

Applying a Shared Risk & Protective Factor Approach to Injury & Violence Prevention

Leveraging Work On ACEs, Suicide Prevention & Opioid Misuse

Seven case studies explore how a shared risk and protective factors approach is being applied in six states to address priority injury topics.



Colorado*Utah



Safe States Alliance

Vision

to be the recognized leader and driving force
in understanding and preventing injuries and
violence

Mission

to strengthen the practice of injury and
violence prevention

www.safestates.org



Shared Risk and Protective Factors Measurement Toolkit for Violence

Provides **measures** (e.g., survey items) and **data sources** (e.g., census data) to assess shared RF/PF linked to multiple forms of *violence*

Shared Risk and Protective Factors Across Multiple Forms of Violence* [Email](#) [Print](#) [Email Feedback](#)

Instructions:
 Double-clicking on a shared risk or protective factor (e.g. Community Support/Connectedness) will take you to the primary and secondary data measures associated with that factor.

Double-clicking on a purple button below provides information on how a risk or protective factor has been measured in the past for each form of violence.

| Protective Factor | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------|----------------|
| Level 2: Community | Bullying | Child Abuse and Neglect | Elder Abuse and Neglect | Intimate Partner Violence | Sexual Violence | Suicide | Teen Dating Violence | Youth Violence |
| Community Support/Connectedness | | | | | | | | |
| Access to Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services | | | | | | | | |
| Level 3: Relationship | Bullying | Child Abuse and Neglect | Elder Abuse and Neglect | Intimate Partner Violence | Sexual Violence | Suicide | Teen Dating Violence | Youth Violence |
| Association with Pro-Social Peers | | | | | | | | |
| Connection to a Caring Adult | | | | | | | | |
| Connection/Commitment to School | | | | | | | | |
| Family Support/Connectedness | | | | | | | | |

Toolkit: https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/TBI/core/grantee_meeting/shared_risk_and_protective_factor_toolkit/

NOTE: CoreSVIPP TA Resource Only- Not for broad distribution

Toolkit Demo Recording: <https://ondieh.adobeconnect.com/psi40bdpc14q/>

VIP Dashboard

CO CTC



Community

- Perceived availability of substances / Laws and norms favorable to substance use
- Low neighborhood attachment/community disorganization
- Economic deprivation
- Transitions & mobility
- Opportunities & rewards for prosocial involvement

School

- Academic failure
- Low commitment to school
- Opportunities for prosocial involvement

Family

- Poor family management
- Family history of substance use
- Parental attitudes favorable to substance use
- Opportunities for prosocial involvement

Individual


- Early initiation of substance use
- Perceived risk of substance use
- Attitudes favorable to substance use

Colorado's Shared Risk and Protective Factors Dashboard is designed to visualize indicators and data sources to measure overarching protective factors in the Colorado violence and injury prevention strategic plan. To view data, click on each protective factor above: behavioral health, economic stability and supports, connectedness and social norms. Under each protective factor, the dashboard includes categories that comprise each factor, indicators, and measures at the state and county level.



LINK TO DASHBOARD: https://cohealthviz.dphe.state.co.us/t/PSDVIP-MHPPUBLIC/views/VIP-MHPdashboardAPW/DashboardHome?iframeSizedToWindow=true&:embed=y&:showAppBanner=false&:display_count=no&:showVizHome=no

Utah's Approach to SRPF



- Promote laws and policies that support safe communities
- Encourage social norms that promote safety and health
- Improve access and utilization to physical and behavioral health care
- Enhance the physical environment to improve safe and healthy living
- Improve the socioeconomic conditions for Utahns
- Promote individual, family, and community connectedness

Next Steps

- RPE NOFO – strategies are focused on RFPF, priority for SRPF with CAN/Opioid/ACEs
- Work with partners to provide transparency and gain buy-in for implementation
- Identify new ways to “braid” resource efforts and pursue new funding
- Develop Super Risk and Protective Factors indicators

Challenges

- Limited evaluation on specific measures for risk and protective factors
- Time requirements for planning and strategic planning

Moderator led Q & A session



Jennifer Woody - Moderator



Ali Maffey - Colorado



Allison Whitworth - Utah



Natalie Wilkins - CDC



Deanna Ferrell - Utah



Questions

1. Can you describe your approach to what evaluation of shared risk and protective factors looks like?
2. What was the reaction of partners when shifting to risk and protective vs. topic-specific evaluation indicators.



Questions, continued

3. Can you talk about the gap between indicator to outcome in the evaluation process?

4. What advice would you offer to states who are embarking on evaluation efforts around shared risk and protective factors? What do you wish you had known in the beginning?



Up Next-

*View Case Studies presented in this webinar at
<https://www.safestates.org/page/IVPCaseStudies>*

AND

Join us at Safe State's Annual Meeting

