

OHIO LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION

500 West Wilson Bridge Road
Worthington, OH 43085
www.OLTA.org

January 17, 2018

Testimony in Support of House Bill 407

Chairman Butler, Ranking Member Boggs, Vice Chair Hughes, and Members of the House Civil Justice Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to present proponent testimony on House Bill 407.

My name is Charles “Chip” Brigham. I am an attorney at law and licensed title agent with my law practice, Brigham and Brigham, and my title agency, Buckeye Land Title Company, located in Cincinnati, Ohio. I am the current Secretary/Treasurer of the Ohio Land Title Association (i.e. OLTA) and I am testifying on OLTA’s behalf in support of the passage of House Bill 407.

OLTA is a trade association representing licensed title insurance agents, underwriters, abstractors and real estate / title attorneys operating in all 88 Ohio counties. Our members conduct examinations of recorded documents and insure title to real property.

Ohio’s dower statute is found in Revised Code Section 2103.02. In summary, dower is the old right of a spouse to claim a life estate in one third of the real property owned by other spouse.

Most states have abolished dower including the recent repeal of dower in Michigan

Dower remains in only two other states: Arkansas and Kentucky.

Effective March 7, 2017, the State of Michigan joined the long parade of states that have abolished dower, leaving only Ohio, Arkansas, and Kentucky clinging to this archaic law. Michigan’s dower law afforded protection to only the rights of the wife. Although repeal was much argued recently on equal protection grounds, the need to eliminate gender-based distinctions, and the federal mandate to recognize same-sex marriages, Michigan opted for repeal and not simply to revise its dower statute to eliminate such references and distinctions.

The language of House Bill 407 is similar to the Michigan repeal statute. The repeal of dower would not adversely affect a widow’s right to dower that was elected or that vested before the effective date of the act. ***Substantive, vested rights are preserved.***

Key reasons to abolish dower:

- Dower applies to real estate only and was established as an inchoate right during a time when real estate comprised a significantly beneficial asset and when widows would have no other means of security and support after the death of their husbands
- Non-real estate assets such as 401K accounts and other retirement plans have grown in value and importance exceeding more traditional real estate holdings (homestead, farms, etc.)
- Dower does not protect against the disposition, conveyance, or transfer of any other significant marital assets including liquidating cash accounts (even when the other spouse is a co-owner), changing beneficiaries on life insurance policies, or cashing out or leveraging retirement plans (with the exception of 401k accounts governed by federal law) and investment accounts
- Many states “cover” legitimate dower issues by provisions relating to elective shares such as Ohio’s elective share statute found in Revised Code Section 2106.01
- The repeal of dower would have no adverse affect on the rights of parties to a divorce or dissolution to include all real estate owned by a spouse in the disposition of assets after the termination of marriage
- Ohio domestic relations law provides that any property acquired during the marriage is a “marital asset” subject to equitable division during a divorce or dissolution regardless of which spouse holds title
- Dower is easily defeated by conveyances to trust and other similar probate avoidance vehicles
- Many Ohio titles are needlessly defective by failures to properly reference or release dower
- Defects caused by dower omissions are not “cured” until the expiration of 50 years!

Repeal of dower would benefit Ohio landowners, lenders, and all real estate professionals

Dower is an archaic reminder of our agrarian past. It has little present substantive value. Dower baffles Ohio homeowners. It remains a bane to real estate professionals and imposes unnecessary time, cost, and expense on those homeowners who must either comply with these baffling rules or who are left to resolve clouds on title created by an inadvertent failure to properly reference or release dower.

It’s time to give dower a well deserved demise.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles A. Brigham, III
Secretary/Treasurer, Ohio Land Title Association