



# MNP TAX UPDATE Part 2: Passive Investments

*How the Proposed Legislation Impacts You and Your Business*

Government of Canada Proposed Tax Changes for Private Corporations | 2017

## Contents

- 1. Overview
- 2. Current Rules
- 3. Proposed Changes
  - Apportionment Method
  - Elective Method
- 4. Impact to You and Your Business
- 5. Consultation with Government
- 6. What do you need to do?

On July 18, 2017, the Honourable Bill Morneau, Minister of Finance, released a paper for consultation, along with draft legislation and explanatory notes intended to “close loopholes and deal with tax planning strategies that involved the use of private corporations.”

One of the key issues addressed was private corporations that hold passive investment portfolios and the perceived advantage to taxpayers that do so. In this update, we will take an in-depth look at the current rules that apply to these investments, as well as the proposed changes being contemplated by the government.

## 1. Overview

Corporate business income is generally taxed at lower rates than personal income, which leaves corporations with more money to further invest in their businesses. There are times when a private corporation’s earnings are beyond what is needed to re-invest and grow the business. In such cases, the corporation may invest some of its earnings in passive investments.

The government is of the view an unfair tax advantage exists, as shareholders of a private corporation may achieve greater returns on passive investments held through a corporation than employed individuals holding investments personally.

No legislative proposals regarding the taxation of passive investment were released in conjunction with the paper. However, the government is contemplating changes to the tax regime and methods for determining the tax treatment of dividends paid from passive investments and has stated new rules will be designed in the coming months. If enacted, these rules can potentially be amongst the most significant legislative changes in the last 45 years.

## 2. Current Rules

In general terms, investment income earned by a corporation and distributed to shareholders as dividends bears an amount of tax that is equivalent to what an individual earning the investment income directly would pay. Accordingly, from a tax perspective, an individual with funds to invest is generally indifferent between investing the funds personally or through a corporation. The current provisions, which were designed to equalize taxes payable by individuals and corporations on passive income, have been in place since 1972.

The provisions include additional taxes that apply to passive investment income the year it is earned (a “refundable tax”), that is fully or partially refundable to the corporation as it pays out taxable dividends to its shareholders. The additional refundable tax bridges the gap between the corporate and personal income tax rates, such that the tax payable by corporations on passive investment income approximates what an individual in the top tax bracket would pay on the same income.

The current rules do not, however, consider the source of earnings used to fund passive investments through private corporations. That is, there are no provisions to align the corporate earnings available to fund the passive investment with the after-tax amount that would be available to an individual. As noted earlier, corporations are generally taxed at lower rates than individuals on active business income. A private corporation earning this income may have more capital to invest in passive investments, which in turn may generate higher returns on such investments, in comparison to the returns that can be achieved by an employed individual investing with his or her after-tax dollars. The government views this as an inequitable result, stating the lower tax rates available to private corporations was not intended to allow shareholders to realize greater personal savings.

### 3. Proposed Changes

To address the perceived inequity under the current tax rules as described above, the federal government is considering a regime that would maintain tax rates on the passive investment income of private corporations equal to top personal tax rates. It would remove the refundability of passive investment taxes where earnings used to fund passive investments were taxed at low corporate tax rates. In addition, the new system would align the tax treatment of passive income distributed to shareholders as dividends with that of the earnings used to fund the passive investments. The earnings could either be subject to the small business rate or the general rate, but could also be funds taxed at the personal level and contributed by shareholders.

In the current tax system, a shareholder can receive one of three types of dividends:

- i. Eligible dividends – paid from corporate earnings that have been subject to regular corporate tax rates;
- ii. Regular, or “non-eligible” dividends – paid from corporate earnings that have been subject to reduced corporate tax rates and are therefore subject to a higher personal tax rate than eligible dividends; and
- iii. Capital dividends – tax-free amounts paid from a corporation’s capital dividend account, which generally consists of the non-taxable portion of a corporation’s capital gains.

To properly align the tax treatment of distributed passive income to the tax treatment of the underlying corporate earnings used to fund the passive investments, the type of dividends paid to shareholders would need to follow the tax treatment of the income that is used to fund the passive investment, rather than the nature of the passive income itself.

Consider the example of a passive investment funded with active small business income. As the underlying corporate income was taxed at a preferential tax rate, it is implied all income generated by that passive investment would be treated as a “non-eligible dividend” upon distribution to shareholders, and accordingly:

- Dividend income from publicly-traded stocks would no longer be treated as eligible dividends, as is currently the case, but would be treated as non-eligible dividends (consistent with the tax treatment of small business income that is distributed to shareholders), and
- The non-taxable portion of capital gains would not be attributed to the capital dividend account in this example.

The government has introduced two possible approaches to the new regime, an apportionment method and an elective method.

#### Apportionment Method

This method would involve an apportionment of corporate passive investment income into three categories, or “pools” that will be tracked from year to year:

- i. Income taxed at the small business tax rate;
- ii. Income taxed at the general corporate tax rate; and
- iii. Income comprised of amounts contributed by shareholders from income taxed at personal tax rates.

This would translate into three possible tax treatments for passive investment income when distributed to shareholders as dividends - eligible dividends, non-eligible dividends, or dividends that would be received tax-free.

The Apportionment Method would generally work as follows:

- 1) The balance of the three pools at the end of each year would be used to calculate their respective proportion of the total undistributed income pool.
- 2) The passive income earned during the year would be attributed to each of the pools using the proportions calculated in Step 1.

- 3) When dividends are paid to shareholders, the corporation would deduct the amount paid from the appropriate pool.
- 4) The end-of-the-year balance of each pool would be equal to the sum of (1) the prior-year balance, (2) the active business income earned in the year and taxed at the small business rate / the active business income earned in the year and taxed at the general rate / or tax-paid amounts contributed by the shareholder (depending on which pool is at issue), and (3) the net passive income apportioned in the year, minus any payment of dividends from that pool.

In practice, this approach would add complexity to the current tax system. However, the Apportionment Method would allow for the tax treatment of passive income to adapt to changes in the active business, passive investment, or other sources of income earned through a corporation.

## **Elective Method**

Under the Elective Method, private corporations would be subject to a default tax treatment, unless they elect otherwise. The choice between the default or elective tax treatments would determine whether passive income is treated as eligible or non-eligible dividends on distribution. Unlike the Apportionment Method, the Elective Method would not require types of corporate income to be tracked separately.

Under the default tax treatment, passive income earned in a Canadian-controlled private corporation (CCPC) would be subject to non-refundable taxes (at rates equivalent to the top marginal personal tax rates) and dividends distributed from such income would be treated as non-eligible dividends. It would implicitly be assumed the passive income was funded using earnings taxed at the small business rate (even though the company may have earned income taxed at the general rate).

Alternatively, corporations could elect for a tax treatment that would apply additional non-refundable taxes on its passive income, and the lower eligible dividend tax rate would apply to dividends paid from the passive income. This election would remove the corporation's access to the small business tax rate that may otherwise be available. This election would likely be desirable for corporations where all or a significant portion of their income is taxed at the general rate.

The Elective Method is expected to result in a corporate owner with a portfolio that is worth the same as that of an individual who invested funds personally.

## **4. Impact to You and Your Business**

If you currently earn passive investment income through a private corporation, the proposed changes may result in a higher rate of tax on future distributions of this income and potentially more detailed record-keeping requirements at the corporate level. A MNP Tax Advisor can keep you up to date and provide insight on developments beyond the government's consultation period to help assess how these changes may impact you.

## **5. Consultation with Government**

MNP will be preparing a written submission to the Department of Finance on the technical aspects of the proposed legislation and other changes. The consultation period ends on October 2, 2017. Following the consultation period, the government is expected to table proposed legislation on this topic.

## **6. What do you need to do?**

Contact your local MNP Advisor to understand how these changes may affect your interests. Although the government is still in consultation phase, it is best to understand the effect these proposed changes could have on your business as well as your options to minimize the effect if legislation is drafted and moves forward.

[Click here to find an MNP Professional](#)

## **Everything Counts**

When it comes to tax, it's all about the details. Knowing the rules and regulations, what qualifies, what doesn't and how to structure your business and claims most effectively. Our specialized teams are focussed on every facet of tax. We have the in-depth knowledge and experience that will allow you to capitalize on all the opportunities available. We know what to look for, right down to the smallest details. And it's the small details that can add up to make a big difference.