

NENA Data Standards for the Provisioning and Maintenance of MSAG Files to VDBs and ERDBs

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.



NENA Data Standards for the Provisioning and Maintenance of MSAG Files to VDBs and ERDBs
NENA 02-013, Version 3, June 7, 2008

Prepared by:
National Emergency Number Association (NENA) Data Technical Committee,
VDB/MSAG Working Group

Published by NENA
Printed in USA

NENA TECHNICAL STANDARD DOCUMENT

NOTICE

The National Emergency Number Association (NENA) publishes this document as a guide for the designers and manufacturers of systems to utilize for the purpose of processing emergency calls. It is not intended to provide complete design specifications or parameters nor to assure the quality of performance of such equipment.

NENA reserves the right to revise this NENA STANDARD for any reason including, but not limited to:

- conformity with criteria or standards promulgated by various agencies
- utilization of advances in the state of the technical arts
- or to reflect changes in the design of equipment or services described herein.

It is possible that certain advances in technology will precede these revisions. Therefore, this NENA STANDARD should not be the only source of information used. NENA recommends that members contact their Telecommunications Carrier representative to ensure compatibility with the 9-1-1 network.

Patents may cover the specifications, techniques, or network interface/system characteristics disclosed herein. No license expressed or implied is hereby granted. This document shall not be construed as a suggestion to any manufacturer to modify or change any of its products, nor does this document represent any commitment by NENA or any affiliate thereof to purchase any product whether or not it provides the described characteristics.

This document has been prepared solely for the voluntary use of E9-1-1 Service System Providers, network interface and system vendors, participating telephone companies, etc.

By using this document, the user agrees that NENA will have no liability for any consequential, incidental, special, or punitive damages arising from use of the document.

NENA's Technical Committee has developed this document. Recommendations for change to this document may be submitted to:

National Emergency Number Association
4350 N Fairfax Dr, Suite 750
Arlington, VA 22203-1695
800-332-3911
or: commleadership@nena.org

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 2 of 67



Acknowledgments:

The National Emergency Number Association (NENA) Joint Data Technical/Operations VDB MSAG Working Group developed this document.

NENA recognizes the following industry experts and their companies for their contributions in development of this document.

Version 3, Approval Date, 06/07/2008

Members:	Company
Delaine Arnold, WG Leader and Data Technical Committee Chair	Arnold 9-1-1 Consulting
Erica Aubut, Data Technical Committee Vice-Chair	State of Vermont
Marc Berryman	Greater Harris County 9-1-1
Patty Bluhm	HBF Group
Maria Jacques	State of Maine

This committee would also thank Tom Breen, Technical Committee Chair/Liaison, Tony Busam, Technical Committee Vice-Chair/Liaison and Roger Hixson, Technical Issues Director, for their support and assistance. The committee/working group would also like to give a special thank you to Patty Bluhm and Roger Marshall for working so hard to develop the many exhibits associated with this document.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW.....6

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF DOCUMENT6

1.2 REASONS TO IMPLEMENT6

1.3 BENEFITS7

2 INTRODUCTION.....7

2.1 OPERATIONAL IMPACTS SUMMARY7

2.2 DOCUMENT TERMINOLOGY8

2.3 REASON FOR ISSUE/REISSUE8

2.4 DATE COMPLIANCE.....9

2.5 ANTICIPATED TIMELINE9

2.6 COST FACTORS9

2.7 COST RECOVERY CONSIDERATIONS.....9

2.8 ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS9

3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES10

3.1 MSAG SOURCE10

3.2 VALIDATION DATABASE (VDB) OPERATOR12

3.3 EMERGENCY SERVICES ZONE ROUTING DATABASE (ERDB) OPERATOR.....13

3.4 ROOT DISCOVERY OPERATOR (RDO).....14

4 SOURCING OF MSAG DATA FOR VDBS AND ERDBS.....14

4.1 PRE-I2 FAST TRACK.....14

4.2 IMPLEMENTING AND MAINTAINING LOCATION VALIDATION DATA (NENA I2).....15

5 PROVISIONING AND MAINTENANCE OF DATA IN VDB AND ERDB.....17

5.1 PROVISIONING DATA.....17

5.2 USER INTERFACE19

5.3 GENERAL MAINTENANCE.....20

5.4 DISCREPANCY HANDLING21

6 V7 REQUIREMENTS21

6.1 HOUSE NUMBER/RANGE VARIATIONS21

7 DISCREPANCY REPORTS.....22

7.1 V7 DISCREPANCY REPORT.....22

8 STATISTICAL REPORTS24

8.1 STATISTICS MAINTAINED BY VDB PROVIDERS.....24

8.2 STATISTICS MAINTAINED BY ERDB PROVIDERS24

8.3 MISMATCH REPORT24

9 RECOMMENDED READING AND REFERENCES.....25

10 PREVIOUS ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....25

APPENDIX A – DBMS MSAG SOURCE CHANGES28

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.



APPENDIX B - RULES FOR ADDRESS ABBREVIATION.....29

APPENDIX C – VDB AND ERDB DATA EXCHANGE FIELDS31

APPENDIX D – MSAG ADDRESSES, POSTAL ADDRESSES AND ADDRESS TRANSLATIONS35

APPENDIX E – FTP INTERFACE SPECIFICATION FOR MSAG FILES57

APPENDIX F – DELTA MSAG EXAMPLES FOR PRE-I264

APPENDIX G – ISSUES UNDER INVESTIGATION.....67

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.



1 Executive Overview

Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) is poised to become the predominant technology used in the telecommunications industry. As the public adopts VoIP, E9-1-1 calls will increasingly originate from VoIP users. Some VoIP telecommunications service provider networks, however, are not natively compatible with the existing E9-1-1 infrastructure. NENA is developing a view of migratory (i2) and long-term (i3) solutions that will continue to support location-based routing of E9-1-1 calls and delivery of location-related information to PSAPs. The migratory i2 solution (refer to NENA-08-001) makes use of a Validation Database (VDB) to ensure that civic location information representing VoIP end user locations is Master Street Address Guide (MSAG) valid, and includes an Emergency Service Zone Routing Database (ERDB) function used for real-time routing of E9-1-1 calls. The i3 solution is expected to have similar needs. The data in the VDB and ERDB are expected to be derived from the MSAG data used by conventional E9-1-1 System Service Providers (9-1-1SSP) to validate location information entered into the Automatic Location Identification (ALI) Databases.

1.1 Purpose and Scope of Document

This document provides general system and process requirements for the VDB, ERDB, and 9-1-1 Administrator to maintain the MSAG and the alternate address records required to perform their prescribed functions in the i2 architecture. This document also provides related technical and operational policy information from NENA to facilitate implementation of the i2 architecture.

This document is *not* a complete set of requirements, but instead provides insight to industry needs. It is expected that VDB and ERDB Operators will work in conjunction with their customers and 9-1-1 Administrators to further clarify additional requirements prior to development and/or implementation for local applications.

Due to fundamental differences in the operational and regulatory frameworks found in the U.S., this document does not currently apply in Canada.

1.2 Reasons to Implement

The following identify primary reasons to implement:

- Industry i2 architectural standards require VDBs and ERDBs to rely on MSAGs for properly validating civic addresses and routing emergency calls to the appropriate end-points (e.g., Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs), Emergency Control Centers (ECC), etc.).
- Related users and systems require methods by which the MSAG and the alternate address records are communicated and maintained in a synchronous fashion so as not to impact proper routing of emergency calls.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

1.3 Benefits

Compliance with the included requirements will:

- Assist in defining roles and responsibilities related to communication and maintenance of the MSAG and alternate address records.
- Assist in ensuring correct translations from civic addresses to MSAG valid addresses.
- Assist in maintaining synchronicity between systems (e.g., VDB, ERDB, 9-1-1 Administrator).
- Ensure VDBs and ERDBs provide MSAG valid addresses for selective routing and ALI display at the PSAP.

2 Introduction

2.1 Operational Impacts Summary

Operational impacts include, but are not limited to:

- **MSAG Synchronicity between Systems:** Due to the lack of a specified interface between the as defined VDB and ERDB elements, synchronicity issues for MSAG data distribution to diverse VDB and ERDB elements, and varying location matching algorithms within VDBs and ERDBs, it is strongly suggested that for a given geographical area, both the VDB and ERDB elements be provided by the same operator and that each county, 9-1-1 region or state contract with only one VDB/ERDB Operator.

Example of Why: The LIS (Location Information Server¹) may query via the V7 interface to the VDB whether 123 Main St. is a routable, MSAG valid address. The VDB, upon checking its database of aliases, determines that 123 Main St. is the same as 123 Route 66, which is an MSAG valid and routable address. The VDB provides a YES response to the V7 query. Subsequently, the ERDB would receive this same address (123 Main) for routing purposes. The ERDB, however, has no interface to the VDB and may lack the same alias database as the VDB, especially if the ERDB and VDB are operated by different entities. The ERDB may then fail to route the call, causing a default situation.

- **MSAG Delivery:** 9-1-1 MSAG Administrators need to determine if they will contract directly with VDB and ERDB Operators to provide initial MSAGs and daily updates, or if they will authorize their DBMSP/9-1-1SSP to provide initial MSAGs and daily updates.

¹ See section 1.13 or NENA Master Glossary (once this document is approved) for a complete definition of the LIS and V7 interface.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

- **Geospatial Data:** In addition to a tabular MSAG, geospatial data may be helpful to VDB and ERDB Operators. VDB and ERDB Operators should coordinate access to geospatial data with the 9-1-1 Administrator, where applicable.
- **Translation Tables and Business Rules:** The 9-1-1 Administrator may choose to review translations data or rules for accuracy. Should they choose to, they must obtain the necessary resources and develop appropriate procedures with the VDB and ERDB Operators.
- **9-1-1 Administrator Interoperation:** The 9-1-1 Administrator is expected to work with multiple entities (DBMSP, VDB, ERDB, VPC, VSP, etc.) to resolve addressing errors. Additionally, each of these multiple entities are expected to also work with the 9-1-1 Administrator to resolve these same addressing errors.
- **MSAG Source** (may be MSAG Administrator or MSAG Operator): The MSAG Source will be required to develop a process for providing selective router information for each ESZ (Emergency Service Zone) in order to make it available to ERDB Operators.
- **MSAG Administrator** is responsible for notifying VPC and ERDB² Operators when ESN modifications or additions are made to MSAG entries and geospatial data to ensure those Operators appropriately update their databases. (Refer to NENA 57-503)

2.2 Document Terminology

The terms "shall ", "must" and "required" are used throughout this document to indicate required parameters and to differentiate from those parameters that are recommendations. Recommendations are identified by the words "desirable" or "preferably."

2.3 Reason for Issue/Reissue

Compliance with the provided requirements will help ensure valid MSAG and alternate address records are available to VDBs and ERDBs to use in handling static and nomadic VoIP originated emergency calls.

NENA reserves the right to modify this document. Upon revision, the reason(s) will be provided in the table below.

Version	Date	Reason For Changes
Original	01/09/2007	Original Document
2	11/26/2007	Updated Section 4.3 General Maintenance. Added Sections 5, 6 and 7 - V7 Requirements, Discrepancy Reports, Statistical Reports.

² See NENA Master Glossary for definitions of VPC, ERDB and ESGW.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

3	02/01/2008	Updated Sections 1.4, 2.1 and 99 to reflect use of NENA 57-503, NENA Procedures for Notification of ERDB and VPC Operators of ESN Changes by 9-1-1 Administrator. Clarified footnote 3.
---	------------	---

2.4 Date Compliance

All systems that are associated with the 9-1-1 process shall be designed and engineered to ensure that no detrimental, or other noticeable impact of any kind, will occur as a result of a date/time change up to 30 years subsequent to the manufacture of the system. This shall include embedded application, computer-based or any other type application.

To ensure true compliance the manufacturer shall upon request provide verifiable test results to an industry acceptable test plan such as Telcordia GR-2945-CORE or equivalent.

2.5 Anticipated Timeline

This document includes “fast track/short term” options as well as long term requirements. It is expected that VDB and ERDB Operators and 9-1-1 Administrators will comply with these requirements upon completion and approval by NENA.

2.6 Cost Factors

The MSAG Source will be required to develop a process for creating initial load MSAG files and subsequent daily updates. MSAG Source is also required to create and maintain a relationship between ESZ and Selective Routers in format used for implementation of VDBs and ERDBs. This also requires the development of supporting interfaces and processes to ensure MSAG Source, VDB, and ERDB remain synchronized and consistent in content. These processes may result in additional costs to the 9-1-1 Administrator, VoIP carriers and their Service Providers.

2.7 Cost Recovery Considerations

Traditionally, much of the cost of the existing 9-1-1 Service Provider infrastructure has been supported through the collection of fees and surcharges on wireline and wireless telephone service. New network elements in the IP Domain will need to be paid for in some manner. As VoIP service replaces traditional voice services that currently support the E9-1-1 Service Provider infrastructure, existing fee collections will decline and must be replaced.

2.8 Acronyms/Abbreviations

This is not a glossary. See NENA 01-002 - NENA Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology located on the NENA web site for a complete listing of terms used in NENA documents.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

The following Acronyms are used in this document:	
ELT	English Language Translation
ERDB	Emergency Services Zone Routing Data Base
ESN	Emergency Service Number
ESGW	Emergency Services Gateway
ESQK	Emergency Services Query Key
ESRN	Emergency Services Routing Number/Name
ESZ	Emergency Services Zone
FOC	Function of Change
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
LIS	Location Information Server
MSAG	Master Street Address Guide
PSAP	Public Safety Answering Point
RDO	Root Discovery Operator
SFTP	Secure Shell File Transfer Protocol
SSH	Secure Shell
SSH-2	Secure Shell, Version 2
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
USPS	United States Postal Service
VDB	Validation Data Base
VoIP	Voice over Internet Protocol
VPC	VoIP Positioning Center
VSP	VoIP Service Provider
XML	eXtensible Markup Language

3 Roles and Responsibilities

3.1 MSAG Source

The MSAG Source represents a recognized supplier of MSAG files, which may be the MSAG Administrator, or an authorized MSAG Operator. The MSAG Source is typically, but not always, the E9-1-1 System Service Provider.

The MSAG Source shall make the ESZ and ESN information available to authorized ERDB Operators, VDB Operators, PSAP and SR Operators and any other concerned parties that require this fundamental information. The VDB/ERDB Operator shall be responsible for identifying themselves to the MSAG Source and for requesting MSAG for the areas they cover.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

The MSAG Source shall have the necessary infrastructure to ensure the MSAG data is kept current and that updates are made available in an electronic form to authorized parties in a timely fashion.

NOTE: The entity that provides access to the civic data of the MSAG may be different from the entity that provides access to the geo-spatial ESZ boundary data for the MSAG.

The MSAG Source can be devolved into two specific organizational entities: MSAG Administrator and MSAG Operator.

3.1.1 MSAG Administrator

The MSAG Administrator is the component of the MSAG Source that is responsible for:

- Determining, documenting, and maintaining the MSAG data.
- Maintaining other data such as alternate street names, zip codes, postal communities, landmarks, etc. for use by VDB/ERDB Providers.
- Coordinating and maintaining the local level activities related to ESZ boundary break downs and associated ESN lists.
- Liaising with the MSAG Operator (typically the SR Operators) in the area of coverage to assist the MSAG Operators in determining which ESN values correspond to the ESZ allocations.
- Where required, authorizing MSAG Operators to provide a Baseline MSAG and Delta MSAGs or be prepared to do it themselves using the guidelines outlined in this document.
- Where available, providing geospatial data to VDB and ERDB Operators.
- Notifying VPC and ERDB Operators when ESN modifications or additions are made to MSAG entries and ensuring those Operators appropriately update their databases.
 - **NOTE:** NENA Procedures for Notification of ERDB and VPC Operators of ESN Changes by 9-1-1 Administrator Operations Information Document (57-503) was officially approved by NENA Operations Leadership January 8, 2008
- Providing the data to the MSAG Operator for the actual electronic storage and

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

distribution of the MSAG data.

- Coordinating resolution of issues or discrepancies related to routing of emergency calls as the routing relates to address data.
- Coordinating access to the data for the VDB, ERDB, and MSAG Operators for the purpose of verifying data.
- Performing an MSAG and alternate entries audit with VDB, ERDB, and MSAG Operators at least twice a year, as outlined in NENA 02-011, Section 7.6.
- Providing authorized MSAG modifications to their MSAG Operator.
 - **IMPORTANT:**
 - The system sourcing the MSAG and updates **must** be the MSAG Administrator or Operator that updates the ALI and Selective Router databases.
 - If the 9-1-1 Administrator has an on-site ALI data base and they maintain the MSAG (as the MSAG Operator) then they shall be the source of the MSAG data.

3.1.2 MSAG Operator

The MSAG Operator is responsible for:

- Maintaining the database equipment and infrastructure that supports the access and retrieval of the MSAG data by authorized parties.
- Providing the necessary infrastructure for the MSAG Administrator to ensure that the data can be updated.
- Ensuring the database itself remains reliable, uncorrupted, and secure from unauthorized access.
- Providing Baseline and Delta MSAGs when requested by the MSAG Administrator.

3.2 Validation Database (VDB) Operator

The VDB Operators are responsible for:

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

- Resolving duplicate MSAG records in order to provide only one response for a validation request.
Example: Exact same MSAG range appears in a 9-1-1 Administrator's MSAG twice, but with different exchange code values. VDB Operator must resolve duplications with the 9-1-1 Administrator.
- Providing the service by which Location Information Server (LIS) operators and other authorized users in their area of operation can submit access network location information over the V7 interface for validation.
- Providing validation checks to ensure the location information will successfully translate into the current MSAG valid address.
- Providing an effective electronic means of performing validation and providing assistance in correcting invalid information.
- Ensuring the validation occurs against the latest available version of the MSAG.
- Ensuring an incoming address record only matches to a single VDB MSAG record.
- Ensuring the Root Discovery Operator (RDO) is informed of the availability of their service and the regional coverage it provides to support reliable discovery by users.
- Liaising with neighboring operators and resolving areas of overlap based on regional coverage as identified in the root discovery information (see NENA 08-001 on RDO).
 - Where ambiguity may arise in the root discovery data because of sub-municipality splits in VDB operator coverage, the operator is expected to make arrangements for proxy validation requests or return the identity of the alternate for these areas of overlap.

3.3 Emergency Services Zone Routing Database (ERDB) Operator

The ERDB operators are responsible for:

- Ensuring that reliable infrastructure with the necessary performance to support real time routing queries over the V8 interface is available to authorized VPC operators.
- Supporting routing queries of pre-validated civic address and geo-spatial information.
- Returning the correct MSAG valid format to the VPC in the V8 interface routing

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

response.

- Working with SR operators, 9-1-1 Administrator and other parties as necessary to ensure that ESZ boundaries are associated with correct ESN values used to ensure proper response to a routing request.
- Ensuring all URI or other system-related changes are announced and that old URIs are maintained for an overlap period and the RDO is informed of the associated expiry and activation times.
- Liaising with neighboring operators and resolving areas of overlap based on regional coverage as identified in the root discovery information (see NENA 08-001 on RDO).
 - Where ambiguity may arise in the root discovery data because of sub-municipality splits in ERDB operator coverage, the operator is expected to make arrangements for proxy routing requests, or return the identity of the alternate for these areas of overlap, or return an error.
- Maintaining records of all routing requests including responses, status, time stamp and the identity of the requesting VPC entity.

3.4 Root Discovery Operator (RDO)

Refer to NENA 08-001.

4 Sourcing of MSAG Data for VDBs and ERDBs

4.1 Pre-i2 Fast Track

This section outlines the processes to be used for provisioning of services using a VPC prior to establishing and using VDBs and ERDBs as conceptualized in a true i2 architecture.

- 4.1.1 MSAG Source shall create a baseline extract of the existing MSAG data, including ESN.
- 4.1.2 The MSAG Source shall provide Delta MSAG extracts, including ESNs, using existing formats.
 - 4.1.2.1 Until Delta MSAGs can be developed; complete MSAG baseline extracts must be made available on a regular basis and as often as possible.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 14 of 67

- 4.1.2.2 If the MSAG Source is supplying Delta MSAGs, they still must supply a full MSAG baseline extract no less than quarterly.
- 4.1.3 When providing Delta MSAGs, the MSAG Source shall provide a technical description (data layout, field description, cycle numbers, record count, etc.) of what the file looks like and the order in which the records should be processed by the VDB and ERDB Operators. (See Appendix F for sample.)
 - 4.1.3.1 Electronic transmission of this information with each Delta MSAG is preferred.
 - 4.1.3.2 Any changes to the file format must be coordinated with a minimum of 90 days advance notice with VDB and ERDB Operators.
- 4.1.4 Where available the MSAG Source should provide ESN and Selective Router information for each MSAG record to the ERDB Operator.
- 4.1.5 When the MSAG Source is unable to provide the ESN and Selective Router data to the ERDB operator, the ERDB operator shall have mechanisms in place to determine the appropriate Selective Router that calls should be directed to.
- 4.1.6 ESZ boundaries must be provided to the ERDB Operator by the MSAG Administrator in an agreed upon format (drawings, maps, etc.).
 - 4.1.6.1 Where geospatial data (polygon) is available, it shall be provided by the MSAG Administrator to the ERDB Operator.
- 4.1.7 Because English Language Translations (ELTs) will be provided to the PSAP by the ALI system based on the Administrative (MSAG) ESN in the ESQK shell record or V-E2 response (see NENA 08-001), ELTs are not part of the MSAG and will not be supplied in VPC, VDB, and/or ERDB data bases.

4.2 Implementing and Maintaining Location Validation Data (NENA i2)

4.2.1 MSAG Distribution

This section outlines the processes to be used for provisioning of services for nomadic VoIP using VDBs and ERDBs.

- 4.2.1.1 When requested by the MSAG Administrator, the MSAG Source

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

shall make available an initial Baseline MSAG extract (same as applied to wireline MSAG content).

- 4.2.1.1.1 Administrative ESN, Routing ESN and identification of the appropriate Selective Router must also be provided in some manner.
- 4.2.1.2 MSAG Source shall provide subsequent updates (Delta) to the MSAG for retrieval at least once a business day to requesting VSP, VPC, VDB, and/or ERDB Operators.
 - 4.2.1.2.1 The MSAG Administrator shall maintain the MSAG data using existing processes. Updates to the MSAG data will be made available by the MSAG Source via an electronic file format. (See Appendix E for specifications.)
 - 4.2.1.2.2 The MSAG data file format (including Baseline and Delta) shall be provided in a NENA data exchange format. Formats have been defined for MSAG exchange. Refer to **NENA Standard Formats & Protocols for ALI Data Exchange, ALI Response & GIS Mapping, NENA-02-010.**
<http://www.nena.org/?page=DataFormats>
 - 4.2.1.2.3 The MSAG Source shall provide a Delta MSAG file containing only a header and trailer record when no changes have been made.
- 4.2.1.3 The MSAG Source shall provide all available MSAG data elements to the VDB/ERDB Operators. (Refer to [NENA 02-010](#) for standard data elements.)
- 4.2.1.4 VDB/ERDB Operators shall translate County Name from various fields (i.e., TAR code, PSAP ID, County ID abbreviation or the USPS County Name) when necessary.
- 4.2.1.5 VDB/ERDB Operators are cautioned that the county-related fields in the MSAG data or the USPS database may not represent the county name where the street is physically located. (See Appendix D – County Name/County ID for sample discrepancies.)
- 4.2.1.6 For the purpose of auditing, the VDB and ERDB Operators shall provide the MSAG Administrator an electronic copy of the Civic to

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

MSAG translations data at a minimum of semi-annually.

4.2.1.6.1 The MSAG Administrator shall review and coordinate discrepancies with VDB and ERDB Operators and approve Civic to MSAG translations.

4.2.1.7 The VDB and ERDB shall be able to generate extract data in an agreed upon NENA standard format.

4.2.2 Interface

4.2.2.1 Initial Baseline MSAG extracts and daily Delta updates shall be made available via secure (login/password), open standard protocols (e.g., SFTP) to certified authorities. (See Appendix E)

5 Provisioning and Maintenance of data in VDB and ERDB

5.1 Provisioning Data

5.1.1 Civic to MSAG translations (see Appendix D) shall be maintained and kept synchronized by the VDB and ERDB Operators with the assistance of the MSAG Administrator.

5.1.2 Common data elements in the VDB, ERDB and MSAG must be synchronized except during times of maintenance or when updates are being applied. (Refer to Appendix B and D)

5.1.3 The VDB and ERDB Operators shall be able to accept the MSAG data in multiple NENA Standard formats.

5.1.3.1 VDB and ERDB Operators shall be able to accept MSAG input data in parsed or concatenated fields.

5.1.3.1.1 For querying of the VDB and ERDB, and returning of alternatives for routing (from the VDB), the database shall have the capability of processing the STREET NAME field and separating the Prefix Directional, Street Name, Street Suffix and Post Directional data elements into individual data fields stored within the database.

5.1.4 VDB and ERDB Operators shall use the following USPS products for building

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

alternates and aliases:

5.1.4.1 Carrier Route Product and City State Product

Detailed specifics and file formats can be found at: <http://ribbs.usps.gov>

NOTE: When using data from the USPS data bases the ERDB and VDB Operators will only include the street name data. PO boxes, APO/FPO and rural route addresses will not be loaded from the USPS databases, but only from an authorized MSAG.

- 5.1.5 VDB and ERDB Operators shall obtain address updates as made available by the USPS.
- 5.1.6 VDB and ERDB Operators shall use as reference USPS Publication 28 and the Federal Geographic Data Committee emerging document, Working Title: Street Address Data Standard.
- 5.1.7 VDB and ERDB Operators shall build initial databases with MSAG addresses and then add alternates as necessary.
- 5.1.8 The VDB and ERDB Operator shall track and log all database changes made, including but not limited to the following:
 - 5.1.8.1 New data entries
 - 5.1.8.2 Change to data
 - 5.1.8.3 Deletion of data
 - 5.1.8.4 New alternate address record
 - 5.1.8.5 Change to alternate address record
 - 5.1.8.6 Deletion of alternate address record
 - 5.1.8.7 The identification of source (e.g., person or system) making entries or changes
 - 5.1.8.8 Date and time the change was made

5.1.9 Logs shall be archived in a viable format for a minimum of 3 years in accordance

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

with NENA Standard 02-011, Section 2.26.

5.1.10 The VDB and ERDB shall provide the ability to identify an address entry as an alternate for another VDB/ERDB entry and its associated MSAG content.

5.1.11 The ERDB shall maintain logs of all queries and responses.

5.1.12 The VDB may maintain logs of all queries and responses.

5.2 User Interface

5.2.1 VDB and ERDB shall provide a user interface to access a history of record changes for a user's authorized data:

5.2.1.1 Electronic access to the change history shall be available for a period of 6 months.

5.2.1.2 The ERDB logs shall be archived in a viable medium for a minimum of 3 years in accordance with NENA Standard 02-011, Section 2.26 with the availability to produce history reports.

5.2.1.3 The ERDB operator shall provide an electronic search of all ERDB logs.

5.2.1.4 The VDB logs shall be archived in a viable medium for a minimum of 3 years in accordance with NENA Standard 02-011, Section 2.26 with the availability to produce history reports.

5.2.1.5 The VDB operator shall provide an electronic search of all VDB logs.

5.2.2 The VDB/ERDB Operator shall provide the ability for 9-1-1 Administrators to review their own MSAG and alternate address records.

5.2.3 The VDB Operator shall provide the ability for 9-1-1 Administrators to submit requests for alternative address record translations to support updates to MSAG, Civic, and alternative address data.

5.2.4 The VDB Operator shall provide a secured user interface.

5.2.4.1 The VDB's user interface shall require users to access information using secured login and password combinations.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

5.2.4.2 The VDB interface shall allow users to submit requests to change information that is specifically related to their jurisdiction.

5.3 General Maintenance

5.3.1 Any given MSAG record may have one or more alternate record(s).

5.3.2 MSAG Administrators and VDB/ERDB Operators shall abide by these business rules:

5.3.2.1 If an MSAG street is renamed, the previous name shall automatically be added as an alternate (as long as there is no duplicate MSAG record) until such time the street name is reused within the same community name. All existing relationships must be maintained.

5.3.2.1.1 When a street is renamed and an alternate is created with the old name, the old street name alternate should be deleted from the VDB once the USPS does not recognize the old street name, usually after 1 year. This process requires approval by the 9-1-1 Authority.

5.3.2.1.2 When an alternate is removed from the VDB, the alternate shall remain in the ERDB for at least an additional 6 months before it is deleted. This process is necessary because of the potential that alternates have been removed from the VDB since the last validation occurred.

5.3.2.2 New alternates must not duplicate existing MSAG records. In the case of duplicates, the VDB/ERDB Operator shall contact the 9-1-1 Administrator for resolution.

5.3.2.3 An alternate address record must be removed before it can be used as a primary MSAG record.

5.3.2.4 Approved alternates shall not be deleted from VDB/ERDB databases without the approval of the 9-1-1 Administrator.

5.3.2.5 During an MSAG audit, the 9-1-1 Administrator shall review and request deletion of alternates as necessary.

5.3.2.6 If the location entered will not MSAG validate, the VDB shall return no more

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

than ten (10) potential alternative suggestions to the submitter of the request.

5.3.2.7 Only special characters which are allowed in USPS Publication 28 (Appendix B and C) shall be accepted in any interface that contains location information.

5.3.2.8 Data submitted for validation in the V7 and the V8 queries shall submit only street names in the street name field. Directionals, street suffixes, and apartment, suite, room, lot, unit, etc. data shall not appear in the street name field.

5.4 Discrepancy Handling

5.4.1 The VDB Operator shall provide a mechanism to report and track discrepancies.

5.4.2 The mechanism shall include the ability to identify the entity reporting the discrepancy.

5.4.3 Discrepancy submissions and responses shall be exchanged electronically.

5.4.4 The VDB Operator shall coordinate any necessary changes with the 9-1-1 Administrator as a result of the reported discrepancy within one business day.

6 V7 Requirements

6.1 House Number/Range Variations

6.1.1 If no house number is provided in the query interface, omit the house number XML element on the V7 request. (Note: This applies for all fields that are blank.)

6.1.2 If there is a query to the VDB that omits the house number XML element, it can only be an exact match to an MSAG record that supports no house numbers. It must not be an exact match to an MSAG record with low and high house numbers.

EXAMPLES OF NO HOUSE NUMBERS: VDB Operator must confirm with the 9-1-1 Authority that these are in fact valid.

<u>Low</u>	<u>High</u>
0	0
(space/blank)	(space/blank)
(space/blank)	789

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

- 6.1.3 All house number formats and range validation of those formats must be coordinated with the 9-1-1 Authority. For more examples refer to Appendix D.

7 Discrepancy Reports

7.1 V7 Discrepancy Report

VDB Operators shall create a web page for discrepancy submissions.

When a user of the V7 interface receives an error on a query that they believe is accurate and valid, they need a method for submitting information to the VDB Operator via a V7 Discrepancy Report.

7.1.1 The V7 Discrepancy Report submission shall contain the following information:

- Reporting party's name, email, and contact telephone number
- Identifier of VDB query was sent to
- Customer ID from V7 query
- Date/Time of query to VDB
- Complete copy of the location information submitted
- Full validation request message (optional)
- Result Code
- Message ID
- Valid (true/false)
- Alternate URI
- Full response received from V7 query to VDB (optional)
- Problem description or desired results
- Additional Comments, optional

7.1.2 Discrepancy submissions and responses shall be exchanged electronically.

- **VDB Operator shall resolve the submission or refer it to the 9-1-1 Authority and return a response (initial or final) to the originator within one (1) business day.**
- VDB Operators will only refer discrepancy submissions to 9-1-1 authorities after researching the issue and determining that the discrepancy can only be resolved by action on the part of the 9-1-1 authority (i.e. update of the MSAG for new street addresses).
- 9-1-1 Authority shall respond within one (1) business day to discrepancies referred to them from the VDB Operator.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

- VDB Operator shall provide response(s) back to the originator within one (1) business day.
- If an MSAG update is required to resolve the discrepancy, the 9-1-1 Authority shall make the MSAG update to the MSAG Source and allow the MSAG Source to provide the update to the VDB Operator using the Delta MSAG process.
- If the discrepancy can not be resolved for any reason (if the Addressing Authority will not make the change, for example) the discrepancy may be closed with no resolution. In this case the Additional Comments should include an explanation of why the problem can't be resolved.

7.1.3 V7 Discrepancy Report results shall contain the following information:

- All information received from originator and resolution (resolution may be: referred to 9-1-1 Authority)
- Date/Time when resolution returned to originator
- Additional Comments; i.e., The correct address is “123 Main St, Anytown, US.” – or – The MSAG has been updated. Address now valid. Resubmit record for address validation.

7.1.4 The originator should attempt to validate the address every 24 hours until the record validates. It is not necessary for the originator to wait on the resolution of the discrepancy from the VDB Operator to attempt revalidation.

7.1.5 Records in the LIS database should be revalidated at least every three (3) months from the last validation date.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

8 Statistical Reports

8.1 Statistics maintained by VDB Providers

As there are not yet any working VDBs, there is no experience or past history to determine what statistics will be most useful; however, VDB Providers will maintain all raw data from V7 queries and responses for a minimum of 3 years for use by authorized parties. This data may be available online or offline. Report modifications will be based on future experience or learned methods. Requirements will evolve and may require developing specific standard report format(s).

Initially it is recommended that the following statistics be maintained by VDB Providers:

- # of Queries by Jurisdiction, by requestor (Customer ID)
- # of Successful Queries (Return Codes 200 and 210) by Jurisdiction, by requestor (Customer ID)
- # of Unsuccessful Queries by Jurisdiction, by requestor (Customer ID)

NOTE: Currently, there is no method to determine if a query is a new query or a re-validation query (refer to Section 6.1.6) to ensure a record will still map to a valid MSAG. This issue has been referred to the VoIP Technical Committee for discussion and resolution.

8.2 Statistics maintained by ERDB Providers

As there are not yet any working ERDBs, there is no experience or past history to determine what statistics will be most useful; however, ERDB Providers will maintain all raw data from V8 queries and responses for a minimum of 3 years for use by authorized parties. This data may be available online or offline. Report modifications will be based on future experience or learned methods. Requirements will evolve and may require developing specific standard report format(s).

Initially it is recommended that the following statistics be maintained by ERDB Providers:

- # of Queries by Jurisdiction, by requestor (Customer ID)
- # of Successful Queries (Return Codes 200 and 201) by Jurisdiction, by requestor (Customer ID)
- # of Unsuccessful Queries by Jurisdiction, by requestor (Customer ID)

8.3 Mismatch Report

VDB and ERDB Providers shall create a Mismatch Report that reflects differences between

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

alternate addresses (AKAs), postal and MSAG entries. Examples of discrepancies:

- Entry in MSAG with no AKAs.
- Postal entry that can not be matched to a valid MSAG entry. Matching shall be performed on House Number Ranges (*), Street Name, Community, County, and State.

* House number range errors should be in the report; however, there are a variety of legitimate reasons why postal and MSAG ranges do not match.

9 Recommended Reading and References

[1] This document **shall** be used in conjunction with the following NENA documents:

- NENA 08-001, Interim VoIP Architecture for Enhanced 9-1-1 Services (i2)
- NENA 02-010, NENA Recommended Formats & Protocols for ALI Data Exchange, ALI Response & GIS Mapping
- NENA 02-011, NENA Data Standards for Local Exchange Carriers, ALI Service Providers & 9-1-1 Administrators
- NENA 57-503, NENA Procedures for Notification of ERDB and VPC Operators of ESN Changes by 9-1-1 Administrator

[2] USPS Publication 28: <http://pe.usps.gov/cpim/ftp/pubs/Pub28/pub28.pdf>

[3] Federal Geographic Data Committee, Address Data Standard:
<http://www.urisa.org/advocacy/united-states-thoroughfare-landmark-and-postal-address-data-standard/>

10 Previous Acknowledgments

Version 2, Approval Date, 11/26/2007

Members:	Company
Delaine Arnold, WG Leader and Data Technical Committee Chair	Arnold 9-1-1 Consulting
Erica Aubut, Data Technical Committee Vice-Chair	State of Vermont
Nadine Abbott	Telcordia Technologies

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 25 of 67

Marc Berryman	Greater Harris County 9-1-1
Patty Bluhm	HBF Group
Guy Caron	Bell Canada
Larry Ciesla	Intrado
Carol Clancy	Verizon
Dick Dickinson	TCS
Pete Eggimann	Metropolitan Emergency Services Board
Norm Forshee	St Clair County IL
Judy Graham	Time Warner Telecom
Anna Hastings	AT&T Inc.
Tom Hinkleman	AT&T Internet Services
Roger Hixson	NENA
Maria Jacques	State of Maine
Kim Leigh	Qwest
Tom Louden	Verizon
Paul Mallett	TX CSEC
Bill Marczak	AT&T Inc.
Roger Marshall	TCS
Selena MacArthur	Time Warner Cable
Enoch Morris	Windstream Communications
Steve O'Connor	Brevard County FL
Vonda Payne	TX CSEC
Dave Perue	Frontier Communications
Ira Pyles	Hillsborough County FL
Brian Rosen	NeuStar
Theresa Reese	Telecordia
Stephanie Ryan	Metropolitan Emergency Services Board
Mary Sharp	Intrado
Marty Williams	Level 3
Carrie Wynkoop	Embarq

Version 1, Approval Date, 01/09/2007

Members:	Company
Delaine Arnold, WG Leader and Data Technical Committee Chair	Arnold 9-1-1 Consulting
Kim Leigh, Data Technical Committee Vice-Chair	Qwest
Nadine Abbott	Telcordia Technologies
Marc Berryman	Greater Harris County 9-1-1
Patty Bluhm	HBF Group
Guy Caron	Bell Canada
Larry Ciesla	Intrado
Carol Clancy	Verizon
Dick Dickinson	TCS
Pete Eggimann	Metropolitan Emergency Services Board
Norm Forshee	St Clair County IL
Judy Graham	Time Warner Telecom

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Anna Hastings	AT&T Inc.
Tom Hinkleman	AT&T Internet Services
Roger Hixson	NENA
Maria Jacques	State of Maine
Tom Louden	Verizon
Paul Mallett	TX CSEC
Bill Marczak	AT&T Inc.
Roger Marshall	TCS
Selena MacArthur	Time Warner Cable
Enoch Morris	Windstream Communications
Steve O'Connor	Brevard County FL
Vonda Payne	TX CSEC
Dave Perue	Frontier Communications
Ira Pyles	Hillsborough County FL
Brian Rosen	NeuStar
Theresa Reese	Telecordia
Stephanie Ryan	Metropolitan Emergency Services Board
Mary Sharp	Intrado
Marty Williams	Level 3
Carrie Wynkoop	Embarq

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Appendix A – DBMS MSAG Source Changes

Purpose

Provide list of potential system changes that may be required for implementing i2 solution.

Potential Changes Required to Support VDB/ERDB/VSP Platforms

The VDB/ERDB Operators and VSP Providers **should** consult with the MSAG Source to determine when the standards documented above will be implemented. Potential required changes for DBMSPs/MSAG Source for implementing i2 solution are shown below:

- FTP interface for retrieving Baseline MSAG and Delta MSAG (See Appendix E)
- Identification of Selective Router for MSAG record and information needed to determine the correct ESRN
- Creation of Delta MSAG process
- In the future, the VDBs may be the source for MSAG maintenance and all entities who want to validate addresses will connect to a VDB
 - If the MSAG maintenance is performed in the VDB it will only be done with the express approval of the MSAG's owner. The actual work on the database may be done directly by the MSAG Authority (via a web-based interface) – or the Authority may choose to have the VDB Operator perform this function.
- Provision of alternate address record translation to VDB and ERDB Operators, if available

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 28 of 67

Appendix B - Rules for Address Abbreviation

Purpose

Queries to the MSAG have many alternative formats to the fields and contents. The VDB **shall** comply with the USPS format for converting to standardized response to queries.

Resources for Abbreviation Matching:

USPS Publication 28 can be found at the following link:

<http://pe.usps.gov/cpim/ftp/pubs/Pub28/pub28.pdf>

Federal Geographic Data Committee, Address Data Standard

<http://www.urisa.org/advocacy/united-states-thoroughfare-landmark-and-postal-address-data-standard/>

The following table is extracted from USPS Publication 28 to show variations in Street Suffix Abbreviations. The suffix name of AVENUE was picked for illustration purposes only.

Primary Street Suffix Name	Commonly Used Street Suffix or Abbreviation	Postal Service Suffix Abbreviation
AVENUE	AV AVE AVEN AVENU AVENUE AVN ANVUE	AVE

Table 1: Street Suffix Abbreviation (taken from USPS Pub 28, Appendix C for illustration purposes)

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 29 of 67

The VDB MUST be able to translate AV, AVE, AVEN, AVENU, AVENUE, AVN and ANVUE to the USPS accepted abbreviation of AVE.

Address received over the V7	Result Code	Address contained in the MSAG and returned over V-E2	Address returned over the V7
OAK AVE	100 (Success)	OAK AVN	OAK AVE
OAK AV	102 (Alt returned)	OAK AVN	OAK AVE
Oak Ave	102 (Alt returned)	OAK AVN	OAK AVE
OAK AVE.	102 (Alt returned)	OAK AVN	OAK AVE

Table 2: Examples of Street and Street Suffix Names as input/outputs over V7

Additional Validation Functionality

The VDB may optionally do more than matching based on a standardized list. For example, if a VDB receives “AVINU”, it could decide to associate and return AVE in the V7 response. The limit of this VDB functionality should be incumbent on the specific implementation.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Appendix C – VDB and ERDB Data Exchange Fields

The following Function of Change (FOC) codes must be added to NENA 2.1:

- a. Insert a range:
FOC=I defines the current image to be inserted (no FOC=X used)
- b. Change a range:
FOC=X comes first to define the current (before) image
FOC=C comes second to define the after image
- c. Delete a range:
FOC=D defines the current image to be deleted (no FOC=X used)
- d. Split one range:
FOC=X comes first to define the current (before) image
FOC=S comes next (two or more FOC=S records) to define two or more ranges after the split
- e. Join two or more ranges:
FOC=X comes first to define two or more before images
FOC=J follows to define the single after image for the join

The following fields must be added to the XML definition:

NENA Data Exchange Fields	VDB Field (ref WSDL)	ERDB Field (ref WSDL)	Notes
tns:Street			
CountyName	CountyName	CountyName	Will currently need to be derived from the County ID and/or TAR Code as sent from the MSAG. See Section 3.2.1.4
FIPS	n/a	n/a	The V7 and V8 interface will need to be updated to include this. Currently it is documented that County ID may be provided as the FIPS code.
Country	Country	Country	Will currently need to be derived by the VDB and ERDB.
tns:Range			
ESRN	n/a	esrn	This is relevant only to the ERDB. It identifies the ESGW and Trunk Group to the appropriate Selective Router.
ContingencyRoutingNumber	n/a	crn	This is relevant only to the ERDB. This is the 24x7 10 digit number for the PSAP that can be used in

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

			case of network failure.
AdminESN	n/a	AdminESN	This is an optional field. This is the ESN that is used to determine the correct English Language Translations (ELT) and may be used by CPE to transfer calls to the correct responder. (Refer to Routing ESN)
RoutingESN	n/a	RoutingESN	This is a required field. Used by a selective router to selectively route a 9-1-1 call and for switch-based selective transfer features. In cases where Routing ESNs are not used, the routing ESN is the same as the Administrative ESN. <i>Note: ESN will be removed from the MSAG schema when these two fields (Admin and Routing ESN) are added.</i>
tns:Alternates		The schema definition for Alternates will be similar to the Range definition in that a single MSAG street may have 0 to n alternates associated with it. An Alternate may be the Postal equivalent to the MSAG or it may be any other alternate required (i.e. an alias street name – John Carpenter Freeway vs. Highway 121). See additional commentary on alternates, below.	
Prefix Directional	PrefixDirectional	PrefixDirectional	
Street Name	StreetName	StreetName	
Street Suffix	StreetSuffix	StreetSuffix	
Post Directional	PostDirectional	PostDirectional	
Community Name	Community	Community	
State	StateProvince	StateProvince	
County Name	CountyName	CountyName	
County ID	CountyID	CountyID	
Country	Country	Country	
Low Range			The group indicated that alternates needed the capability of having ranges assigned for them. We will need to have technical input from more groups in order to determine the best way to represent this in XML. This data must be optional.
High Range			

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.



Fields determined by the VDB/ERDB (they do not need to be transmitted from the MSAG Source to the VDB/ERDB or be returned to the MSAG source):

NENA Data Exchange Fields	VDB Field (ref WSDL)	ERDB Field (ref WSDL)	Notes
N/A	PostalZipCode	PostalZipCode	

Maintenance of Alternate to MSAG translations

The MSAG Administrator or Operator may be able to provide an initial set of Alternate to MSAG ‘translation’ data. The VDB operator may also generate additional ‘translation’ data based on other sources (i.e., USPS). In either case, MSAG Administrators should review the data for accuracy in accordance with Section 4.14 of this document. MSAG Administrators will ensure the alternate translation data are maintained in a consistent manner at the VDB and ERDB.

Going forward there are multiple options for simplifying the maintenance of Alternate to MSAG translations:

1. The 9-1-1 Administrator may choose to keep a database of alternate translations and make them available to VDB/ERDB Operators. The VDB/ERDB operator will be responsible for keeping the translations and MSAG data synchronized (assuming that it comes from two different sources). This choice places the 9-1-1 Administrator in total control of the translations.
2. The 9-1-1 Administrator may contract with the MSAG Operator to manage and maintain Alternate to MSAG translations as an integral part of managing the MSAG itself. Current business practices between the MSAG Operator and the 9-1-1 Administrator could be expanded to include Alternate to MSAG translation data management as part of the existing work flow. The 9-1-1 Administrator would be in total control over the translation data since the MSAG process itself (which they control) would be extended to manage translation data.
3. The VDB/ERDB Operator may choose to create and maintain Alternate to MSAG translations independent from the MSAG source system. The VDB/ERDB Operator would create a baseline Alternate translation database and link it to the MSAG baseline. Sophisticated software would be used to maintain the links between the Alternate to MSAG translation database and new MSAG baselines and deltas. An interface would be provided to the 9-1-1 Administrator to allow them to approve or reject translations.
4. The VDB/ERDB Operator may become the sole data source for Alternate and MSAG data. Jurisdictions would interface with the VDB/ERDB Operator to create and maintain all Alternate and MSAG entries. Any 9-1-1 data providers needing to MSAG validate their customer records would interface with the appropriate VDB/ERDB Operator to do so. If this

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

scenario occurs the VDB/ERDB Operator will become the MSAG Source and therefore must make available initial loads and subsequent delta updates to all other Service Providers. This data must contain selective router and ESN information.

5. Existing DBMSPs may choose to become VDB/ERDB Operators (this would require them to take on all of the functions specified in option 4).

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 34 of 67

Appendix D – MSAG Addresses, Postal Addresses and Address Translations

This appendix is provided for informational purposes and is intended to illustrate the differences between MSAG addresses, Postal addresses and the need for Address Translations. While postal addresses assist the USPS with delivery of mail, MSAG addresses are used by public safety for dispatching emergency services.

NENA recommends that MSAGs be updated to conform with NENA Standards and USPS Pub 28 to avoid the types of problems illustrated below. However, NENA understands that all of these problems may not be corrected before VDB/ERDBs are deployed. This Appendix serves as an illustration for VDB/ERDB vendors of the types of problems they can expect as they import existing MSAG data sets.

1. MSAG Addresses

An MSAG address is created by the MSAG Administrator and is what PSAPs expect to see for dispatching emergency services. The MSAG consists of addresses known to the PSAP and to first responders. The following are examples of MSAG addresses and some of the idiosyncrasies with MSAG addresses.

- **DUPLICATE MSAGs with the exception of exchange or ESN**

PD	STREET	S S	PD	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	STATE	O/ E	ESN	Date	PSAP ID	County ID	EXC H	Entity	TAR
EXAMPLES OF SAME STREET WITH OVERLAPPING RANGES WITH DIFFERENT ESN															
	BUCHANAN DR			901	928	BURNET CO	TX		00392	101605			5131	GEO	053CA P
	BUCHANAN DR			1402	1604	BURNET CO	TX	E	00388	101605			6230	GEO	053CA P
	BUCHANAN DR			401	1400	BURNET	TX		00399	101605			6230	GEO	053CA P
	BUCHANAN DR			1401	1603	BURNET	TX	O	00399	101605			6230	GEO	053CA P
	GREAT OAKS DR			100	106	BURNET CO	TX		00408	101605			5142	GEO	053CA P
	GREAT OAKS DR			101	105	BURNET CO	TX		00392	101605			5131	GEO	053CA P

E	HWY 71		100	4199	BASTROP	TX		00023	081602	Bastrop	BAS	AU1	021CA P
E	HWY 71		584	1171	BASTROP	TX		00020	081602	Bastrop	BAS	AU1	021CA P
	LAKEVIEW DR		100	239	MARBLE FALLS	TX		388	91602	Burnet	6230	GEO	053CA P
	LAKEVIEW DR		3700	4199	MARBLE FALLS	TX		394	91602	Burnet	5151	GEO	053CA P
	LAKEVIEW DR		100	150	MARBLE FALLS	TX		658	91602	Llano	5168	GEO	299CA P
	LAKEVIEW DR		100	511	MARBLE FALLS	TX		413	91602	Llano	5131	GEO	299CA P

PD	STREET	S S	PD	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	STATE	O/ E	ESN	Date	PSAP ID	County ID	EXC H	Entity	TAR
EXAMPLES OF SAME STREET WITH OVERLAPPING RANGES WITH DIFFERENT EXCHANGES															
	DEVILS LAKE HWY			8230	8585	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		ONS T		
	DEVILS LAKE HWY			8230	8585	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		7820		
	ROME RD			13128	18672	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		ONS T		
	ROME RD			13128	18672	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		7820		
	ROUND LAKE HWY			8711	9613	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		ONS T		
	ROUND LAKE HWY			8711	9613	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		7820		
	SANFORD RD			14862	16647	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		ONS T		
	SANFORD RD			14862	16647	ADDISON	MI	B	00101	010199	LENA		7820		
N	ADRIAN HWY			3266	6908	ADRIAN	MI	E	00101	010199	LENA		ONS T		
N	ADRIAN HWY			3266	6908	ADRIAN	MI	E	00101	010199	LENA		1310		
	BENNER HWY			2781	3410	ADRIAN	MI	B	00135	010199	LENA		CLAY		
	BENNER HWY			2781	3410	ADRIAN	MI	B	00135	010199	LENA		1310		

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

9-1-1 Administrator, MSAG Operator and VDB/ERDB Operators will have to communicate to resolve these issues. There may be changes required to source MSAGs.

If there are duplicate MSAG records that differ only in the value of the Exchange/ESN field, this may result in the assignment of different ESRNs (related to different respective Selective Routers). This means that more than one ESRN might be mapped to a given ESQK pool. ERDB Operator shall ensure that the correct ESRN is provided to the VPC during data base query.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 37 of 67

- **House Number Variances:** Alpha Numeric, Hyphenated, Numeric Alpha, Leading Zeros
Refer to USPS Publication 28, Appendix D and E
Examples: N35W10, 1543-10, 4563NE, 05463

Additional Examples:

In this example the "W0319" is carried in the last 5 digits of the street name field of the MSAG but is actually the first 4 characters of the house number. Note that leading zeros after the Alpha are dropped.

Street Name: Northwoods La W0319

Low HNO: N07700

High HNO: N07799

Actual Address is: "W319N7755 Northwoods La"

In this example, the Street Directional is "N", but the customer will append the Street Directional to the house number (no space between).

Street Name: Mequon Rd 23W

Direction: N

Low HNO: 1000

High HNO: 1999

Actual Address is "1000N Mequon Rd 23W"

In this example, the low range is N05000. The customer's actual address is N5000 Main Street. Again the leading zero after the alpha character is dropped.

Street Name: Main St

Low HNO: N05000

High HNO: N05199

Actual Address is "N5000 Main St"

Example from California. The post-directional appears at the end of the House Number field.

Street Name: Ashby Rd

Post Direction: N

Low HNO: 1800N

High HNO: 3400N

Actual Address is "1800N Ashby Rd"

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

- **County Name/County ID:** There is no County Name in the existing MSAGs. There MAY be other fields that MAY translate to the County Name. However, there are situations where the County abbreviation reflects the abbreviation for the County answering 9-1-1 and not the County where the street is physically located. It MAY be possible to use TAR/Tax Code to determine county name. Examples are shown below:
 - A 3 character MSAG-ID is assigned to the MSAG jurisdiction, which may be a city or county. The MSAG-ID is the "key" to identify all address ranges for the jurisdiction
 - An MSAG record may have a 4-character County ID associated with it
 - An MSAG record may have a 4-character County/PSAP ID associated with it
 - A 3 character numeric may be populated in the County ID field in the MSAG to identify the county. e.g. Los Angeles 019, Monterey 027 (these are **not** FIPS county codes)
 - The Exchange Field may be populated in the MSAG with a 4 character County Identifier.
 - The 3 character FIPS County code may be populated within the County ID field in the MSAG
 - The FIPS Code may be populated in the County ID field at the 9-1-1 jurisdiction's request
 - Some companies do not use County ID or any field that designates county

EXAMPLES OF WHY THE COUNTY NAME MAY BE INCORRECT:

- County A, B and C share a 9-1-1 system with County A taking all calls. Usually, if there is a county id in the DBMS, all MSAG records for the three counties will reflect County A's ID.
- There are also reported cases of the USPS database reflecting an incorrect county name.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 39 of 67



- **Military Bases address very unique**

Street Name: Castle AFB
 Low HNO: 0
 High HNO: 0
Actual Address is "0 Castle AFB"

PD	STREET	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	STATE	O/E	ESN	Date	County ID	EXCH
	NAVAL STATION HOME PORT PIER			STATEN ISLAND	NY	B	00100	032400	STI1	400G
	SIJAN RD (NASA)	237	256	LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE	VA	B	00013	061899	HAMP	
	WYOMING	402	402	PLATTSBURGH CITY-AIR FORCE	NY	B	00374	120898	CLI1	
	ALABAMA AV	2708	2749	BASE	NY	B	00370	120898	CLI1	
	NAVAL WEAPONS STATION			PLATTSBURGH-AIR FORCE BASE	VA	B	00008	083001	YORK	
	NAVAL AIR STA			YORKTOWN	VA	B	00233	092000	NORF	
	Chestnut St	31186	31186	NAVAL AIR STATION	OH					
	3 St	20012	20012	W P A F B	OH					

- Differences in street thoroughfare abbreviations
 Refer to USPS Publication 28, Appendix C
 Example: AV vs. AVE; BL vs. BLVD; PL vs. PLC
- In some DBMS systems, the thoroughfare abbreviation "ST" MAY be assumed and not stored in the MSAG.
- Directionals (Pre/Post) are not always in directional fields, but may be included in the street name field.
- Street Suffixes are not always in the street suffix field, but may be included in the street name field.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.



- **DUPLICATE MSAG entries between DBMSPs**

The TELCO ABC data contains MSAG entries for exchanges that route to TELCO ABC's Selective Router for St Joseph County, IN. These exchanges overlap into counties served by TELCO XYZ (mostly Elkhart County). The 920 ESN in the TELCO ABC MSAG indicates that TNs with these ESNs will be routed from the TELCO ABC Selective Router to the TELCO XYZ Selective Router.

So, TELCO ABC has 4 entries for ASH RD (these are in two different exchanges - OSCL and 3330 - and in our MSAG with 4 different community names).

DIR	STREET	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	ESN	O/E	EXCH
	ASH RD	62500	69998	WYATT	920	E	3330
	ASH RD	50000	53998	GRANGER	920	E	OSCL
	ASH RD	54000	69998	OSCEOLA	920	E	OSCL
	ASH RD	50000	62932	ELKHART	920	E	3330

TELCO XYZ also has 4 entries for ASH RD

DIR	STREET	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	ESN	O/E	EXCH
	ASH RD	50000	56000	ELKHART	601	E	3101
	ASH RD	56002	59998	ELKHART	615	E	3102
	ASH RD	56800	56849	ELKHART	611	B	
	ASH RD	60000	60998	ELKHART	615	E	

TELCO ABC has 2 entries for COBUS OAKS DR (OSCL exchange and Elkhart as the community).

DIR	STREET	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	ESN	O/E	EXCH
	COBUS OAKS DR	30400	30599	ELKHART	920		OSCL
	COBUS OAKS DR	53000	53199	ELKHART	920		OSCL

TELCO XYZ also has 2 entries but the community and exchange are different.

DIR	STREET	LOW	HIGH	COMMUNITY	ESN	O/E	EXCH
	COBUS OAKS DR	30500	53125	ELKHART	601	B	
	COBUS OAKS DR	30400	53150	OSCL	601	B	OSCL

In all of the examples, there is no easy 1 to 1 relationship. And, in these examples, it is the TELCO XYZ data that supports identification of the proper ESN and MSAG valid addressing for the Public Safety Answering Point. The TELCO ABC data simply facilitates routing the call to the TELCO XYZ SR from landline exchanges trunked to TELCO ABC's SR.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Street Name Examples from Atlanta GA

Peachtree Street
Peachtree Circle
Peachtree Battle
Peachtree Place
Peachtree Corners
Peachtree Lane
New Peachtree
Peachtree Road
Old Peachtree
Peachtree Parkway
West Peachtree
Peachtree Run
Peachtree-Dunwoody
Peachtree Terrace
Peachtree-Chamblee
Peachtree Avenue
Peachtree Industrial Boulevard
Peachtree Commons

Street named simply, "Boulevard." Also, within ½ mile there is a "Boulevard Drive" and the number ranges overlap with "Boulevard." The zip codes are different.

If you're told to go to Bankhead Highway and can't find it, it's because the name is Veterans Memorial but the locals still call it Bankhead.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 42 of 67

2. MSAG to Postal Address Comparison

The intent of this section is to illustrate the fact that postal addresses and MSAG addresses are very often different. While the USPS uses postal addresses for delivering mail, Public Safety uses MSAG addresses for dispatching emergency services.

VoIP end users will be required to register their current location until such time technology can automatically determine their location. End users will not be familiar with MSAG addresses and will register their location in the form of a civic or postal address. Validating a user provided address to an MSAG valid address often will require a multi-step process. The first part of the process would be the comparison of the MSAG information to the USPS information (cite reference in text body to the USPS products). The next part of the process would be “matching” a user entered address to the MSAG, which may include matching the user supplied information to the MSAG through using information in the US Postal Service address data.

Information for VDB Developers:

Example using actual MSAG data; postal addresses were looked up at <http://www.satorisoftware.com/US/addresscheck/AddressCheck.asp>.

These examples are customer address examples pulled at random from MSAG’s around the country (no searches were done to find examples likely to not match to Postal addresses). Based on this small sample it appears that conformity to US Postal Service address data will vary widely from MSAG to MSAG. In most cases, the MSAG differs considerably from the US Postal Service address data.

Most MSAG’s do not carry US Postal Service Zip Code information³, but some MSAG’s may carry both the Postal Community and the MSAG Community. (This applies only to NENA V3.1 and above.) MSAG’s that do have county information may have the county name completely spelled out, or it may be abbreviated.

³ Even if an MSAG contains zip code the NENA MSAG standard file formats (NENA 02-010, Exhibit 7, Exhibit 11 and XML schemas do not have a standard Zip Code field that would allow that data to be exchanged.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 43 of 67

The MSAG Community name may not, and often does not, match the US Postal Service Community name. Variations of Andover in MSAG (each of these variations will have a different set of valid streets and addresses associated with them):

	USPS Address	MSAG	Comment	Possible Resolution
Address	1463 County Road 51E	1463 RD 51 E	Road name different	Road names would be considered a match if no other similar addresses exist in the MSAG in this Community
Community	Dix	DIX	Assumed match	
County	Kimball	KIM		
State	NE	NE		
Zip_Code	69133			
Address	18 Ambler Ln	18 AMBLER LANE	Communities differ	Referred to MSAG Source for verification of Community
Community	Matawan	ABERDEEN TWP		
County	Monmouth	MON	Assumed match	
State	NJ	NJ		
Zip_Code	07747-1225			
Address	1 Cliffside Way	1 CLIFFSIDE WAY	Communities differ	If MSAG Community of ANDOVER was attempted they would match
Community	Andover	ANDOVER TWP		
County	Sussex	SUSX	Assumed match	
State	NJ	NJ		
Zip_Code	07747-5042			
Address	17 Avenue A	17 AVENUE A ST	MSAG contains ST	If MSAG Community was NEWARK (without CITY) there would be a match
Community	Newark	NEWARK CITY	Communities differ	
County	Essex	ESS		
State	NJ	NJ		
Zip_Code	07114-2661			
Address	2184 Azalea Ave	2184 AZALEA AVE		The MSAG has a few streets

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Community	Barrington	WALL TWP	Communities differ	or Sea Girt, NJ – Azalea Ave
County	Monmouth	MON		is not one of them
State	NJ	NJ		Verify with MSAG Source
Zip_Code	08007-1206			
Address	31496 Third St	31496 3RD ST	Road names differ	Road names would be
Community	Barnard	BARNARD		considered a match if no other
County	Nodaway	NOD		similar addresses exist
State	MO	MO		in the MSAG in this Community
Zip_Code	64423			
Address	1720 Sciota Rd	1720 SCIOTO RD	Road names differ	Not a match
Community	Elizabethton	ELIZABETHTON	Communities differ	Refer to MSAG Source for
County	Carter	UNI		verification
State	TN	TN		
Zip_Code	37643			
Address	901 Buchanan Ave	901 BUCHANAN DR	Road names differ	May be considered match if
Community	Marble Falls	BURNET CO	Communities differ	no other road named
County	Burnet	BURNET		BUCHANAN exist in Burnet
State	TX	TX		County - should verify

MSAG may, and often do, vary from local to local. Some of the typical examples include:

- In some DBMS systems, the thoroughfare abbreviation “ST” MAY be assumed and not stored in the MSAG.
- Directionals are not always in directional fields.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

3. MSAG and Postal Address Matching Inconsistencies

There are many reasons why Postal Addresses will not match MSAG Addresses⁴:

a. MSAG community name does not match Postal Community Name

MSAGs vary widely in conventions used for the MSAG community name. While it is common for the name to represent the actual incorporated municipality, there are many instances where it does not. The Post Office name may have no relationship with the MSAG community name. Community names may be very similar, but not exact. The ERDB and VDB records must maintain BOTH community names for matching. Only the MSAG community name is used for the ALI data query response.

b. There is wide variation between the postal suffix and the MSAG suffix (ignoring the issue that in some MSAGs, the suffix is part of the street name, which must be addressed during pre-processing of MSAG records). Especially when “DR” “ST” “AVE” and “LN” are used, there is often a difference between what the Post Office believes the suffix is, and what the MSAG believes the suffix is. Of course, in most cases, one of them is right and the other is wrong, but for ERDB/VDB purposes, the MSAG version must be used for validation.

c. Swapped Pre/Post Directional

It is common to see N Main St in Postal and Main St N in MSAG, or vice versa. Again, the MSAG version is the one used in the ERDB/VDB.

d. Missing Pre/Post Directional

There are often cases where the Postal has a directional where the MSAG does not, and vice versa. The MSAG version must be used.

e. Range differences

⁴ Not all of these conditions may apply to all MSAGs. Some MSAGs compare closely to USPS; other areas are not even close.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

These arise when postal boundaries straddle MSAG boundaries and vice versa. For example, a zip code boundary may lie within an MSAG range. Similarly, an ESN boundary may lie within a single postal range. When range differences are detected, ERDB and VDB records reflect the intersection of the ranges. Thus the number of ERDB/VDB records is larger than either MSAG records or postal records, because if either postal or sag contents change, a new record must be created in the ERDB/VDB to match. For example:

Postal

100-300 Main, 15101
301 – 999 Main, 15102

MSAG

100-199 Main, ESN100
200-500 Main, ESN101
501-999 Main, ESN102

ERDB

100-199 Main, 15101, ESN100
200-300 Main, 15101, ESN101
301-500 Main, 15102, ESN101
501-999 Main, 15192, ESN102

Here are examples of these differences

Variations of Andover in MSAG (each of these variations will have a different set of valid streets and addresses associated with them):

Community	County	State
ANDOVER BORO	SMST	NJ
ANDOVER BORO	SUSX	NJ

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

ANDOVER TWP	SUSX	NJ
-------------	------	----

MSAG Address	Postal Address	Comment
18 AMBLER LANE ABERDEEN TWP, NJ	18 Ambler Ln Matawan NJ 07747-1225 County name: Monmouth	MSAG has LANE spelled out MSAG Community <> Postal
1 CLIFFSIDE WAY ANDOVER TWP NJ	1 Cliffside Way Andover NJ 07821-5042 County name: Sussex	MSAG Community <> Postal .
400 US HWY NO 206 ANDOVER NJ	400 US Highway 206 S Newton, NJ 07860-6002 County Name: Sussex	MSAG street name does not follow USPS naming conventions (“NO”) No Suffix in MSAG
301 W 1ST AVE BARRINGTON BORO NJ	301 W 1st Ave Barrington NJ 08007-1206 County name: Camden	MSAG Community <> Postal
17 AVENUE A ST NEWARK CITY NJ	17 Avenue A Newark NJ 07114-2661 County name: Essex	MSAG Community <> Postal
2184 AZALEA AVE WALL TWP NJ	2184 Azalea Ave Sea Girt NJ 08750-2401 County name: Monmouth	MSAG Community <> Postal
10 ACADEMY DR E HANOVER TWP NJ	10 Academy Dr E Whippany NJ 07981-1801 County name: Morris	MSAG Community <> Postal
2948 HIGHWAY 72 E ABBEVILLE, ABB, SC	2948 Highway 72 E Abbeville SC 29620-5258 County name: Abbeville	County Name Abbreviated

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

4216 TAYLOR CREEK RD AFTON, ALB, VA	4216 Taylor Creek Rd Afton VA 22920-2159 County name: Albemarle	County Name Abbreviated
105 E HOLLAND ARCHBOLD, FUL, OH	105 E Holland St Archbold OH 43502-1210 County name: Fulton	No suffix in MSAG County Name abbreviated
921 LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH RD BAKER, OKA, FL	921 Lighthouse Church Rd Holt FL 32564-9343 County Name: Santa Rosa	Probably the same street, but should be verified. MSAG Community Name <> Postal, Different County. Possible that the town of Holt is in Santa Rosa, but this part of the street is in Oka.
132 BANDERA CIR BANDERA BAY, HEN, TX	132 Bandera Cir Mabank TX 75156-8920 County name: Henderson	MSAG Community <> Postal
31496 330TH ST BARNARD, NOD, MO	31496 330th St Barnard MO 64423-8240 County name: Nodaway	County Name abbreviated
139 SAWYERS CREEK RD CAMDEN, CAM, NC	139 Sawyers Creek Rd Camden NC 27921-7507 County name: Camden	County Name abbreviated
32995 TERRACE VIEW RD CAPE KIWANDA, TIL, OR	Lookup failed with or without zip	Postal City for Cape Kiwanda is Pacific City, but USPS does not recognize Terrace View Rd. Either Terrace View is an obsolete street name, or not a USPS recognized street.
493 SIMCOE MTN RD CENTERVILLE, KLI, WA	493 Simcoe Mountain Rd Centerville WA 98613-2906 County name: Klickitat	Inconsistent abbreviation of "Mountain" County name abbreviated

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

8620 N CR 800 E DECATUR, WLS, IN	8620 N 800 E-90 Decatur IN 46733-9202 County Name: Wells	Inconsistent Street Name conventions County name abbreviated
34 DUNKARD CHURCH RD DELAWARE TWP, HUNT, NJ	34 Dunkard Church Rd Stockton NJ 08559-1405 County name: Hunterdon	Note that Postal City does not match MSAG City.
1463 RD 51 E DIX, KIM, NE	1463 Road 51 E Dix NE 69133-8920 County name: Kimball	Inconsistent Abbreviation of "Road" County Name Abbreviated
1720 SCIOTO RD ELIZABETHTON, UNI, TN	1720 Sciota Rd Elizabethton TN 37643-1904 County name: Carter	Possibly the same street, but should be verified County Name is different, which could be an indication that this is not the same street
2261 COMERS ROCK RD ELK CREEK, GRA, VA		This is a range problem. USPS has range 2101 – 2199 O and 2301 – 2399 O
13228 US 24 EMERALD TWP, PAU, OH	13228 US 24 Cecil OH 45821-9401 County name: Paulding	MSAG Community <> Postal County Name Abbreviated

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

4. Address Translations

Format for civic and postal information differs from country to country. Basically if you need to mail something, you use the Postal Address, but if you need to locate something you use the civic address. While postal and civic addresses are often very similar, they may not be interchangeable. VoIP end user addresses will often be civic/postal addresses that are known to the end user. These addresses must be validated to ensure they equate to an MSAG address used by the PSAP for dispatching emergency services. These civic/postal addresses may need to be translated to the appropriate MSAG address during validation.

Postal addresses are used to deliver mail to specific individuals or organizations. Postal addresses can include city style addresses (number, street name) as well as Military Addressing, Rural Route Delivery, Star Routes, General Delivery, Post Office Box Delivery, and Private Mail Box Delivery.

Civic addresses are city styled addresses with a street number and a street name. For example, the White House is a landmark address, but 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue is a civic address.

MSAG addresses are civic addresses with a higher degree of specificity. For example, 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue is a civic address, but 1600 Pennsylvania Ave may be the correct MSAG valid address.

MSAG valid addresses may have different addressing schema that are less flexible than postal and civic addresses. For example, the MSAG valid address may be 1600 Pennsylvania **Av**, rather than 1600 Pennsylvania **Ave** or 1600 Pennsylvania **Avenue**.

All of the aforementioned types of addresses generally include a community name. Often times the community name of one address type can differ for another, even if the number and street name are the same. This is true for urban, suburban, and rural areas.

For example, 123 Cherry Avenue may have a postal community name (based on zip code) of Anytown, but the civic address of 123 Cherry Avenue is located within the township limits of Smallville, and the PSAP has the address in the MSAG as 123 Cherry Ave, Smallville.

The following are examples of translations based on the above example:

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 51 of 67

Going from 123 Cherry Avenue, Anytown to 123 Cherry Avenue, Smallville is an example of postal address to civic address “translation”.

Going from 123 Cherry Avenue, Anytown
to 123 Cherry Ave, Smallville
is an example of going from postal address to MSAG address translation.

Lastly, going from 123 Cherry Avenue, Smallville to 123 Cherry Ave, Smallville is an example for going from civic address to MSAG address translation.

There can be large variances in Postal and MSAG addresses due to house numbering, abbreviations, community names, spelling, street types (e.g. Dr vs. Ln), leading and trailing directionals (e.g. N Main St vs. Main St N), and other discrepancies.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 52 of 67

Examples:

In this example there is non-numeric (numeric alpha) house addressing.

LIS Provided Address: 402B Smith

MSAG Street Name: Smith Rd

Low House Number Range: 400

High House Number Range: 499

MSAG Valid Address is: 402 Smith Rd, (This can be accomplished by two methods: (1) move the B to the house suffix field or (2) create a range of 400B to 499B.)

In this example there is hyphenated house addressing.

LIS Provided Address: 402-3 Smith Rd

MSAG Street Name: Smith Rd

Low House Number Range: 400

High House Number Range: 499

MSAG Valid Address is: 402 Smith Rd, (This can be accomplished by two methods: (1) move the 3 to the house suffix field and drop the hyphen or (2) create a range of 402-1 to 402-100.)

In this example there is fractional addressing.

LIS Provided Address: 402 ½ Smith

MSAG Street Name: Smith Rd

Low House Number Range: 400

High House Number Range: 499

MSAG Valid Address is: 402 Smith Rd

In this example the customer's actual address is N5000 Main Street. The leading zero after the alpha character is dropped.

LIS Provided Address: N5000 Main Street

MSAG Street Name: Main St

Low House Number Range: N05000

High House Number Range: N05199

MSAG Valid Address is: N5000 Main St

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 53 of 67

In this example the "W0319" is carried in the last 5 digits of the street name field of the MSAG but is actually the first 4 characters of the house number. Note that leading zeros (after the Alpha are dropped).

LIS Provided Address: W319N7755 Northwoods Lane
MSAG Street Name: Northwoods Ln W0319
Low House Number Range: N07700
High House Number Range: N07799
MSAG Valid Address is: W319N7755 Northwoods Ln

In this example, the Street Directional is "N", but the customer will append the Street Directional to the house number (no space between).

LIS Provided Address: 1000N Mequon Road 23W
MSAG Street Name: Mequon Rd 23W
MSAG Pre_Direction: N
Low House Number Range: 1000
High House Number Range: 1999
MSAG Valid Address is: 1000 N Mequon Rd 23W

In this example, the Street Directional is "N", but the customer will append the Street Directional after the street name.

LIS Provided Address: 503 Main Street North
MSAG Street Name: Main St
MSAG Pre_Direction: N
Low House Number Range: 500
High House Number Range: 519
MSAG Valid Address is: 503 N Main St

In this example, the Street Directional is "S", but the MSAG carries the directional in the street name field.

LIS Provided Address: 503 S Main Street
MSAG Street Name: Main St S
MSAG Pre_Direction: None
Low House Number Range: 500

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 54 of 67

High House Number Range: 519

MSAG Valid Address is: 503 Main St S

Example from California. The post-directional appears at the end of the House Number field.

LIS Provided Address is: 1800N Ashby Rd

MSAG Street Name: Ashby Rd

MSAG Pre_Direction: N

Low House Number Range: 1800

High House Number Range: 3400

MSAG Valid Address is: 1800N Ashby Rd

5. Valid Alias/Alternate address record

The following are examples of valid Alias or Alternate street addresses that may be built within the VDB and ERDB to ensure addresses provided by VoIP end users can be translated into an MSAG valid address:

- **STREET KNOWN BY MORE THAN ONE (1) NAME**
 - Example: John Carpenter Freeway vs. Highway 121

- **COMMUNITY NAME DIFFERENCES**
 - MSAG COMMUNITY NAME VS POSTAL and Vice Versa
 - Hillsborough County vs. Tampa
 - Tampa vs. Hillsborough County

 - POSTAL FULL SPELLING VS MSAG ABBREV COMMUNITY NAME
 - Chicago vs. CHI

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 55 of 67

- **DIRECTIONALS AT END OF ST NAME VS BEGINNING and Vice Versa**
 - Main St N vs. N Main St
 - N Main St vs. Main St N

- **DIRECTIONALS ABBREVIATED VS SPELLED OUT**
 - N Main St vs. North Main St
 - Main St N vs. Main St North

- **VARIOUS SPELLINGS OF HIGHWAYS AND ROUTES**

Refer to USPS Publication 28, Appendix F

 - County Hwy vs. County Highway vs. Cnty Hwy vs. County Rd
 - Interstate 75 vs. I75 vs. Interstate Highway 75 vs. Interstate Hwy 75

- **LANDMARK ADDRESSES (possible new feature of VDB/ERDB)**
 - Empire State Building – 350 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10118
 - Radio City Music Hall – 1260 6th Avenue, New York, NY 10020

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 56 of 67

Appendix E – FTP Interface Specification for MSAG Files

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide a recommended MSAG file transfer specification for transferring MSAG data files between available suppliers of MSAG data and those entities which need this MSAG data to conform to NENA i2 standards for implementation of VoIP E9-1-1 emergency services.

1.2 Terminology

DELTA MSAG: The Delta MSAG is a file of incremental changes to the MSAG. The changes are applied in the order that they are presented, to either the last updated version of the MSAG or a current Baseline of the MSAG, whichever is more recent.

Baseline MSAG: MSAG file that is a snapshot image which represents a complete, up-to-date set of MSAG data at the point in time it was created.

FTP (File Transfer Protocol) – is a widely accepted, and readily available, means of communication, designed specifically to move data files between computer systems over an IP network.

SFTP (SSH File Transfer Protocol) - is a network protocol that provides file transfer and manipulation functionality over any reliable data stream. It is typically used with the SSH-2 protocol to provide secure file transfer.

MSAG FTP Interface - utilizes the SFTP protocol which has been implemented on one or more managed file servers, which enable the transfer of MSAG data files to authorized MSAG consumers.

MSAG FTP Server - The system that the MSAG Source organization uses for distributing MSAG data files to necessary recipients. MSAG data files may be distributed on several servers with each server responsible for enforcing user authentication processes for any MSAG FTP Client system. Throughout this document the MSAG FTP Server will referred to as the “FTP server”.

MSAG FTP Client - describes the system which connects to the MSAG FTP Server in order to retrieve MSAG data files. Throughout this document the MSAG FTP Client will referred to as the “FTP client”.

2. System Overview

This document discusses the following aspects of an MSAG FTP Interface:

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 57 of 67

- An overview of the MSAG FTP Interface
- A list of requirements met by implementation of an MSAG FTP Interface
- Arrangement of MSAG file system for FTP access
- MSAG FTP login procedure
- MSAG file retrieval

It is assumed that the reader has a good working knowledge of FTP.

MSAG FTP allows a user or (MSAG consumer) client to retrieve one or more MSAG data files, to their own host network for formatting and use within the VDB and ERDB functions. An FTP client exchanges files with one or more FTP server(s). Each MSAG file maintained on the FTP server will have a specific file format which will be identified within an informational file placed in the associated file directory. It should be noted that for these MSAG data files, there is no requirement within this document for any specific file format to be defined. However, for each file format to be used, it will require documentation in order to be useful. Files retrieved by an FTP client are read in and used internally, based on individual and proprietary methods. FTP Server processes create audit logs, which are stored within the FTP server for retrieval by authorized FTP clients, as needed.

2.1. Requirements

The MSAG FTP Service interface, which described in this document, is designed to satisfy the following baseline requirements:

- R1. Complete MSAG datasets: Complete MSAG data files, referred to as Baseline MSAG files, MUST be supplied on a periodic basis.
- R2. Partial MSAG datasets: Partial MSAG data files referred to as Delta MSAG files MUST be provided between releases of baseline MSAGs.
- R3. The mechanism for supplying Delta MSAG files must be capable of supporting relatively rapid releases, for example, hourly.
- R4. Delta MSAG files MUST associate an MSAG FOC (Function Of Change) code for each entry using supported Function of Change codes as described in the NENA 02-010 document.
- R5. Records in the Delta MSAG must be ordered such that the functions of change codes achieve the desired effect.

Example:

- a. Insert a range:
FOC=I defines the current image to be inserted (no FOC=X used)

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

- b. Change a range:
FOC=X comes first to define the current (before) image
FOC=C comes second to define the after image
- c. Delete a range:
FOC=D defines the current image to be deleted (no FOC=X used)
- d. Split one range:
FOC=X comes first to define the current (before) image
FOC=S comes next (two or more FOC=S records) to define two or more ranges
after the split
- e. Join two or more ranges:
FOC=X comes first to define two or more before images
FOC=J follows to define the single after image for the join
- f. NOTE: If a system does not process FOCs of S or J, only options a, b, and c will
apply. (SEE APPENDIX F FOR EXAMPLES)
Note: There may be some delta MSAGs where the following descriptions will be
used in lieu of FOCs: INSERT, DELETE, BEFORE, AFTER

**IT IS CRITICAL THAT ALL FILES BE PROCESSED IN THE ORDER
RECEIVED AND RECORDS IN THE FILE NOT SORTED.**

- R6. MSAG files MUST conform to a standardized naming system to: a.) differentiate MSAG
baseline files from MSAG delta files, b.) indicate MSAG source name, and c.) to
document the file creation date.

Example: MSAG baseline file from “service provider 1”: <msag_ssp1_1_0_102105>;
and MSAG delta files, <msag_ssp1_1_1_102205> and <msag_ssp1_1_2_102205>.

- R7. Files MUST be organized according to a documented and published directory structure,
made available to authorized MSAG FTP consumers.
- R8. The directory structure should allow automated data retrieval from a wide range of
sources.
- R9. Circumstances frequently occur within the wireline MSAG that result in duplicate MSAG
ranges that differ only by exchange (see Appendix H). (move up in document)
- R10. Duplicate MSAG files MUST NOT exist from a single MSAG source.

Example: MSAG files which get concatenated MUST NOT coexist with the files they
replace.

**09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected
to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this
technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.**

- a. MSAG ranges from two different sources may overlap exactly (see Appendix D, Duplicate MSAG entries example).
- R11. The method of client access to files MAY be automated or be done manually.
- R12. MSAG file format defined SHOULD be the NENA 02-010, formats 2.1 (or higher) for Baseline MSAG files, and 3.1 (or higher) for Delta MSAG files.
- R13. SFTP client accounts used to download MSAG files MUST have READ ONLY permissions as they apply to the MSAG data files.
- R14. SFTP client accounts MUST only be allowed to access MSAG file directories which they are authorized for.

3. FTP Connection Details

3.1. MSAG FTP Server identification (the assumption is that there may be several MSAG FTP Servers). The following is the minimum set of information that needs to be shared between MSAG sources and MSAG consumers.

MSAG FTP Server System Identification: TBD
System Type: TBD
Hostname: TBD (e.g. www.msag.server.com) or (www.msag.psap.com)

3.2. File Transmission Mechanism

All files must be transferred from the MSAG FTP Server using SFTP (SSH File Transfer Protocol). Other protocols can be used in addition to FTP, but must be coordinated between parties.

3.3. File Encryption

File transfer will be encrypted. An SFTP implementation using SSH-2 must be used. Key algorithm selection is installation specific and needs to be coordinated.

3.4. FTP Server Administration

Each MSAG FTP Server (i.e. designated administrative point of contact) will provide the MSAG FTP Client (admin point of contact) with user access details (username and password) to connect to the MSAG FTP Server.

3.5. FTP Client Connection

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Each MSAG FTP Client will have a unique username/password to connect to the MSAG FTP Server system mentioned above. Using the SFTP protocol, clients shall be able to retrieve the files from FTP server to their local host.

4. Interface Details

4.1 Client username/password conventions to be established by MSAG Source's internal Security requirements..

EXAMPLE: An MSAG consumer's FTP username will be "<service-provider>_MSAG_<consumer's NENA_ID>". (Example is "sspA_MSAG_12345".)

4.2 FTP directory structure will be established by MSAG Source's internal Security requirements..

EXAMPLE: Within each MSAG consumer's FTP home directory an 'outgoing' sub-directory will be created. Each MSAG consumer will, upon connecting to the FTP server, be placed to its dedicated home directory. The MSAG consumer's access permissions shall restrict him to specify read/write file privileges to it are the 'outgoing' directory.

4.3. File format

These data files may be deposited in variety of file formats, including, NENA-02 (v.2.1), NENA-03 (v.3.1), or XML (current version). Each file format made available is required to have an accompanying format specification for use by any authorized MSAG consumer.

4.4. User interface

The MSAG data files will be downloaded by the MSAG consumer.

5. System Availability

5.1 Availability

The FTP/SFTP server SHOULD be available on a 24x7 basis, with a 99.9% availability minimum.

5.2 Exceptions to Availability

Systems MAY become unavailable due to scheduled maintenance outages. Any scheduled outage for maintenance reasons should be announced ahead of time.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

6. General Information on SSH FTP

(from Wikipedia: (search Secure FTP))

SFTP is a network protocol that provides file transfer and manipulation functionality over any reliable data stream. It is typically used with the [SSH-2](#) protocol to provide secure file transfer.

Compared to the earlier [SCP](#) protocol, which allows only file transfers, the SFTP protocol allows for a range of operations on remote files—it is more like a remote [file system](#) protocol. An SFTP [client](#)'s extra capabilities compared to an SCP client include resuming interrupted transfers, directory listings, and remote file removal. For the same reason it is reasonable to implement a [GUI](#) SFTP client, but not a GUI SCP client.

SFTP attempts to be more platform-independent than SCP; for instance, with SCP, the expansion of [wildcards](#) specified by the client was up to the server, whereas SFTP's design avoids this problem. While SCP was mostly implemented on [Unix](#) platforms only, there now exist SFTP servers for most platforms.

A common misconception is that SFTP is simply [FTP](#) run over [SSH](#) (for which see [FTP over SSH](#)); in fact it is a new protocol designed from the ground up by the [IETF](#) SECSH working group. The protocol itself does not provide authentication and security; it expects the underlying protocol to secure this. SFTP is most often used as subsystem of [SSH](#) protocol version 2 implementations, having been designed by the same working group. However, it is possible to run it over SSH-1 (and some implementations support this) or other data streams. Running SFTP server over SSH-1 is not platform independent as SSH-1 does not support concept of subsystems. SFTP client willing to connect to SSH-1 server needs to know path to the SFTP server binary on the server side.

7. FTP Command Syntax Example

Use the following (command line) syntax to transfer files from a MSAG source's host file server to a MSAG consumer's local host. ("CR" represents "carriage return")

```
% FTP www.msag.server.com [CR]
Name: <username> [CR]
password: <password> [CR]
FTP> cd <directoryname > [CR]
FTP> get <filename> [CR]
(to get one file at a time)
```

OR

```
FTP> get <filename> <new filename> [CR]
(renames file to the new filename)
```

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 62 of 67

OR

FTP> mget * [CR]
(using an mget command will retrieve all files at once)

8. Filename and Directory Structure Examples

FILE NAMING CONVENTION:

The file naming convention follows a repeatable structure of the following:

<Type_Content_Major-version_Minor-version_Creation-date>

i.) Filenames for baseline (complete image) MSAG and informational files,

Example of a baseline MSAG filename:

baseline_msag_2_0_101105

Example of an associated informational file:

baseline_info_2_0_101105

ii.) Delta (incremental) MSAG and informational files

Examples of delta MSAG filenames:

delta_msag_2_1_101105

WA_delta_msag_2_1_101105

WA_King-County_delta_msag_2_1_101105

(Any of the above incremental MSAG files would be applied to msag_baseline_2_0 file.)

Examples of associated informational file:

delta_info_2_1_101105

WA_delta_info_2_1_101105

WA_King-County_delta_info_2_1_101105

DIRECTORY STRUCTURE:

{/home_directory}/outgoing/

...the term "community-level" is a better term than "county", since some other boundaries exist, such as township, census-area, borough, etc...

{/home_directory}/outgoing/AK (State Level)

{/home_directory}/outgoing/AK/Aleutians-East-Borough/ (Community Level)

{/home_directory}/outgoing/AK/Aleutians-West-census-area/ (Community Level)

{/home_directory}/outgoing/AK/Anchorage-Borough/ (Community Level)

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 63 of 67

Appendix F – Delta MSAG Examples for Pre-i2

TELCO A

UHL 100305	000276	0000								
*N GODDARD CREEK WAY	4800	5599	BEANTOWN	IDB00262100305		INSERT			20051003	
*N PRISM RIDGE LN		5700	5899 MOON		IDB00263100305		INSERT			20051003
*W LILLYWOOD DR		9100	9799 DOWNTOWN	IDB00049100305		INSERT			20051003	
*S LOGANBERRY WY	6900		7099 DOWNTOWN	IDB00049100305		INSERT			20051003	
*S DEWBERRY AV		6900	6999 DOWNTOWN	IDB00049100305		INSERT			20051003	
* 6 ST S	10102	10599	MIDTOWN	NDB00319100305		BEFORE			20051003	
* 6 ST S	10002	10599	MIDTOWN	NDB00319100305		AFTER			20051003	
* BERGIS RD	560	560	ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305	LOS	BEFORE			20051003	
* BERGIS RD	590	599	ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305	LOS	AFTER			20051003	
* BERGIS RD	590	599	ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305	LOS	DELETE			20051003	
*S BERGIS RD	590	599	ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305	LOS	INSERT			20051003	
*SWBERGIS RD	300	559	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	BEFORE			20051003	
*SWBERGIS RD	300	589	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	AFTER			20051003	
*SWBERGIS RD	561	699	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	BEFORE			20051003	
*SWBERGIS RD	600	699	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	AFTER			20051003	
*SWBERGIS RD	300	589	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	DELETE			20051003	
*SWBERGIS RD	600	699	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	DELETE			20051003	
*S BERGIS RD	300	589	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	INSERT			20051003	
*S BERGIS RD	600	699	ANYTOWN	ORB00009100305	LOS	INSERT			20051003	
* RIDGEVIEW CT	17300	17399	ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305		INSERT			20051003	
* OAK MEADOW DR		500	699 ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305		INSERT			20051003	
* RIDGEVIEW LN	17400	17599	ANYTOWN	ORB00060100305		INSERT			20051003	
SNIP										
* BROOKLYN AVE NE	3704		3928 UPTOWN	WAE00103100305		SEA BEFORE			20051003	
* BROOKLYN AVE NE	3704		4012 UPTOWN	WAE00103100305		SEA AFTER			20051003	
* BROOKLYN AVE NE	3930		3930 UPTOWN	WAE00217100305		SEA DELETE			20051003	20051003
* BROOKLYN AVE NE	3932		4012 UPTOWN	WAE00103100305		SEA DELETE			20051003	20051003
* GROVER PARK COUNTY RD 172	0	400	GROVELAND	WYB00261100305		BEFORE			20051003	
* GROVER PARK COUNTY RD 172	0	550	GROVELAND	WYB00261100305		AFTER			20051003	
*E SCORPIO PL	4010	4221	HANDLER	AZB00150100305		BEFORE			20051003	
*E SCORPIO PL	4010	4243	HANDLER	AZB00150100305		AFTER			20051003	
*N SANDRA LN	14000	14500	TALKING TOWN	AZB00021100305		DELETE			20051003	
*N SANDRA LN	14000	14500	MASCOTT	AZB00021100305		INSERT			20051003	
*UTL 100305	000000598									

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

TELCO B

X PENROSE AV	6100	6299	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PENROSE AVE	6100	6299	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PEORIA AV	3000	4099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PEORIA AVE	3000	4099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
XE R L THORNTON FRWY	9500	9699	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
CE R L THORNTON FWY	9500	9699	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PETAIN AV	6100	6799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PETAIN AVE	6100	6799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PETERBILT AV	8500	8899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DAN 121887
C PETERBILT AVE	8500	8899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DAN 101905
X PHILIP AV	4500	5799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PHILIP AVE	4500	5799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PHINNEY AV	1100	1288	TOONTOWN	AKE250 DLS 012389
X PHINNEY AV	1290	1299	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 012389
J PHINNEY AV	1100	1299	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PHINNEY AV	1100	1299	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
C PHINNEY AVE	1100	1299	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
D PINDAR AV	900	1099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
I PLOWMAN AVE	1300	1499	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PLOWMAN AV	1600	1899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PLOWMAN AVE	1600	1899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X POE AV	3700	3899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C POE AVE	3700	3899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PONTIAC AV	400	1099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PONTIAC AVE	400	1099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PORTER AV	9700	9799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PORTER AVE	9700	9799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
XN PRAIRIE AV	100	699	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
CN PRAIRIE AVE	100	699	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
XN PRAIRIE AV	1200	2499	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
CN PRAIRIE AVE	1200	2499	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
XS PRAIRIE AV	100	199	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
CS PRAIRIE AVE	100	199	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PROSPECT AV	5700	6099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PROSPECT AVE	5700	6099	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PROSPECT AV	6100	6399	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PROSPECT AVE	6100	6399	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PROSPERITY AV	2700	3199	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

C PROSPERITY AVE		2700 3199	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PROSPERITY AV	3600	3799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PROSPERITY AVE		3600 3799	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 101905
X PRUITT AV	3000	3899	TOONTOWN	AKB250 DLS 121887
C PRUITT AVE	3000	3899	TOONTOWN	AKB251 DLS 101905

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 66 of 67



Appendix G – Issues under Investigation

This Appendix provides a list of issues that are still under consideration in the VDB/ERDB/MSAG Work Group. The resolution of these issues may result in revisions to this document. The issues that are currently on the Work Group agenda as potential topics for further investigation are as follows –

- Modify NENA 2.1 MSAG format to include Function of Change codes.
- VoIP ALI and MSAG discrepancy procedures.
- Review existing quality measurements and audits to determine what is applicable for VDB/ERDB Operators.
- Reports
 - Statistical reports
 - Log of queries
 - Activity by hour
 - ERDB Queries that fail
 - Report of MSAG and Alternates
 - Mismatch report between alternates, postal and MSAG.

09/12/2014: The methodology described in this document is still in use in some 9-1-1 systems, but is expected to be replaced as systems transition to newer technologies. While still valid for the systems that use this technology, this document will no longer be reviewed or updated on an annual basis.

Version 3, June 7, 2008

Page 67 of 67