

Nebraska Supreme Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		101	102	103	104	105	106	107
		William M. Connolly (Dist. 5)	John M. Gerrard (Dist. 3)	John V. Hendry* (statewide)	Michael McCormack (Dist. 4)	Lindsey Miller-Lerman* (Dist. 2)	Kenneth C. Stephan (Dist. 1)	John F. Wright (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.98	4.15	4.16	3.65	3.94	4.12	3.85
	No Opinion	6	3	6	7	6	7	8
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.01	4.10	4.12	3.78	4.06	4.12	3.86
	No Opinion	9	8	9	8	7	7	7
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.26	4.35	4.41	3.91	4.30	4.33	4.20
	No Opinion	8	11	10	8	8	8	9
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.00	4.18	4.18	3.71	3.95	4.13	3.88
	No Opinion	5	3	4	5	4	3	5
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.19	4.31	4.43	4.00	4.32	4.33	3.99
	No Opinion	3	4	5	4	5	5	5
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.25	4.31	4.34	4.05	4.29	4.33	4.03
	No Opinion	5	7	7	4	5	4	6
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)							
	YES	95.71	93.42	96.11	94.56	93.51	96.27	96.58
	NO	4.29	6.58	3.89	5.44	6.35	3.73	3.42
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)							
	YES	89.86	91.14	93.09	84.73	88.41	91.07	87.92
	NO	6.38	6.57	5.59	11.98	7.54	5.36	7.25
	N. OP	3.77	2.29	1.33	3.29	4.06	3.57	4.83

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska Court of Appeals

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		201	202	203	204	205	206
		Theodore L. Carlson* (Dist. 2)	Edward E. Hannon* (Dist. 3)	Eerett O. Inbody (Dist. 5)	John F. Irwin* (Dist. 4)	Richard D. Sievers* (Dist. 1)	Frankie J. Moore (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.41 1	3.48 1	3.70 0	4.17 1	3.89 0	3.80 3
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.62 6	3.69 4	3.92 5	4.22 4	3.76 4	4.01 6
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments No Opinion	3.83 9	3.87 7	4.02 7	4.36 6	4.05 8	4.10 7
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.46 4	3.53 2	3.76 1	4.17 1	3.92 0	3.87 2
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	3.92 6	3.74 3	4.05 3	4.36 3	3.82 4	4.15 4
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	3.89 9	3.80 7	4.07 6	4.34 4	3.86 5	4.08 5
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)						
	YES	88.09	96.14	97.48	97.59	97.33	96.57
	NO	11.91	3.86	2.52	2.41	2.67	3.43
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)						
	YES	80.00	80.00	89.90	95.56	85.85	90.50
	NO	16.07	15.24	6.19	2.54	11.38	4.98
	N. OP	3.93	4.76	3.91	1.90	2.77	4.52

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska District Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312
		Daniel E. Bryan, Jr., Auburn*	Orville L. Coady, Hebron	Paul W. Korslund, Beatrice*	Ronald E. Reagan, Papillion	Randall L. Rehmeier, NE City	George A. Thompson, Papillion	William B. Zastera, Papillion	Steven D. Burns, Lincoln	Jeffre Cheuvront, Lincoln	John A. Colborn, Lincoln	Karen B. Flowers, Lincoln	Bernard J. McGinn, Lincoln*
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.82 2	2.45 1	4.15 1	3.55 3	4.34 4	3.01 6	3.98 5	3.15 3	3.97 3	4.06 9	4.33 3	4.09 5
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.90 0	2.46 0	4.27 0	2.96 2	4.38 2	3.10 1	4.11 2	3.07 4	3.68 0	4.27 2	4.27 1	4.23 1
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	4.02 0	2.41 1	4.47 0	3.20 2	4.51 1	3.28 1	4.31 2	3.33 3	3.96 0	4.46 1	4.37 0	4.42 1
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	4.15 0	2.48 0	4.62 0	2.54 0	4.64 0	3.11 0	4.40 0	2.94 0	3.89 0	4.53 1	4.40 0	4.50 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.28 1	3.10 4	4.43 1	3.93 3	4.40 3	3.73 3	4.17 4	3.63 4	4.17 1	4.31 4	4.37 4	4.17 2
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.40 0	3.36 3	4.39 0	4.01 2	4.52 2	4.04 1	4.27 1	3.82 2	4.30 1	4.43 3	4.41 2	4.37 2
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.18 0	2.85 3	4.53 0	3.53 2	4.55 1	3.39 1	4.27 1	3.65 2	4.15 0	4.44 2	4.47 0	4.37 1
8	Trial Management No Opinion	4.06 4	2.98 7	4.37 6	3.73 7	4.44 8	3.54 9	4.19 9	3.58 12	4.16 10	4.25 16	4.35 12	4.27 7
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.92 2	2.42 4	4.23 6	3.47 8	4.33 7	4.21 10	3.98 9	3.20 14	4.03 10	4.13 15	4.34 9	4.06 13
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	4.00 1	2.43 3	4.46 0	2.72 2	4.55 1	3.27 2	4.25 3	3.19 1	3.89 0	4.43 3	4.33 0	4.43 2
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	96.52	77.24	100.00	93.65	98.97	94.39	97.97	91.75	97.84	97.62	96.33	96.02
	NO	3.48	22.76	0.00	6.35	1.03	5.61	2.03	8.25	2.16	2.38	3.67	3.98
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	29.31	25.38	40.43	32.81	29.02	36.57	40.10	75.00	71.01	81.71	79.82	76.19
	NO	70.69	74.62	59.57	67.19	70.98	63.43	59.90	25.00	28.99	18.29	20.18	23.81
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)												
	YES	86.21	40.00	93.81	66.02	97.97	67.44	94.09	62.79	88.03	95.35	93.67	96.12
	NO	11.21	47.69	2.06	25.48	0.51	25.58	2.96	30.23	9.40	2.91	5.43	1.72
	N. OP	2.59	12.31	4.12	8.49	1.52	6.98	2.96	6.98	2.56	1.74	0.90	2.16

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska District Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	
	Paul D. Merritt, Jr., Lincoln	Earl J. Witthoff, Lincoln	Michael W. Amdor, Omaha	W. Mark Ashford, Omaha	Peter C. Bataillon, Omaha	Robert V. Burkhard, Omaha*	J. Michael Coffey, Omaha*	Stephen A. Davis, Omaha	Sandra L. Dougherty, Omaha*	John D. Hartigan, Jr., Omaha	Patricia A. Lamberty, Omaha*	Mary G. Likes, Omaha*	
1 Legal Analysis No Opinion	4.31 2	3.64 2	3.65 2	3.59 2	3.90 3	3.77 2	3.91 4	3.19 3	4.22 4	3.79 4	3.61 4	2.73 2	
2 Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	4.16 0	4.04 2	3.72 0	3.76 0	3.95 1	4.00 3	3.98 2	3.63 5	4.19 1	3.73 1	3.67 1	2.42 0	
3 Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	4.21 0	4.21 1	3.96 1	3.96 1	4.20 2	4.18 4	4.20 2	3.86 3	4.28 3	3.90 3	3.87 2	2.48 1	
4 Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	3.88 0	4.25 1	3.70 1	4.06 1	4.30 1	4.41 2	4.34 1	3.63 3	4.33 1	3.73 1	3.94 2	2.64 1	
5 Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.34 2	3.63 2	3.44 4	3.88 2	3.72 3	3.66 3	3.83 6	3.72 4	4.23 2	4.06 5	3.71 3	3.02 7	
6 Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.43 2	4.05 2	3.79 1	4.00 0	3.91 0	4.15 1	4.04 5	3.90 2	4.41 0	4.20 2	4.00 3	3.32 4	
7 Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.45 0	3.98 1	3.91 1	3.96 1	4.17 1	4.08 2	4.19 4	3.71 3	4.41 1	3.96 2	4.02 3	3.15 2	
8 Trial Management No Opinion	4.31 11	3.81 10	3.72 14	3.89 13	3.94 13	3.98 12	4.06 15	3.71 11	4.21 6	3.98 11	3.80 9	3.05 17	
9 Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	4.34 7	3.67 7	3.71 4	3.71 13	3.93 20	3.80 14	3.92 12	3.32 11	4.23 10	3.86 12	3.75 11	2.85 16	
10 Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	4.06 0	4.33 1	3.80 0	4.07 1	4.21 3	4.33 4	4.27 4	3.80 3	4.37 0	3.88 1	4.03 2	2.59 1	
11 Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)													
	YES NO	96.45 3.55	79.89 20.11	83.05 16.95	95.99 4.01	85.33 14.67	89.78 10.22	89.04 10.96	89.49 10.51	98.25 1.75	94.67 5.33	93.05 6.95	71.64 28.36
12 Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)													
	YES NO	84.69 15.31	85.64 14.36	93.38 6.62	93.95 6.05	94.34 5.66	95.30 4.70	96.05 3.95	94.37 5.63	93.88 6.12	93.67 6.33	96.21 3.79	91.35 8.65
13 In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)													
	YES NO N. OP	92.00 5.00 3.00	90.16 6.01 3.83	81.64 12.79 5.57	91.46 4.63 3.91	91.08 4.83 4.09	87.27 8.39 4.35	93.79 3.27 2.94	75.99 19.74 4.28	94.93 3.04 2.03	85.81 9.57 4.62	83.77 13.58 2.64	45.80 49.57 4.64

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska District Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336
		Gerald E. Moran, Omaha*	J. Patrick Mullen, Omaha	Gary B. Randall, Omaha*	Gregory M. Schatz, Omaha	Richard J. Spethman, Omaha*	Joseph S. Troia, Omaha	Mary C. Gilbride, Wahoo*	Alan G. Gless, Seward	Michael J. Owens, Aurora	Robert R. Steinke, Columbus	F.A. Gossett, III., Fremont*	Darvid D. Quist, Blair*
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	4.10 4	3.92 4	3.67 5	3.53 5	3.29 2	3.84 3	3.55 3	3.72 2	4.16 2	4.47 1	4.49 3	3.76 3
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	4.00 0	4.00 1	3.64 0	3.73 2	3.38 1	3.94 0	3.57 0	3.67 0	4.31 0	4.46 0	4.37 1	3.75 0
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	4.14 1	4.11 2	3.83 2	3.87 2	3.56 3	4.10 1	3.78 0	3.93 0	4.48 0	4.62 0	4.53 1	3.96 1
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	4.19 0	4.02 0	3.83 0	3.80 0	3.33 0	4.19 0	3.73 0	3.96 0	4.61 0	4.66 0	4.53 0	4.09 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.37 5	3.96 4	3.77 7	3.84 3	3.60 6	4.09 2	3.78 0	3.61 1	4.32 0	4.49 4	4.62 1	3.95 0
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.40 1	4.13 2	3.81 1	4.00 0	3.85 3	4.20 2	3.78 0	3.92 0	4.44 0	4.56 2	4.65 0	4.25 0
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.25 1	4.13 3	3.94 2	3.88 2	3.43 1	4.14 0	3.84 0	3.98 0	4.50 0	4.65 0	4.64 0	4.21 0
8	Trial Management No Opinion	4.31 17	4.09 9	3.85 20	3.89 13	3.61 15	4.16 14	3.71 4	3.87 3	4.35 6	4.54 9	4.61 4	4.04 2
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	4.15 13	3.98 10	3.68 14	3.71 13	3.35 14	3.90 8	3.56 2	3.76 5	4.22 6	4.45 3	4.51 4	3.79 4
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	4.12 0	4.13 1	3.81 0	3.84 0	3.44 2	4.10 0	3.65 0	3.97 0	4.47 1	4.59 0	4.55 0	3.97 0
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	98.53	95.97	93.77	96.25	91.78	96.04	88.79	86.24	98.18	98.54	99.28	94.20
	NO	1.47	4.03	6.23	3.75	8.22	3.96	11.21	13.76	1.82	1.46	0.72	5.80
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	95.35	96.39	97.63	96.73	95.77	93.81	42.50	34.82	42.86	43.17	41.01	39.57
	NO	4.65	3.61	2.37	3.27	4.23	6.19	57.50	65.18	57.14	56.83	58.99	60.43
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)												
	YES	90.20	92.14	85.23	85.54	72.35	91.37	82.35	86.09	97.39	97.86	95.77	84.72
	NO	6.05	5.71	11.07	10.84	22.83	6.71	10.92	11.30	0.87	0.71	2.11	10.42
	N. OP	3.75	2.14	3.69	3.61	4.82	1.92	6.72	2.61	1.74	1.43	2.11	4.86

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska District Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348
	Maurice S. Redmond, Dakota City*	Robert B. Ensz, Wayne	Patrick G. Rogers, Norfolk	William B. Cassel, Ainsworth*	Ronald D. Olberding, Burwell	John P. Icenogle, Kearney	James D. Livingston, Grand Island*	Teresa K. Luther, Grand Island	Terri S. Harder, Minden*	Stephen R. Illingworth, Hastings	John J. Battershell, McCook*	John P. Murphy, North Platte
1 Legal Analysis	2.62	4.08	3.88	4.08	3.71	4.29	4.18	3.92	3.99	3.77	3.92	3.77
No Opinion	3	3	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	2
2 Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	2.60	4.20	4.22	3.93	3.78	3.98	4.04	4.00	4.04	3.90	4.05	3.35
No Opinion	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion	2.85	4.44	4.43	4.06	4.02	4.31	4.21	4.29	4.19	4.13	4.25	3.66
No Opinion	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4 Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.54	4.52	4.46	3.71	4.15	4.35	3.94	4.43	4.17	3.97	3.97	4.35
No Opinion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5 Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	2.42	4.25	4.14	4.33	4.04	4.01	4.15	4.01	4.05	3.76	4.28	3.93
No Opinion	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	2	0	1	2	2
6 Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	2.86	4.47	4.42	4.50	4.29	4.22	4.44	4.27	4.37	4.14	4.38	4.12
No Opinion	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	3
7 Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	2.94	4.42	4.28	4.35	4.11	4.31	4.34	4.30	4.32	4.14	4.24	3.56
No Opinion	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	3
8 Trial Management	2.80	4.34	4.14	4.18	4.02	4.24	4.28	4.13	4.10	4.02	4.27	3.93
No Opinion	5	7	3	0	0	2	2	4	3	2	4	4
9 Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	2.42	4.03	4.04	4.13	3.74	4.29	4.12	4.11	4.09	3.72	3.80	3.69
No Opinion	4	5	3	2	0	3	3	4	5	1	4	1
10 Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.67	4.49	4.42	3.93	4.04	4.37	4.22	4.38	4.31	4.21	4.32	3.37
No Opinion	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1
11 Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
YES	45.74	99.05	96.00	95.54	95.83	97.62	97.73	96.61	95.58	81.60	97.32	92.91
NO	54.26	0.95	4.00	4.46	4.17	2.38	2.27	3.39	4.42	18.40	2.68	7.09
12 Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
YES	34.00	51.82	60.00	31.30	36.73	47.62	57.14	49.17	46.61	46.09	61.95	52.31
NO	66.00	48.18	40.00	68.70	63.27	52.38	42.86	50.83	53.39	53.91	38.05	47.69
13 In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)												
YES	35.00	91.74	93.59	84.07	87.63	93.70	94.51	90.08	93.10	88.71	92.04	72.52
NO	54.00	6.42	5.13	9.73	8.25	3.15	2.20	7.44	4.31	8.87	6.19	23.66
N. OP	11.00	1.83	1.28	6.19	4.12	3.15	3.30	2.48	2.59	2.42	1.77	3.82

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska District Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		349	350	351	352	353	354	
		Donald E. Rowlands, North Platte*	Kristine R. Cecava, Sidney*	Paul D. Empson, Chadron*	Robert O. Hippe, Gering	Randall L. Lippstreu, Gering*	Brian C. Silverman, Alliance*	
1	Legal Analysis	4.09	3.32	3.47	4.40	4.49	3.72	
	No Opinion	1	3	2	2	2	3	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.85	3.62	2.85	4.29	4.42	3.33	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	0	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion	4.18	4.04	2.81	4.47	4.56	3.68	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	1	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.34	3.69	2.54	4.42	4.58	3.79	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.35	2.80	3.80	4.59	4.55	4.02	
	No Opinion	2	0	1	1	0	2	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.51	2.98	3.95	4.70	4.69	4.20	
	No Opinion	1	0	1	1	0	1	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.38	3.85	3.79	4.52	4.63	4.01	
	No Opinion	0	0	1	1	0	1	
8	Trial Management	4.29	3.28	3.77	4.60	4.57	4.04	
	No Opinion	2	4	3	1	3	5	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.15	3.12	3.48	4.44	4.60	3.55	
	No Opinion	1	5	2	2	3	4	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.15	3.84	2.70	4.46	4.48	3.63	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	1	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	100.00	48.19	92.98	100.00	100.00	86.36
		NO	0.00	51.81	7.02	0.00	0.00	13.64
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	57.63	51.16	54.84	67.53	74.24	58.89
		NO	42.37	48.84	45.16	32.47	25.76	41.11
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)	YES	91.38	71.76	50.82	93.59	96.97	70.00
		NO	6.90	20.00	39.34	3.85	1.52	21.11
		N. OP	1.72	8.24	9.84	2.56	1.52	8.89

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska County Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	
		Curtis L. Maschman, Falls City*	J. Patrick McArdle, Wilber	Steven B. Timm, Beatrice*	Larry F. Fugit, Papillion*	Todd J. Hutton, Papillion*	John F. Steinheider, NE City	Robert C. Wester, Papillion	Mary L. Doyle, Lincoln	James L. Foster, Lincoln	Jack B. Lindner, Lincoln	Jean A. Lovell, Lincoln*	Gale Pokorny, Lincoln	
1	Legal Analysis	4.25	4.01	4.04	3.70	4.03	4.34	3.78	3.28	3.83	3.51	3.57	3.24	
	No Opinion	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	7	4	3	4	4	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.28	3.69	3.97	3.64	4.15	4.20	3.80	3.06	3.88	3.65	3.85	2.95	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion	4.48	3.97	4.07	3.85	4.27	4.36	4.09	3.24	4.11	3.89	4.08	3.04	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.64	3.78	4.01	3.77	4.36	4.25	3.82	2.92	4.07	3.80	3.99	2.80	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.44	4.22	4.21	3.97	4.30	4.40	3.90	3.63	3.99	3.65	3.98	3.66	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.56	4.33	4.23	3.99	4.39	4.44	3.65	3.78	4.05	3.57	4.18	3.81	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.49	4.21	4.15	3.89	4.38	4.41	3.94	3.56	3.91	3.57	4.06	3.38	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	3	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	
8	Trial Management	4.43	4.24	4.13	3.89	4.29	4.38	3.97	3.56	3.87	3.66	3.92	3.47	
	No Opinion	0	3	4	6	3	2	7	9	7	4	6	6	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.33	4.05	4.10	3.81	4.17	4.37	3.91	3.34	3.79	3.56	3.67	3.15	
	No Opinion	1	2	5	13	11	4	13	17	13	11	9	10	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.50	3.75	4.15	3.80	4.33	4.21	3.99	3.30	3.91	3.85	4.10	3.11	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	2	5	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	95.45	97.18	94.59	95.24	99.24	98.86	91.72	93.39	93.71	88.60	98.29	92.75
		NO	4.55	2.82	5.41	4.76	0.76	1.14	8.28	6.61	6.29	11.40	1.71	7.25
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	52.17	43.42	51.28	55.33	55.97	50.55	55.90	85.83	82.43	87.39	83.06	81.94
		NO	47.83	56.58	48.72	44.67	44.03	49.45	44.10	14.17	17.57	12.61	16.94	18.06
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)	YES	95.52	91.89	89.47	81.46	94.12	93.55	91.36	65.32	86.99	81.20	90.08	63.12
		NO	1.49	5.41	6.58	13.25	2.94	4.30	3.09	27.42	10.27	13.68	7.44	31.21
		N. OP	2.99	2.70	3.95	5.30	2.94	2.15	5.56	7.26	2.74	5.13	2.48	5.67

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska County Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424
		Laurie Yardley, Lincoln	Edna Atkins, Omaha*	Lawrence E. Barrett, Omaha	Joseph P. Caniglia, Omaha*	Samuel V. Cooper, Omaha	Marcena M. Hendrix, Omaha*	John E. Huber, Omaha*	Darryl R. Lowe, Omaha*	Jeffrey Marcuzzo, Omaha	Thomas G. McQuade, Omaha*	Jane H. Prochaska, Omaha	Stephen M. Swartz, Omaha*
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.83 2	3.51 7	3.45 7	3.87 4	2.96 2	3.91 7	3.69 3	3.25 5	3.82 5	3.76 4	2.96 4	3.72 4
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.87 0	3.95 4	3.38 1	4.10 1	3.40 0	3.96 2	3.68 1	3.31 1	3.88 0	3.50 1	2.75 3	3.40 1
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	4.18 0	4.08 3	3.59 2	4.24 1	3.57 0	4.17 0	3.82 0	3.47 0	4.08 0	3.67 2	2.88 2	3.52 2
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	4.21 0	4.11 2	3.12 1	4.34 1	3.52 0	4.20 0	3.65 0	3.23 0	4.23 0	3.46 0	2.90 0	2.65 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.08 0	3.72 9	3.75 7	4.02 5	3.38 4	3.90 4	3.89 7	3.52 7	4.09 4	3.78 4	3.22 8	3.63 4
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.18 0	3.87 5	3.89 4	4.22 2	3.66 2	3.85 2	4.04 4	3.43 4	4.25 3	3.69 1	3.21 5	3.83 2
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.17 1	3.92 4	3.68 3	4.18 0	3.09 1	4.08 1	3.83 2	3.54 1	4.09 1	3.69 2	3.17 3	3.67 1
8	Trial Management No Opinion	4.01 6	3.75 17	3.72 14	4.11 8	3.27 8	4.05 8	3.85 14	3.51 13	4.07 10	3.84 8	3.20 15	3.69 11
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.83 14	3.66 33	3.57 35	4.09 28	3.17 28	4.07 30	3.70 32	3.38 34	3.95 28	3.68 28	3.08 35	3.70 25
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	4.24 0	4.14 5	3.46 4	4.26 3	3.60 1	4.14 1	3.76 3	3.38 2	4.15 2	3.70 3	2.99 2	3.16 3
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	96.77	96.23	93.39	98.98	89.66	94.30	96.96	93.13	99.10	93.83	83.14	92.43
	NO	3.23	3.77	6.61	1.02	10.34	5.70	3.04	6.87	0.90	6.17	16.86	7.57
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	82.17	94.61	96.98	97.01	94.04	96.09	95.71	96.19	95.56	95.51	94.68	95.26
	NO	17.83	5.39	3.02	2.99	5.96	3.91	4.29	3.81	4.44	4.49	5.32	4.74
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)												
	YES	93.89	89.07	79.66	94.15	61.18	87.66	87.29	73.33	89.91	82.19	53.53	70.87
	NO	4.58	6.48	16.10	2.93	32.91	10.21	10.17	20.00	7.02	14.57	40.52	24.02
	N. OP	1.53	4.45	4.24	2.93	5.91	2.13	2.54	6.67	3.07	3.24	5.95	5.12

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska County Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	
		Lyn V. White, Omaha*	Curtis H. Evans, York	Gary F. Hatfield, Central City	Patrick R. McDermott, Schuyler*	Marvin V. Miller, Wahoo*	Gerald E. Rouse, Seward	Frank J. Skorupa, Columbus	Daniel J. Beckwith, Fremont	Kurt T. Rager, Dakota City	Paul R. Robinson, Sr., Hartington	C. Matthew Samuelson, Blair*	Richard W. Krepela, Madison	
1	Legal Analysis	2.57	3.17	3.67	4.02	3.64	3.32	3.67	3.94	3.97	3.37	3.91	3.85	
	No Opinion	3	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	5	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	1.95	3.56	3.97	3.96	3.76	3.13	3.52	4.04	4.11	3.82	4.16	3.77	
	No Opinion	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion	2.07	3.98	4.17	4.30	4.07	3.31	3.93	4.27	4.26	3.96	4.32	3.98	
	No Opinion	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.35	3.98	4.30	4.22	4.02	2.73	4.03	4.25	4.09	3.98	4.35	4.09	
	No Opinion	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	2.95	3.95	4.05	4.20	3.70	3.78	4.19	4.22	4.26	3.57	4.00	4.06	
	No Opinion	12	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	2.84	4.34	4.21	4.33	3.81	3.91	4.40	4.33	4.59	3.55	4.09	4.17	
	No Opinion	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	2.92	3.92	4.03	4.24	4.00	3.56	3.91	4.22	4.29	3.55	4.28	4.06	
	No Opinion	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	
8	Trial Management	2.91	3.85	4.03	4.13	3.84	3.63	4.11	4.16	4.21	3.68	4.14	4.03	
	No Opinion	18	1	3	0	2	4	1	4	1	2	3	4	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	2.66	3.31	3.76	4.06	3.77	3.44	3.79	4.00	4.12	3.40	3.97	3.89	
	No Opinion	26	3	3	1	6	5	1	4	1	2	5	6	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.17	4.03	4.31	4.17	3.93	3.05	4.07	4.28	4.20	3.96	4.25	4.05	
	No Opinion	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	75.44	96.67	96.77	96.36	93.62	93.59	100.00	95.59	100.00	87.76	92.65	95.45
		NO	24.56	3.33	3.23	3.64	6.38	6.41	0.00	4.41	0.00	12.24	7.35	4.55
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	92.07	42.86	49.23	47.37	45.10	46.99	61.29	42.47	57.50	54.72	44.59	64.29
		NO	7.93	57.14	50.77	52.63	54.90	53.01	38.71	57.53	42.50	45.28	55.41	35.71
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)	YES	29.39	68.85	92.42	85.96	84.00	62.50	88.52	88.73	86.84	82.69	89.04	74.29
		NO	65.88	19.67	0.00	5.26	8.00	23.75	4.92	5.63	2.63	9.62	5.48	20.00
		N. OP	4.73	11.48	7.58	8.77	8.00	13.75	6.56	5.63	10.53	7.69	5.48	5.71

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska County Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	
		Phillip R. Riley, Madison	Donna F. Taylor, Neligh	Alan L. Brodbeck, O'Neill	August F. Schuman, Ainsworth	Gary G. Washburn, Broken Bow*	Graten D. Beavers, Kearney	David A. Bush, Grand Island*	Gerald R. Jorgensen, Jr., Kearney	Philip M. Martin, Jr., Grand Island	Robert A. Ide, Holdrege	Michael Offner, Red Cloud	Jack Robert Ott, Hastings	
1	Legal Analysis	3.56	3.77	4.09	2.74	3.90	3.80	3.92	4.04	4.15	4.24	4.22	3.54	
	No Opinion	4	3	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.47	4.13	3.93	3.26	3.93	3.40	3.68	3.94	4.12	4.40	4.38	3.95	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion	3.68	4.28	4.07	3.29	4.15	3.63	3.92	4.27	4.32	4.52	4.53	4.22	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.62	4.41	4.09	3.17	4.02	3.51	3.60	4.13	4.32	4.48	4.56	3.82	
	No Opinion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.86	4.00	4.14	2.94	3.95	3.89	3.95	3.32	4.05	4.21	4.20	3.77	
	No Opinion	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.90	4.33	4.19	3.47	4.31	4.15	3.98	3.02	4.17	4.51	4.48	4.15	
	No Opinion	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.69	4.26	4.19	3.26	4.20	3.91	3.84	4.01	4.08	4.48	4.52	4.02	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	
8	Trial Management	3.80	3.94	4.18	2.79	4.07	4.01	3.92	3.97	4.03	4.33	4.25	3.86	
	No Opinion	3	4	1	1	2	5	2	4	2	2	2	2	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.62	3.91	3.96	2.56	4.05	3.88	3.90	3.96	4.10	4.22	4.25	3.68	
	No Opinion	6	5	3	1	2	4	2	3	2	6	6	3	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.55	4.28	4.12	3.53	4.12	3.72	3.79	4.10	4.28	4.52	4.42	4.11	
	No Opinion	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	95.16	100.00	98.31	74.29	93.33	97.70	92.06	79.27	98.31	95.38	96.92	95.12
		NO	4.84	0.00	1.69	25.71	6.67	2.30	7.94	20.73	1.69	4.62	3.08	4.88
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	66.67	73.33	50.79	46.15	43.75	67.39	72.73	64.37	77.42	62.32	69.12	64.29
		NO	33.33	26.67	49.21	53.85	56.25	32.61	27.27	35.63	22.58	37.68	30.88	35.71
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)	YES	79.37	93.18	91.67	57.14	86.89	78.65	89.06	94.12	95.00	96.97	95.52	84.34
		NO	15.87	0.00	5.00	34.29	8.20	15.73	6.25	2.35	1.67	0.00	1.49	9.64
		N. OP	4.76	6.82	3.33	8.57	4.92	5.62	4.69	3.53	3.33	3.03	2.99	6.02

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska County Court

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459
		Cloyd Clark, McCook	Carlton E. Clark, McCook	Kent E. Florom, North Platte	B. Bert Leffler, Benkelman	Kent D. Turnbull, North Platte*	G. Glenn Camerer, Gering	Thomas H. Dorwart, Sidney	James T. Hansen, Chadron*	James L. Macken, Gering	Charles Plantz, Rushville*	C.G. Wallace, Kimball
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.45 1	4.04 2	3.76 3	3.49 2	4.07 2	3.73 1	3.42 2	3.68 2	3.35 1	4.00 1	3.87 1
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.67 0	4.15 2	3.44 1	3.63 0	3.97 2	3.84 0	3.42 1	3.86 1	2.73 0	4.15 0	3.85 0
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	3.96 0	4.30 0	3.84 1	3.78 0	4.35 0	4.05 0	3.60 1	4.03 1	3.41 0	4.26 0	4.00 0
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	3.69 0	4.26 0	3.45 0	3.59 0	4.34 0	4.05 0	3.64 0	4.18 0	3.35 0	4.26 0	4.17 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	3.92 1	4.26 1	4.02 1	3.90 2	4.27 2	4.00 0	3.67 1	3.89 2	3.53 0	3.79 1	4.02 0
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.14 1	4.47 1	4.14 0	3.88 0	4.37 1	4.18 0	3.74 1	3.95 1	4.06 0	3.97 1	4.24 0
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	3.75 0	4.30 0	3.66 1	3.84 0	4.44 0	3.98 0	3.64 0	4.27 0	3.69 0	4.36 1	4.09 1
8	Trial Management No Opinion	3.83 3	4.24 3	3.92 1	3.88 2	4.31 3	4.04 0	3.53 4	4.06 2	3.72 1	4.06 1	4.12 3
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.48 1	4.10 2	3.80 4	3.40 6	4.13 7	3.71 8	3.47 7	3.91 3	3.35 7	4.00 1	3.92 7
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	3.88 0	4.28 0	3.49 1	3.65 0	4.18 0	4.07 0	3.67 1	4.19 1	3.37 0	4.27 0	4.04 0
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)											
	YES	94.23	96.30	94.23	92.00	96.72	98.18	93.33	94.74	90.20	97.14	93.62
	NO	5.77	3.70	5.77	8.00	3.28	1.82	6.67	5.26	9.80	2.86	6.38
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)											
	YES	62.96	52.63	69.23	63.46	69.84	81.03	70.21	70.73	86.79	71.79	75.00
	NO	37.04	47.37	30.77	36.54	30.16	18.97	29.79	29.27	13.21	28.21	25.00
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)											
	YES	83.02	94.64	79.25	82.69	93.55	89.66	78.72	90.00	59.62	91.89	93.75
	NO	15.09	0.00	15.09	11.54	4.84	6.90	12.77	5.00	30.77	5.41	4.17
	N. OP	1.89	5.36	5.66	5.77	1.61	3.45	8.51	5.00	9.62	2.70	2.08

*Retention Date 11/2002

Nebraska Separate Juvenile Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)

Characteristics

	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	
	Elizabeth G. Crnkovich (Dist. 4)	Thomas B. Dawson* (Dist. 3)	Lawrence D. Gendler* (Dist. 2)	Douglas F. Johnson (Dist. 4)	Christopher Kelly (Dist. 4)	Robert B. O'Neal (Dist. 2)	Linda S. Porter* (Dist. 3)	Wadie Thomas Jr. (Dist. 4)	Toni G. Thorson (Dist. 3)	
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.61 4	3.90 1	4.44 1	4.23 4	4.05 1	3.86 1	4.06 1	3.87 3	3.86 1
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.25 0	3.58 0	4.40 0	4.22 0	4.26 0	4.00 0	4.10 0	4.02 1	3.81 0
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	3.51 0	3.67 0	4.46 0	4.38 0	4.40 0	4.25 0	4.23 0	4.07 1	4.24 0
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	3.10 0	3.75 0	4.44 0	4.45 0	4.35 0	3.94 0	4.21 0	3.88 1	4.03 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	3.50 0	4.42 0	4.35 0	4.29 1	4.14 0	3.92 0	4.13 0	4.20 2	2.74 0
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	3.31 0	4.54 0	4.37 0	4.40 0	4.21 0	3.76 0	4.15 0	4.30 1	2.88 0
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	3.70 0	3.98 0	4.40 0	4.45 0	4.34 0	4.18 0	4.35 0	4.06 1	4.09 0
8	Trial Management No Opinion	3.69 3	4.18 1	4.42 1	4.28 5	4.27 1	4.08 0	4.10 0	4.14 4	3.40 1
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.54 16	3.73 2	4.42 5	4.32 11	4.25 13	4.05 5	4.14 4	3.97 14	3.88 3
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	3.15 0	3.96 0	4.49 0	4.43 0	4.32 0	4.20 0	4.22 0	4.10 0	4.11 0

11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	82.09	96.15	100.00	98.45	99.19	94.12	95.92	99.16	61.02
		NO	17.91	3.85	0.00	1.55	0.81	5.88	4.08	0.84	38.98
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	90.51	89.47	64.79	90.77	92.06	71.70	88.68	92.62	88.89
		NO	9.49	10.53	35.21	9.23	7.94	28.30	11.32	7.38	11.11
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)	YES	68.38	83.64	92.86	93.89	96.83	82.69	96.08	91.06	81.97
		NO	24.26	12.73	2.86	4.58	0.79	9.62	1.96	6.50	11.48
		N. OP	7.35	3.64	4.29	1.53	2.38	7.69	1.96	2.44	6.56

*Retention Date 11/2002

Workers' Compensation Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have Professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)

Characteristics

		601	602	603	604	605	606
		Ronald L. Brown, Omaha	Michael P. Cavel, Omaha	James R. Coe, Omaha	James M. Fitzgerald, Lincoln	Michael K. High*, Lincoln	Laureen K. Van Norman*, Lincoln
1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.89 1	4.28 1	3.76 1	3.54 0	3.81 0	3.57 0
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.59 0	3.76 0	3.61 0	3.47 0	3.92 0	3.67 0
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	3.91 0	3.91 0	3.81 0	3.91 0	4.05 0	4.02 0
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	3.98 0	3.20 0	3.28 0	3.75 0	4.09 0	4.19 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.07 0	4.16 1	3.96 2	3.75 1	2.96 0	3.98 1
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.22 1	4.35 2	4.15 2	4.05 2	3.96 0	4.21 0
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.12 0	4.11 1	3.83 0	3.97 0	3.94 0	4.04 0
8	Trial Management No Opinion	4.11 1	4.08 3	3.79 3	3.78 1	3.54 1	4.02 1
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.87 0	4.17 0	3.73 0	3.47 2	3.74 0	3.56 0
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	3.98 0	3.34 0	3.38 0	3.68 0	4.00 0	4.06 0
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)						
	YES	95.69	97.58	99.07	84.07	55.17	95.24
	NO	4.31	2.42	0.93	15.93	44.83	4.76
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)						
	YES	84.17	85.16	81.08	68.91	86.81	84.11
	NO	12.50	13.28	18.02	24.37	10.99	11.21
	N. OP	3.33	1.56	0.90	6.72	2.20	4.67

*Retention Date 11/2002

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have Professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space

U.S. District Judges

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)

	701	702	703	704	705	706
	Joseph F. Bataillon	Richard G. Kopf	Thomas M. Shanahan	Laurie Smith Camp	Lyle E. Storm	Warren K. Urbom

Characteristics

1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.95 1	4.50 1	4.17 2	3.80 4	4.49 2	4.52 1
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.96 0	4.38 1	4.17 1	3.91 3	4.50 0	4.42 0
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	4.24 0	4.55 1	4.39 1	4.00 3	4.58 0	4.55 0
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	4.20 1	4.41 0	4.22 2	4.06 4	4.54 0	4.61 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.13 3	4.48 2	4.22 4	3.94 4	4.46 0	4.60 2
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.27 3	4.61 2	4.35 3	4.10 4	4.55 0	4.65 3
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.22 1	4.57 2	4.32 3	3.93 6	4.54 0	4.60 2
8	Trial Management No Opinion	4.19 8	4.54 6	4.25 5	3.75 8	4.51 1	4.55 4
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.95 1	4.52 1	4.29 2	3.91 3	4.48 1	4.48 0
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	4.09 0	4.54 0	4.30 1	4.06 3	4.52 0	4.56 0
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)						
	YES	91.37	98.28	91.73	95.00	98.11	98.59
	NO	8.63	1.72	8.27	5.00	1.89	1.41

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have Professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)

	Magistrate Judges			Bankruptcy Court Judge
	706	707	708	709
Kathleen A. Jaudzemis				
David L. Piester				
Thomas D. Thalken				
Timothy J. Mahoney				

Characteristics

1	Legal Analysis No Opinion	3.86 1	4.05 0	4.04 1	4.43 0
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case No Opinion	3.73 1	3.95 0	4.14 0	4.27 0
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, sex, age, national origin or religion No Opinion	3.83 1	4.19 0	4.27 0	4.47 0
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor No Opinion	3.40 1	3.99 0	4.13 0	4.31 0
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling No Opinion	4.07 1	4.12 0	4.18 0	4.49 0
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings No Opinion	4.25 0	4.34 0	4.38 0	4.54 0
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony No Opinion	4.13 0	4.23 0	4.31 0	4.49 0
8	Trial Management No Opinion	3.97 16	4.17 16	4.25 16	4.43 16
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing No Opinion	3.99 4	4.06 2	4.12 2	4.34 3
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions No Opinion	3.77 1	4.06 0	4.29 0	4.42 1

11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)				
	YES	97.03	98.01	92.06	98.04
	NO	2.97	1.99	7.94	1.96
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; N. Op = No Opinion)				
	YES	70.67	85.00	90.27	96.69
	NO	24.04	10.00	4.32	2.65
	N. OP	5.29	5.00	5.41	0.66

*Retention Date 11/2002