affordable Housing Stock**

29
30 **Issue:** Preservation and Expansion of the U.S. Affordable Housing Stock

31
32 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) supports strategies that
33 preserve and expand the supply of housing for low- and moderate-income families. These
34 include:

- 35
- 36 • The elimination of the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) cap, which limits the number
37 of public housing units eligible for conversion under the RAD program to 225,000.
38 Elimination of this cap would promote access to the RAD program for more Public Housing
39 Authorities (PHAs) nationwide and create a more favorable environment to fully maximize
40 the opportunity to preserve and expand affordable housing. Increase the RAD Section 8
41 Project-Based rental subsidy to equal regular Section 8 Project-Based rental subsidies.
 - 42
43 • Fully fund and expand the Public Housing Resident Self-Sufficiency Programs, Family Self
44 Sufficiency (FSS), Resident Opportunity and Supportive Services (ROSS), the Jobs Plus
45 Initiative, and Moving to Work (MTW) Demonstration programs funded by HUD that
46 provide tools for Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) to promote access to opportunity for the
47 families they serve.

- 1 • Release of the MTW Expansion Notice which gives more PHAs access to the MTW program
2 and supports legislation that expands the program for all PHAs.
3
- 4 • Adequately fund HUD’s mainline programs of Section 8 vouchers and public housing.
5

6 **Background:** There are over 1 million Public Housing units subsidized by the U.S. Department
7 of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) nationwide. Public Housing provides income based
8 housing for very low- and extremely low-income families. The Public Housing program is
9 operated locally by entities called Public Housing Authorities (PHA’s). PHA’s serve almost 2
10 million individuals in low-income households nationwide, and limited funding to provide place-
11 based initiatives that promote their self-sufficiency.
12 PHA’s have been underfunded for several years which has led to dilapidated housing conditions
13 and limited funding for capital repairs that would preserve housing stock.
14

15 The Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program offered by HUD is an opportunity to
16 preserve over 1 million units of Public Housing nationwide. RAD provides a platform for PHA’s
17 to convert their housing stock to a more stable subsidy program and where feasible, the
18 development of mixed income communities, thereby expanding the availability of workforce
19 housing and housing for families on fixed incomes.
20

21 The Public Housing Resident Self-Sufficiency Programs, Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS),
22 Resident Opportunity and Supportive Services (ROSS), the Jobs Plus Initiative, and Moving to
23 Work (MTW) Demonstration are all programs funded by HUD that provide tools for Public
24 Housing Authorities (PHAs) to promote access to opportunity for the families they serve. Fully
25 funding, and expanding the availability of these existing programs would give PHA’s the tools to
26 assist families who reside in Public Housing to improve their socio-economic status.
27

28 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** The expansion of affordable housing programs for low and
29 moderate-income families is key to improving the health and success of urban, suburban and
30 rural communities.
31

32 **Sponsor(s):** Wendy Jacobs, Commissioner, Durham County, N.C.; Connie Ladenburg, Council
33 Member, Pierce County, Wash.
34

35 **Proposed Resolution on Low-Income Housing Tax Credits** 36

37 **Issue:** Support an increase in the supply of affordable housing through the expansion of tools
38 such as the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program.
39

40 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to increase the
41 allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) by 50 percent and enact a permanent 4
42 percent credit rate floor for acquisition and bond-financed projects, allowing the program to
43 create and preserve more affordable homes in the United States. Additionally, NACo urges
44 Congress to provide enhancements and increased funding levels to all programs aimed at
45 increasing the supply of affordable housing.

1 **Background:** The LIHTC program has been one of the most successful tools for boosting
2 private investment in the development and preservation of affordable rental housing in the
3 United States. Created by the Tax Reform Act of 1986, the LIHTC program has given LIHTC
4 state and local allocating agencies the equivalent of approximately \$8 billion in annual budget
5 authority to issue tax credits for the acquisition, rehabilitation, and new construction of rental
6 housing targeted to lower-income households. With these funds, approximately 6.5 million low-
7 income households have lived in affordable apartments financed by LIHTC from 1986 to 2013.
8

9 Despite the success of this and other housing programs, millions of families still struggle to find
10 decent and affordable housing. According to the Department of Housing and Urban
11 Development (HUD), an estimated 12 million renter and homeowner households spend more
12 than 50 percent of their annual incomes on housing. Families who pay more than 30 percent of
13 their income for housing are considered cost burdened and will likely have difficulty paying for
14 other necessities such as food, clothing, transportation and medical care.
15

16 Even the nearly 2.2 million households in the U.S. who receive housing vouchers to subsidize
17 their rent face many hurdles or obstacles in finding affordable housing under current market
18 conditions. Families under the Housing Choice Voucher Program must secure an apartment in
19 the private market within sixty days of receiving a voucher. The rents for their apartments must
20 fall within the Fair Market Rent guidelines established by HUD, but for many communities, the
21 Fair Market Rent threshold allows families to rent homes in only a handful of neighborhoods. If
22 a voucher holder fails to find housing at or below the Fair Market Rent amount, they must return
23 the voucher at the end of the 60-day period. In many markets, this has caused high return rates of
24 the vouchers as families are unable to find affordable housing in their communities. New York,
25 for example, has almost a 50 percent return rate due to the lack of affordable housing available to
26 voucher holders. The affordable housing crisis has left low and moderate-income families
27 financially on edge and has compromised their ability to afford food, maintain a stable
28 environment for their children and find and retain employment.
29

30 Additionally, the U.S. corporate tax rate drop will likely make investment in LIHTC less
31 appealing. When an investor purchases tax credits from a developer under the LIHTC program,
32 the investor can use those credits to lower his/her annual federal tax bill. If an investor has a
33 lower tax bill, he/she will not be willing to pay as much for these tax credits. Developers will
34 need to sell more credits in order to gain the equity needed for low-income housing projects.
35 Therefore, in order to maintain the production of affordable housing with the LIHTC program
36 under a corporate tax rate cut, more tax credits will need to be allocated.
37

38 As affordable housing becomes more difficult to access and rents continue to increase, the
39 creation of more affordable housing units is necessary. With the affordable housing crisis in
40 combination with corporate tax rate cuts, Congress now more than ever should provide the tools
41 and funding necessary to increase the nation's affordable housing stock. The LIHTC program
42 has been one of the most successful tools for rental housing production, but the current authority
43 available is insufficient to respond adequately to affordable housing needs and increasing
44 demands. An increase in the allocation of LIHTC by 50 percent is critical to preserving and
45 creating more housing options for lower-income households in the United States. Furthermore, a
46 permanent 4 percent credit rate floor for acquisition and bond-financed projects will empower

1 states to allocate more credit equity to properties, provide more efficiency to program
2 administration and offer more predictability to the program.

3
4 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** The expansion of affordable housing programs is crucial to state
5 and local governments that provide housing to communities at the grassroots level.

6
7 **Sponsor(s):** Patricia Ward, Director, Community Development and Housing Department,
8 Tarrant County, Texas

9
10 **Proposed Resolution on the New Markets Tax Credit**

11
12 **Issue:** Support the reauthorization of the New Markets Tax Credit program in order to promote
13 community development and economic growth by attracting private investment in low-income
14 communities with high unemployment and poverty.

15
16 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to provide a
17 permanent extension of the New Markets Tax Credit (NMTC) and other enhancements to the
18 program to allow for private sector investment and economic growth in low income
19 communities.

20
21 **Background:** The NMTC was authorized through the bipartisan Community Renewal Tax
22 Relief Act of 2000 in order to stimulate investment and economic opportunity in urban and rural
23 low-income communities that lack the resources needed to support businesses, job creation, and
24 a healthy local economy. This important community development tool provides much needed
25 capital to the most distressed communities in the nation by providing a federal tax credit to
26 private investors.

27
28 Since its introduction, the NMTC has been an incredibly successful tool for community
29 revitalization projects. Over \$42 billion in NMTC investments have leveraged over \$80 billion in
30 total investments to community and economic development projects between 2003 and 2015.
31 This investment in low income urban and rural communities generated approximately 750,000
32 jobs at a cost to the government of less than \$20,000 per job. Additionally, these investments are
33 concentrated in the communities with the most need, with 72 percent of NMTC activities located
34 in areas with unemployment rates 1.5 times the national average, poverty rates of at least 30
35 percent, or a median income at or below 60 percent of the area median.

36
37 Furthermore, the NMTC is an exemplary public-private partnership model, allowing local
38 governments, businesses, and investors to come together the make the financing decisions for
39 their community. Rural and urban areas alike use this critical resource to fund small businesses,
40 industrial centers, commercial facilities, daycares, health centers, housing and other mixed-use
41 developments. The NMTC generates a tremendous amount of economic activity through job
42 creation, economic growth, and increased incomes, providing the federal government with a
43 significant return on investment. The program additionally brings in enough tax revenue to cover
44 its cost to taxpayers.

45
46 Despite the remarkable success of the NMTC program, the House version of the Tax Cuts and
47 Jobs Act of 2017 eliminated NMTC allocation authority after 2017, meaning the authority

1 previously allocated for years 2018 and 2019 would have been rescinded. Although the NMTC
2 was preserved in the final version of the legislation, this disregard for the program has left the
3 prospect of reauthorization uncertain. A permanent extension and expansion of the NMTC
4 program would provide more certainty to the program and allow for continued community
5 sourced economic growth in underserved areas of the country.

6
7 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** The permanent extension and expansion of the NMTC program is
8 crucial to state and local governments that provide economic development to communities at the
9 grassroots level.

10
11 **Sponsor(s):** Patricia Ward, Director, Community Development and Housing Department,
12 Tarrant County, Texas

13 14 **Proposed Resolution on Housing Infrastructure**

15
16 **Issue:** Support the inclusion of affordable housing investments in any federal infrastructure
17 package.

18
19 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to include
20 affordable housing investments in any federal infrastructure package to provide counties with the
21 resources necessary to create and preserve more affordable homes in the United States.

22
23 **Background:** The Trump Administration along with Congressional leadership have introduced
24 infrastructure plans over the last year. Notably missing from these plans is the expansion of
25 affordable housing infrastructure. Affordable housing is a vital component to infrastructure
26 investment, and the construction and preservation of our country's affordable housing stock will
27 strengthen productivity and economic growth, promote economic mobility, and provide greater
28 household stability and improved wellness outcomes.

29
30 In order to achieve comprehensive economic impact through infrastructure reform, significant
31 affordable housing investments must be incorporated. The shortage of affordable housing in
32 major U.S. cities costs our economy \$2 trillion a year in lower wages and productivity and
33 prevents low-income households from moving to areas with more economic opportunities. A
34 lack of affordable housing access prevents families from increasing their earnings and causes a
35 slower gross domestic product (GDP) growth. It is estimated that between 1964 and 2009, the
36 GDP growth would have been 13.5 percent higher if there were more affordable housing options
37 for families. This translates into a \$1.7 trillion increase in income overall and \$8,775 in
38 additional wages per worker. Affordable housing infrastructure additionally helps local
39 economies and creates jobs by leveraging public and private funds to increase earnings, increase
40 tax revenue, and put people to work. According to the National Home Builders Association,
41 building just 100 affordable rental homes can generate \$11.7 million in local income, \$2.2
42 million in taxes and other revenue, and can create 161 local jobs in the first year of construction.

43
44 Moreover, access to affordable housing improves numerous aspects of a family's quality of life.
45 Research shows that when a family has access to affordable housing, there is an increase in their
46 economic mobility. Additionally, children receive numerous benefits from living in an affordable
47 housing community in high opportunity areas. These children earn 31 percent more as adults,

1 live in better neighborhoods as adults, and are less likely to become a single parent. These
2 children also do better in school and have greater opportunities to learn outside the classroom.

3
4 There is no community in the United States where a family with one full-time worker earning the
5 minimum wage can afford the local fair-market rent for a two-bedroom apartment. According to
6 the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), an estimated 12 million renter and
7 homeowner households spend more than 50 percent of their annual incomes on housing.
8 Families who pay more than 30 percent of their income for housing are considered cost burdened
9 and will likely have difficulty paying for necessities such as food, clothing, transportation and
10 medical care. The problem is likely to exacerbate in the coming years. Analysts expect that over
11 the next ten years over 400,000 new renter households will enter the rental housing market,
12 many of these households being low-income. The pace the rental housing industry is developing
13 new units is significantly slower than the number of rental housing needed in the next ten years,
14 meaning the gap in rental housing supply vs. the demand for rental homes is only going to
15 widen.

16
17 Even the nearly 2.2 million households in the U.S. that receive housing vouchers to subsidize
18 their rent have issues finding affordable housing under current market conditions. Families under
19 the Housing Choice Voucher Program must secure an apartment in the private market within
20 sixty days of receiving a voucher. The rent for their apartment must fall within the Fair Market
21 Rent guidelines established by HUD, but for many communities, the Fair Market Rent threshold
22 allows families to rent homes in only a handful of neighborhoods. If a voucher holder fails to
23 find housing at or below the Fair Market Rent amount, they must return the voucher at the end of
24 the 60-day period. In many markets, this has caused high return rates of the vouchers as families
25 are unable to find affordable housing in their communities. New York, for example, has almost a
26 50 percent return rate due to the lack of affordable housing available to voucher holders. The
27 affordable housing crisis has left low and moderate income families financially on edge and has
28 compromised their ability to afford food, maintain a stable environment for their children, and
29 find and retain employment.

30
31 As affordable housing becomes more difficult to access and rents continue to increase, the
32 creation of more affordable homes is necessary. With the affordable housing crisis affecting
33 every state, county, and city in the nation, it is critical now more than ever for Congress to invest
34 in affordable housing infrastructure. These investments will help counties preserve and build up
35 our country's affordable housing stock, strengthen the U.S. economy, and provide more stability
36 to families.

37
38 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** The investment in the country's affordable housing infrastructure
39 is crucial to state and local governments that provide housing to communities at the grassroots
40 level.

41
42 **Sponsor(s):** Patricia Ward, Director, Community Development and Housing Department,
43 Tarrant County, Texas

- 1 • An elimination of Employment Service funding is not cost effective – it would result in
- 2 the average unemployment duration to likely increase by at least one week, costing
- 3 states’ unemployment insurance trust funds to pay out an additional \$2.2 billion over the
- 4 coming year (based on current unemployment levels).
- 5 • Business taxes may increase to offset longer UI claims.
- 6 • Almost 800,000 veterans would not have job search assistance - the majority of veterans
- 7 that do not have significant barriers to employment are served through Employment
- 8 Service staff at the One Stop Centers. The elimination of these staff would mean almost
- 9 800,000 veterans would not be served, as well as their spouses, and other job seekers.
- 10 • States’ ability to assist jobseekers would be dramatically reduced - states’ labor exchange
- 11 systems would be shut down due to lack of funding and reemployment services would be
- 12 dramatically reduced.
- 13 • Over 5.4 million job seekers would not be assisted
- 14 • Over 600,000 businesses would not be served
- 15 • Over 20,000 employer job fairs would not be provided
- 16 • Over 700 American Job Centers would either be closed or detrimentally impacted. State
- 17 Programs would be most severely impacted by the elimination of Employment Service
- 18 funding
- 19 • Career services to veterans and their spouses would be eliminated
- 20 • Assistance to ex-offender programs would be eliminated
- 21 • Alternative Youth programs in some states would be eliminated
- 22 • Services to businesses would be reduced
- 23 • Apprenticeships programs would be reduced

24
25 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** Funding of WIOA Titles I, II and III services are crucial to state
26 and local governments that provide workforce services to communities at the grassroots level.

27
28 **Sponsor(s):** Mark Jacobs, Director, Workforce Services, Dakota County, Minn.; Orrin Bailey,
29 President, National Workforce Association (NWA); Colorado Counties, Inc.

30
31 **Proposed Resolution on Registered Apprenticeships Program Flexibility**

32
33 **Issue:** Support flexibility for registered apprenticeships, academic and hands-on training.

34
35 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to provide
36 more flexibility for the Registered Apprenticeships Program to allow for more hours to be
37 achieved through relevant college and workshop classroom instruction, rather than all hours
38 having to be recorded working with a journeyman.

39
40 **Background:** The regulations relating to registered apprenticeships have been in place for 80
41 years and state that, to be a registered apprentice, you must work 40 hours per week, and all
42 hours of the apprenticeship should be spent under the tutelage of a journeyman. Additionally,
43 during the period of the apprenticeship, a minimum of an additional 144 hours of related
44 instruction must be completed per year.

45
46 Many apprentices wish to combine schooling with an apprenticeship and, consequently, work
47 part time and attend college. Employers in the Tampa Bay area (Hernando, Hillsborough, Pasco

1 and Pinellas counties), throughout Florida, and the Nation, would be satisfied with their
2 apprenticeship training being a combination of on the job experience and relevant college and/or
3 hands-on training classes to support the chosen track of their apprenticeship. For example, a
4 Mechatronics Technician needs to have a thorough knowledge of automation and controls
5 technology. Certain aspects of the required training are better taught in a classroom environment,
6 rather than under a journeyman in the workshop.

7
8 **Fiscal/Urban/Rural Impact:** This change would allow for more registered apprenticeships.
9 There are many students working part time in manufacturing, but also attending college classes
10 for an Associate of Arts or Associate of Science degree, who may be unable to be recognized as a
11 registered apprentice because of this outdated requirement.

12
13 **Sponsor(s):** Kathryn Starkey, Commissioner, Pasco County, Fla.

14
15 **Proposed Resolution Supporting Reauthorization and Appropriations for the Department**
16 **of Commerce’s Economic Development Administration**

17
18 **Issue:** Appropriations and reauthorization legislation for the U.S. Department of Commerce’s
19 Economic Development Administration (EDA)

20
21 **Proposed Policy:** The National Association of Counties (NACo) urges Congress to support
22 reauthorization and current appropriations for the U.S. Department of Commerce’s EDA to keep
23 communities strong and economically viable at this critical time in the history of our nation.

24
25 **Background:** The EDA provides direct resources to counties to support economic development
26 efforts through planning grants to regional economic development districts, in order to support
27 comprehensive economic development strategy planning and implementation, as well as
28 financing for public works and technical assistance projects. It focuses solely on private sector
29 job creation and retention.

30
31 EDA has developed an impressive track record of making strategic investments and building
32 partnerships that help regions and communities respond to shifts in international markets,
33 address severe unemployment challenges and recover from plant closures, major natural
34 disasters, and other chronic, sudden and severe economic hardships.

35
36 NACo supports at least maintaining EDA’s FY 2018 funding level of \$301.5 million for FY
37 2019.

38
39 At a time when the nation must make the regional and local investments necessary to compete in
40 the modern global economy, the flexibility, partnership structure and accountability of EDA
41 programs should be at the forefront of the federal strategic plan. EDA’s portfolio of economic
42 development infrastructure, business development finance, regional innovation strategies and
43 public-private partnerships are tailored to support the unique needs of each region.

44
45 EDA grants are awarded on a competitive basis to local governments, nonprofits and 45
46 communities by the agency’s six regional offices. By federal law, EDA projects typically require
47 a local cost share and significant private sector investment, ensuring that local leaders and

1 businesses are committed to project success. EDA investments focus on high quality jobs,
2 especially in advanced manufacturing, science and technology and emerging knowledge-based
3 industries and sectors.

4
5 EDA and its local partners direct their attention to the fundamental building blocks for economic
6 development. EDA's infrastructure projects target essential facilities and assets, such as water
7 and wastewater systems, middle mile broadband networks, workforce training centers, business
8 incubators, intermodal facilities and science and research parks. These assets often are lacking in
9 the nation's most distressed areas, yet they are a prerequisite for private industry to remain or
10 locate in these areas.

11
12 The keys to EDA's repeated successes remain its flexible program tools, its long-standing
13 partnerships with regional and local economic development organizations, and its focus on
14 investing in locally and regionally-driven strategies and infrastructure projects that are tied to
15 leveraging private sector job creation and retention activities.

16
17 **Fiscal Urban/Rural Impact:** EDA's programs provide critical funding for economic and
18 community development initiatives and key projects important for creating and retaining jobs.

19
20 **Sponsor(s):** Renee Price, Commissioner, Orange County, N.C.

