

“While it may be easy to identify what should be changed, it is less clear and more complex to determine how to set realistic goals and develop implementation plans while enlisting stakeholder commitment to executing those plans.”

Using Action Learning for Organization Development and Change

By Arthur M. Freedman

This article conveys some practical information about the application of a powerful “tool” – Action Learning (AL) theory, method, and skills – for organization development and change (OD&C) practitioners. AL is not new. Neither is it widely known or understood by most OD&C practitioners. Therefore, I intend to demonstrate how AL theory, methods, and skills can enhance current change management efforts – particularly those in which technical expert consultants partner with OD&C practitioners.

In this article, I define AL and AL Team Coach (ALTC). I also offer a definition of the discipline and practice of OD&C. Moreover, I compare and contrast these disciplines with management consulting (MC), and present a case study of OD&C consultation that incorporated ALTC. I conclude with some observations about how AL contributes to organizational learning.

Sources of the Felt Need for Organizational Change

Intentional organizational changes are initiated in a number of different ways. In one instance, the impetus is supplied by stakeholders’ expressions of dissatisfaction with the status quo. Dissatisfaction may be globally expressed by dropping revenues, decreased profit margins, dissatisfied customers, low levels of employee satisfaction, or turnover.

Specific points for organizational change may be identified in a variety of ways – surveys, focus groups, audits, or

changing regulatory requirements. The change effort may focus on such problems as repairing or replacing something that does not work as efficiently as required; capitalizing on new, emerging opportunities; or managing persistent dilemmas.

Generally, these are problems discontinuous with organizations’ past experiences. In dealing with such unprecedented problems, organizations find themselves in unknown territory, i.e., their existing problem solving tools/methods are not effective. Organizations discover they must buy or develop new approaches and skills to cope with unfamiliar problems.

While it may be easy to identify *what* should be changed, it is less clear and more complex to determine *how* to set realistic goals and develop implementation plans while enlisting stakeholder commitment to executing those plans. AL provides the theory, methodology, and skills that augment the practice of OD&C.

AL and ALTC Coach

According to Marquardt, Leonard, Freedman, and Hill (2009), AL involves analysis and action and also learning. This triad optimizes the power and benefits of AL.

A Problem (the gap between the current and desired state). AL focuses on critical problems whose solution is highly important to an individual, a team, or an organization. AL is most appropriate where the problem is complex, the desired outcome is vague, and the solution is uncertain or

unknown. In addition, the problem should be complicated enough to provide learning opportunities, knowledge building, and the development of specialized skills.

Action Learning Team. The ALT consists of four to eight people with diverse backgrounds and work experiences. Diversity enables team members to perceive the problem from various perspectives and to offer innovative viewpoints. Teams may consist of volunteers or appointees who come from various departments or hierarchical levels. They may include individuals from other organizations or professions. They may involve suppliers as well as customers or other stakeholders. One or more team members may also be problem presenters. Some team members may serve as observers.

The process emphasizes insightful inquiry and reflective listening. AL requires team members to reflect and respond to questions. By crafting great questions, AL taps into the collective wisdom of team members and relevant stakeholders.

Questions are asked to clarify the exact nature of the problem, to identify possible solutions, and to determine implementation in a manner acceptable to senior management. The reflective inquiry process builds team cohesiveness, promotes systems thinking, introduces innovative strategies, and generates individual and team learning.

Taking action. The problem may be presented by team members or by an executive who serves as a sponsor. A senior executive may serve as champion for an AL project that is composed of several teams. An executive management team generally decides whether or not any team's recommendations will be enacted.

Sponsors or champions must grant ALTs sufficient authority to take action both between ALT sessions and on recommendations when approved. Reflecting on the action taken and its results provides the best source for learning and organizational change.

A commitment to learning. Solving an organizational problem provides immediate short-term benefits to the system. The greater, long-term, multiplier benefits occur when team learning is shared and applied strategically throughout the organization.

AL emphasizes the learning and development of individuals, the team, and the organization. The smarter the team becomes, the quicker and better the quality of its problem solving, decision making, and action taking.

While techspert-driven management consulting is essential, it is insufficient by itself. Techsperts tend to limit their attention to that part of an organizational system in which they specialize. They tend to ignore those parts and people who are not directly involved in their efforts. Yet their efforts affect other parts and people, and those parts and people often affect the techsperts' efforts. Techsperts tend to ignore the systemic context in which they operate. The results often include unanticipated cost increases and missed schedules that are usually caused by predictable discontent and resistance by stakeholders whose legitimate interests in the change effort are ignored. Most OD&C practitioners do not make such errors.

ALT Coach

While team members focus on solving problems, the ALT coach is devoted to enabling the team to learn. The coach identifies learning opportunities that enable the team to reflect on and improve its participative problem solving, conflict management, decision making, goal setting, action planning, and strategy creating capacities.

Lacking a coach, teams tend to focus their time and energies solely on solving the problem and neglect the more important individual, team, and organizational learning. Through inquiry, the coach enables team members to reflect on all aspects of communications relevant to the problem.

AL Process

The AL process must start with one or more critical organizational problems. ALTs are mobilized and deployed to analyze and develop solutions for the problems. Team members are recruited, selected, and provided with an orientation to AL.


A coach is provided to each ALT. The ALT presents solutions to the executive management team (EMT) for approval by a specific date established in advance by the EMT.

AL is applied in extraordinarily flexible configurations. The configuration largely depends upon the amount of time that the EMT makes available for creating solutions. The complexity and scope of the problem(s) are also factors; very complex problems require more time, commitment, and support.

Problems with a limited scope and moderate to low complexity may require only a little time for the ALT to complete its work. An unexpected crisis also may require a limited or massed time schedule. Crises or predictable surprises (Bazerman & Watkins, 2008) often occur during the implementation of large complex transformational changes. A Special Action Learning Team (SALT) can be quickly mobilized to deal with such crises (Marquardt et al., 2009).

Figure 1: The Action Learning Process

A Spaced, Applied, In-House Action Learning Program



Typically, AL requires a four to six month period (a spaced configuration). ALTs meet every two weeks for three to four hours with their coach. At the outset, the team sponsor presents and explains the significance of the problem. Through a process of inquiry and reflection, the ALT clarifies and reaches agreement on the problem and the goal, identifying also the stakeholders involved in the problem.

Team members – acting as emissaries (Freedman, 2000) – interview and collect information from stakeholders between sessions, and transmit the information to the ALT. The new information may modify the Team’s initial understanding of the problem. New information from stakeholders also helps team members to identify which proffered solutions are likely to be viable.

Once the ALT believes it has sufficient information, the Team begins to develop provisional strategies, solutions, and recommendations. Teams will typically present their ideas to all involved stakeholders for input and feedback. Additionally, a Team may also conduct a pilot test of their solution to assess its effectiveness before presenting it to the EMT (see Figure 1).

OD&C

The aim is to affect meaningful OD&C – “the systemwide application and transfer of behavioral science knowledge to the planned development, improvement, and reinforcement of the strategies, structures, and processes that lead to organization effectiveness” (Cummings & Worley, 2009, p. 1).

Most transformational organizational changes address the root source of executive dissatisfaction with prevailing conditions, e.g., operational deficiencies or inefficiencies. Notwithstanding, organizational changes are best planned and implemented when each of five vital signs is operative (Freedman & Jules, unpublished): (1) dissatisfaction is high; (2) there is a clear and compelling vision of a desired future state; (3) at least the first few steps of an action plan is accepted by all significant involved parties or stakeholders; (4) executive leadership is totally and publicly committed to support the change initiative; and (5) there are meaningful incentives for planners, implementers, and stakeholders to accept and contribute to the change. The ultimate value of any change must

be perceived to be greater than the financial, opportunity, and psychological costs incurred by undertaking the organizational change.

The desired future state can be compared with the current state of affairs to clarify what might be eliminated, preserved, or added. The gap between the current and future states can be Force Field Analyzed to determine: (a) why it is important to achieve the desired state, and (b) why it is difficult to achieve the desired state. Action steps leading to the achievement of the desired state can be derived from this Force Field Analysis and will comprise a workable implementation plan. In the final analysis, the most interesting, complex, important, and neglected (or taken for granted) part of major organizational change is the implementation phase (Freedman, 1997).

Most transformational change projects are conceived and planned by executives working with *techspert* Management Consultants (MCs) – e.g., financial, engineering, or legal technical experts (Freedman & Zackrisson, 2001).

While *techspert*-driven management consulting is essential, it is insufficient by itself. Techsperts tend to limit their attention to that part of an organizational system in which they specialize. They tend to ignore those parts and people who are not directly involved in their efforts. Yet their efforts affect other parts and people, and those parts and people often affect the techsperts’ efforts. Techsperts tend to ignore the systemic context in which they operate. The results often include unanticipated cost increases and missed schedules that are usually caused by predictable discontent and resistance by stakeholders whose legitimate interests in the change effort are ignored. Most OD&C practitioners do not make such errors.

Few of these *techspert*-driven change initiatives involve OD&C practitioners or ALTs – until they run into unexpected difficulties. This is a powerful argument for creating multidisciplinary consulting teams composed of *techspert* MCs, OD&C practitioners, and ALT Coaches. Each is prepared

Table 1: A Comparison of Practices of ALT Coaches, OD&C Practitioners, and MC Techsperts

Functions & Activities	ALTC	OD&C	TechspertMC
Utilize an action research methodology as the core technology in consulting engagements.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Utilize optimal involvement/participation of all parties (stakeholders) as an integral element of consultations	Yes	Yes	No
Focus exclusively on the specific technology, structure, system, or process that brought the attention of client system leaders on the need for change	No	No	Yes
Utilize a comprehensive systemic perspective that recognizes the interdependencies of an organizational psycho-socio-techno-structural system, including correlative organizational functions affected by and, in turn, affecting whatever is being changed	Yes	Yes	No
Enable planners and implementers to achieve the desired results by helping them to create strategies and tactics to achieve specific goals	Yes	Yes	No
Help clients collaborate in establishing shared strategic direction	Yes/No	Yes	No
Help clients ensure their objectives are aligned with their organization's strategic goals	Yes	Yes	Yes/No
Recommend strategies for clients to achieve their desired goals	No	No	Yes
Get involved in the substantive contents of the organizational problems	No	No	Yes
Help clients effectively plan and take action in pursuit of desired results, goals, or objectives	Yes	Yes	No
Explicitly help clients identify, reflect on, and develop leadership competencies	Yes	No	No
Explicitly help clients identify, reflect on, and develop team problem solving, conflict management, and decision-making skills	Yes	No	No
Explicitly help clients identify, reflect on, and develop understanding and skills for dealing with systemic organizational dynamics (including organizational politics)	Yes	Yes/No	No
Explicitly help clients to develop communications skills	Yes	Yes	No
Plan and take action in pursuit of desired results, goals, or objectives	No	No	Yes
Assist clients to explicitly learn <i>how</i> they do <i>what</i> they do effectively and <i>how</i> they can improve their effectiveness	Yes	Yes	No
Utilize inquiry and reflection to enhance self-reflective awareness	Yes	Yes/No	No
Help clients recognize and manage how assumptions, beliefs, and values influence (e.g., distort and limit) perceptions of the world and, as a result, how to think, feel, and act	Yes	Yes/No	No
Help clients recognize what they do not know and increasingly accept and utilize other diverse perspectives in collaboratively defining, analyzing, and dealing with complex organizational problems	Yes	Yes/No	No
Work with teams to help them understand complex organizational problems by creating an indigenous or local theory that explains the dynamics and cause-and-effect relationships operative in the situation	Yes	No	No
When clients feel blocked, introduce a conceptual model to explain the situation	No	Yes	Yes/No
When clients feel blocked, introduce a pre-established method or process that guides team members to a desirable and practical outcome	No	Yes	Yes/No
When clients feel blocked, help team members create their own viable models and methods	Yes	Yes/No	No
Help clients explore possible and probable consequential risks and potential implications	Yes	Yes	Yes/No
Help clients evaluate progress and results of planned change initiative	Yes/No	Yes/No	No
Explicitly strive to transfer theory, methods, and skills to members and leaders or client organizations in working oneself out of a job and enabling clients to become self-reliant and independent of consultants	Yes	Yes	No

to deliver different but complementary services (see *Table 1*).

The OD&C consultation process consists of 10 interconnected phases:

1. pre-entry, entry, contact, and negotiating a consulting agreement;
2. data collection from all relevant stakeholders;
3. organizing and preparing data for feedback;
4. top-down or bottom-up data feedback to and analysis by clients;
5. creating implementation plans;
6. executing the implementation plan;
7. monitoring and evaluating progress achieved;
8. identifying and dealing with emerging predictable surprises;
9. ending or recycling to the next highest priority problem;
10. maintaining a functional feedback loop.

These phases unfold in a cyclical, iterative fashion, often jumping ahead and/or falling back from one phase to another. Most techspert MCs follow a similar path, but the distinguishing characteristics of the OD&C application are its highly participative and non-authoritarian qualities.

OD&C practitioners serve as guides performing the tasks and responsibilities required by each phase. In large organizational change projects, OD&C practitioners consult with EMTs and project management teams. ALT Coaches augment OD&C and MC functions by intervening when a change effort encounters ambiguous, unprecedented problems, and predictable surprises – i.e., discontinuous problems for which an effective solution does not already exist.

Changes in the Environment Create the Need for Organizational Change

Leaders in organizations in all sectors realize they are often confronted with increasingly rapid, multiple, and radical changes to which their organizations must quickly and effectively adapt if they are to survive, if not profit. The external environmental variables include a wide range of sudden and extreme fluctuations wrought by

new technology, geopolitical uncertainty, extreme weather, and other catastrophic, adverse events.

Such changes can precipitate unpredicted organizational disasters. Nonetheless, changes create both threats and opportunities corresponding to an organization's internal strengths and weaknesses. Most organizations have developed their strengths from continuous, incremental improvements of their strategies and operations under variable but fairly predictable environmental conditions.

Still, unprecedented, discontinu-

The external environmental variables include a wide range of sudden and extreme fluctuations wrought by new technology, geopolitical uncertainty, extreme weather, and other catastrophic, adverse events. Such changes can precipitate unpredicted organizational disasters. Nonetheless, changes create both threats and opportunities corresponding to an organization's internal strengths and weaknesses.

ous environmental changes usually map to organizational vulnerabilities. For one thing, organizations tend to react to disasters after they have occurred. They are not likely to consider predictable surprises (Bazerman & Watkins, 2008). Their first preventive strategic option is to conduct a risk analysis to identify what could go wrong. The organization may choose to incur the projected costs of attempting to prevent or minimize risks, as well as to determine and prepare to take immediate corrective action once a disaster occurs.

Their alternative strategy is to gamble that the likelihood of disaster is not probable. If the event fails to materialize, the organization saves considerable time, energy, and money. However, if it does occur, recovery costs will probably greatly exceed the costs of prevention or preparation.

To prepare themselves to adapt to rapid environmental changes, organizations must change themselves both to protect against threats and to exploit newly emerging opportunities. Such organizational changes are not likely to fit easily

with an organization's values and practices. However, if organizational leaders recognize that they lack the knowledge and skills needed to cope with the predictable surprises, they may seek external expertise. To acknowledge they need assistance requires considerable courage on the part of otherwise competent organizational leaders (Schein, 2009).

To determine what to change, organizations usually seek the services of various MC firms who are techsperts in some relevant specialized discipline. These techsperts (Freedman & Zackrisson, 2001)

have generally learned that planning and implementing organizational change require much more than just the creation and installation of some innovative process (Freedman, 1997). Accordingly, many consulting firms market a support service such as change management. Change management (CM) specialists have adopted and integrated many strategies and processes from OD&C with project management and the practice of various technical disciplines. However, the effectiveness of CM tools varies considerably (Pfeffer & Sutton, 2006).

A Case Study of Organizational Change Using AL

The CEO of a Large Gas & Electric Utility (LGEU) initiated a corporate-wide transformation with the intent of converting the utility's culture from an impersonal technocracy to a humanistic, high-involvement, participatory culture (Freedman & Stinson, 2004). Based on the results of an extensive employee and customer attitude/opinion survey, LGEU's Executive Management Team (EMT) identified a number

of operational areas requiring rapid and effective change. The issues affected large segments of the company and were trans-organizational, i.e., not specific to a single department or function.

The survey indicated that employees did not feel recognized or rewarded for their loyalty, dedication, and commitment. Furthermore, the survey revealed that the customer service department often ignored persistent complaints by residential, commercial, industrial, and governmental customers. At best, they were referred from one specialist to another to get partial answers to their concerns.

The EMT decided to create 14 Natural Action Teams (NATs) comprised of members drawn from different professions, departments, and levels. Each team was assigned a different problem and had its own OD&C consultant.

As the CEO's coach and EMT consultant, I served as an advisor to a respected middle manager who the EMT had selected to coordinate a team of OD&C practitioners. He and I screened the OD&C practitioners and assigned them to consult with one or more NATs. We asked each team in turn to study and develop recommendations to ameliorate one of 14 identified problem situations. We created a multi-team AL project, including sponsors and champions.

The OD&C practitioners focused on helping NAT members learn from their unfolding experiences. The OD&C practitioners refrained from involving themselves in the contents of the NATs' problems. Rather, they helped NAT members reflect on:

- » What they were learning about themselves as individuals;
- » How teams can improve their effectiveness in solving problems;
- » How to develop useful interactions among interdependent subsystems;
- » How to utilize organizational cultural dynamics; and
- » How to improve and sustain mutually satisfying interactions between the organization and its external stakeholders.

However, from time to time, NATs required

specific information that related to the problems they were trying to solve, e.g., what information technology was available that would enable a single customer relations specialist to access all information about the services a specific customer has been receiving. So, occasionally, each team identified and sought additional assistance from one or more techspert consultants – not as problem solvers or team leaders but as informational resources. When they completed their work, each NAT presented their recommendations to the EMT.

The results of this systemic intervention included: enhanced pride and self-esteem among NAT members; EMT recognition of NAT members' talents and capacities to participate in solving complex organizational problems; enhanced appreciation for the value of a high involvement, high participation culture; and diminished dependency on techspert opinions on organizational issues.

AL Projects Sustain Learning Organizations

AL is a small team process that enables team members to solve real problems in real time while also enhancing team members' capacity to learn about themselves, participative problem solving and decision-making, intergroup dynamics, and organizations as dynamic systems. As team members better understand AL theory and acquire proficiency in the use of its methods of inquiry and reflection, they invariably apply their acquired abilities beyond the ALT.

At first, team members use their developing competencies between ALT meetings as they engage persons and groups that have an interest in the problem being analyzed. As they gain mastery of these competencies, team members tend to take risks and experiment with applying AL in fulfilling routine work responsibilities. As team members and their work associates recognize the efficacy of these new skills, they begin to support one another as they apply AL theory and methods to appropriate organizational problems.

To achieve optimal results, the involved parties learn to apply AL only to

urgent organizational problems where their senior managers are dissatisfied with the current state, the goal is ambiguous and/or the solution is uncertain. They also discover that where the goal is clear and specific, and the solution is well known and established, the AL process is counterproductive. Instead, they find that an existing, techspert-based solution is usually best under such circumstances.

Diffusion of Innovations

Using AL as a means of creating and sustaining a learning organization is a vital innovation. Senge references Rogers (1964) who believed that diffusion of innovations occurs through a five-step process that flows through a series of communication channels over a period of time among the members of an organization or major subsystem. This process includes:

- » Knowledge (awareness)
- » Persuasion (interest)
- » Decision (evaluation)
- » Implementation (trial)
- » Confirmation (adoption)

The rate of adoption is the relative speed with which some percent of organizational members adopt the innovation. The percent of adopters may vary but the result is reaching critical mass, which occurs when enough individuals have adopted the innovation to assure that its acceptance and utilization is self-sustaining.

There are two principals who can facilitate the diffusion process. First, Opinion Leaders are influential in spreading positive (or negative) information about an innovation. They are most influential during the decision or evaluation stage of the innovation-decision process and on late adopters. Second, Champions need to support an innovation and clear away obstacles or break through opposition that may be provoked by introducing the innovation.

Rogers (1964) defines five intrinsic characteristics of innovations that influence an individual's adopt-or-reject decision. The characteristics include the relative advantage of an innovation over the existing legacy technology or process; the compatibility of the innovation with an individual's

preferred ways of working; the complexity of using an innovation; trialability or how easily an innovator may experiment without risk with the innovation; and observability or how visible the results of the use of the innovation is to others and whether this evokes positive or negative reactions.

Rogers (1964) also describes various adopter categories. These help to manage expectations about the extent and the speed with which innovative AL and organizational learning may be diffused and adopted. The categories are: innovators, early adopters, early majority individuals, late majority individuals, and laggards. The adoption of an innovation tends to follow an S-curve over time.

Both OD&C practitioners and ALTCs must pay close attention to the extent to which a viable, explicit strategy and plan is developed to disseminate organizational learnings generated through their efforts.

Of considerable concern is the extent to which a learning organization can capture learnings in a systematic manner, archive the learnings, and create a mechanism through which learnings may be retrieved and applied at a later date when they are both relevant and appropriate.

It is conceivable that someone will soon create a vehicle similar to Wikipedia that allows users to enter learnings about any given topic or issue that are acquired and captured.

Conclusion

AL augments and works well with OD&C, supplements the work of techspert management and change management specialists, and enhances efforts to create and sustain organizational learning.

References

- Argyris, C., & Schön, D. (1978). *Organizational learning*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Argyris, C., Putnam, R., & Smith, D.M. (1985). *Action science: Concepts, methods and skills for research and intervention*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Bazerman, M. H., & Watkins, M. D. (2008). *Predictable surprises: The disasters you should have seen coming, and how to prevent them*. Boston: Harvard Business School Publishing.
- Cummings, T.G., & Worley, C.G. (2009). *Organization development and change, 9th edition*. Mason, OH: Thomson/South-Western.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Freedman, A.M. (1995). The consultant's sense of urgency: Steady-state vs. front-line combat OD. In J. W. Pfeiffer (Ed.), *The 1995 Annual: Volume 2, Consulting* (pp. 213-219). San Francisco: Pfeiffer & Co.
- Freedman, A.M. (1997). The undiscussable sides of implementing transformational change. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 49(1), 51-76.
- Freedman, A.M. (2000). Multigroup representation: Representative teams and teams of representatives. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 52(1), 63-81.
- Freedman, A.M., & Zackrisson, R.E. (2001). *Finding your way in the consulting jungle*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Freedman, A.M., & Stinson, G.H. (2004). Herding cats: Lessons learned from managing and coordinating organization development consultants. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 56(1), 44-57.
- Freedman, A.M. (2006). Action research: Origins and applications for ODC practitioners. In B.B. Jones, & M.B. Brazzel (Eds.), *The NTL handbook of organization development and change*. San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- Freedman, A.M. (2006, October 19). Organizational diagnosis: The swamp model (a psycho-socio-technical systems model). Center for Organizational Studies, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Pennsylvania.
- Freedman, A.M., & Jules, C. (unpublished). *Vital signs: Assessing readiness for/and managing change*. Washington, DC: Freedman, Leonard & Marquardt Consultancy.
- Gladwell, M. (2000). *The tipping point: How little things can make a big difference*. Boston: Little, Brown & Company.
- Marquardt, M.J., Leonard, H.S., Freedman, A.M., & Hill, C.C. (2009). *Action Learning for developing leaders and organizations: Principles, strategies, and cases*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Marshak, R.J. (2009). *Organizational change: Views from the edge*. Bethel, ME: The Lewin Center.
- Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R.I. (2006). *Hard facts, dangerous half-truths & total nonsense*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Schein, E.H. (2009). *Helping: How to offer, give, and receive help*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.
- Senge, P. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art & practice of the learning organization*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Senge, P., Kleiner, A., Roberts, C., Ross, R., & Smith, B. (1994). *The fifth discipline fieldbook: Strategies and tools for building a learning organization*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Senge, P., Kleiner, A., Roberts, C., Ross, R., Roth, G., & Smith, B. (1999). *The dance of change: The challenges of sustaining momentum in learning organizations*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.

Arthur M. Freedman, MBA, PhD, is a consulting organizational psychologist. He is a founder and board member of the World Institute of Action Learning. He consults to public and private sector organizations throughout the globe. He is an Adjunct Professor at the Carey Business School, Johns Hopkins University. His many publications includes *Action Learning for developing leaders and organizations*, co-authored by Michael Marquardt, Skipton Leonard, and Cori Hill (2009). He can be reached at arthurfreedman@gmail.com.

Learning for developing leaders and organizations: Principles, strategies, and cases. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

- Marquardt, M.J. (2009). *Organizational change: Views from the edge*. Bethel, ME: The Lewin Center.
- Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R.I. (2006). *Hard facts, dangerous half-truths & total nonsense*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Schein, E.H. (2009). *Helping: How to offer, give, and receive help*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.
- Senge, P. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art & practice of the learning organization*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Senge, P., Kleiner, A., Roberts, C., Ross, R., & Smith, B. (1994). *The fifth discipline fieldbook: Strategies and tools for building a learning organization*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Senge, P., Kleiner, A., Roberts, C., Ross, R., Roth, G., & Smith, B. (1999). *The dance of change: The challenges of sustaining momentum in learning organizations*. New York: Doubleday/Currency.

3 Free Publications
Available Online to
Members of OD Network



ANTIOCH
UNIVERSITY

PhD in Leadership and Change



A low-residency program that combines faculty-mentored, individualized learning with a challenging interdisciplinary core curriculum.

This distinctive program is for professionals seeking a degree that offers:

- Quarterly residencies
- Academic mentoring
- Learner-centered focus
- Integration of theory and practice
- Distinguished faculty
- Flexibility of pace to completion

www.phd.antioch.edu

email: admissions.phdlc@antioch.edu toll-free: 877-800-9466

**BECOME A
POWERFUL
LEADER OF
CHANGE.**

PEPPERDINE'S MASTER OF
SCIENCE IN ORGANIZATION
DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

MSOD

PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY
Graziadio School of Business and Management

Pepperdine's Master of Science in Organization Development

(MSOD) program has earned an international reputation as the premier graduate program in the field and as an innovator of experiential learning. Designed for the experienced professional, the MSOD program prepares leaders in managing strategic change to benefit a triple bottom line – people, planet, profit.

- Eight intensive and interactive week-long class sessions over two years.
- The only graduate OD program that spends more than 30 percent of class time in international locations.
- Application-focused environment including field work in organizations and immediate relevance to your clients and staff.
- For OD consultants, HR professionals, line and staff managers and executives.

- bschool.pepperdine.edu/programs/msod
- E-mail us at: msod@pepperdine.edu
- or call for more information: 310.568.5598.



The Gestalt Center for Organization & Systems Development (OSD) invites your participation in one of our outstanding training programs, each of which delivers powerful professional training and personal growth experiences rooted in Gestalt theory and concepts that are uniquely applied to organizational contexts. The focus of our training is self-mastery: being able to walk into a room anywhere in the world, containing any number of people, and make a difference with your presence! Visit our website at www.gestaltosd.org for details.

- OSD Group Intensive (Advanced)
- OSD Becoming a Better Intervener
- OSD Weekend Format
- International OSD

John D. Carter has been awarded the 2009 OD Network Lifetime Achievement Award

www.gestaltosd.org / 440.205.8606 / John D. Carter, Gestalt Center OSD President

Doctorate *degree*
ORGANIZATION
Development

Be an Agent of Positive Change

The University of St. Thomas Ed.D. degree in Organization Development is a cohort program designed for working adults who seek to master the theory and skills of organizational change. Students are leaders who wish to develop OD skills, and consultants who seek to improve their practices. Three years of classroom experience are offered in a blended format, followed by a dissertation. Classes meet face-to-face for a week each May and for one weekend every other month, coupled with on-line study. Yearly practica allow students to apply skills in a domestic or international setting. Join our next cohort beginning in May 2012.

The program welcomes David Jamieson, PhD, a long-time OD Network leader, starting September 1, 2010, as the new Chair of the Organization Learning and Development department.



SOE1043_10

**Integrating Theory and Practice
for Working Professionals**

For details visit our Web site at
www.stthomas.edu/education/academics/doctoral/od
(651) 962-4459 ■ (800) 328-6819, Ext. 2-4559
soe_old@stthomas.edu





Do you want to design change?

Our faculty, alumni and students are. Come and join us.

Our accredited distance graduate programs in Organizational Systems emphasize critical thinking, complex problem solving, and global inclusiveness. Focus on Sustainability and Organizational Systems, Organizational Development and Transformation, or Human Systems Inquiry and Social Systems Design.

SCHOLARSHIPS AND FINANCIAL AID AVAILABLE.

<p>DENNIS JAFFE, FACULTY Designing change for global sustainable family business.</p> 	<p>KATHIA LASZLO, FACULTY & ALUMNA Designing change for social innovation and sustainable development.</p> 	<p>DAVID WILLIAMS, STUDENT Designing change to put people at the center of emergency medical systems.</p> 	<p>NANCY SOUTHERN, FACULTY Designing change for cross-cultural leadership and sustainability.</p> 	<p>KEIMA SHERIFF, STUDENT Designing change to teach businesses to thrive by making their employees a priority.</p> 	<p>CARL HILD, ALUMNUS Designing change for multicultural healthcare.</p> 
---	---	--	---	---	---

GRADUATE EDUCATION FOR A HUMANE AND SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

WASC ACCREDITED

WWW.SAYBROOK.EDU

TEL 1 800 825 4480

ADMISSIONS@SAYBROOK.EDU

To be the best, you have to

learn from the best!

Socrates and Plato

Aristotle and Alexander the Great

Andrew Carnegie and Charles Schwab

Salvador Dali and Andy Warhol

Hunter S. Thompson and Warren Zevon

Peter Sorensen and Therese Yaeger

To be the best, you have to learn from the best!

Benedictine's **Master of Science in Management and Organizational Behavior (M.S.M.O.B.)** program boasts world-renowned faculty members who are leaders in their fields, successful businessmen, brilliant educators, and recognized experts in the management of change.

New industry and new business thinking demands educated professionals who can effectively change and lead organizations. Learn from people who are proven leaders in change. Earn your M.S.M.O.B. degree from Benedictine University.

For more information, contact Bryan Frederick, program manager, at (630) 829-6223, or e-mail him at bfrederick@ben.edu.

Accelerated learning teams begin in April and October. Contact us now for our Spring and Fall accelerated classes.

 **Benedictine University™**
5700 College Road in Lisle, Illinois



For more information, contact us at (630) 829-6223, e-mail bfrederick@ben.edu or visit us on the Web at www.ben.edu/odp.

Ph.D. in Organization Development

Benedictine University's doctoral program in Organization Development is designed to help today's business leaders generate a professional work environment where people partner to discover better solutions, where change is not only accepted but encouraged, and where high performance is achieved by building trust, valuing teamwork and fostering employee development.

The Ph.D. program at Benedictine University incorporates classroom and current work experience. It was one of the first graduate Organization Development programs in the country and is recognized as one of the top-rated graduate Organization Development programs in the world.

Benedictine also offers a nationally recognized master's program in Management and Organizational Behavior in both traditional or accelerated formats, as well as graduate programs in Business, Health and Education.



www.ben.edu/od

(630) 829-6208
tyaeger@ben.edu
Lisle, Illinois

 Benedictine University

Apply today for the next Ph.D. cohort.


OD and Sustainability

Guest Editors: John Adams, Cathy Royal, and Allan Church

Key questions we hope to pursue in this special issue include:

- How can OD contribute to creating an integral definition of environmental, organizational, and economic sustainability?
- What could it mean to the field to embrace sustainability as a larger purpose of OD?
- What is the role of OD in creating and supporting the transformation needed to create organizations focused on the triple bottom line?
- How do we work in the era of “post-peak OD?” Our economy is entirely dependent on perpetual growth to “bigger and better” and this may not be possible much longer.
- How do we work with systems, communities, and nations around climate change and its impact on sustainable interventions, ecosystems, and food crises as we manage oil spills in Michigan, Louisiana, the Gulf, as well as in Nigeria and other areas globally?
- What new knowledge and understanding do OD practitioners need to help organizations consider their important role in creating a sustainable world?

Submission deadline for articles is July 1, 2011.



Change is Coming...

Mark Your Calendars

Organization Development Network Conference 2011

October 30 – November 2, 2011

Baltimore Hilton • 401 West Pratt Street • Baltimore, Maryland

Request more information on sponsoring,
exhibiting and Conference programming at
www.odnetwork.org/conf2011.