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What will be covered

• Building Life Cycle Costs
• Defining Maintainability
• Why Implement Design for Maintainability (DfM)?
• Approaches to Implementing DfM
• Attributes of a successful DfM program
• Methodology for implementing DfM
Building Life Cycle Costs

- There are numerous costs associated with acquiring, operating, maintaining, and disposing of a building or building system.
- Building-related costs usually fall into the following categories:
  - Initial Costs (e.g. Purchase, Acquisition, Construction costs)
  - Fuel Costs
  - Operation, Maintenance, and Repair Costs
  - Demolition and Disposal Costs
  - Replacement Costs
  - Residual Values (e.g. Resale or salvage Values or Disposal Costs)
  - Finance Charges (e.g. Mortgage Payments)
  - Non-Monetary Benefits or Costs
Life Cycle Costs

- Studies show that operation and maintenance (O&M) costs are greater than three times the cost of initial construction.
- O&M costs can equate to 60% to 80% of all life cycle costs.
- Hence, the impetus to optimize O&M as early as possible.

Credit: Defense Acquisition University website
Maintainability Defined

• Maintainability is considered as inherent to the system design, regarding the ease, accuracy, safety, and economy of maintenance tasks.

• The purpose of maintainability is to improve effectiveness and efficiency of maintenance.

• Design for Maintainability (DfM) is the first step of an effective maintenance program, linking maintenance goals and desired outcomes to the design process.
Why DfM?

• Design for Maintainability emphasizes the importance of timely integration of design and construction knowledge with operations and maintenance (O&M) experiences into project designs at an early stage.

• Implementing DfM decreases the risk of
  ▪ Reliability and Uptime being impacted
  ▪ Total Life Cycle Costs increasing significantly
  ▪ Inability of building use as originally intended
HOW DID THIS HAPPEN?
Approaches to implement DfM

Two basic approaches are available to implement Design for Maintainability (DfM):
1. The Stand-Alone process
2. The Combined process
The Stand-Alone Maintainability Process

• The stand-alone maintainability process is specifically focused on achieving project maintainability goals.

• Resources and procedures are dedicated solely to implementing appropriate levels of maintainability on the project.
The Combined Maintainability Process

• Using the combined maintainability process, maintainability implementation is combined with other project improvement initiatives
  – Reliability improvement
  – Constructability analyses
  – Value Engineering
  – Process hazard analysis

• In essence, we look for improvements for maintainability in all methods for improving our construction outcome
Considerations for the two approaches to DfM:

- **Standard Design Practice** – Maintainability is accomplished through designed-in features such as equipment accessibility, standardization, modularization, ease of maintenance, etc.

- **Contract Specifications** – Effective specifications include maintainability objectives, thorough operation and maintenance documentation and training needs, and maintenance management system requirements.

- **Cross-Functional Involvement** – Input from maintenance personnel is incorporated into the maintainability planning and design of the project.

- **Pilot Maintainability Program** – Small-scale program identifies benefits and costs of maintainability that can be tested with minimal risk.
Considerations for the two approaches to DfM:

• **Integration into Existing Programs** – This approach identifies maintainability best practices that can be integrated into existing programs such as reliability analysis, process hazard analysis, and front-end planning with minimal cost and effort.

• **Formal Maintainability Program** – Developed, supported, and resources committed from the corporate level of the organization. Maintainability roles and responsibilities are clearly identified. A structured work process is provided to facilitate implementation of maintainability.

• **Comprehensive Tracking** – Methods exist to capture, document, archive, and share project maintainability lessons learned.
Attributes of a successful DfM program:

• **Corporate Commitment** – Setting aside resources to establish the maintainability program, development of a policy statement establishing the maintainability program, and designating a corporate-level champion to oversee the program.

• **Program Support** – Having a dedicated corporate and project staff to implement the program and to develop written procedures, track progress, and develop and maintain a maintainability lessons learned database.

• **Maintainability Planning** – Having work processes that review lessons learned, establish project maintainability objectives, and activity and resource planning to integrate maintainability into the project.
Attributes of a successful DfM program:

• **Maintainability Implementation** – Demonstrated by project cross functional teams including maintenance staff, project meetings dedicated to maintainability, and specific project design reviews for maintainability.

• **Program Updating** – Demonstrated by continuous program evaluation, updated maintainability lessons learned, and integration of innovative maintenance technologies into the project design.
Implementing DfM

• **Commit to Implementing Maintainability** – Supported by developing management awareness of maintainability, performing a self-assessment and defining barriers, recognizing the benefits, and developing an implementation plan.

• **Establish Maintainability Program** – Supported by identifying a corporate maintainability champion, developing corporate procedures and staff, and developing a lessons learned database.

• **Obtain Maintainability Capabilities** – Supported by establishing project level maintainability responsibility, orienting project team, and developing resources for project maintainability reviews.
Implementing DfM

• **Plan Maintainability Implementation** – Supported by forming cross-functional teams, defining maintenance strategies project maintainability objectives, and integrating appropriate maintenance technology.

• **Implement Maintainability** – Supported by conducting formal maintainability meetings, applying maintainability concepts in design and procurement, recognizing construction impact on maintainability, monitoring and evaluating program effectiveness, and conducting maintenance training and providing documentation

• **Update Maintainability Program** – Supported by evaluating the corporate program effectiveness, revising program organization and procedures, and updating the lessons learned database.
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• CII Document 142-1 – Design for Maintainability Research Summary
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