

# Nebraska Supreme Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		101	102	103	104	105	106	107
		William M. Connolly* (Dist. 5)	John M. Gerrard* (Dist. 3)	Michael G. Heavican* (statewide)	Michael McCormack (Dist. 4)	Lindsey Miller-Lerman (Dist. 2)	Kenneth C. Stephan (Dist. 1)	John F. Wright* (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.98	4.23	3.83	3.64	3.80	4.13	3.83
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.05	4.14	3.81	3.87	4.00	4.11	3.92
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	4.24	4.43	4.29	3.98	4.32	4.40	4.20
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.00	4.22	3.88	3.68	3.93	4.18	3.91
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.24	4.42	4.27	4.10	4.32	4.39	4.07
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.23	4.37	4.23	4.23	4.29	4.37	4.17
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.23	4.27	4.24	4.16	4.21	4.29	4.23
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 90.6% No 9.4%	Yes 92.3% No 7.7%	Yes 87.2% No 12.8%	Yes 88.3% No 11.7%	Yes 90.2% No 9.8%	Yes 93.2% No 6.8%	Yes 89.4% No 10.6%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska Court of Appeals

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items #1-8 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		201	202	203	204	205	206
		Theodore L. Carlson (Dist. 2)	William B. Cassel (Dist. 3)	Everett O. Inbody* (Dist. 5)	John F. Irwin (Dist. 4)	Frankie J. Moore* (Dist. 6)	Richard D. Sievers (Dist. 1)
1	Legal Analysis	3.60	3.81	3.74	3.98	3.75	3.81
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.82	3.92	3.99	4.08	3.94	3.84
3	Attentiveness: oral arguments	3.98	4.17	4.12	4.21	4.17	4.13
4	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.65	3.88	3.84	4.01	3.79	3.88
5	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.14	4.04	4.18	4.28	4.26	3.96
6	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.08	4.01	4.11	4.18	4.18	3.94
7	Does the judge do his/her work in a prompt and timely manner?	4.05	4.14	4.14	4.12	4.13	4.20
8	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle the appropriate answer)	Yes 88.6% No 11.4%	Yes 92.6% No 7.4%	Yes 89.8% No 10.2%	Yes 93.7% No 6.3%	Yes 91.5% No 8.5%	Yes 85.3% No 14.7%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska District Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310
		David K. Arterburn (Dist. 2)	Max Kelch* (Dist. 2)	Randall L. Rehmeier (Dist. 2)	William B. Zastera (Dist. 2)	W. Mark Ashford* (Dist. 4)	Peter C. Bataillon* (Dist. 4)	W. Russell Bowie III* (Dist. 4)	J. Michael Coffey (Dist. 4)	J. Russell Derr (Dist. 4)	James T. Gleason (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.16	4.07	4.41	3.77	3.75	3.95	3.80	3.89	4.13	3.61
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.16	4.15	4.42	3.97	3.87	4.04	3.96	3.96	4.13	3.58
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.39	4.37	4.58	4.27	4.17	4.28	4.20	4.19	4.38	3.87
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.43	4.20	4.62	4.20	4.08	4.21	4.12	4.23	4.42	3.67
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.16	4.21	4.49	3.96	3.77	3.91	3.99	3.92	4.19	6.81
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.40	4.48	4.61	4.35	3.98	4.05	4.22	4.20	4.33	4.09
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.37	4.39	4.58	4.08	4.02	4.18	4.08	4.11	4.39	3.84
8	Trial Management	4.25	4.21	4.55	4.10	3.96	4.11	3.94	4.11	4.20	3.79
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.22	4.04	4.44	3.83	3.80	3.94	3.79	3.85	4.18	3.68
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.45	4.21	4.59	4.22	4.11	4.15	4.17	4.15	4.34	3.70
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.39	4.42	4.52	4.08	3.77	3.86	4.00	3.83	4.16	3.80
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 96.2% No 3.8%	Yes 95.2% No 4.8%	Yes 98.9% No 1.1%	Yes 93.3% No 6.7%	Yes 90.8% No 9.2%	Yes 90.3% No 9.7%	Yes 93.6% No 6.4%	Yes 91.8% No 8.2%	Yes 93.5% No 6.5%	Yes 81.6% No 18.4%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska District Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320
		John D. Hartigan, Jr. (Dist. 4)	Patricia A. Lamberty (Dist. 4)	Gerald E. Moran (Dist. 4)	J. Patrick Mullen (Dist. 4)	Thomas A. Otepka (Dist. 4)	Marlon A. Polk (Dist. 4)	Gary B. Randall (Dist. 4)	Leigh Ann Retelsdorf (Dist. 4)	Gregory M. Schatz* (Dist. 4)	Joseph S. Troia (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	3.97	4.01	4.33	3.96	4.35	3.46	3.91	4.11	3.52	3.67
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.99	4.05	4.09	4.05	4.36	3.93	3.86	4.11	3.53	3.85
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.15	4.24	4.31	4.25	4.47	4.11	4.14	4.26	3.83	4.09
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.91	4.24	4.12	4.07	4.54	4.11	4.00	4.19	3.54	4.04
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.13	4.15	4.39	4.03	4.37	3.74	3.88	4.13	3.87	4.00
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.26	4.33	4.41	4.21	4.45	3.94	3.95	4.20	3.94	4.16
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.99	4.23	4.26	4.15	4.45	3.95	4.06	4.22	3.67	3.96
8	Trial Management	4.05	4.13	4.30	4.14	4.38	3.87	4.03	4.10	3.82	4.03
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.01	4.03	4.22	3.99	4.34	3.70	3.89	4.04	3.62	3.79
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.07	4.22	4.08	4.19	4.49	4.15	3.95	4.17	3.69	4.05
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.11	4.16	4.35	4.08	4.36	3.88	3.83	4.15	3.88	3.97
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 89.3% No 10.7%	Yes 92.8% No 7.2%	Yes 92.8% No 7.2%	Yes 93.5% No 6.5%	Yes 98.7% No 1.3%	Yes 86.4% No 13.6%	Yes 87.9% No 12.1%	Yes 97.1% No 2.9%	Yes 83.6% No 16.4%	Yes 91.4% No 8.6%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska District Court (3rd District)

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		321	322	323	324	325	326	327
		Steven D. Burns (Dist. 3)	Jeffre Chevront* (Dist. 3)	John A. Colborn* (Dist. 3)	Karen B. Flowers (Dist. 3)	Paul D. Merritt, Jr.* (Dist. 3)	Jodi Nelson* (Dist. 3)	Robert R. Otte (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.38	3.93	3.99	4.28	4.47	3.75	3.56
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.25	3.92	4.09	4.21	4.36	3.89	3.88
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.63	4.17	4.28	4.38	4.39	4.08	4.19
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.11	4.11	4.45	4.20	4.29	4.05	4.26
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.88	4.13	4.25	4.24	4.32	4.19	3.90
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.13	4.24	4.35	4.35	4.44	4.36	4.27
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.80	4.11	4.23	4.41	4.54	4.14	4.10
8	Trial Management	3.87	4.09	4.19	4.37	4.41	4.07	4.01
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.65	3.92	4.03	4.21	4.46	3.91	3.69
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.46	3.98	4.33	4.14	4.33	4.06	4.20
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.89	4.07	4.18	4.09	4.25	4.11	3.93
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer; )	Yes 75.6% No 24.4%	Yes 91.4% No 8.6%	Yes 95.5% No 4.5%	Yes 97.0% No 3.0%	Yes 96.4% No 3.6%	Yes 88.4% No 11.6%	Yes 92.9% No 7.1%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337
		Daniel E. Bryan, Jr. (Dist. 1)	Vicky L. Johnson (Dist. 1)	Paul W. Korslund (Dist. 1)	Mary C. Gilbride (Dist. 5)	Alan G. Gless* (Dist. 5)	Michael J. Owens (Dist. 5)	Robert R. Steinke (Dist. 5)	William Binkard (Dist. 6)	Darvid D. Quist (Dist. 6)	John E. Samson (Dist. 6)
1	Legal Analysis	3.83	3.49	4.21	3.75	3.70	4.15	4.48	3.15	3.85	4.38
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.75	3.71	4.27	3.85	3.77	4.06	4.49	3.31	3.90	4.33
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.91	3.82	4.45	3.81	3.99	4.31	4.57	3.56	4.02	4.44
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.69	3.76	4.59	3.73	3.91	4.36	4.58	3.31	3.91	4.43
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.96	3.93	4.28	3.96	3.88	4.26	4.41	3.57	3.88	4.43
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.24	4.17	4.38	3.92	4.14	4.41	4.47	4.06	4.11	4.46
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.90	3.94	4.46	3.97	4.08	4.38	4.60	3.63	4.05	4.56
8	Trial Management	3.91	3.95	4.36	3.88	4.03	4.34	4.56	3.50	3.87	4.37
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.80	3.69	4.16	3.80	3.82	4.24	4.58	3.15	3.78	4.36
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.64	3.72	4.38	3.99	4.00	4.37	4.62	3.55	4.00	4.40
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.25	3.91	4.30	3.91	3.85	4.29	4.50	3.79	3.89	4.44
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 80.9% No 19.1%	Yes 85.9% No 14.1%	Yes 94.8% No 5.2%	Yes 80.0% No 20.0%	Yes 89.0% No 11.0%	Yes 88.9% No 11.1%	Yes 96.7% No 3.3%	Yes 64.9% No 35.1%	Yes 83.6% No 16.4%	Yes 94.5% No 5.5%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347
		Robert B. Enszt (Dist. 7)	James G. Kube (Dist. 7)	Mark D. Kozisek (Dist. 8)	Karin L. Noakes* (Dist. 8)	John P. Icenogle (Dist. 9)	James D. Livingston (Dist. 9)	Teresa K. Luther* (Dist. 9)	William T. Wright* (Dist. 9)	Terri S. Harder (Dist. 10)	Stephen R. Illingworth* (Dist. 10)
1	Legal Analysis	4.20	4.39	4.20	3.32	4.14	4.02	3.99	3.58	4.18	3.81
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.07	4.45	3.98	3.55	3.99	3.64	3.95	3.65	4.22	3.92
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.29	4.56	4.08	3.78	4.19	4.00	4.32	3.92	4.36	4.24
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.30	4.58	4.04	3.53	4.22	3.84	4.26	3.40	4.40	3.92
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.41	4.52	4.32	3.45	3.73	4.08	4.17	3.70	4.23	3.72
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.58	4.74	4.38	3.76	4.08	4.44	4.29	3.98	4.46	4.20
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.40	4.74	4.35	3.77	4.16	4.05	4.34	3.87	4.46	4.10
8	Trial Management	4.33	4.55	4.30	3.64	4.14	4.18	4.13	3.62	4.31	4.01
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.16	4.47	4.20	3.49	4.11	3.93	4.11	3.69	4.20	3.81
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.36	4.58	4.04	3.54	4.11	4.02	4.41	3.65	4.42	4.15
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.40	4.53	4.17	3.79	3.97	4.29	4.22	3.74	4.30	3.73
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 86.4% No 13.6%	Yes 97.4% No 2.6%	Yes 94.2% No 5.8%	Yes 74.2% No 25.8%	Yes 86.7% No 13.3%	Yes 86.5% No 13.5%	Yes 86.5% No 13.5%	Yes 72.6% No 27.4%	Yes 93.8% No 6.3%	Yes 88.6% No 11.4%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska District Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 district court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355
		James E. Doyle, IV* (Dist. 11)	John P. Murphy* (Dist. 11)	Donald E. Rowlands (Dist. 11)	David Urbom* (Dist. 11)	Leo Dobrovolny* (Dist. 12)	Randall L. Lippstreu (Dist. 12)	Brian C. Silverman (Dist. 12)	Derek C. Weimer (Dist. 12)
1	Legal Analysis	4.41	3.37	3.94	4.06	4.00	4.50	3.07	3.97
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.26	3.00	3.77	4.19	4.23	4.60	2.91	4.31
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.42	3.31	4.03	4.37	4.30	4.67	3.42	4.46
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.54	2.97	4.08	4.62	4.44	4.64	3.20	4.54
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.89	3.59	4.02	4.21	4.07	4.55	3.41	4.31
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.29	4.00	4.31	4.38	4.42	4.69	3.71	4.51
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.34	3.21	4.06	4.36	4.40	4.62	3.61	4.54
8	Trial Management	4.23	3.62	4.03	4.27	4.15	4.51	3.64	4.35
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.26	3.46	3.90	4.02	4.00	4.54	3.32	4.03
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.45	3.17	4.10	4.38	4.31	4.59	3.18	4.49
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.95	3.64	4.15	4.11	3.88	4.62	3.11	4.14
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 95.8% No 4.2%	Yes 60.8% No 39.2%	Yes 89.7% No 10.3%	Yes 96.4% No 3.6%	Yes 93.6% No 6.4%	Yes 100.0% No 0.0%	Yes 70.8% No 29.2%	Yes 97.4% No 2.6%

\*Retention Date 11/2010



# Nebraska County Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410
		Jeffrey J. Funke* (Dist. 2)	Todd J. Hutton (Dist. 2)	John F. Steinheider (Dist. 2)	Robert C. Wester* (Dist. 2)	Edna Atkins (Dist. 4)	Lawrence E. Barrett* (Dist. 4)	Susan Bazis* (Dist. 4)	Joseph P. Caniglia (Dist. 4)	Marcena M. Hendrix (Dist. 4)	John E. Huber (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.33	4.41	4.14	3.89	3.58	3.40	4.17	3.93	3.73	3.75
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.34	4.43	3.99	3.87	4.08	3.40	4.28	4.21	3.88	3.73
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.51	4.55	4.13	4.13	4.25	3.64	4.44	4.33	4.15	3.89
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.54	4.57	3.85	3.70	4.20	3.13	4.44	4.40	4.16	3.58
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.46	4.47	4.23	4.02	3.79	3.66	4.19	4.14	3.83	3.91
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.52	4.48	4.27	3.88	4.02	3.98	4.35	4.33	3.61	4.07
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.47	4.51	4.08	4.03	3.95	3.56	4.35	4.15	4.03	3.82
8	Trial Management	4.33	4.40	4.21	4.09	3.88	3.65	4.24	4.11	3.87	3.86
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.33	4.32	4.15	3.87	3.66	3.51	4.07	4.06	3.65	3.64
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.55	4.58	3.93	3.88	4.23	3.35	4.25	4.32	4.03	3.74
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.51	4.52	4.25	4.00	3.97	3.81	4.29	4.24	3.85	3.97
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 98.0% No 2.0%	Yes 100.0% No 0.0%	Yes 92.0% No 8.0%	Yes 90.7% No 9.3%	Yes 88.2% No 11.8%	Yes 77.8% No 22.2%	Yes 97.3% No 2.7%	Yes 96.8% No 3.2%	Yes 85.6% No 15.4%	Yes 84.6% No 15.4%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska County Court (2nd & 4th Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		411	412	413	414	415	416
		Darryl R. Lowe (Dist. 4)	Jeffrey Marcuzzo* (Dist. 4)	Craig Q. McDermott (Dist. 4)	Thomas G. McQuade (Dist. 4)	Stephen M. Swartz (Dist. 4)	Lyn V. White (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	2.63	3.30	4.04	3.54	3.50	2.73
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	2.53	2.99	4.12	3.28	3.15	2.36
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	2.76	3.30	4.19	3.46	3.21	2.65
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	2.32	3.05	4.25	3.13	2.28	2.67
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	2.91	3.70	4.07	3.76	3.49	3.01
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	2.87	3.83	4.19	3.78	3.87	3.25
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	2.93	3.46	4.14	3.38	3.36	3.11
8	Trial Management	2.93	3.54	4.04	3.59	3.50	3.06
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	2.71	3.46	4.04	3.47	3.45	2.85
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	2.37	3.10	4.19	3.30	2.57	2.57
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	2.88	3.70	4.03	3.67	3.61	3.09
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 48.8% No 51.2%	Yes 65.2% No 34.8%	Yes 94.4% No 5.6%	Yes 73.0% No 27.0%	Yes 55.0% No 45.0%	Yes 44.4% No 55.6%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska County Court (3rd District)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		417	418	419	420	421	422
		Mary L. Doyle (Dist. 3)	James L. Foster* (Dist. 3)	Jean A. Lovell (Dist. 3)	Gale Pokorny* (Dist. 3)	Susan I. Strong* (Dist. 3)	Laurie J. Yardley* (Dist. 3)
1	Legal Analysis	3.66	4.07	3.78	3.32	3.87	3.91
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.27	4.11	4.05	2.94	4.09	3.86
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.53	4.37	4.18	3.08	4.25	4.22
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.01	4.28	4.06	3.06	4.32	4.20
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.95	4.31	3.78	3.68	4.21	4.13
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.00	4.30	4.22	4.05	4.29	4.23
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.62	4.20	4.04	3.49	4.30	4.12
8	Trial Management	3.87	4.27	3.97	3.51	4.12	4.11
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.75	4.00	3.89	3.41	3.96	3.88
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.39	4.13	4.14	2.94	4.22	4.07
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	3.89	4.24	3.93	3.72	4.20	4.11
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 80.5% No 19.5%	Yes 95.9% No 4.1%	Yes 89.7% No 10.3%	Yes 73.4% No 26.6%	Yes 88.5% No 11.5%	Yes 92.4% No 7.6%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431
		Curtis L. Maschman (Dist. 1)	J. Patrick McArdle* (Dist. 1)	Steven B. Timm (Dist. 1)	Curtis H. Evans* (Dist. 5)	Patrick R. McDermott (Dist. 5)	Marvin V. Miller (Dist. 5)	Gerald E. Rouse (Dist. 5)	Linda S. Caster Senff (Dist. 5)	Frank J. Skorupa* (Dist. 5)
1	Legal Analysis	4.25	3.92	3.91	3.43	4.16	3.91	2.99	4.07	3.75
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.18	3.42	3.93	3.59	4.21	3.89	2.85	4.25	3.61
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.40	3.62	4.02	3.70	4.50	4.11	3.11	4.39	3.80
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.51	3.61	4.02	3.91	4.41	4.20	2.45	4.35	3.70
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.43	4.08	4.09	3.98	4.39	3.70	3.42	4.33	4.36
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.55	4.39	4.16	4.29	4.58	3.57	3.69	4.57	4.28
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.35	4.11	4.02	3.98	4.45	4.11	3.21	4.36	4.03
8	Trial Management	4.36	4.19	4.07	3.77	4.52	4.03	3.29	4.28	4.24
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.25	3.94	4.02	3.57	4.33	3.97	3.12	4.13	4.05
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.38	3.50	4.05	3.89	4.41	4.00	2.73	4.32	3.75
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.25	4.24	4.16	4.04	4.53	4.05	3.59	4.45	4.20
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 98.6% No 2.4%	Yes 80.5% No 19.5%	Yes 82.6% No 17.4%	Yes 77.1% No 22.9%	Yes 97.5% No 2.5%	Yes 89.4% No 10.6%	Yes 51.4% No 48.6%	Yes 93.5% No 6.5%	Yes 87.8% No 12.2%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440
		Douglas L. Luebe (Dist. 6)	Kurt Rager* (Dist. 6)	C. Matthew Samuelson (Dist. 6)	Kenneth Vampola (Dist. 6)	Richard W. Krepela ( Dist. 7)	Ross A. Stoffer* (Dist. 7)	Donna F. Taylor* (Dist. 7)	Alan L. Brodbeck (Dist. 8)	Gary G. Washburn (Dist. 8)
1	Legal Analysis	3.83	3.72	4.07	4.07	3.93	3.88	3.70	4.21	4.22
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.64	3.54	4.16	3.84	3.79	4.03	3.95	4.04	4.29
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.92	3.69	4.43	4.29	3.82	4.22	4.08	4.04	4.40
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.75	3.25	4.34	4.13	4.03	4.28	4.19	4.09	4.45
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.09	3.94	4.20	4.23	4.18	3.84	4.16	4.26	4.02
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.33	4.22	4.23	4.23	4.38	4.09	4.46	4.38	4.26
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.13	3.94	4.39	4.42	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.33	4.33
8	Trial Management	4.18	3.78	4.15	4.16	4.22	4.15	4.20	4.30	4.24
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.91	3.74	4.11	4.18	3.97	3.97	3.92	4.00	4.10
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.79	3.58	4.27	4.19	4.21	4.16	4.05	4.15	4.34
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.28	4.14	4.39	3.87	4.28	4.03	4.32	4.32	4.33
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 81.8% No 18.2%	Yes 69.4% No 30.6%	Yes 91.1% No 8.9%	Yes 87.9% No 12.1%	Yes 82.9% No 17.1%	Yes 93.9% No 6.1%	Yes 86.1% No 13.9%	Yes 85.7% No 14.3%	Yes 93.3% No 6.7%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449
		Graten D. Beavers (Dist. 9)	David A. Bush (Dist. 9)	Gerald R. Jorgensen, Jr. (Dist. 9)	Philip M. Martin (Dist. 9)	Robert A. Ide* (Dist. 10)	Michael Offner* (Dist. 10)	Jack R. Ott* (Dist. 10)	Carlton E. Clark* (Dist. 11)	Kent E. Florom (Dist. 11)
1	Legal Analysis	3.58	3.54	4.03	3.91	3.88	3.98	3.78	3.95	3.30
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.19	3.22	3.94	3.83	4.05	4.19	4.02	4.10	2.76
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	3.43	3.55	4.24	4.07	4.33	4.29	4.14	4.29	3.00
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	3.18	3.34	4.19	4.20	4.36	4.52	3.96	4.08	2.83
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	3.91	3.74	3.24	3.82	3.53	3.60	3.46	4.24	3.47
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.07	4.12	2.81	4.20	4.08	4.14	4.05	4.36	3.66
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	3.82	3.71	4.21	4.07	4.24	4.33	4.12	4.15	3.23
8	Trial Management	3.94	3.82	4.02	4.04	3.94	4.13	3.75	4.10	3.53
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	3.75	3.55	3.97	3.83	3.98	3.96	3.81	4.00	3.40
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	3.37	3.44	4.15	3.98	4.22	4.33	4.16	4.12	3.06
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.12	3.93	3.17	3.89	3.89	3.95	3.84	4.20	3.54
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 75.0% No 25.0%	Yes 77.0% No 23.0%	Yes 95.1% No 4.9%	Yes 91.1% No 8.9%	Yes 94.5% No 5.5%	Yes 95.1% No 4.9%	Yes 86.2% No 13.8%	Yes 88.4% No 11.6%	Yes 46.2% No 53.8%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska County Court (All Other Districts)

Please read all instructions before beginning the evaluation.

Please rate up to 30 county court judges with whom you have professional experience.  
Rate each judge on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		450	451	452	453	454	455	456
		Anne Paine* (Dist. 11)	Edward D. Steenburg (Dist. 11)	Kent D. Turnbull (Dist. 11)	Russell W. Harford (Dist. 12)	Charles Plantz (Dist. 12)	Randin Roland* (Dist. 12)	James M. Worden (Dist. 12)
1	Legal Analysis	4.17	4.18	3.79	4.15	3.91	4.09	4.13
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.25	4.21	3.77	4.50	4.24	4.14	4.21
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.54	4.47	4.10	4.63	4.54	4.49	4.42
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.56	4.41	3.94	4.70	4.48	4.39	4.34
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.31	4.37	3.92	4.20	3.84	4.22	4.06
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.39	4.50	4.13	4.50	3.80	4.50	4.30
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.31	4.41	4.00	4.55	4.48	4.53	4.36
8	Trial Management	4.29	4.29	4.11	4.24	4.17	4.27	4.20
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.28	4.29	3.89	4.06	3.92	4.16	4.07
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.54	4.41	3.81	4.74	4.46	4.43	4.41
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.46	4.45	4.06	4.45	4.12	4.53	4.42
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 94.6% No 5.4%	Yes 97.5% No 2.5%	Yes 81.6% No 18.4%	Yes 100.0% No 0.0%	Yes 92.3% No 7.7%	Yes 94.1% No 5.9%	Yes 96.9% No 3.1%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Nebraska Separate Juvenile Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation.

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-13 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511
		Lawrence D. Gendler (Dist. 2)	Robert B. O'Neal (Dist. 2)	Roger J. Heideman* (Dist. 3)	Linda S. Porter (Dist. 3)	Reggie L. Ryder* (Dist. 3)	Toni G. Thorson* (Dist. 3)	Elizabeth G. Crnkovich* (Dist. 4)	Vernon Daniels* (Dist. 4)	Douglas F. Johnson* (Dist. 4)	Christopher Kelly* (Dist. 4)	Wadie Thomas* (Dist. 4)
1	Legal Analysis	4.51	3.65	4.18	4.08	3.51	3.80	2.80	3.97	4.03	3.96	4.00
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.53	3.76	4.34	3.74	3.39	3.76	2.23	3.97	4.02	4.04	4.20
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.66	4.17	4.44	3.97	3.90	4.05	2.88	4.26	4.53	4.33	4.33
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.72	3.86	4.63	3.62	3.76	3.93	2.00	4.28	4.38	4.26	4.20
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.60	3.81	4.51	4.27	3.85	2.33	2.35	3.63	3.98	4.06	4.22
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.76	3.84	4.68	4.33	3.88	2.10	2.23	3.88	4.15	4.24	4.36
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.62	4.05	4.33	4.21	3.85	3.78	2.96	4.07	4.29	4.16	4.07
8	Trial Management	4.66	3.85	4.32	4.14	3.78	2.92	2.60	3.81	4.13	4.03	4.04
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.46	3.89	4.23	4.03	3.66	3.51	2.94	3.90	4.17	3.98	3.96
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.57	3.93	4.50	3.95	3.90	3.88	2.03	4.14	4.35	4.19	4.25
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.68	3.88	4.65	4.46	4.08	2.48	2.41	3.90	4.18	4.19	4.20
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 98.2% No 1.8%	Yes 87.5% No 12.5%	Yes 98.0% No 2.0%	Yes 90.0% No 10.0%	Yes 90.4% No 9.6%	Yes 77.4% No 22.6%	Yes 37.3% No 62.7%	Yes 93.0% No 7.0%	Yes 94.2% No 5.8%	Yes 92.1% No 7.9%	Yes 96.9% No 3.1%

\*Retention Date 11/2010



# Workers' Compensation Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		601	602	603	604	605	606	607
		Ronald L. Brown*, Omaha	Michael P. Cavel*, Omaha	James R. Coe*, Omaha	J. Michael Fitzgerald, Lincoln	Michael K. High, Lincoln	John R. Hoffert*, Lincoln	Laureen K. Van Norman, Lincoln
1	Legal Analysis	4.02	4.09	3.74	3.48	3.84	4.61	3.52
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	3.75	3.48	3.73	3.44	4.00	4.45	3.81
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.19	3.74	3.95	3.96	4.39	4.58	4.15
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.18	2.49	3.13	3.82	4.34	4.76	4.33
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.29	4.30	4.24	3.66	3.18	4.56	3.95
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.55	4.49	4.40	4.26	4.55	4.59	4.45
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.26	3.96	4.02	4.00	4.25	4.62	4.09
8	Trial Management	4.30	3.95	3.97	3.64	4.17	4.56	4.22
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.12	4.18	3.94	3.46	4.04	4.70	3.83
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.15	2.93	3.50	3.89	4.32	4.59	4.31
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.17	4.39	4.18	3.59	2.81	4.30	3.87
12	In your opinion, should this judge be retained in office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 90.6% No 9.4%	Yes 71.7% No 28.3%	Yes 84.1% No 15.9%	Yes 78.9% No 21.1%	Yes 89.6% No 10.4%	Yes 98.8% No 1.2%	Yes 90.4% No 9.6%

\*Retention Date 11/2010

# Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

## U.S. District Judges

### Use this scale:

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

### Characteristics

		701	702	703	704	705
		Joseph F. Bataillon	Richard G. Kopf	Laurie Smith Camp	Lyle E. Strom	Warren K. Urborn
1	Legal Analysis	3.99	4.44	4.13	4.58	4.63
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.02	4.41	4.18	4.65	4.78
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.27	4.53	4.42	4.74	4.73
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.17	4.30	4.49	4.76	4.76
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.21	4.50	4.35	4.62	4.52
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.34	4.40	4.39	4.61	4.44
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.32	4.55	4.41	4.69	4.64
8	Trial Management	4.20	4.58	4.43	4.69	4.62
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.04	4.56	4.29	4.65	4.62
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.15	4.36	4.42	4.74	4.77
11	Performance of his/her work in a timely manner	4.13	4.57	4.39	4.65	4.59

# Federal Judges, Magistrates and Bankruptcy Court

Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-12 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		Magistrate Judges		Bankruptcy Court Judges	
		706	707	708	709
		F. A. Gossett III	Thomas D. Thalken	Timothy J. Mahoney	Thomas L. Saladino
1	Legal Analysis	4.17	4.20	4.37	4.36
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.24	4.38	4.34	4.42
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.44	4.54	4.55	4.55
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.39	4.40	4.43	4.44
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.41	4.42	4.53	4.59
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.58	4.59	4.55	4.63
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.44	4.45	4.52	4.58
8	Trial Management	4.50	4.42	4.44	4.44
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.30	4.36	4.37	4.38
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.32	4.45	4.45	4.51
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.52	4.48	4.58	4.58

12	In your opinion, should this judge be reappointed to the office? (Circle appropriate answer)	Yes 92.7%	Yes 95.0%	Yes 96.1%	Yes 94.2%
		No 7.3%	No 5.0%	No 3.9%	No 5.8%

# Social Security Administration Law Judges

*Please read all instructions before beginning your evaluation*

Please rate each judge with whom you have professional experience on items # 1-11 by writing one number in the appropriate space.

**Use this scale:**

- 5 = Excellent (performance is outstanding)
- 4 = Good (performance is above average)
- 3 = Satisfactory (performance is adequate)
- 2 = Deficient (performance is below average)
- 1 = Very Poor (performance is well below average and unacceptable)
- n = No Opinion

**Characteristics**

		801	802	803	804	805
		G. Roderic Anderson	Jan E. Dutton	Ronald D. Lahners	Matthew Levin	Emily C. Shattil
1	Legal Analysis	4.71	3.00	3.81	3.50	4.63
2	Impartiality: actions not affected by any outside influence or the nature of the case	4.75	2.79	3.50	3.50	4.44
3	Fairness: treats all equally, without regard to race, gender, age, national origin, religion, disability, sexual orientation or economic status	4.79	2.74	3.67	3.50	4.48
4	Judicial Temperament & Demeanor	4.88	2.59	4.07	3.50	4.29
5	Efficiency: Docket management and scheduling	4.71	3.62	3.65	3.50	4.23
6	Punctuality: attendance at court proceedings	4.82	4.19	4.30	3.50	4.65
7	Attentiveness: arguments and testimony	4.75	3.24	3.93	3.50	4.59
8	Trial Management	4.71	3.33	4.14	3.50	4.58
9	Opinions: quality and clarity of writing	4.71	3.21	3.93	3.50	4.48
10	Appropriate Communication: absence of undue personal observations or criticisms of litigants, judges or lawyers; from the bench or in written opinions	4.79	2.85	3.93	3.50	4.53
11	Performance of his/her work in a prompt and timely manner	4.79	3.68	3.80	3.50	4.53