

2004 Judicial Performance Evaluation

Nebraska State
Bar Association

Nebraska Supreme Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		101	102	103	104	105	106	107	
		William M. Connolly* (Dist. 5)	John M. Gerrard* (Dist. 3)	John V. Hendry (statewide)	Michael McCormack (Dist. 4)	Lindsey Miller-Lerman (Dist. 2)	Kenneth C. Stephan (Dist. 1)	John F. Wright* (Dist. 6)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.95	4.13	4.19	3.67	3.94	4.10	3.85	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.06	4.14	4.20	3.92	4.09	4.18	3.99	
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.23	4.36	4.42	4.00	4.31	4.30	4.14	
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.96	4.17	4.23	3.76	3.97	4.19	3.89	
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.22	4.33	4.42	4.12	4.32	4.37	4.10	
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.26	4.32	4.40	4.23	4.31	4.32	4.17	
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.10	4.11	4.20	4.01	4.13	4.14	4.05	
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)								
		YES	93.07	94.06	94.08	90.24	92.74	95.30	91.64
		NO	6.93	5.94	5.92	9.76	7.26	4.70	8.36

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska Court of Appeals

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

	201	202	203	204	205	206
	Theodore L. Carlson (Dist. 2)	William B. Cassel (Dist. 3)	Everett O. Inbody* (Dist. 5)	John F. Irwin (Dist. 4)	Richard D. Sievers (Dist. 1)	Frankie J. Moore* (Dist. 6)
1 Legal Analysis	3.57	3.90	3.67	4.08	3.86	3.73
2 Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.81	4.06	3.94	4.16	3.90	3.94
3 Attentiveness: oral arguments	3.91	4.06	4.00	4.34	4.10	4.14
4 Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.66	3.97	3.76	4.13	3.88	3.81
5 Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.99	4.05	4.08	4.27	3.88	4.11
6 Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.07	4.08	4.10	4.27	3.98	4.11
7 Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.89	4.05	3.95	4.21	4.07	3.97
8 In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	86.06	93.30	90.53	93.10	88.09
	NO	13.94	6.70	9.47	6.90	11.91

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska District Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	
		Ronald E. Reagan (Dist. 2)	Randall L. Rehmeier (Dist. 2)	George A. Thompson* (Dist. 2)	William B. Zastera (Dist. 2)	W. Mark Ashford* (Dist. 4)	Peter C. Bataillon* (Dist. 4)	Robert V. Burkhard (Dist. 4)	J. Michael Coffey (Dist. 4)	J. Russell Derr (Dist. 4)	Sandra L. Dougherty (Dist. 4)	James T. Gleason (Dist. 4)	John D. Hartigan Jr. (Dist. 4)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.46	4.34	2.86	3.95	3.72	3.83	3.85	3.96	4.16	4.32	3.84	3.87	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	2.98	4.38	2.97	4.06	3.87	3.94	4.09	4.04	4.20	4.22	4.00	3.88	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.01	4.47	3.13	4.21	4.07	4.11	4.25	4.20	4.32	4.34	4.05	3.98	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.53	4.61	2.89	4.33	4.02	4.29	4.37	4.23	4.44	4.35	4.11	3.84	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.83	4.42	3.55	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.74	3.90	4.17	4.40	4.14	4.10	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.96	4.53	3.84	4.25	3.97	3.91	4.20	4.05	4.31	4.48	4.27	4.23	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.55	4.54	3.20	4.21	3.95	4.08	4.10	4.11	4.35	4.50	4.09	4.00	
8	Trial Management	3.68	4.43	3.33	4.13	3.89	3.91	4.04	4.05	4.15	4.35	4.10	4.07	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.48	4.34	2.96	3.95	3.74	3.88	3.93	3.95	4.22	4.42	3.94	3.93	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.71	4.50	2.95	4.17	4.06	4.13	4.28	4.18	4.38	4.39	4.10	4.00	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.80	4.44	3.61	4.14	3.94	3.74	3.94	3.86	4.24	4.42	4.14	4.13	
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	35.79	31.42	41.89	47.22	94.07	93.44	95.27	93.98	93.58	93.13	97.16	94.96
		NO	64.21	68.58	58.11	52.78	5.93	6.56	4.73	6.02	6.42	6.87	2.84	5.04
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	60.30	98.21	61.40	96.19	92.64	90.98	92.57	94.65	96.70	95.35	93.20	88.93
		NO	39.70	1.79	38.60	3.81	7.36	9.02	7.43	5.35	3.30	4.65	6.80	11.07

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska District Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	
		Patricia A. Lamberty (Dist. 4)	Gerald E. Moran (Dist. 4)	J. Patrick Mullen (Dist. 4)	Thomas A. Otepka (Dist. 4)	Gary B. Randall (Dist. 4)	Gregory M. Schatz* (Dist. 4)	Richard J. Spethman (Dist. 4)	Jospeh S. Troia (Dist. 4)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.93	4.15	3.99	4.16	3.81	3.33	3.19	3.90	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.05	4.12	4.10	4.24	3.72	3.36	3.30	4.09	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.18	4.18	4.21	4.30	3.92	3.58	3.49	4.16	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.19	4.15	4.00	4.40	3.83	3.42	3.22	4.18	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.05	4.41	3.98	4.28	3.85	3.74	3.49	4.12	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.25	4.40	4.15	4.40	3.82	3.86	3.77	4.23	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.24	4.28	4.20	4.41	4.00	3.65	3.29	4.11	
8	Trial Management	4.07	4.37	4.11	4.30	3.94	3.71	3.48	4.10	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.03	4.17	4.01	4.23	3.86	3.51	3.26	3.99	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.20	4.16	4.13	4.37	3.90	3.55	3.32	4.17	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.16	4.38	4.07	4.39	3.86	3.80	3.54	4.19	
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	95.65	93.26	95.42	93.52	95.95	93.55	94.69	94.20
		NO	4.35	6.74	4.58	6.48	4.05	6.45	5.31	5.80
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	90.50	95.64	93.16	96.60	89.08	72.61	71.37	94.85
		NO	9.50	4.36	6.84	3.40	10.92	27.39	28.63	5.15

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska District Court (3rd District)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		321	322	323	324	325	326	327
		Steven D. Burns (Dist. 3)	Jeffre Chevront* (Dist. 3)	John A. Colborn* (Dist. 3)	Karen B. Flowers (Dist. 3)	Bernard J. McGinn (Dist. 3)	Paul D. Merritt, Jr.* (Dist. 3)	Earl J. Witthoff* (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.24	3.86	3.96	4.21	4.10	4.39	3.56
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.08	3.80	4.19	4.19	4.40	4.26	3.97
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.40	3.97	4.38	4.32	4.49	4.29	4.10
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.00	3.92	4.41	4.30	4.58	4.04	4.23
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.77	4.05	4.17	4.22	4.24	4.29	3.51
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.00	4.22	4.38	4.38	4.47	4.47	4.05
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.77	4.00	4.33	4.34	4.40	4.42	3.83
8	Trial Management	3.69	4.05	4.21	4.26	4.34	4.37	3.83
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.33	3.89	4.04	4.17	4.13	4.37	3.64
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.36	3.92	4.39	4.27	4.50	4.20	4.25
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.85	4.12	4.29	4.24	4.21	4.24	3.59

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	84.02	81.61	84.77	80.53	82.08	85.58	85.07
		NO	15.98	18.39	15.23	19.47	17.92	14.42	14.93
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	71.16	90.91	96.37	96.36	100.00	96.08	89.74
		NO	28.84	9.09	3.63	3.64	0.00	3.92	10.26

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	
	John J. Battershell (Dist. 11)	Daniel E. Bryan Jr. (Dist. 1)	Kristine R. Cecava (Dist. 12)	James E. Doyle IV* (Dist. 11)	Paul D. Empson (Dist. 12)	Robert B. Ensz (Dist. 7)	Mary C. Gilbride (Dist. 5)	Alan G. Gless* (Dist. 5)	Terri S. Harder (Dist. 10)	Robert O. Hippe* (Dist. 12)	John P. Icenogle (Dist. 9)	Stephen R. Illingworth* (Dist. 10)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.86	3.86	3.46	4.05	3.42	3.92	3.53	3.73	4.09	4.32	4.33	3.89
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.06	3.91	3.58	4.03	2.87	4.00	3.55	3.63	4.04	4.18	4.05	4.01
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.29	4.08	3.94	4.24	2.96	4.20	3.64	3.73	4.15	4.26	4.28	4.17
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.29	4.03	3.79	4.18	2.85	4.30	3.70	3.76	4.25	4.05	4.33	4.18
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.25	4.16	3.04	3.48	3.73	4.19	3.78	3.33	4.07	4.45	3.96	3.89
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.39	4.38	3.22	4.21	3.88	4.46	3.81	3.69	4.31	4.52	4.11	4.26
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.30	4.12	4.00	4.19	3.66	4.33	3.95	3.89	4.28	4.38	4.25	4.17
8	Trial Management	4.34	4.12	3.55	3.87	3.62	4.30	3.78	3.76	4.13	4.37	4.24	4.12
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.84	3.84	3.43	4.03	3.33	3.99	3.70	3.70	4.05	4.29	4.16	3.92
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.31	3.89	3.77	4.19	2.77	4.27	3.74	3.78	4.26	4.31	4.17	4.26
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.29	4.31	2.77	3.37	3.56	4.38	3.79	3.39	4.21	4.54	4.20	3.81

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	47.71	38.61	49.38	56.41	42.86	58.75	33.33	30.63	50.00	49.25	42.45	44.74
		NO	52.29	61.39	50.62	43.59	57.14	41.25	66.67	69.37	50.00	50.75	57.55	55.26
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	93.33	92.08	74.68	94.67	65.45	88.61	82.41	79.63	96.08	93.85	96.12	94.74
		NO	6.67	7.92	25.32	5.33	34.55	11.39	17.59	20.37	3.92	6.15	3.88	5.26

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351
		Paul W. Korslund (Dist. 1)	Randall L. Lippstreu (Dist. 12)	James D. Livingston (Dist. 9)	Teresa K. Luther* (Dist. 9)	John P. Murphy* (Dist. 11)	Ronald D. Olberding (Dist. 8)	Michael J. Owens (Dist. 5)	Darvid D. Quist (Dist. 6)	Maurice S. Redmond (Dist. 6)	Patrick G. Rogers* (Dist. 7)	Donald E. Rowlands Dist. 11)	John E. Samson (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	4.18	4.30	3.82	3.92	3.83	3.95	4.05	3.84	2.42	3.88	3.88	4.30
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.35	4.38	3.71	4.00	3.29	4.09	4.00	3.78	2.47	4.05	3.52	4.43
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.53	4.51	3.85	4.27	3.64	4.26	4.28	3.98	2.69	4.25	3.91	4.42
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.64	4.62	3.67	4.43	3.36	4.38	4.41	3.99	2.49	4.20	4.16	4.61
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.38	4.44	3.94	4.16	4.01	4.23	4.29	4.02	2.12	4.23	4.17	4.53
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.42	4.62	4.30	4.40	4.19	4.40	4.39	4.18	2.86	4.43	4.35	4.53
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.52	4.56	4.16	4.40	3.47	4.21	4.43	4.15	2.74	4.36	4.12	4.50
8	Trial Management	4.35	4.43	4.07	4.22	3.92	4.25	4.37	4.03	2.55	4.25	4.07	4.48
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.24	4.37	3.89	4.07	3.76	3.93	4.14	3.75	2.25	3.96	3.78	4.25
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.49	4.47	3.91	4.31	3.25	4.32	4.33	3.95	2.60	4.21	3.75	4.52
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.39	4.85	3.90	4.29	4.00	4.08	4.38	4.07	1.33	4.43	4.38	4.60
11A	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	98.86	97.67	97.10	96.63	95.45	98.63	97.80	91.01	29.03	96.72	97.50	96.15
	NO	1.14	2.33	2.90	3.37	4.55	1.37	2.20	8.99	70.97	3.28	2.50	3.85
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	37.96	60.00	61.04	50.00	54.46	40.23	46.79	27.45	43.24	55.56	61.29	33.33
	NO	62.04	40.00	38.96	50.00	45.54	59.77	53.21	72.55	56.76	44.44	38.71	66.67
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)												
	YES	96.26	96.36	90.79	94.06	78.22	98.82	94.44	85.15	32.00	93.15	90.22	100.00
	NO	3.74	3.64	9.21	5.94	21.78	1.18	5.56	14.85	68.00	6.85	9.78	0.00

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		352	353
		Brian C. Silverman (Dist. 12)	Robert R. Steinke (Dist. 5)
1	Legal Analysis	3.59	4.43
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.24	4.41
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.67	4.60
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.67	4.63
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.91	4.50
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.01	4.55
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.99	4.57
8	Trial Management	3.87	4.52
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.65	4.49
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.59	4.55
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.43	4.33
11A	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)		
	YES	83.64	98.06
	NO	16.36	1.94
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)		
	YES	54.79	42.02
	NO	45.21	57.98
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)		
	YES	81.43	98.28
	NO	18.57	1.72

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412
		Larry F. Fugit (Dist. 2)	Todd J. Hutton (Dist. 2)	John F. Steinheider (Dist. 2)	Robert C. Wester* (Dist. 2)	Edna Atkins (Dist. 4)	Lawrence E. Barrett* (Dist. 4)	Joseph P. Caniglia (Dist. 4)	Samuel V. Cooper (Dist. 4)	Marcena M. Hendrix (Dist. 4)	John E. Huber (Dist. 4)	Darryl R. Lowe (Dist. 4)	Jeffrey Marcuzzo* (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	3.74	4.05	4.15	3.71	3.51	3.38	3.94	2.82	3.92	3.43	3.17	3.88
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.77	4.15	4.10	3.68	3.98	3.29	4.09	3.32	3.99	3.19	3.33	3.96
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.86	4.25	4.27	3.95	4.16	3.42	4.29	3.53	4.19	3.36	3.52	4.11
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.87	4.29	4.09	3.62	4.14	2.93	4.34	3.34	4.23	2.89	3.09	4.16
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.02	4.22	4.35	3.75	3.88	3.54	4.11	3.27	3.94	3.63	3.50	4.17
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.09	4.21	4.42	3.54	4.00	3.84	4.24	3.49	3.83	3.78	3.49	4.25
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.94	4.24	4.38	3.85	3.94	3.51	4.17	2.82	4.07	3.40	3.44	4.13
8	Trial Management	4.01	4.22	4.39	3.89	3.92	3.60	4.16	3.12	4.04	3.58	3.50	4.21
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.90	4.12	4.32	3.79	3.66	3.39	4.04	3.02	3.95	3.43	3.34	3.95
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.95	4.27	4.11	3.83	4.20	3.14	4.26	3.39	4.16	3.02	3.21	4.14
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.99	4.27	4.42	3.87	3.89	3.75	4.22	3.37	4.04	3.65	3.53	4.21

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)												
	YES	56.16	58.46	55.67	55.00	96.91	95.52	96.76	94.58	95.07	97.00	95.02	94.20
	NO	43.84	41.54	44.33	45.00	3.09	4.48	3.24	5.42	4.93	3.00	4.98	5.80
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)												
	YES	91.22	97.66	94.74	84.38	88.36	73.10	96.13	56.57	88.50	69.04	77.66	93.56
	NO	8.78	2.34	5.26	15.63	11.64	26.90	3.87	43.43	11.50	30.96	22.34	6.44

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		413	414	415	416
		Thomas G. McQuade (Dist. 4)	Jane H. Prochaska (Dist. 4)	Stephen M. Swartz (Dist. 4)	Lyn V. White (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	3.65	3.00	3.72	2.80
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.49	2.81	3.34	2.35
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.62	2.88	3.42	2.56
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.36	2.92	2.65	2.69
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.74	3.24	3.64	3.16
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.73	3.28	3.90	3.15
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.60	3.08	3.74	3.19
8	Trial Management	3.76	3.16	3.73	3.12
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.64	3.04	3.68	2.95
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.46	2.98	2.84	2.51
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.67	3.27	3.72	3.19

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	95.05	92.54	95.24	92.56
		NO	4.95	7.46	4.76	7.44
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	80.37	62.33	72.95	41.28
		NO	19.63	37.67	27.05	58.72

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (3rd District)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		417	418	419	420	421	422
		Mary L. Doyle (Dist. 3)	James L. Foster* (Dist. 3)	Jack B. Lindner* (Dist. 3)	Jean A. Lovell (Dist. 3)	Gale Pokorny* (Dist. 3)	Laurie J. Yardley* (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.53	3.84	3.36	3.52	3.48	3.78
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.31	3.99	3.59	3.76	3.17	3.81
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.51	4.28	3.82	3.96	3.34	4.13
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.05	4.20	3.68	3.77	3.19	4.13
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.79	4.13	3.39	3.81	3.75	4.04
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.90	4.19	3.42	4.02	3.92	4.16
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.73	4.07	3.47	3.92	3.71	4.07
8	Trial Management	3.79	4.09	3.43	3.84	3.70	4.02
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.55	3.86	3.42	3.72	3.53	3.73
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.45	4.06	3.66	3.93	3.25	4.08
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.88	4.20	3.47	3.88	3.79	4.12
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)						
	YES	87.39	81.82	87.41	90.91	91.27	84.75
	NO	12.61	18.18	12.59	9.09	8.73	15.25
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)						
	YES	83.33	95.42	75.57	85.19	78.86	94.69
	NO	16.67	4.58	24.43	14.81	21.14	5.31

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	
		Graten D. Beavers (Dist. 9)	Daniel J. Beckwith* (Dist. 6)	Alan L. Brodbeck (Dist. 8)	David A. Bush (Dist. 9)	G. Glenn Camerer (Dist. 12)	Carlton E. Clark* (Dist. 11)	Cloyd Clark (Dist. 11)	Thomas H. Dorwart* (Dist. 12)	Curtis H. Evans* (Dist. 5)	Kent E. Florom (Dist. 11)	James T. Hansen (Dist. 12)	Gary F. Hatfield (Dist. 5)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.67	4.19	4.02	3.79	3.64	3.76	3.32	3.31	3.20	3.33	3.64	3.53	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.47	4.31	3.94	3.40	4.05	3.91	3.60	3.36	3.64	3.04	3.86	3.82	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.70	4.47	4.20	3.86	4.09	4.16	3.94	3.58	3.91	3.31	3.97	4.14	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.40	4.53	4.22	3.54	4.26	4.00	3.64	3.39	3.98	3.35	4.14	4.24	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.90	4.46	4.21	4.04	3.88	4.09	3.87	3.64	3.80	3.62	3.93	4.00	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.12	4.52	4.37	4.19	3.93	4.24	4.00	3.67	4.11	3.94	3.97	4.00	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.84	4.59	4.23	3.81	4.14	4.14	3.57	3.61	3.89	3.33	4.21	4.02	
8	Trial Management	3.83	4.44	4.19	3.80	3.93	3.95	3.67	3.54	3.74	3.65	4.07	3.98	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.65	4.29	4.09	3.81	3.73	3.85	3.50	3.18	3.37	3.30	3.64	3.62	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.59	4.50	4.21	3.55	4.07	4.13	3.59	3.34	4.05	3.25	4.24	4.19	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.00	4.46	4.43	4.00	3.90	4.13	3.43	3.58	4.38	3.22	4.00	4.57	
11A	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	98.63	100.00	95.74	94.12	94.12	94.59	95.00	88.00	93.02	93.33	84.62	95.12
		NO	1.37	0.00	4.26	5.88	5.88	5.41	5.00	12.00	6.98	6.67	15.38	4.88
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	65.12	36.84	49.06	78.57	83.72	57.78	65.22	57.14	61.11	77.36	79.31	56.25
		NO	34.88	63.16	50.94	21.43	16.28	42.22	34.78	42.86	38.89	22.64	20.69	43.75
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	84.71	98.31	94.64	89.29	93.02	88.89	82.61	73.53	77.36	75.00	90.32	93.88
		NO	15.29	1.69	5.36	10.71	6.98	11.11	17.39	26.47	22.64	25.00	9.68	6.12

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445		
	Robert A. Ide* (Dist. 10)	Gerald R. Jorgensen, Jr. (Dist. 9)	Richard W. Krepela (Dist. 7)	Douglas L. Luebe (Dist. 6)	James L. Macken (Dist. 12)	Phillip M. Martin, Jr. (Dist. 9)	Curtis L. Maschman (Dist. 1)	J. Patrick McArdle* (Dist. 1)	Patrick R. McDermott (Dist. 5)	Marvin V. Miller (Dist. 5)	Michael Offner* (Dist. 10)		
1	Legal Analysis	3.98	3.95	3.73	3.96	3.44	3.98	4.15	3.67	4.33	3.54	4.12	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.95	3.90	3.66	4.00	2.83	4.04	4.17	3.31	4.38	3.43	4.20	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.26	4.14	3.84	4.21	3.34	4.23	4.38	3.43	4.62	3.76	4.49	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.21	4.08	4.00	4.29	3.17	4.45	4.53	4.05	4.65	3.80	4.57	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.78	3.23	4.00	4.19	3.43	4.15	4.36	4.02	4.55	3.40	4.15	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.23	3.01	4.23	4.63	3.76	4.13	4.51	4.13	4.65	3.49	4.38	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.30	4.07	4.04	4.57	3.46	4.26	4.49	3.86	4.52	3.80	4.55	
8	Trial Management	4.07	3.83	4.02	4.33	3.69	4.13	4.33	3.90	4.52	3.62	4.33	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.95	3.91	3.89	3.96	3.37	3.94	4.11	3.68	4.41	3.61	4.25	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.10	4.11	4.00	4.25	3.32	4.30	4.43	3.41	4.51	3.78	4.35	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.98	3.36	4.06	4.43	3.83	4.14	4.14	3.94	4.62	3.70	4.31	
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	77.05	71.23	74.14	64.29	80.95	83.33	63.83	48.21	54.72	50.00	77.27
		NO	22.95	28.77	25.86	35.71	19.05	16.67	36.17	51.79	45.28	50.00	22.73
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	95.08	91.67	83.05	89.66	70.73	96.23	95.65	85.71	98.08	81.63	100.00
		NO	4.92	8.33	16.95	10.34	29.27	3.77	4.35	14.29	1.92	18.37	0.00

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	
		Jack Robert Ott* (Dist. 10)	Charles Plantz (Dist. 12)	Kurt T. Reger* (Dist. 6)	Phillip R. Riley (Dist. 7)	Gerald E. Rouse (Dist. 5)	C. Matthew Samuelson (Dist. 6)	August F. Schuman* (Dist. 8)	Frank J. Skorupa* (Dist. 5)	Donna F. Taylor* (Dist. 7)	Steven B. Timm (Dist. 1)	Kent D. Turnbull (Dist. 11)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.59	4.23	3.86	3.33	3.14	4.12	2.63	3.75	3.55	4.06	3.96	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.84	4.10	3.91	2.94	2.99	4.23	3.17	3.66	4.18	3.92	3.88	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.11	4.40	3.86	3.13	3.06	4.36	3.58	3.88	4.35	4.20	4.22	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.77	4.33	3.69	3.20	2.54	4.52	3.58	4.07	4.43	4.05	4.14	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.74	4.07	4.09	3.77	3.76	4.16	3.47	4.22	3.98	4.21	4.23	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.95	3.80	4.37	3.96	3.87	4.30	3.40	4.34	4.41	4.13	4.42	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.01	4.23	4.17	3.69	3.46	4.27	3.32	4.02	4.24	4.17	4.31	
8	Trial Management	3.78	4.07	4.11	3.72	3.61	4.21	3.07	4.20	4.08	4.10	4.19	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.54	4.00	4.03	3.42	3.24	4.10	2.66	3.90	3.80	4.13	4.02	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.94	4.27	3.63	3.22	2.87	4.23	3.52	3.93	4.22	4.09	4.10	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.90	3.77	4.31	3.94	3.74	4.33	3.52	4.13	4.38	4.27	4.33	
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	71.23	73.33	55.88	83.02	44.93	50.00	66.67	80.00	84.78	58.46	84.00
		NO	28.77	26.67	44.12	16.98	55.07	50.00	33.33	20.00	15.22	41.54	16.00
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	87.67	96.77	88.89	74.07	65.15	95.56	55.17	90.24	89.80	95.45	95.92
		NO	12.33	3.23	11.11	25.93	34.85	4.44	44.83	9.76	10.20	4.55	4.08

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience. Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		457	458
		C.G. Wallace III* (Dist. 12)	Gary G. Washburn (Dist. 8)
1	Legal Analysis	3.75	3.93
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.82	4.09
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.91	4.37
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.07	4.04
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.23	4.09
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.34	4.25
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.18	4.26
8	Trial Management	4.16	4.16
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.71	3.91
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.07	4.18
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.00	4.30

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	72.73	46.55
		NO	27.27	53.45
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	95.45	92.98
		NO	4.55	7.02

*Retention Date 11/2004

Nebraska Separate Juvenile Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	
		Elizabeth G. Crnkovich* (Dist. 4)	Vernon Daniels* (Dist. 4)	Thomas B. Dawson (Dist. 3)	Lawrence D. Gendler (Dist. 2)	Douglas F. Johnson* (Dist. 4)	Christopher Kelly* (Dist. 4)	Robert B. O'Neal (Dist. 2)	Linda S. Porter (Dist. 3)	Wadie Thomas Jr.* (Dist. 4)	Toni G. Thorson* (Dist. 3)	
1	Legal Analysis	3.44	3.82	3.80	4.42	4.27	3.81	3.67	3.85	4.09	3.93	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.07	3.89	3.66	4.43	4.27	3.92	3.71	3.85	4.10	3.85	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.36	4.13	3.91	4.48	4.35	4.12	3.84	4.15	4.31	4.26	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.80	3.94	3.83	4.60	4.44	4.02	3.49	4.06	4.06	4.10	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.08	3.50	4.25	4.49	4.20	3.98	3.64	4.06	4.19	2.85	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	2.95	3.62	4.37	4.52	4.23	4.14	3.06	4.13	4.34	2.97	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.39	3.93	4.02	4.59	4.37	4.16	3.94	4.24	4.04	4.05	
8	Trial Management	3.41	3.67	4.03	4.49	4.23	4.10	3.70	4.08	4.23	3.60	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.31	3.74	3.83	4.41	4.24	3.98	3.76	4.06	4.12	3.80	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.98	4.01	3.84	4.50	4.43	4.15	3.55	4.04	4.20	4.23	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.27	3.85	4.17	4.48	4.40	4.17	3.53	4.14	4.31	3.02	
12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	89.81	94.06	89.86	65.15	90.20	93.62	68.63	94.83	96.59	92.31
		NO	10.19	5.94	10.14	34.85	9.80	6.38	31.37	5.17	3.41	7.69
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	62.86	92.86	92.75	98.44	94.00	91.49	86.27	92.86	97.65	90.63
		NO	37.14	7.14	7.25	1.56	6.00	8.51	13.73	7.14	2.35	9.38

*Retention Date 11/2004

Workers' Compensation Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		601	602	603	604	605	606	607
		Ronald L. Brown*, Omaha	Michael P. Cavel*, Omaha	James R. Coe*, Omaha	James M. Fitzgerald, Lincoln	Michael K. High, Lincoln	John R. Hoffert*, Lincoln	Laureen K. Van Norman, Lincoln
1	Legal Analysis	3.90	4.03	3.62	3.53	3.89	4.15	3.51
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.75	3.49	3.41	3.46	3.89	4.06	3.81
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.06	3.51	3.57	3.97	4.21	4.25	4.04
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.08	2.61	2.88	3.72	4.20	4.48	4.11
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.19	4.04	3.87	3.72	3.65	4.11	3.96
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.35	4.23	4.14	4.23	4.27	4.39	4.23
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.19	3.88	3.82	4.00	4.11	4.34	4.03
8	Trial Management	4.23	3.91	3.77	3.83	4.04	4.31	4.06
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.07	3.92	3.78	3.59	3.99	4.30	3.64
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.06	2.82	3.13	3.85	4.07	4.43	4.09
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.13	4.08	3.99	3.72	3.03	3.81	3.87
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)							
		YES	88.42	74.29	75.79	78.85	95.00	92.59
	NO	11.58	25.71	24.21	21.15	5.00	7.41	14.58

*Retention Date 11/2004

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

U.S. District
Judges

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

	701	702	703	704	705	706
	Joseph F. Bataillon	Richard G. Kopf	Thomas M. Shanahan	Laurie Smith Camp	Lyle E. Strom	Warren K. Urborn
1 Legal Analysis	4.02	4.34	4.18	4.01	4.49	4.56
2 Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.97	4.12	4.15	4.03	4.47	4.53
3 Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.21	4.32	4.27	4.24	4.59	4.55
4 Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.20	4.28	4.16	4.26	4.56	4.73
5 Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.17	4.37	4.14	4.25	4.50	4.61
6 Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.36	4.50	4.37	4.37	4.64	4.73
7 Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.25	4.47	4.30	4.28	4.59	4.65
8 Trial Management	4.29	4.44	4.28	4.13	4.55	4.67
9 Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.07	4.37	4.25	4.07	4.47	4.61
10 Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.17	4.34	4.32	4.28	4.61	4.66
11 Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.08	4.38	4.21	4.21	4.53	4.63

Characteristics

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		Magistrate Judges		Bankruptcy Court Judge		
		707	708	709	710	
		F. A. Gossett III	David L. Piester	Thomas D. Thalken	Timothy J. Mahoney	
1	Legal Analysis	4.41	3.97	4.04	4.38	
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.49	3.97	4.13	4.21	
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.56	4.18	4.26	4.37	
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.44	3.91	4.10	4.29	
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.48	4.22	4.23	4.45	
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.59	4.35	4.46	4.55	
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.55	4.23	4.19	4.43	
8	Trial Management	4.47	4.15	4.24	4.41	
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.41	4.04	4.07	4.35	
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.55	4.15	4.22	4.41	
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.49	4.30	4.18	4.45	
12	In your opinion, should this judge be reappointed to the office? (Circle appropriate answer)					
		YES	97.64	88.11	90.54	96.45
		NO	2.36	11.89	9.46	3.55

Social Security Administration Law Judges

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		801	802	803
		Jan E. Dutton	Ronald D. Lahners	Emily C. Shattil
1	Legal Analysis	3.37	4.14	4.22
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.00	4.06	4.17
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.20	4.20	4.30
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.08	4.27	4.10
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.47	3.88	4.08
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.94	4.41	4.46
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.45	4.18	4.16
8	Trial Management	3.35	4.19	4.24
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.58	4.19	4.25
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.10	4.16	4.29
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.58	4.07	4.27



NEBRASKA

State Bar Association