

2008 Judicial Performance Evaluation

Nebraska State Bar Association

Nebraska Supreme Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		101	102	103	104	105	106	107
		William M. Connolly (Dist. 5)	John M. Gerrard (Dist. 3)	Michael G. Heavican (statewide)	Michael McCormack (Dist. 4)	Lindsey Miller-Lerman* (Dist. 2)	Kenneth C. Stephan (Dist. 1)	John F. Wright (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.97	4.15	3.73	3.68	3.93	4.12	3.79
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.06	4.12	3.68	3.92	4.09	4.17	3.90
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.28	4.39	4.17	3.97	4.32	4.36	4.13
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.00	4.22	3.84	3.77	4.04	4.17	3.85
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.26	4.37	4.10	4.04	4.27	4.34	3.96
6	observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.26	4.34	4.07	4.15	4.26	4.33	4.05
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.14	4.20	4.01	4.00	4.14	4.19	4.05

8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	90.80%	91.61%	84.49%	88.92%	90.28%	92.65%	87.17%
		NO	9.20%	8.39%	15.51%	11.08%	9.72%	7.35%	12.83%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska Court of Appeals

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

	201	202	203	204	205	206
	Theodore L. Carlson* (Dist. 2)	William B. Cassel* (Dist. 3)	Everett O. Inbody (Dist. 5)	John F. Irwin* (Dist. 4)	Frankie J. Moore (Dist. 6)	Richard D. Sievers* (Dist. 1)

Characteristics

1	Legal Analysis	3.70	3.95	3.78	4.08	3.90	3.93
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.87	4.00	3.99	4.12	4.07	3.90
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.04	4.14	4.02	4.27	4.21	4.10
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.73	3.94	3.83	4.06	3.94	3.92
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.02	4.06	4.15	4.23	4.25	3.89
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.98	4.07	4.07	4.17	4.18	3.93
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.96	4.11	3.98	4.17	4.11	4.09

8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)							
		YES	87.83%	90.35%	90.66%	92.50%	93.89%	87.85%
		NO	12.17%	9.65%	9.34%	7.50%	6.11%	12.15%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska District Court Districts 2 and 4

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310
		David K. Arterburn* (Dist. 2)	Randall L. Rehmeier (Dist. 2)	William B. Zastera (Dist. 2)	W. Mark Ashford (Dist. 4)	Peter C. Bataillon (Dist. 4)	W. Russell Bowie III (Dist. 4)	J. Michael Coffey* (Dist. 4)	J. Russell Derr (Dist. 4)	Sandra L. Dougherty* (Dist. 4)	James T. Gleason (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.01	4.40	3.86	3.76	3.90	3.88	3.92	4.19	4.29	3.74
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.04	4.44	4.08	3.73	4.03	3.96	3.93	4.18	4.21	3.69
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.18	4.55	4.20	3.96	4.23	4.05	4.21	4.38	4.30	3.94
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.23	4.64	4.27	3.95	4.27	4.17	4.19	4.49	4.34	3.83
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.15	4.49	4.08	3.78	3.92	4.04	4.04	4.22	4.36	3.94
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.41	4.60	4.35	3.99	4.05	4.14	4.20	4.38	4.47	4.06
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.27	4.63	4.22	3.97	4.22	4.09	4.15	4.38	4.38	3.93
8	Trial Management	4.24	4.54	4.25	3.87	4.09	4.03	4.19	4.26	4.38	3.98
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.08	4.44	3.95	3.78	3.92	3.96	3.96	4.25	4.30	3.83
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.21	4.61	4.15	3.95	4.19	4.16	4.15	4.37	4.36	3.86
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.25	4.56	4.14	3.85	3.93	4.13	3.99	4.30	4.35	3.94

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	49.69%	39.80%	52.00%	94.31%	92.48%	94.52%	94.14%	92.17%	93.05%	95.09%
		NO	50.31%	60.20%	48.00%	5.69%	7.52%	5.48%	5.86%	7.83%	6.95%	4.91%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	92.41%	97.34%	92.19%	91.58%	90.68%	96.23%	91.28%	95.27%	93.03%	89.72%
		NO	7.59%	2.66%	7.81%	8.42%	9.32%	3.77%	8.72%	4.73%	6.97%	10.28%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska District Court District 4 (con't)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319
		John D. Hartigan, Jr. (Dist. 4)	Patricia A. Lamberty* (Dist. 4)	Gerald E. Moran* (Dist. 4)	J. Patrick Mullen (Dist. 4)	Thomas A. Otepka (Dist. 4)	Marlon A. Polk* (Dist. 4)	Gary B. Randall* (Dist. 4)	Gregory M. Schatz (Dist. 4)	Joseph S. Troia (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	3.95	3.85	4.17	4.00	4.34	3.50	3.79	3.45	3.82
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.00	3.94	4.05	4.12	4.37	3.80	3.69	3.51	3.92
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.14	4.12	4.15	4.18	4.46	3.94	3.86	3.71	4.10
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.91	4.13	4.07	4.07	4.56	4.01	3.74	3.57	4.15
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.14	4.08	4.34	4.12	4.35	3.72	3.85	3.84	4.11
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.29	4.17	4.37	4.22	4.46	3.89	3.70	3.91	4.16
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.06	4.14	4.18	4.16	4.49	3.90	3.91	3.70	4.02
8	Trial Management	4.08	4.08	4.27	4.15	4.42	3.78	3.95	3.85	4.08
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.05	3.97	4.15	4.02	4.37	3.62	3.82	3.62	3.92
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.06	4.18	4.02	4.19	4.48	4.05	3.83	3.70	4.07
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.15	4.16	4.30	4.14	4.42	3.81	3.87	3.88	4.05

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	95.64%	93.09%	94.74%	95.77%	96.80%	94.54%	93.85%	95.00%	94.74%
		NO	4.36%	6.91%	5.26%	4.23%	3.20%	5.46%	6.15%	5.00%	5.26%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	90.23%	90.74%	91.37%	92.52%	97.83%	81.20%	85.48%	81.25%	92.75%
		NO	9.77%	9.26%	8.63%	7.48%	2.17%	18.80%	14.52%	18.75%	7.25%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska District Court District 3

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		320	321	322	323	324	325
		Steven D. Burns (Dist. 3)	Jeffre Chevront (Dist. 3)	John A. Colborn (Dist. 3)	Karen B. Flowers (Dist. 3)	Paul D. Merritt, Jr. (Dist. 3)	Jodi Nelson (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.53	3.92	3.85	4.15	4.28	3.80
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.22	3.90	3.97	4.13	4.20	3.88
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.53	4.11	4.24	4.32	4.22	4.06
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.12	4.11	4.35	4.26	3.98	4.19
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.92	4.13	4.18	4.25	4.30	4.16
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.07	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.41	4.32
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.91	4.15	4.19	4.30	4.30	4.22
8	Trial Management	3.82	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.26	4.03
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.59	3.97	3.98	4.16	4.20	3.89
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.39	4.00	4.26	4.14	4.09	4.12
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	3.89	4.14	4.18	4.21	4.24	4.16

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	80.38%	77.48%	81.58%	75.56%	78.79%	82.53%
		NO	19.62%	22.52%	18.42%	24.44%	21.21%	17.47%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	74.75%	92.99%	96.17%	95.37%	92.75%	89.38%
		NO	25.25%	7.01%	3.83%	4.63%	7.25%	10.63%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska District Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335
		Daniel E. Bryan, Jr.* (Dist. 1)	Vicky L. Johnson (Dist. 1)	Paul W. Korslund* (Dist. 1)	Mary C. Gilbride* (Dist. 5)	Alan G. Gless (Dist. 5)	Michael J. Owens (Dist. 5)	Robert R. Steinke (Dist. 5)	William Binkard* (Dist. 6)	Darvid D. Quist* (Dist. 6)	John E. Samson (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.73	3.69	4.05	3.87	3.70	4.13	4.56	3.46	4.10	4.37
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.69	3.79	4.19	3.85	3.59	4.27	4.57	3.59	4.03	4.35
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.88	3.89	4.36	4.00	3.76	4.37	4.70	3.82	4.26	4.48
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.60	3.90	4.46	3.77	3.82	4.46	4.67	3.54	4.24	4.51
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.97	4.03	4.10	4.03	3.78	4.30	4.57	3.99	4.13	4.43
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.11	4.26	4.23	3.96	4.04	4.42	4.61	4.19	4.37	4.64
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.76	4.14	4.37	4.05	3.98	4.38	4.71	3.92	4.25	4.52
8	Trial Management	3.77	3.99	4.23	4.05	3.88	4.40	4.60	3.70	4.21	4.41
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.68	3.82	4.08	3.94	3.70	4.11	4.57	3.56	4.10	4.35
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.63	3.97	4.37	4.05	3.80	4.34	4.65	3.71	4.20	4.51
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.07	4.19	4.27	4.03	3.65	4.35	4.65	4.04	4.19	4.50

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	37.78%	36.08%	40.18%	41.23%	30.00%	37.60%	37.50%	52.70%	37.14%	46.05%
		NO	62.22%	63.92%	59.82%	58.77%	70.00%	62.40%	62.50%	47.30%	62.86%	53.95%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	85.07%	90.43%	98.17%	90.91%	84.11%	92.68%	97.60%	81.43%	92.16%	90.67%
		NO	14.93%	9.57%	1.83%	9.09%	15.89%	7.32%	2.40%	18.57%	7.84%	9.33%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska District Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344
		Robert B. Ensz (Dist. 7)	Patrick G. Rogers (Dist. 7)	Mark D. Kozisek* (Dist. 8)	Karin L. Noakes (Dist. 8)	John P. Icenogle (Dist. 9)	James D. Livingston* (Dist. 9)	Teresa K. Luther (Dist. 9)	Terri S. Harder* (Dist. 10)	Stephen R. Illingworth (Dist. 10)
1	Legal Analysis	4.13	4.11	3.95	3.53	4.20	4.05	3.93	4.06	3.71
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.19	4.32	4.01	3.85	4.03	3.99	3.89	4.08	3.79
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.41	4.48	4.20	4.11	4.33	4.10	4.19	4.26	4.06
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.39	4.48	3.84	3.97	4.21	3.86	4.27	4.26	3.83
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.31	4.31	4.21	3.75	3.97	4.16	4.10	4.17	3.77
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.53	4.50	4.41	4.05	4.21	4.42	4.25	4.46	4.20
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.39	4.41	4.32	4.11	4.26	4.15	4.20	4.37	4.03
8	Trial Management	4.36	4.39	4.21	3.91	4.18	4.19	4.09	4.22	4.04
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.27	4.30	3.99	3.75	4.08	3.98	3.97	4.15	3.81
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.36	4.49	4.17	4.04	4.23	4.06	4.23	4.37	4.03
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.39	4.43	4.28	4.08	4.17	4.33	4.21	4.21	3.72

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	51.67%	61.39%	44.30%	35.53%	46.79%	58.95%	50.85%	50.49%	50.96%
		NO	48.33%	38.61%	55.70%	64.47%	53.21%	41.05%	49.15%	49.51%	49.04%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	92.31%	95.00%	87.65%	86.67%	94.50%	93.41%	85.59%	94.12%	87.50%
		NO	7.69%	5.00%	12.35%	13.33%	5.50%	6.59%	14.41%	5.88%	12.50%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska District Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		345	346	347	348	349	350	351
		James E. Doyle, IV (Dist. 11)	John P. Murphy (Dist. 11)	Donald E. Rowlands* (Dist. 11)	David Urbom (Dist. 11)	Kristine R. Cecava* (Dist. 12)	Randall L. Lippstreu* (Dist. 12)	Brian C. Silverman* (Dist. 12)
1	Legal Analysis	4.34	3.85	3.92	4.08	3.12	4.51	3.76
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.36	3.44	3.75	4.23	3.18	4.43	3.41
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.53	3.83	4.07	4.45	3.40	4.60	3.66
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.54	3.46	4.29	4.62	3.45	4.72	3.65
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.11	4.04	4.21	4.38	3.03	4.62	3.83
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.43	4.27	4.45	4.59	3.20	4.74	4.14
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.49	3.59	4.31	4.54	3.54	4.63	4.04
8	Trial Management	4.40	3.96	4.22	4.30	3.26	4.65	4.00
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.36	3.82	4.01	4.18	3.09	4.61	3.69
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.56	3.44	4.04	4.49	3.17	4.63	3.60
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.14	4.09	4.27	4.42	2.78	4.58	3.53

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	52.87%	54.81%	52.94%	67.21%	56.67%	75.76%	68.12%
		NO	47.13%	45.19%	47.06%	32.79%	43.33%	24.24%	31.88%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	93.90%	76.70%	89.90%	96.61%	57.47%	96.88%	83.58%
		NO	6.10%	23.30%	10.10%	3.39%	42.53%	3.13%	16.42%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court Districts 2 and 4

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408
		Todd J. Hutton* (Dist. 2)	John F. Steinheider (Dist. 2)	Robert C. Wester (Dist. 2)	Edna R. Atkins* (Dist. 4)	Lawrence E. Barrett (Dist. 4)	Joseph P. Caniglia* (Dist. 4)	Marcena M. Hendrix* (Dist. 4)	John E. Huber* (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.15	4.04	3.86	3.71	3.36	4.01	3.87	3.58
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.09	3.88	3.82	4.02	3.30	4.09	3.96	3.47
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.23	3.98	4.02	4.11	3.41	4.21	4.13	3.53
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.34	3.73	3.72	4.17	3.02	4.26	4.20	3.24
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.31	4.16	3.85	3.91	3.53	4.11	3.96	3.80
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.31	4.21	3.70	3.96	3.10	4.25	3.76	3.92
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.32	4.11	3.95	4.01	3.48	4.14	4.11	3.66
8	Trial Management	4.34	4.10	3.98	3.94	3.58	4.11	4.07	3.75
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.26	4.08	3.93	3.87	3.46	4.12	3.98	3.67
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.33	3.84	3.94	4.19	3.24	4.22	4.12	3.40
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.34	4.23	3.93	4.00	3.66	4.19	3.95	3.81

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	58.13%	60.71%	59.88%	95.59%	96.00%	94.30%	94.93%	95.63%
		NO	41.88%	39.29%	40.12%	4.41%	4.00%	5.70%	5.07%	4.37%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	91.72%	86.24%	88.17%	90.15%	73.37%	94.71%	89.35%	80.88%
		NO	8.28%	13.76%	11.83%	9.85%	26.63%	5.29%	10.65%	19.12%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court District 4 (con't)

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

409	410	411	412	413	414
Darryl R. Lowe* (Dist. 4)	Jeffrey Marcuzzo (Dist. 4)	Craig Q. McDermott* (Dist. 4)	Thomas G. McQuade* (Dist. 4)	Stephen M. Swartz* (Dist. 4)	Lyn V. White* (Dist. 4)

Characteristics

1	Legal Analysis	2.87	3.63	3.94	3.52	3.51	2.57
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	2.82	3.53	3.98	3.27	3.19	2.28
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	2.97	3.74	4.08	3.44	3.18	2.47
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.60	3.55	4.11	3.13	2.52	2.56
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.19	3.90	4.04	3.55	3.52	2.90
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.20	3.97	4.15	3.65	3.78	3.06
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.11	3.81	4.07	3.42	3.58	2.98
8	Trial Management	3.27	3.87	4.05	3.55	3.56	2.91
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.04	3.71	3.98	3.50	3.47	2.76
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.61	3.61	4.08	3.31	2.80	2.46
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.15	3.89	4.07	3.59	3.60	2.90

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	93.64%	92.18%	94.50%	93.25%	92.56%	89.24%
		NO	6.36%	7.82%	5.50%	6.75%	7.44%	10.76%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	59.91%	82.85%	94.47%	75.55%	67.23%	40.00%
		NO	40.09%	17.15%	5.53%	24.45%	32.77%	60.00%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court District 3

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

415	416	417	418	419	420
Mary L. Doyle (Dist. 3)	James L. Foster (Dist. 3)	Jean A. Lovell* (Dist. 3)	Gale Pokorny (Dist. 3)	Susan I. Strong (Dist. 3)	Laurie J. Yardley (Dist. 3)

Characteristics

		415	416	417	418	419	420
1	Legal Analysis	3.44	3.96	3.65	3.27	3.71	3.92
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.19	4.11	3.94	2.97	3.97	4.06
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.21	4.27	4.05	3.03	4.07	4.29
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.98	4.27	3.96	2.96	4.17	4.31
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.75	4.23	3.64	3.60	3.98	4.12
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	3.83	4.30	4.01	3.90	4.19	4.32
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.55	4.12	3.98	3.41	4.14	4.23
8	Trial Management	3.69	4.12	3.80	3.53	3.98	4.11
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.44	3.95	3.79	3.25	3.80	3.98
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.17	4.06	3.95	2.91	4.21	4.27
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	3.77	4.24	3.89	3.76	4.13	4.22

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	92.66%	85.62%	92.93%	85.83%	86.36%	86.57%
		NO	7.34%	14.38%	7.07%	14.17%	13.64%	13.43%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	72.90%	95.89%	90.00%	67.72%	91.74%	96.92%
		NO	27.10%	4.11%	10.00%	32.28%	8.26%	3.08%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

		421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429
Characteristics		Curtis L. Maschman* (Dist. 1)	J. Patrick McArdle (Dist. 1)	Steven B. Timm* (Dist. 1)	Curtis H. Evans (Dist. 5)	Patrick R. McDermott* (Dist. 5)	Marvin V. Miller* (Dist. 5)	Gerald E. Rouse (Dist. 5)	Linda S. Caster Seniff* (Dist. 5)	Frank J. Skorupa (Dist. 5)
1	Legal Analysis	4.25	3.76	4.03	3.33	4.25	3.80	3.24	4.03	3.55
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.34	3.28	3.96	3.55	4.26	3.74	3.04	4.08	3.41
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.45	3.59	4.17	3.84	4.42	3.98	3.20	4.23	3.66
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.60	3.47	4.13	3.87	4.54	3.91	2.63	4.23	3.72
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.43	4.03	4.21	3.87	4.60	3.63	3.71	4.21	3.98
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.53	4.16	4.17	4.18	4.61	3.51	3.97	4.32	4.05
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.47	3.95	4.13	3.98	4.48	3.97	3.49	4.27	3.61
8	Trial Management	4.40	4.05	4.18	3.83	4.48	3.98	3.68	4.21	3.93
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.22	3.80	4.12	3.44	4.31	3.77	3.33	4.10	3.59
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.53	3.36	4.20	4.02	4.45	4.08	2.94	4.23	3.67
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.39	4.02	4.24	4.00	4.55	3.91	3.78	4.18	3.97

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	50.00%	47.62%	56.34%	62.26%	50.00%	41.54%	41.77%	42.62%	62.30%
		NO	50.00%	52.38%	43.66%	37.74%	50.00%	58.46%	58.23%	57.38%	37.70%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	98.36%	84.38%	95.71%	80.39%	94.44%	89.06%	63.29%	98.39%	91.67%
		NO	1.64%	15.63%	4.29%	19.61%	5.56%	10.94%	36.71%	1.61%	8.33%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	439
		Douglas L. Luebe (Dist. 6)	Kurt T. Rager (Dist. 6)	C. Matthew Samuelson* (Dist. 6)	Kenneth Vampola* (Dist. 6)	Richard W. Krepela (Dist. 7)	Ross A. Stoffer (Dist. 7)	Donna F. Taylor (Dist. 7)	Alan L. Brodbeck (Dist. 8)	Gary G. Washburn* (Dist. 8)
1	Legal Analysis	3.78	3.77	4.10	3.90	3.66	4.07	3.78	3.92	4.10
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.74	3.40	4.34	3.83	3.41	4.27	4.11	3.94	4.12
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.93	3.42	4.53	3.86	3.49	4.34	4.33	4.23	4.29
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.78	3.07	4.50	3.83	3.84	4.48	4.34	4.30	4.26
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.05	3.93	4.22	4.05	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.35	4.14
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.28	4.19	4.28	3.95	4.34	4.47	4.48	4.42	4.28
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.24	3.74	4.41	4.10	4.03	4.41	4.31	4.32	4.31
8	Trial Management	4.06	3.95	4.31	3.97	4.20	4.23	4.24	4.30	4.30
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.93	3.79	4.31	3.88	3.89	4.02	4.16	4.08	4.07
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.79	3.19	4.49	3.69	3.75	4.23	4.25	4.15	4.24
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.12	4.05	4.40	3.86	4.05	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.33

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	57.89%	60.47%	47.76%	53.66%	70.00%	88.64%	75.00%	55.77%	45.61
		NO	42.11%	39.53%	52.24%	46.34%	30.00%	11.36%	25.00%	44.23%	54.39
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	85.33%	76.74%	98.53%	87.80%	67.80%	95.45%	95.24%	90.38%	89.66
		NO	14.67%	23.26%	1.47%	12.20%	32.20%	4.55%	4.76%	9.62%	10.34

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448
		Graten D. Beavers (Dist. 9)	David A. Bush* (Dist. 9)	Gerald R. Jorgensen, Jr. (Dist. 9)	Philip M. Martin, Jr. (Dist. 9)	Robert A. Ide (Dist. 10)	Michael L. Offner (Dist. 10)	Jack R. Ott (Dist. 10)	Carlton E. Clark (Dist. 11)	Kent E. Florum (Dist. 11)
1	Legal Analysis	3.95	3.63	3.94	3.95	3.82	4.13	3.68	3.85	3.33
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.44	3.57	4.06	3.95	3.94	4.28	3.96	3.90	3.16
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.63	3.67	4.26	4.13	4.23	4.38	4.17	4.06	3.35
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.41	3.58	4.15	4.22	4.27	4.49	3.90	3.89	3.40
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.10	3.75	3.43	3.91	3.65	3.97	3.63	4.25	3.67
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.26	3.93	3.01	4.00	4.11	4.37	4.03	4.41	3.72
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.08	3.69	4.00	4.05	4.23	4.48	4.06	4.08	3.53
8	Trial Management	4.12	3.71	4.16	3.91	3.85	4.15	3.77	4.11	3.76
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.91	3.69	3.89	3.98	3.86	4.08	3.68	4.00	3.35
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.64	3.62	4.13	3.94	4.15	4.27	4.04	3.96	3.28
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.29	3.80	3.26	3.96	3.74	4.03	3.86	4.24	3.65

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	62.82%	76.67%	69.57%	81.82%	62.90%	64.79%	64.79%	60.38%	70.73%
		NO	37.18%	23.33%	30.43%	18.18%	37.10%	35.21%	35.21%	39.62%	29.27%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	88.31%	81.36%	92.54%	83.02%	83.05%	91.30%	82.86%	88.68%	81.40%
		NO	11.69%	18.64%	7.46%	16.98%	16.95%	8.70%	17.14%	11.32%	18.60%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska County Court All Other Districts

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	
	Edward D. Steenburg* (Dist. 11)	Kent D. Turnbull* (Dist. 11)	G. Glenn Camerer (Dist. 12)	James T. Hansen* (Dist. 12)	Charles Plantz* (Dist. 12)	Randin Roland (Dist. 12)	James M. Worden* (Dist. 12)	
1	Legal Analysis	4.06	3.76	3.73	3.59	4.00	3.84	3.76
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.04	3.62	3.91	3.77	4.03	3.78	3.84
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.18	3.85	4.11	3.92	4.11	4.05	4.14
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.32	3.97	4.25	4.08	4.14	4.02	4.14
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.24	3.97	4.07	3.92	3.97	4.16	4.00
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.32	4.13	4.19	3.95	3.78	4.20	4.11
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.24	4.13	4.13	4.08	4.03	4.09	4.20
8	Trial Management	4.18	4.05	4.13	3.95	4.03	4.07	3.98
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.00	3.86	3.71	3.53	3.97	3.95	3.65
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.16	3.81	4.00	4.03	4.14	3.93	4.06
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.26	4.09	4.15	3.85	3.89	4.07	4.06

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	74.00%	64.71%	83.64%	76.92%	74.29%	75.00%	89.58%
		NO	26.00%	35.29%	16.36%	23.08%	25.71%	25.00%	10.42%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	95.74%	89.55%	92.45%	89.74%	94.29%	83.72%	91.67%
		NO	4.26%	10.45%	7.55%	10.26%	5.71%	16.28%	8.33%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Nebraska Separate Juvenile Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

		501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510
Characteristics		Lawrence D. Gendler* (Dist. 2)	Robert B. O'Neal (Dist. 2)	Roger J. Heideman (Dist. 3)	Linda S. Porter* (Dist. 3)	Toni G. Thorson (Dist. 3)	Elizabeth G. Crnkovich (Dist. 4)	Vernon Daniels (Dist. 4)	Douglas F. Johnson (Dist. 4)	Christopher Kelly (Dist. 4)	Wadie Thomas (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.58	3.87	3.86	4.22	3.49	3.08	4.05	4.20	3.94	4.01
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.50	3.79	4.09	3.92	3.32	2.45	4.16	4.18	3.96	4.21
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.69	4.06	4.20	4.16	3.66	2.89	4.33	4.41	4.15	4.29
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.75	3.91	4.53	4.10	3.67	2.20	4.28	4.50	4.20	4.10
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.66	3.89	4.41	4.12	2.02	2.58	3.97	4.25	4.20	4.32
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.72	3.72	4.51	4.27	2.00	2.43	4.08	4.32	4.31	4.40
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.71	4.09	4.11	4.24	3.54	3.16	4.18	4.35	4.18	4.12
8	Trial Management	4.66	4.07	4.07	4.06	2.82	3.00	4.06	4.20	4.14	4.20
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.58	4.09	3.85	4.06	3.40	3.05	4.11	4.22	4.10	4.13
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.59	4.11	4.27	4.08	3.77	2.23	4.28	4.37	4.21	4.15
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.65	4.02	4.40	4.18	2.13	2.74	4.17	4.32	4.26	4.30

12	Is your principal practice in this judge's district? (Circle the appropriate answer)	YES	71.64%	76.09%	93.02%	90.00%	90.74%	89.26%	94.64%	94.69%	94.55%	95.15%
		NO	28.36%	23.91%	6.98%	10.00%	9.26%	10.74%	5.36%	5.31%	5.45%	4.85%
13	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	98.48%	89.13%	100.00%	98.00%	55.36%	42.37%	94.44%	92.59%	91.35%	93.81%
		NO	1.52%	10.87%	0.00%	2.00%	44.64%	57.63%	5.56%	7.41%	8.65%	6.19%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Workers' Compensation Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

601	602	603	604	605	606	607
Ronald L. Brown, Omaha	Michael P. Cavel, Omaha	James R. Coe, Omaha	James M. Fitzgerald, Lincoln	Michael K. High*, Lincoln	John R. Hoffert, Lincoln	Laureen K. Van Norman*, Lincoln

Characteristics

1	Legal Analysis	4.07	4.11	3.73	3.74	3.87	4.39	3.73
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.69	3.62	3.51	3.47	3.93	4.17	3.81
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.29	3.79	3.81	4.04	4.17	4.45	4.32
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.21	2.71	2.97	3.99	4.16	4.72	4.31
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.18	4.17	4.02	3.84	3.40	4.37	3.99
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.49	4.34	4.29	4.17	4.27	4.54	4.40
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.31	3.95	3.91	4.08	4.16	4.57	4.17
8	Trial Management	4.31	3.93	3.93	3.96	3.99	4.43	4.05
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.06	4.09	3.81	3.71	3.97	4.39	3.86
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.06	3.05	3.32	3.92	4.18	4.61	4.29
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.11	4.19	4.01	3.75	2.97	4.17	3.98

12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)								
		YES	93.52%	76.52%	80.18%	82.95%	92.94%	98.91%	92.63%
		NO	6.48%	23.48%	19.82%	17.05%	7.06%	1.09%	7.37%

*Retention Date 11/2008

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

U.S. District Judges

		701	702	703	704	705
Characteristics		Joseph F. Bataillon	Richard G. Kopf	Laurie Smith Camp	Lyle E. Strom	Warren K. Urbom
1	Legal Analysis	4.08	4.45	4.14	4.55	4.54
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.05	4.27	4.14	4.63	4.65
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.30	4.44	4.30	4.70	4.75
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.20	4.22	4.39	4.67	4.73
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.27	4.47	4.36	4.61	4.63
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.36	4.57	4.51	4.68	4.68
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.33	4.49	4.34	4.61	4.59
8	Trial Management	4.34	4.50	4.33	4.62	4.57
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.06	4.45	4.20	4.57	4.62
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.19	4.26	4.43	4.63	4.69
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.22	4.48	4.41	4.60	4.65

Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

		Magistrate Judges			Bankruptcy Court Judges	
		706	707	708	709	710
		F. A. Gossett III	David L. Piester	Thomas D. Thalken	Timothy J. Mahoney	Thomas L. Saladino
Characteristics						
1	Legal Analysis	4.29	4.18	4.25	4.42	4.42
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.40	4.17	4.30	4.36	4.40
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.46	4.27	4.45	4.48	4.43
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.46	4.10	4.19	4.38	4.39
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.45	4.28	4.38	4.43	4.39
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.57	4.51	4.54	4.49	4.43
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.43	4.36	4.32	4.47	4.38
8	Trial Management	4.49	4.34	4.42	4.41	4.43
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.34	4.19	4.25	4.39	4.45
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.42	4.31	4.41	4.41	4.36
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.48	4.36	4.39	4.42	4.43

12	In your opinion, should this judge be reappointed to the office? (Circle appropriate answer)	YES	92.45%	91.39%	96.63%	95.76%	96.05%
		NO	7.55%	8.61%	3.37%	4.24%	3.95%

Social Security Administration Law Judges

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

Characteristics

		801	802	803	804	805
		G. Roderic Anderson	Jan E. Dutton	James F. Gillet	Ronald D. Lahners	Emily C. Shattil
1	Legal Analysis	4.47	3.31	3.23	3.95	4.12
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.56	2.93	2.73	3.79	4.27
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.56	3.20	2.82	3.88	4.29
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.59	2.82	2.55	4.02	4.07
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.15	3.19	3.05	3.55	3.60
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.44	3.95	3.77	4.26	4.27
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.47	3.32	3.55	4.05	4.17
8	Trial Management	4.41	3.28	3.32	3.90	4.15
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.47	3.24	3.18	3.91	4.20
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.38	3.05	2.82	3.98	4.10
11	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner? (Circle the appropriate answer)	4.47	3.32	3.00	3.67	4.02



NEBRASKA

State Bar Association